

***Disputatio* – International Journal of Philosophy**

Editorial Policy

The Evaluation of Submissions

Disputatio consists of the editors, the editorial board and the advisory board. The editorial board undertakes the responsibility of refereeing submissions. The advisory board gives advice on matters concerning the general policy of the journal. The editors are responsible for final decisions on submissions.

All unsolicited submissions to *Disputatio* are triple-blind refereed: the identity of the authors is not revealed to the referees, the identity of the referees is not revealed to the authors and the identity of the authors is not revealed to the editors.

The evaluation process has up to five sequential stages, as follows:

- 1 Preliminary vetting by the editors.
- 2 Refereeing.
- 3 Scrutiny of submission and referees' reports by the editors.
- 4 Decision by the editors.

Referees are typically members of the editorial board. The editors' decision classifies the submission into one of the four categories: *accepted*, *conditionally accepted*, *invited for resubmission after major revisions* and *rejected*. Positive referees' reports are not a sufficient condition for acceptance and each decision is the editors' sole responsibility.

On average, the reviewing process takes around four months.

Conflicts of Interest

The editors will not submit to the journal during their term of office.

A submission by a member of the editorial board will be processed without involving her/him in any way in the assessment.

Copyright

Authors own the copyright of their articles. *Disputatio* owns all other materials.