

JAISSCR EDITORIAL POLICY

1. Preamble

Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Soft Research is a self-financed journal and do not take any fees for publishing. Our goal is to provide to our readers the best, innovative manuscripts.

The Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing Research publishes high-quality papers on the theory and applications of artificial intelligence and soft computing. The Journal is published quarterly.

The Journal Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing Research is owned and published by the Polish Neural Network Society and Academy of Social Sciences in Lodz.

The Editor-in-Chief and the Associate Editors are responsible for editorial policies which are standardized with an Editorial Policy of The De Gruyter Open platform.

The journal does not have article processing charges (APCs) nor article submission charges.

Material published in Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing Research is covered by copyright. All rights are reserved under international copyright and other laws and conventions.

2. Copyright policy and permissions

2.1 Copyright

All authors retain copyright, unless - due to their local circumstances - their work is not copyrighted. The corresponding author grants De Gruyter Open the exclusive license for commercial use of the article, by signing the License to Publish. Scanned copy of license should be sent by e-mail to the Editor of the journal, as soon as possible.

2.2 Scientific misconduct and other fraud

Scientific misconduct is defined by the Editorial Board as "fabrication, falsification, plagiarism, or other practices that seriously deviate from those that are commonly accepted within the academic community for proposing, conducting, or reporting research". In cases where there is a suspicion or allegation of scientific misconduct or fraudulent research in manuscripts submitted or published, the Editors reserve the right to impose sanctions on the authors, such as:

- an immediate rejection of the manuscript;
- banning author(s) from submitting manuscripts to the journal for a certain period of time;
- retracting the manuscript;

This journal publishes only original manuscripts that are not also published or going to be published elsewhere. Multiple submissions/publications, or redundant publications (re-packaging in different

words of data already published by the same authors) will be rejected. If they are detected only after publication, the journal reserves the right to publish a Retraction Note.

2.3 Plagiarism

As a member of CrossCheck, De Gruyter Open provides plagiarism detection software to all its journals. When plagiarism in the submitted manuscript is identified, Editors will follow international standard guidelines on plagiarism.

2.4. Retraction Policy

Serious errors in a published manuscript and infringements of professional ethical codes will result in an article being retracted. This will occur where the article is clearly defamatory, or infringes others' legal rights, or where the article is, or there is good reason to expect it will be, the subject of a court order, or where the article, if acted upon, might pose a serious health risk. In any of these cases all coauthors will be informed about a retraction. A Retraction Note detailing the reason for retraction will be linked to the original article.

2.5 Conflict of Interest

In order to encourage transparency without impeding publication, all authors, referees and editors must declare any association that poses a conflict of interest in connection with the manuscript. There should be no contractual relations or proprietary considerations that would affect the publication of information contained in a submitted manuscript. A competing interest for a scholarly journal is anything that interferes with, or could reasonably be perceived as interfering with, the full and objective presentation, review, or publication of research findings, or of articles that comment on or review research findings. Potential conflicts of interest exist when an author, editor or reviewer has financial, personal or professional interests in a publication that might influence their scientific judgment. Examples of such conflicts include, but are not limited to:

- Financial conflicts: stock ownership; patents; paid employment or consultancy; board membership; research grants; travel grants and honoraria for speaking or participation at meetings; gifts
- Personal conflicts: relationship with editors, editorial board members, or with possible reviewers who have had recent or ongoing collaborations with the authors, have commented on drafts of the manuscript, are in direct competition, have a history of dispute with the authors
- Professional conflicts: public associations with institutions or corporations whose products or services are related to the subject matter of the article; membership of a government advisory council/committee; relationship with organizations and funding bodies

Authors should declare whether they have any conflicts of interests that could have influenced the reporting of the experimental data or conclusions in their paper. Such a statement should list all potential interests or, if appropriate, should clearly state that there are none. The editors may decide

not to publish papers when we believe the competing interests are such that they may have compromised the work or the analyses or interpretations presented. Upon submission of a manuscript, authors may suggest to exclude any specific editors or reviewers from the peer review of their article. It is the responsibility of authors to disclose in the Acknowledgments section any funding sources for the project or other relationships that are relevant. Authors are suggested to fill in the Conflicts of Interest Form and send the electronic version to the Journal Editor.

Editors should consider whether any of the above competing interests are relevant to them and the manuscript under consideration. Editor who believes that the conflict will preclude an impaired judgment should disclose to the Editor the nature of the conflict and decline to handle the paper.

Reviewers should consider whether any of the above applies to them and declare any such competing interests. If they feel they cannot review a paper because of any competing interest, they should tell us. They should also declare any association with the authors of a paper.

The content of the published manuscript, as read and approved by the authors after editing and as proofread by the authors after it is typeset, is the responsibility of the authors.

2.6 Authorship

The authorship of the work should fulfill the following four criteria:

- Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work.
- Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content.
- Final approval of the version to be published.
- Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.
- Authors must ensure that anyone named in the acknowledgments agrees to being so named. Editors of JAISCR may require that the corresponding author obtain written permission to be acknowledged from all acknowledged individuals.

