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Reviewer........................................................................................................................................................................
title, scientific degree, first name and surname
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REVIEW ARTICLE
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1. Is the article title consistent with its content? □ □ □
2. Is the title of the article topical? □ □ □
3. Are the presented results new countrywide? □ □ □
4. Are the presented methods new countrywide? □ □ □
5. Do the presented results and methods open new perspectives or areas for research and application in the specific field? □ □ □
6. Does the author clearly indicate what he/she has achieved? □ □ □
7. Is the wording of the article appropriate? □ □ □
8. Is the selection and analysis of the literature used pertinent and sufficient? □ □ □
9. Is the language of the article appropriate? □ □ □
10. Is the abstract written correctly? □ □ □
11. Is the terminology correct? □ □ □
12. Are the illustrations appropriate and properly presented? □ □ □
13. Are the graphics level and readability of the illustrating materials appropriate? □ □ □
14. The quality of information included in the article is:
   ■ very good
   ■ good
   ■ fair
   ■ poor (issue obvious for specialists)

15. Expected interest in the article:
   ■ wide
   ■ narrow (for specialists)
   ■ none

16. Purpose of publishing the article:
   ■ publishing desirable
   ■ publishing gratuitous

17. Is the article acceptable for publication?
   yes ■ in the form and volume presented
   yes ■ after editorial recommendations
   yes ■ after subject-matter corrections
   yes ■ after it is completely reworded
   yes ■ in the future after the research is supplemented or extended
   no ■ the article is not acceptable for publication
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Detailed remarks shall be place on a separate sheet or marked in the text.
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Signature reviewer