EDITORIAL POLICY

SCOPE
Medical University is a peer-reviewed, open access online journal that is devoted to all aspects of medical education, simulation technology, molecular and translational medicine, pharmaceutical economics and management in English language. Our central goal is to create a community of researchers working in these areas of knowledge and a hub for discussions on important issues in journal-related fields.

The Journal Medical University publishes articles in medical education, simulation technology, molecular and translational medicine, pharmaceutical economics and management four times per year. Full-colour issues of the Journal contain eight original articles each that reflect latest pedagogical technologies and medical research in the aforesaid areas.

AUTHORSHIP
The authorship of the work should fulfil the following four criteria:

- Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work
- Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content
- Final approval of the version to be published
- Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved

It is the sole responsibility of contributors to determine the authors of the manuscript submitted to the journal. Authors must ensure that anyone named in the acknowledgments agrees to being so named. Editors of Medical University may require that the corresponding author obtains written permission to be acknowledged from all acknowledged individuals.

Addition or Removal of Authors
The authors’ request for addition or removal of an author should be properly justified. Please, note that a change in authorship (order of listing, addition or deletion of a name, or corresponding author designation) after submission of the manuscript will be implemented only after receipt of signed statements of agreement from all parties involved (all listed authors and the author to be removed or added).

EDITORIAL BOARD RESPONSIBILITIES
The Editorial Board must actively support the development of the journal and its promotion, encouraging experts in the field of medical education to get involved as authors and/or reviewers, writing editorials, reviews and commentaries.

The Editorial Board is guided by the Editorial Policy and constrained by the legal requirements in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The editors of the journal are responsible for deciding which articles submitted to the journal should be published, and, moreover, are accountable for everything published in the journal. Editors shall evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content free from any racial, gender, sexual, religious, ethnic or political bias.
The editors must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers and the publisher, as appropriate.

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor or reviewer’s own research and any information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage.

Editors should recuse themselves from considering manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies or institutions connected to the papers.

OVERVIEW OF THE EDITORIAL PROCESS

For detailed submission guidelines, please, refer to the Instructions for Authors or contact the Editorial Office.

Submission

Each manuscript should be accompanied by a cover letter which should explicitly state that the authors have the authority to publish the work and that the manuscript (or one with substantially the same content, by any of the authors) has not been previously published in any language anywhere and that it is not under simultaneous consideration by another journal. All authors of the manuscript are responsible for its content; they must have agreed to its publication and have given the corresponding author the authority to act on their behalf in all matters pertaining to publication. The corresponding author is responsible for informing the co-authors of the manuscript status throughout the submission, review, and production process.

Choice of reviewers

Authors may suggest up to two referees not to use, and in such cases additional justification should be provided in the cover letter. Authors are encouraged to recommend up to five reviewers who are not members of their institution(s) and have never been associated with them or their laboratory (-ies); please provide contact information for suggested reviewers. The Editors reserve the right to select expert reviewers at their discretion.

Peer review process

Each manuscript after uploading to Editorial Manager receives an individual identification code that is used in all correspondence regarding the publication process. However a submission may be declined by the Editor without review, if the studies reported are not sufficiently novel or important to merit publication in the journal. Manuscripts deemed unsuitable (insufficient originality or of limited interest to the target audience) are returned to the author(s) without review. The Managing Editor may appoint an Editor, with expertise in the relevant field, who is fully responsible for further handling the manuscript and an ultimate decision about its acceptance/rejection.

The reviewers make an objective, impartial evaluation of scientific merits of the manuscript. Reviewers operate under guidelines set forth in the Guidelines for reviewers and are asked to comment on the following aspects of submitted manuscripts:

- novelty and originality of the work
- broad interest to the community of researchers
- significance to the field, potential impact of the work, conceptual or methodological advances described
• study design and clarity
• substantial evidence supporting claims and conclusions
• rigorous methodology

If a manuscript is believed to not meet the standards of the journal or is otherwise lacking in scientific rigor or contains major deficiencies, the reviewers will attempt to provide constructive criticism to assist the authors in ultimately improving their work. If a manuscript is believed to be potentially acceptable for publication but needs to be improved, it is invited for reconsideration with the expectation that the authors will fully address the reviewer’s suggestions.

Once all reviews have been received and considered by the Editor, a decision letter to the author is drafted. There are several types of decisions possible:

• Accept without revision
• Minor revision
• Major revision
• Reject

Revised manuscript submission
When revision of a manuscript is requested, authors should return the revised version of their manuscript as soon as possible. Prompt action may ensure fast publication, if a paper is finally accepted for publication. If it is the first revision of an article, authors need to return their revised manuscript within 28 days. If it is the second revision authors need to return their revised manuscript within 14 days. If these deadlines are not met, and no specific arrangements for completion have been made with the Editor, the manuscript will be treated as a new one and will receive a new identification code along with a new registration date.

The final decision is made by the Editor-in-Chief.

Final proofreading
Authors will receive a pdf file with the edited version of their manuscript for final proofreading. This is the last opportunity to view an article before its publication on the Journal web site. No changes or modifications can be introduced once it is published. Thus authors are requested to check their proof pages carefully against the manuscript within 3 working days and prepare a separate document containing all changes that should be introduced. Authors are sometimes asked to provide additional comments and explanations in response to remarks and queries from the language or technical editors.

Immediate publication
Manuscripts ready for publication are promptly posted online. The manuscripts are considered to be ready for publication when the final proofreading has been performed by authors, and all concerns have been resolved. Authors should notice that no changes can be made to the articles after online publication.

Erratum
If any errors are detected in the published material, they should be reported to the Journal Editor. The corresponding authors should send the appropriate corrected material to the Journal Editor via email. This material will be considered for publication as soon as feasible.

Reprints
Because the journal is published in an Open Access model, and has no printed version, the authors receive no reprints.
OUTLINE OF THE PRODUCTION PROCESS

Once an article has been accepted for publication, the manuscript files are transferred into our production system to be language-edited and formatted. Language and technical editors reserve the privilege of editing manuscripts to conform to stylistic conventions of the journal. Once the article has been typeset, PDF proofs are generated so that authors can approve all editing and layout.

Electronic Proofs

Proofreading should be carried out once a final draft has been produced. Since the proofreading stage is the last opportunity to correct the article to be published, the authors are requested to make every effort to check for errors in their proofs before the paper is posted online. Please note that only essential changes can be made at this stage and extensive corrections, additions, or deletions will not be allowed. Limit changes to correction of spelling errors, incorrect data, grammatical errors, and updated information for references to articles that have been submitted or are in press. If URLs have been provided in the article, recheck the sites to ensure that the addresses are still accurate and the material that you expect the reader to find is indeed there. Important new information that has become available between acceptance of the manuscript and receipt of the proofs may be inserted into the proof with the permission of the editor.

Additionally, authors may be asked to address remarks and queries from the language and/or technical editors. Queries are written only to request necessary information or clarification of an unclear passage or to draw attention to edits that may have altered the sense. Please note that language/technical editors do not query at every instance where a change has been made. It is the author’s responsibility to read the entire text, tables, and figure legends, not just items queried. Major alterations made will always be submitted to the authors for approval.

Manuscripts submitted under multiple authorship are published on the assumption that the final version of the manuscript has been seen and approved by all authors. The corresponding author will receive e-mail notification when a downloadable PDF file is available and should return comments on the proofs within a maximum of 3 days of receipt. Comments should be e-mailed to Journal Editor. Please note that they should not be faxed, nor mailed or sent by a courier service to the Editorial Office.

COPYRIGHT

Once the manuscript is accepted for publication, authors shall transfer the copyright to the Publisher. If the submitted manuscript is not accepted for publication by the journal, all rights shall be retained by the author(s).