2.7 Ghostwriting and guest authorship

It is one of editors duties to prevent ghost-writing, guest authorship and honorary authorship. This applies mainly to texts that are co-written by multiple authors. When submitting articles for publication in JAISCR authors may be asked to reveal how much each of the authors has contributed to the creation of the text in question and how. Each and any discovered instance of ghost-writing, guest authorship and honorary authorship will be revealed and authors engaging in such practice will be subject to the above mentioned sanctions.

That is why JAISCR added a relevant section in the License to Publish that is be signed before submission of each article.

3. Author's Guidelines

The Journal publishes articles that have been accepted following peer review. The journal publishes papers based upon original research that are judged, after editorial review, to make novel and important contributions to both theory and applications of artificial intelligence and soft computing.

3.1.1 Manuscript genuineness

Submission of a manuscript implies: that the work described has not been published before; that it is not under consideration for publication anywhere else; that its publication has been approved by all co-authors, if any, as well as by the responsible authorities tacitly or explicitly at the institute where the work has been carried out. The publisher will not be held legally responsible should there be any claims for compensation.

3.1.2. Permissions

Authors wishing to include figures, tables, or text passages that have already been published elsewhere are required to obtain permission from the copyright owner(s) for both the print and online format and to include evidence that such permission has been granted when submitting their papers. Any material received without such evidence will be assumed to originate from the authors.

3.1.3 Overlapping Publications

If a submission overlaps significantly with one or more refereed or copyrighted publications by the authors then the authors must disclose this to the handling editor-in-chief at the time of submission. Their submission must cite those publications and explain their relationship to the submission. Similarly, the authors must immediately notify the Editor-in-Chief if they submit an overlapping paper for publication in a refereed or copyrighted outlet while their JAISCR submission is being considered.

A submission that substantially overlaps the authors' previous refereed or copyrighted publications, such as an extended version of a conference paper, must contain at least 30% significant new content. Such a submission must be accompanied by a separate detailed explanation to the reviewers and the handling Editor-in-Chief of this additional content. Deciding whether the additions are significant enough to warrant publication is inevitably a judgment call of the reviewers and editor.

If a submission is based on one or more papers previously submitted (but rejected) elsewhere, we encourage the authors to carefully handle any review comments received on the earlier versions of their papers before submission to the journal. This indicates a commitment to achieving the best possible results and shows respect for the reviewing system. If it is discovered that earlier comments

are not handled, this is likely to adversely affect the evaluation of a paper and may be a reason for rejection.

3.1.4 Addition or Removal of Authors

The authors request for addition or removal of an author should be properly justified. Please note that a change in authorship (order of listing, addition or deletion of a name, or corresponding author designation) after submission of the manuscript will be implemented only after receipt of signed statements of agreement from all parties involved (all listed authors and the author to be removed or added).

3.2. Technical aspects of submission

Authors should submit their manuscripts online. Electronic submission substantially reduces the editorial processing and reviewing times and shortens overall publication times. Please prepare a photo and a short biography together with the paper, with information such as PhD degree, past and present positions, research interests, awards, etc. Author biography will be added at the end of paper.

All submissions to the journal must use the journals two-column LaTeX template. Submissions, initial as well as final, cannot exceed 25 pages when formatted according to the two-column LaTeX template. No space-saving tricks are permitted, and all content, e.g., figures, should use font sizes that are similar to that of the running text. Figures, tables, and other displayed matter must be clearly readable. Should a submission be found not to comply with the above, it will be returned to the authors. This will delay the publication procedure.

The recommended format is LaTeX. To ensure reasonable quality and consistency of preparation, it is important that authors adhere closely to the instructions. Any manuscript submitted in technically unsuitable form will be returned to the author for retyping. Initial submissions must be FULL papers and not merely abstracts. All submissions should be in a .zip compressed archive including: the source (input) files, i.e. TEX files for the text and PS or EPS files for the figures any style files, templates, and special fonts the authors may have used the final DVI file (for papers prepared using LATEX or TEX) the final Postscript (.ps) or Adobe Acrobat (.pdf) file, scanned completed and signed License to Publish.

By submitting the paper to the journal the author(s) agree that they did not submitted this paper to any other journal or conference.

Below there are LaTeX and MS Word templates to download:

- Sample paper in PDF format generated from LaTeX
- Sample paper in LaTeX
- Sample paper in Microsoft Word

To submit and track your manuscript, please use the online submission system:
<http://jaiscrsystem.jaiscr.eu>

3.2.1 Article format

- Abstract

Please provide an abstract up to 200 words. The abstract should not contain any undefined abbreviations or unspecified references.

- Keywords

Please provide 4 to 6 keywords which can be used for indexing purposes. Author affiliations are not permitted to contain parenthetical matter.

- Headings

Please use no more than three levels.

