

## Editorial Policy

EURODL, supported by EDEN – the European Distance and E-learning Network, presents scholarly work and solid information about open, distance and e-learning as well as new dimensions of technology-enhanced learning and contributes to the Open Content movement since 1995. By covering all sectors within education and training, this e-journal **promotes the work of researchers and practitioners** in education in an electronic peer-reviewed publication and wishes:

- to present a forum for discussion of ODL issues at all educational levels and in all training contexts;
- to create a place where European concerns and experience of the learning potential in new educational media can be articulated;
- to exchange ideas on cross-cultural collaboration and knowledge transfer;
- to explore and demonstrate the potential of electronic publication;
- to weave links between journal contributions and other resources and forums in European ODL.

The language of publication is English, with an abstract in the author's chosen language. Additionally, there is an option to publish the originally submitted paper's identical copy in another language; however, this latter contribution is not refereed. The journal allows all the languages of Europe to appear, as the abstract and/or the optional contribution will be in the chosen language of the authors and will therefore extend e.g. from Lithuanian to German.

## Review procedure

All submitted proposals are reviewed by two referees. The first review level controls whether the basic requirements as expressed in these guidelines are respected. Predictably, the complete review procedure from acceptance to publication will last approximately 12-16 weeks (this may not be the case for contributions submitted during summer or Christmas holiday). You will receive a reply message confirming receipt of your contribution and indicating that all files are legible and can enter the review process. Authors can be asked to revise their contribution. Important revisions will result in a re-run of the referee-cycle. If minor changes are asked, we ask for a speedy return.