

Rules and regulations concerning publishing papers in European Polygraph

1. All papers sent to European Polygraph by their respective authors undergo preliminary assessment by the Editor-in-Chief.
2. The initial assessment results in the decision whether to send the work for an independent review or return it to the author with the information that it will be not published.
3. Two independent reviewers for “internal reviews” are appointed by the Editor-in-Chief or by the Deputy Editor following consultation with the Editor-in-Chief.
4. The following cannot be independent reviewers: Editor-in-Chief, Deputy Editor-in-Chief, employees of Andrzej Frycz Modrzewski Krakow University, and people with papers published in the issue containing the reviewed paper.
5. The internal review should answer the question whether the reviewed paper is fit for printing and whether it requires any amendments, and if it does, state what they are, and must be in written form, and conclude in an unequivocal verdict concerning publication or rejection of an article.
6. If one of the reviewers provides comments and amendments, but does not disqualify the paper, the Editor pass the comments on to the author, asking for the author’s opinion and any amendments.
7. Should the opinions of the author and reviewer diverge, the decision to print the paper or otherwise is made by the Editor.

8. In the case mentioned in 7 above, before making their decision, Editor-in-Chief can appoint another independent reviewer.
9. In exceptional cases, when there are significant circumstances justifying such a decision, and the Editors do not agree with the opinion of the reviewer, Editors may decide to publish a paper against the opinion of the reviewer.
10. The names of reviewers is not disclosed to the author, and the names of authors are not disclosed to reviewers.
11. Book reviews and reports are not reviewed, the decision to publish them is the responsibility of the Editors.