- Abbreviations

Abbreviations should be defined at first mention and used consistently thereafter.

- Footnotes

Footnotes can be used to give additional information, which may include the citation of a reference included in the reference list. They should not consist solely of a reference citation, and they should never include the bibliographic details of a reference. They should also not contain any figures or tables. Footnotes to the text are numbered consecutively; those to tables should be indicated by superscript lower-case letters (or asterisks for significance values and other statistical data). Footnotes to the title or the authors of the article are not given reference symbols. Always use footnotes instead of endnotes.

- Acknowledgments

Acknowledgments of people, grants, funds, etc. should be placed in a separate section before the reference list. The names of funding organizations should be written in full.

3.2.1 Article format

- Citation

Reference citations in the text should be identified by numbers in square brackets. Some examples:

- Learning algorithms affects many systems [3].
- This result was later proved by Smith [5].
- This algorithm has been widely studied [1-3, 7].

- Reference list

The list of references should only include works that are cited in the text and that have been published or accepted for publication. Personal communications and unpublished works should only be mentioned in the text. Do not use footnotes or endnotes as a substitute for a reference list.

The entries in the list should be numbered consecutively.

- Journal article
- Article by DOI
- Book
- Book chapter
- Online document

Always use the standard abbreviation of a journal's name according to the ISSN List of Title Word Abbreviations, see ISSN.org LTWA. If you are unsure, please use the full journal title.

- Tables

- All tables are to be numbered using Arabic numerals.
- Tables should always be cited in text in consecutive numerical order.
- For each table, please supply a table caption (title) explaining the components of the table.
- Identify any previously published material by giving the original source in the form of a reference at the end of the table caption.

Footnotes to tables should be indicated by superscript lower-case letters (or asterisks for significance values and other statistical data) and included beneath the table body.

4. Reviewers Guidelines

Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing Research is an online, blind peer-reviewed scholarly journal in the field of artificial intelligence and soft computing theory and applications. The aim of the journal is to publish original papers.

Each manuscript is assigned to at least 2 reviewers, one of whom is a Board of Editor's member. Reviewers are selected by the Editor and invited through e-mail to comment on the manuscript. Reviewers who accept the invitation are given access to the manuscript and return their comments through EES (Electronic Editorial System). Reviewers complete a short rating scale, comments for authors, and confidential comments to the Editor. Confidential comments for Editors do not go to authors. The reviewers make an objective, impartial evaluation of scientific merits of the manuscript.

Reviewers who agree to evaluate a manuscript but do not return comments on time are replaced by additional reviewers. These reviewers should address the following points:

- Is the abstract suitable?
- How relevant is this work for the scope of JAISCR?
- Does the introduction clarify background and motivation?
- Does the authors clarify what has been done and why?
- Is the order of presentation satisfactory?
- Are the references adequate?
- Is the English satisfactory?
- Technical material should be deleted/added
- Evaluate solution methods
- Evaluate experimental methods
- Level of technical quality
- Recommendation
- Comments

The reviewer should indicate one of the following recommendations:

- Acceptable as submitted
- Acceptable with minor revisions
- Resubmit with major revisions
- Reject

If a manuscript is believed to not meet the standards of the journal or is otherwise lacking in scientific rigor or contains major deficiencies, the reviewers will attempt to provide constructive criticism to assist the authors in ultimately improving their work. If a manuscript is believed to be potentially acceptable for publication but needs to be improved, it is invited for reconsideration with the expectation that the authors will fully address the reviewers suggestions. When the review process is finished, a decision letter will be sent to the authors, including the comments of the referee(s). Any corrections suggested by the reviewers, should be made within the period of time indicated in the letter. All of the comments raised by the referees should be addressed and point by point responses (as specific as possible) should be provided in a separate document. If the paper is accepted after major revisions, it will be submitted again for blind peer review to the same evaluators. After a second review of the corrected manuscript, a final decision letter concerning publication is sent to the authors.

5. Submission

When revision of a manuscript is requested, authors should return the revised version of their manuscript as soon as possible. Prompt action may ensure fast publication, if a paper is finally accepted for publication. If it is the first revision of an article, authors need to return their revised manuscript within 28 days. If it is the second revision, authors need to return their revised manuscript within 21 days. If these deadlines are not met, and no specific arrangements for completion have been made with the Editor, the manuscript will be treated as a new one and will

receive a new identification code along with a new registration date. The final decision is made by the Journal Editor.

6. Open Access

De Gruyter Open publishes fully open access journals, which means that all articles are available on the internet to all users immediately upon publication. Non-commercial use and distribution in any medium is permitted, provided the author and the journal are properly credited.

Benefits of open access for authors, include:

- Free access for all users worldwide
- Authors retain copyright to their work
- Increased visibility and readership
- Rapid publication

7. Final rules

The Editor-in-Chief and the Associate Editors are exclusively responsible for editorial policies which are standardized with an Editorial Policy of De Gruyter Open platform.