

Peer-Review Procedure

Each paper is reviewed by two independent reviewers. The peer-review procedure is arranged by the editorial board. Reviewers should be from a college or university different from the author(s) workplace. Anonymity is maintained among authors and reviewers.

Editorial board decides whether a paper shall be reviewed. Papers which are not prepared according to the instructions for authors shall be denied. Editorial board selects the particular reviewers in regard to the topic of paper.

Reviewers may either recommend or not recommend publication of a paper as it is; they may also suggest lesser or greater modifications. In the case that alterations of a text have to be considerably large, a completely new peer-review process will take place. In the case of contradictory review reports, third reviewer is asked to judge the text. Following the reviews' results, editorial board decides about publication of a paper within the LOGI Journal. In the case that only lesser arrangements are proposed, a text is sent back to its author together with the recommendations. Author should make the recommended arrangements and the reviewer has the right to see the adjusted paper before decision on its publication is finally made.

If the author feels that any or all of the recommendations from the reviewers are invalid, he must submit objections in a letter to the Editor-in-Chief, which is then submitted to the Editorial Board for a final decision.