

Peer Review Process

Participation in the peer-review process is absolutely essential to the success and reputation of the journal. Reviewers along with the editors determine which work is of quality and significance.

The journal uses double-blind system for peer-review; both reviewers and authors' identities remain anonymous. The paper will be peer-reviewed by three experts; two reviewers from outside and one editor from the journal typically involve in reviewing a submission.

For publication, each article is reviewed by the editorial team, checked whether the editing requirements were followed and if it is related to the topic of the review.

In terms of the scientific content, each article (without the name of the author(s)) is sent to two reviewers from outside and one editor from the journal involve in reviewing of submission (Step 1). The comments of reviewers will be sent to the author by the editorial board to make the recommended changes, if necessary (Step 2). Then, the checked article is sent back to the reviewers (Step 3).

If at least one of the reviewers considers that the article does not fulfil the scientific terms of publication, the Editorial Board can refuse its publication. For the evaluation of an article (Step 1), reviewers will take into account: importance of debated issues, adequacy of literature review, rigor of methodology used, rigor of analysis (appropriate interpretation), clarity of writing, explanations, concepts, suitability of illustrations and tables (too many, too few, clarity), adequacy of abstract (accurate summary of entire paper) and keywords, adequacy of introduction (purpose and rationale), adequacy of conclusions, accuracy of the title and contribution to the study of JAES area of interests.