



ACTA SILVATICA ET LIGNARIA HUNGARICA

Editorial Office

SOPRON, Ady E. u. 5.; ☒: H-9401 SOPRON, Pf. 132; ☎: +36-99-518-122; Fax: +36-99-329-911; e-mail: aslh@nyne.hu

REVIEWER'S CHECK LIST

Title of paper:

Author(s):

Manuscript Number: /

Date of review:

NOTE: additional comments can be provided on separate sheets

Reviewer's general recommendation	Tick one	Short comment
The paper is acceptable for publication	<input type="checkbox"/>	
The paper is acceptable after minor revision	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Major revision required, then reconsider	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Reject the paper	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Detailed remarks:	OK improve reject	Short comment
Content		
1. Is the paper of scientific importance to warrant publication in ASLH?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
2. Does the paper describe original work?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Is the paper technically sound?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Does the paper contain material that might be omitted?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
5. Should anything be added to the paper?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
6. Are references to earlier contributions adequate?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Presentation		
1. Is the title appropriate?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
2. Is the abstract an adequate digest of the work?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Is the paper well organized?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Does the introduction give the background of the work?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
5. Could the investigation exactly be re-done by reading Materials and Methods?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
6. Is the significance of the work clearly explained?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
7. Are proper methods used to answer the questions formulated in the paper	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
8. Are proper examples required?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
9. Are derivations checked and correct?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
10. Has the paper consistent notation?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
11. Are conclusions adequate?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
12. Are references cited correctly?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
13. Is the language (English or German) correct?	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	