

The review process for manuscripts submitted to *Psychology of Language and Communication*

All submissions, after a preliminary assessment by a member of the Editorial Board are sent out for a thorough evaluation to two or three peer reviewers in a double-blind review process, i.e., the identities of authors and reviewers are not revealed.

Reviews assess the following aspects of the manuscript:

1. Presentation of the research problem in the context of the literature (assessed as being unsatisfactory, satisfactory, exhaustive);
2. Clarity and logic of reasoning (assessed as being unsatisfactory, satisfactory, excellent);
3. Procedure of research and analysis of empirical material (assessed as being unsatisfactory, satisfactory, excellent);
4. Language correctness and formal aspects (assessed as being unsatisfactory, satisfactory, first-class).

A review must end with an unequivocal conclusion as to whether the submission should be accepted or rejected for publication:

- the manuscript should be rejected, it should not be published in *Psychology of Language and Communication* (when a manuscript is strongly incompatible with the periodical's profile the reviewer may suggest that the author submit it to a different periodical),
- the manuscript should be returned to the author(s) with suggestions regarding necessary corrections (to be followed by a review of the corrected text),
- the text may be accepted as long as corrections are made, without the need for a further review,
- the text may be published as is.

Only texts with min. two positive reviews (i.e., approved by two reviewers) are accepted for publication in *Psychology of Language and Communication*.