

UNIVERSITY OF J.J. STROSSMAYER IN OSIJEK
Faculty of Education

PANNONIANA

R E V I E W

Notes for the reviewer:

These papers are subject to the so-called double blind review which does not reveal the identity of the author or the reviewer. The reviewer is obliged to reject the review if he/she finds that he/she is unfit to review a paper or is unable to do it within 6 weeks. The reviewer is obliged to familiarize the editor with any potential conflict of interest or irregularity connected to the paper. Every paper received to be reviewed is to be treated as a classified document.

REVIEWER

Full name:

Institution of employment:

Address:

Area, field, branch of science:

Title:

Contact:

PAPER TITLE	
------------------------	--

The title is fitting for the content. (put a +)		YES	NO
EXPLANATION (only in case of a negative response)			
The paper contains all the necessary parts. (put a +)		YES	NO
EXPLANATION (only in case of a negative response)			
The introduction clearly states the topic and approach to the problem. (put a +)		YES	NO
EXPLANATION (only in case of a negative response)			
The author relies on previous research from the chosen area. (put a +)		YES	NO
EXPLANATION (only in case of a negative response)			
Appropriate methodology was applied. (put a +)		YES	NO
EXPLANATION (only in case of a negative response)			
The conclusion contains clearly stated: (put a +)		YES	NO
Scientific claims			
Open questions			
Recommendations for further research.			

EXPLANATION (only in case of a negative response)		
The literature used is appropriate for the subject. (put a +)		YES NO
EXPLANATION (only in case of a negative response)		
The following can be applied for this paper: (put a +)		YES NO
It is current		
It contributes to the research area		
It thematically matches the publication.		
EXPLANATION (only in case of a negative response)		

SUGGESTION FOR PAPER CATEGORIZATION (put a + for one of the offered possibilities)	
ORIGINAL SCIENTIFIC ARTICLE	
PRELIMINARY COMMUNICATION	
REVIEW ARTICLE	
PROFESSIONAL PAPER	
SHORT EXPLANATION	

FINAL RECOMMENDATION ON THE APPROPRIATENESS OF THE PAPER FOR PUBLICATION (put a + next to one of the offered options)

Accept the paper.	
Accept the paper on the condition of minor changes according to noted demands.	
Suggest a significant change to the paper for the author, as well as sending it for another review afterwards.	
Do not accept the paper.	

REVIEWER COMMENT FOR AUTHORS

REVIEWER COMMENT FOR THE EDITOR (not visible to authors)

INFORMATION ON DATES:

Paper received to be reviewed:
Paper reviewed:
Paper checked once again:
Paper rejected:

Reviewer signature: