

Guidelines for Reviewers

All articles submitted to “Social Communication” are going to be reviewed. Sending an article in is treated as accepting the rules of reviewing. All articles accepted by the topical editor are peer-reviewed retaining the anonymity of the author and reviewer (blind review).

The review form contains:

1. ARTICLE EVALUATION:

	CRITERIA	YES	NO
1	The issue of the article is coherent with the quarterly profile.		
2	The title of the article is clear and coherent with the content of the article?		
3	The aim of the article and research method are stated clearly.		
4	The interpretations, observations and conclusions in the article are well grounded.		
5	The interpretations, observations and conclusions are coherent with the aim of the article and research method.		
6	The structure of the article and the way of writing are clear and correct.		
7	The article is presenting new, original interpretations, observations and results.		
8	The author based his/her article on recently published and differentiated literature.		
9	The author used the terms correctly.		
10	The abstract of the article is coherent with the content of the article.		

2. RECOMMENDATION

	RECOMMENDATION	
1	I recommend accepting the article to publish without changes.	
2	I recommend accepting the article to publish, proposed changes in author’s consideration.	
3	I recommend accepting the article to publish, only if author will accept the proposed changes.	
4	I recommend not publishing the article.	

3. REMARKS

The full list of reviewers is going to be published in the last edition of the journal for each year.

The processes of review in Social Communication. Online Journal follow the code of good practices by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education („Dobre praktyki w procedurach recenzyjnych w nauce”, Warsaw 2011).