Authors grant the Publisher the following rights to the manuscript, including any supplemental material, and any parts, extracts or elements thereof:

- the right to reproduce and distribute the Manuscript in printed form, including print on-demand
- the right to produce prepublications, reprints, and special editions of the Manuscript
- the right to translate the Manuscript into other languages
- the right to reproduce the Manuscript using photomechanical or similar means including, but not limited to photocopy, and the right to distribute these reproductions
- the right to reproduce and distribute the Manuscript electronically or optically on any and all data carriers or storage media – especially in machine readable/digitalised form on data carriers such as hard drive, CD-ROM, DVD, Blu-ray Disc (BD), Mini-Disk, data tape – and the right to reproduce and distribute the Article via these data carriers
• the right to store the Manuscript in databases, including online databases, and the right of transmission of the Manuscript in all technical systems and modes
• the right to make the Manuscript available to the public or to closed user groups on individual demand, for use on monitors or other readers (including e-books), and in printable form for the user, either via the internet, other online services, or via internal or external networks

Authors retain copyright of the published article and have the right to use the article in the ways permitted to third parties under the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported International Licence. Full bibliographic information (authors, article title, journal title, volume, issue, pages) about the original publication must be provided and a link must be made to the article's DOI.

Authors and third parties who wish to use the article in a way not covered by the Creative Commons BY-NC-ND 3.0 International Licence must obtain the written consent of the Publisher.

MEDICAL RESEARCH ETHICS
When using animals for scientific research it is necessary to respect the national legislation in force. The legislation refers to elimination of pain, suffering, distress or lasting harm to any animals used for scientific purposes. Research must be conducted in accordance with the ethical and legal requirements in force in order to preserve animal welfare.

Authors who publish in Medical University MUST meet these requirements. Publishers will verify if the authors refer to national legislation that guided them in their research in the publication.

For the publication of a case report authors should have the consent of the animals owners in order to publish animal data, analysis, images or other data on the case. It is necessary that authors can prove that they have received the owner’s consent, if any situation requires it. Case data will be presented so as to ensure the anonymity and confidentiality of trial subjects.

SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT AND OTHER FRAUD
Scientific misconduct is defined by the Office of Research Integrity as “fabrication, falsification, plagiarism, or other practices that seriously deviate from those that are commonly accepted within the academic community for proposing, conducting, or reporting research”. In cases where there is a suspicion or allegation of scientific misconduct or fraudulent research in manuscripts submitted or published, the Editors reserve the right to impose sanctions on the authors, such as:

• an immediate rejection of the manuscript
• banning author(s) from submitting manuscripts to the journal for a certain period of time;
• retracting the manuscript
• alerting editors of other journals and publishers
• bringing the concerns to the authors’ sponsoring or funding institution or other appropriate authority for investigation

This journal publishes only original manuscripts that are not also published or going to be published elsewhere. Multiple submissions/publications, or redundant publications (re-packaging in different words of data already published by the same authors) will be rejected. If they are detected only after publication, the journal reserves the right to publish a Retraction Note. In each particular case, Editors will follow COPE’s Code of Conduct and implement its advice.
Plagiarism

As a member of CrossCheck, De Gruyter Open provides plagiarism detection software to all its journals. When plagiarism in the submitted manuscript is identified, Editors will follow COPE guidelines on plagiarism. Authors that submit articles for publication in Medical University should ensure that the work is original and avoid publication misconduct. Most common cases of plagiarism include:

- Plagiarism - full takeover of a material without permission of the author, and use of it in other works (without using quotation marks and / or citation)
- Self-plagiarism - the full takeover of material previously published by the same author and use of it as a new publication (without quoting the previous paper)

Retraction and Correction Policy

Infringement of professional ethical codes, such as:

- plagiarism;
- unethical research
- findings that have been published previously elsewhere without proper cross-referencing
- permission or justification
- findings that are unreliable, either as a result of misconduct (for example, data fabrication) or honest error (such as a miscalculation or experimental error) will lead to article retraction

The retraction notice is free to view and is linked to the article of record that it retracts; the article of record will be digitally watermarked “RETRACTED”.

A correction will be made when: the scientific record is seriously affected, for example with regard to the scientific accuracy of published information; a small part of an otherwise reliable publication proves to be misleading (especially because of honest error); the author list is incorrect (i.e. a deserving author has been omitted or somebody who does not meet authorship criteria has been included). The correction, made in an erratum published in the next issue, is free to view and is linked to the article of record that it corrects.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

In order to encourage transparency without impeding publication, all authors, referees and editors must declare any association that poses a conflict of interest in connection with the manuscript. There should be no contractual relations or proprietary considerations that would affect the publication of information contained in a submitted manuscript. A competing interest for a scholarly journal is anything that interferes with, or could reasonably be perceived as interfering with, the full and objective presentation, review, or publication of research findings, or of articles that comment on or review research findings. Potential conflicts of interest exist when an author, editor or reviewer has financial, personal or professional interests in a publication that might influence their scientific judgment.

Examples of such conflicts include, but are not limited to:

- Financial conflicts: stock ownership; patents; paid employment or consultancy; board membership; research grants; travel grants and honoraria for speaking or participation at meetings; gifts
- Personal conflicts: relationship with editors, editorial board members, or with possible reviewers who have had recent or ongoing collaborations with the authors, have commented on drafts of the manuscript, are in direct competition, have a history of dispute with the authors

Last update: 13 April 2018
- Professional conflicts: public associations with institutions or corporations whose products or services are related to the subject matter of the article; membership of a government advisory council/committee; relationship with organisations and funding bodies

Authors should declare whether they have any conflicts of interests that could have influenced the reporting of the experimental data or conclusions in their paper. Such a statement should list all potential interests or, if appropriate, should clearly state that there are none. The editors may decide not to publish papers when we believe the competing interests are such that they may have compromised the work or the analyses or interpretations presented. Upon submission of a manuscript, authors may suggest to exclude any specific editors or reviewers from the peer review of their article. It is the responsibility of authors to disclose in the Acknowledgments section any funding sources for the project or other relationships that are relevant. Authors are suggested to fill in the Conflicts of Interest Form and send the electronic version to the Journal Editor.

Editors should consider whether any of the above competing interests are relevant to them and the manuscript under consideration. An Editor who believes that the conflict will preclude an impaired judgment should disclose to the Editor the nature of the conflict and decline to handle the paper.

Reviewers should consider whether any of the above applies to them and declare any such competing interests. If they feel they cannot review a paper because of any competing interest, they should tell us. They should also declare any association with the authors of a paper.

**DISTRIBUTION OF MATERIALS AND DATA**

Publication of an article in the journal is subject to the understanding that authors will make all data and associated protocols available to readers on request. The Methods section should include details of how materials and information may be obtained. In cases of dispute, authors may be required to make any primary data available to the Journal Editor.

In the case of new software, source code should ideally be made available, for example as supporting information with the rest of the paper, or by deposition at a publicly accessible resource such as sourceforge.net. For a new algorithm, a detailed description should be published in the paper. In cases where the software/algorithm is not central to the paper, we nevertheless encourage authors to make all relevant materials freely available. Software can be provided under license where necessary, but any restrictions on the availability or on the use of materials might be judged to diminish the significance of a paper, and therefore influence the decision about whether a paper should be published subject to those conditions.

**POLICY ON COMMENTING ARTICLES**

Readers are free to submit comments, questions or criticism about all articles published in Medical University.

De Gruyter Open reserves the right not to post comments deemed to be discourteous, inaccurate or libellous and the right to remove comments already posted.

Comments may also be declined if they:

- are irrelevant to the article
- are lacking cogency
- are incomprehensible
- appear to be advertising

Last update: 13 April 2018
Authors of all comments are requested to reveal all competing interests they might have with respect to the article.

**APPEALS AND COMPLAINTS**

**Appeals**
Authors who want may appeal on the rejection of their manuscript should contact Editor of specific journal. Appeals should refer to scientific content of the manuscript and its suitability for publication. The decision made by the Journal Editor is final.

**Complaints**
Authors who want to make complaints should, in first instance, contact Editor of the specific journal. In case, the Journal Editor is not able to resolve the complaint, the Authors should contact De Gruyter Open directly.