

**Acta Universitatis Sapientiae  
Communicatio**

**Peer-review form**

**Title of the article:**

.....

**General evaluation of the article:**

(Please, briefly outline the theme of the article, its main strengths and weaknesses, if any).

.....

**The main strengths of the article are:**

.....

**Structural evaluation of the article**

**I.**

**Evaluation of the theoretical grounding:**

(Is the literature well-chosen and up-to-date? Is it comprehensive and relevant for the topic of the paper?, etc.)

.....

**Recommendation regarding the theoretical grounding:**

(Do you indicate some re-formulations, re-structuring, etc? If you suggest further literature, please, provide a list here).

.....

**Please, rate the theoretical grounding on a 4-point scale (thick one box below):**

Insufficient	Acceptable	Good	Excellent

**II.**

**Evaluation of the methodology and data:**

(Is the used methodology adequate for the data? Is data analysis clear, comprehensive and well-processed?, etc.)

.....

**Recommendation regarding the methodology and data:**

.....

**Please, rate the methodology and data on a 4-point scale (thick one box below):**

Insufficient	Acceptable	Good	Excellent

**III.**

**Evaluation of the results, discussion and conclusions:**

(Are results clearly deduced from the data? Are results well integrated in the theoretical grounding? Do results bring new knowledge into the field? Do conclusions optimally summarize the findings? etc.)

.....

**Recommendation regarding the results, discussion and conclusion:**

.....

**Please, rate the results, discussion and conclusions on a 4-point scale (thick one box below):**

Insufficient	Acceptable	Good	Excellent

**IV.**

**Clarity and coherence of writing and argumentation** (Is the argumentation coherent, understandable and persuasive? Is the article well-structured? Is the English language fluent?)

.....

**Recommendations regarding the clarity and coherence of writing and argumentation.**  
(If you recommend the re-structuring of the article, please, indicate this here)

.....

**Please, rate the clarity and coherence of writing and argumentation on a 4-point scale (thick one box below):**

Insufficient	Acceptable	Good	Excellent

**V.**

**Other observations, critiques, recommendations.**

.....

**VI.**

**Recommendations for the Editors of the Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Social Analysis (thick one box below):**

<b>Reject</b> the manuscript	Accept the manuscripts after <b>substantial revisions</b>	Accept the manuscript after <b>minor revisions</b>	<b>Accept</b> the manuscript