

## Information and Guidelines for Reviewers

Romanian Journal of Laboratory Medicine (RJLabM) is a peer-review journal that performs scientific quality control for submitted manuscripts. For each manuscript Editors seek advice from a minimum of two experts in the appropriate field. Reviewers are the most important part of the peer-review system and no editor can manage without them. We are very grateful to our Reviewers for their effort and time spent on evaluating manuscripts submitted to RJLabM.

The reviewers have the responsibility to evaluate submitted manuscripts critically but constructively. They are expected to make an objective, impartial evaluation of scientific merits of the articles and are asked to comment on the following aspects of submitted manuscripts:

- ✓ novelty and originality of the work,
- ✓ broad interest to the community of researchers,
- ✓ significance to the field,
- ✓ study design and clarity,
- ✓ rigorous methodology (including analytical and statistical methods),
- ✓ conceptual or methodological advances described,
- ✓ impact of the work,
- ✓ substantial evidence supporting claims and conclusions,
- ✓ the strength of the conclusions

An important responsibility of the reviewer is to make recommendations to the editor regarding the suitability of the manuscript for publication in RJLabM. Reviewers are asked to write some narrative comments about the manuscript that support their recommendation to the editor regarding acceptance or rejection.

Reviewers should declare to the editor any potential conflicts of interest with respect to the authors or the content of a manuscript they are asked to review, and should decline to review the manuscript.

Any manuscript sent for peer review is a confidential document and should remain so until it is formally published. Please, do not distribute copies of the manuscript or make any use of the work described in the manuscript without authors' permission.

Once all reviews have been received and considered by the Editor, final decision is made by the Editor-in-Chief.

Several types of decisions are possible:

- ✓ Accept without revision
- ✓ Minor revision
- ✓ Major revision
- ✓ Revised manuscript submission
- ✓ Reject

Please provide your report within specified deadline or inform the Editor if you are not able to do so. You can submit your review either via on-line Paper Processing System Editorial Manager or via email to the Editor of the respective field.

If you are using Editorial Manager you will be able to access all necessary information on the Web page of the RJLabM EM <http://www.editorialmanager.com/rrlm>. If you prefer not to use the on-line system please contact the Editor directly to receive the manuscript and the reviewer form.