

The Annual of Navigation Guidelines for Reviewers

As peer-review journal, we perform the quality control for submitted manuscripts by refereeing process in double blind process.

While preparing the reports, we ask our Referees to:

- comment on originality of presented work, how it presents in most recent literature on a topic discussed. Manuscript submitted to Annual of Navigation should contain original work which is not published elsewhere in any medium by anyone, and is not under consideration for publication in any other medium. If you believe that the work has been published or submitted elsewhere, or that plagiarism or other similar malpractice has occurred, please inform us immediately and provide us with sufficient information to investigate the matter further;
- evaluate authors' approach to discussed problem,
- evaluate reliability of obtained results and correctness of withdrawn conclusions,
- comment on technical aspects of paper,
- give the final evaluation of paper, inform us whether we should consider it further and what should be done in order to make it publishable,
- manuscript should be clearly and correctly written - should contain all essential features of a complete scientific paper, should be written in a clear, easy to understand manner, and be readable for a wide audience of researchers in the field

Please note that accepted papers will undergo a free language check service, for correcting small language mistakes. Incorrect grammar, style or punctuation should not be the reason to reject a paper if its content warrants the publication from the scientific point of view and is readable for the Reviewer.

Please, do not distribute copies of the manuscript or use results contained in without authors' permission. If you are unable to assess the paper for us, please return it immediately; your suggestions for alternative referees would be welcome. Your name will not be disclosed to the author(s).