

REVIEW FOR JOURNAL

Acta Environmentalica Universitatis Comenianae (Bratislava), Slovakia

Number .../20..., Vol. ...

Title of reviewed article:

Name(s) of author(s):

Receiving of contribution:

Reviewer (with titles):

Address:

REVIEW OF THE THEMATIC ACCURACY AND FORMAL CORRECTNESS OF THE ARTICLE:

Yes	No	Partially	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Article title is appropriate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Abstract is appropriate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Keywords reflect the content of the article.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Purpose or thesis of the article, and its significance, is stated clearly.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Primary thesis is argued persuasively.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Approach to the theme is new.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Research study/review methods are sound appropriate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Experimental material is adequate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Writing is clear and concise.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Discussion is accurate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Summary is accurate and supported by the content.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Paper length is appropriate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Article is of interest to members of the research community.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tables and figures are necessary and appropriate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Texts below figures, tables or graphs are clearly and adequately formulated.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Quoted literature sources are relevant and current.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Links to bibliographic references are correct.

REVIEW FOR JOURNAL

Acta Environmentalica Universitatis Comenianae (Bratislava), Slovakia

Number .../20..., Vol. ...

Please provide a numeric rating on a 5-point scale for each criterion.

(Scale: 5 = excellent; 4 = very good; 3 = acceptable; 2 = weak; 1 = unacceptable)

1	2	3	4	5	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Intelligibility
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Significance
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Originality
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Technical quality

Please summarize the paper in a few sentences for the editors or indicate one from the following items:

- Very high level of the article
- High level of the article
- Average level of the article
- Low level of the article

Reviewer recommendation: Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- Publish, no significant alterations suggested.
- Publish, but suggest changes to the article as specified in this review.
- Reject, but encourage author(s) to try a major revision and a second peer review.
- Reject, do not encourage a rewrite.

Specific reviewer recommendations and suggestions:

Name of the reviewer:

Signature of the reviewer:

Date: