

# Hungarian Studies Yearbook

## Peer-Review Evaluation Form

Title of the article submitted for evaluation:

General evaluation of the article. (Please, briefly outline the main strengths and weaknesses, if any, of the article, and include comments on its scholarly contribution):

Please type a mark from 1 to 5 for each of the following issues in your evaluation, where 1 = “very poor”, 2 = “poor”, 3 = “acceptable”, 4 = “good”, 5= “excellent”

1. Relevance for its field of research:
2. Originality and innovative results concerning other available research:

3. Adequate research method:
4. Quality, coherence of argumentation:
5. Structure of the paper:
6. Adequate and up-to-date literature:
7. Formal requirements of academic language:
8. Appropriate title/subtitle to the subject:
9. Adequate abstract and keywords:

Should the article be published? Please, underline your decision.

Yes, without any changes.

Yes, with minor changes.

Only after major changes.

No, it should be rejected.

Observations and suggestions for improving the paper: