

LINGUACULTURE REVIEW FORM

Title of the paper:

Please put a cross (x) in the appropriate box:

1. General value of the paper

- Innovative
- Valuable contribution
- Confirms existing knowledge
- Outside the scope of the proceedings

2. Title

- Accurately reflects content
- Needs revision (please suggest alternative)

3. Methods

- Adequate
- Inaccurately described
- Inadequate

4. Illustrative material

- Adequate number and quality
- Quality of illustration no.
inadequate
- Completion necessary
- Unacceptable

5. Interpretation of results

- Correct
- May be accepted after corrections suggested by the reviewer
- Incorrect

6. References

- Properly compiled
- Not in conformity with instructions
- Incomplete; important references missing

7. Abstract

- Satisfactory
- Satisfactory but too short
- Does not characterize the essence of the work
- Needs thorough revision

8. Language of the paper

- Excellent
- Good
- Must be improved

9. General opinion and suggestions concerning publication in the proceedings

- Very good – highly recommendable
- Good – recommendable if corrected according to suggestions
- Acceptable after serious revision
- Poor – should not be published in the journal

Comments to the author:

.....
.....
.....

Comments to the editor:

.....
.....
.....

Reviewer:

Date: