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Abstract

The purpose of this study was to compare physical self-concept between physical education and non-physical education university students. The target population of this study was all male and female physical education and non-physical education university students in Rasht city of Iran. After translating the Physical Self-Description Questionnaire (PSDQ) and adjusting some of the questions, the questionnaire was evaluated by the specialists in the context of validity and the reliability achieved by test-retest (Cronbach Alpha value of 0.84). We then, according to the Odineski table selected 180 physical education and non-physical education males and 190 physical education and non-physical education females opportunistically. The collected data was analyzed by 2×2 MANOVA for determine differences between genders and major. The results showed mean vector scores of physical education in the following scales: physical activity; global physical; competence; sports; strength; endurance and flexibility were significantly (p<0.05) higher than that of non-physical education major students. Also, the results shows that mean vector scores of male in the following scales: health; coordination; physical activity; body fat; global physical; competence; sports; global physical self-concept and global esteem were significantly (p<0.05) higher than female. Based on the result of our study the physical self-concept non-physical education and female is lower, than that physical education and male. The results may reflect that male and physical major education students, who usually spend more time on physical activity and sport training to have better fitness and skill oriented self concept than their counterparts.
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Rezumat

Scopul acestui studiu a fost de a compara studenţii de la facultatea cu profil educaţie fizică cu studenţii altor specializări universitare. Populaţia ţintă au acestui studiu a fost studenţii de la facultatea cu profil educaţie fizică şi studenţii cu altă specializare decât educaţia fizică din oraşul Rasht, Iran. După traducerea chestionarului PSDQ şi ajustarea unor întrebări, chestionarul a fost supus validării (Cronbach Alpha având valoarea de 0.84). Apoi, conform tabelului Odineski au fost selectaţi aleator 180 de studenţi (bărbaţi şi femei) cu specializarea educaţie fizică şi 190 studenţi din alte specialităţi. Datele colectate au fost analizate cu ajutorul testului 2×2 MANOVA pentru a determina diferenţele de gen şi apartenenţă (educaţie fizică şi specialişti universitar). Rezultatele au aratat că scorurile medii ale vectorilor pentru studenţii cu profil educaţie fizică, în următoarele scale: activitate fizică, aspect fizic, competenţă, sportivitate, putere, rezistenţă şi fleksibilitate, sunt semnificativ (p<0.05) sunt mai mari decât pentru studenţii cu alt profil. De asemenea, rezultatele arată că scorurile medii ale vectorilor pentru genul masculin, în următoarele scale: sănătate, coordonare, activitate fizică, masa ţesutului adipos în organism, aspect fizic; competenţă; sportivitate, conceptul de sine şi mândria, sunt semnificativ (p<0.05) mai mari decât pentru genul feminin. Rezultatele reflectă faptul că studenţii de gen masculin precum şi cei de la facultatea cu profil educaţie fizică, care de obicei, petrec mai mult timp efectuând activităţii fizice sau antrenament sportiv au o condiţie fizică superioară şi conceptul de sine orientat spre îndemânare mai mult decât decât omologii lor din alte specialităţi.

Cuvinte cheie: concept de sine, studenţi, educaţie fizică.
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Introduction

Over the last couple of decades, the critical role of physical activity in promoting health and preventing disease has become apparent. Strong evidence exists for physical activity as a factor in reducing the risk of diabetes [1], osteoporosis [2], heart disease [3] and some forms of cancer [4]. Evidence for the role of physical activity in psychological well-being also has accumulated, with research indicating that physical activity may be effective in treating and/or reducing the risk of depression and anxiety [5].

Concern with the link between physical activity and psychological well-being has also brought attention to the role that physical activity may play in bolstering self-esteem, the value we place on our self, and self-concept, our perception of self [6-7]. Self-concept may be differentiated from self-esteem, although the terms have been used interchangeably. Self-concept is defined broadly to include cognitive, affective and behavioral aspects whereas self-esteem refers to a smaller, evaluative component of an individual’s self-perception [8-9]. Self-esteem is considered to be «an individual’s positive or negative attitude toward the self as a totality» [10] and as such has cognitive and affective components. Conceptually, self-esteem is closely related to self-worth, so people with high self-esteem see themselves as having worth, whereas people of low self-esteem may be dissatisfied with themselves.

These two terms are often used interchangeably and, as self-concept is the broader concept from which self-esteem is derived, we will henceforth use the term self-concept. Evidence shows that self-concept is protective against depression and obesity [11], as well as maladaptive behaviors such as substance abuse and violence [12-13].

The importance of physical self-concept rests in the relationship between the individual’s personal set of beliefs and their subsequent behavior. The measurement of physical self-efficacy has been utilized to establish relationships among one’s overall self-perceptions and their subsequent participation in physical activities. To increase overall self-worth through a positive change in physical self-concept does not automatically result from participation in physical activity programs but such programs can be utilized “to incrementally improve” the physical self-concept perceptions of the individual [14]. In this sense, investigations conducted by Sonstroem et al. [15], Page et al. [16] and Asçi [17] have found that more favorable perceptions of one’s physical capacity contribute to an increase in levels of participation in physical activity.

In reference to gender, research indicates that boys and girls usually differ in both global and specific self-concept dimensions [6]. In general, investigators have noted less favorable physical self-perceptions for females in comparison to males [18-20]. These less favorable self-perceptions for girls have been found with regard to specific physical self-concept dimensions, including perceived sport competence, physical condition and strength, [17, 20-21], physical attractiveness [21-23], and overall physical self-appraisals [17, 20-21]. Even in the early days, researchers had reported a positive influence of physical activity on improving body-image and self-esteem [24-25]. Previous studies, reported that alterations on the body as a result of physical training could logically be expected to change one’s body image, which is highly correlated with and might be expected to extend to self-concept [20, 23-26]. However, it could be hypothesized that physically well-training persons would have higher level of physical self-concept than those with less training. As to the researcher’s knowledge, there are limited studies conducted in this area. Therefore the purpose of this study was to compare physical self-
concept between physical education and non-
physical education university students.

Material and methods

Subjects
The target population of this study was all physical
education and non-physical education university
students in University of Guilan in Iran among them
180 males and 190 females, selected
opportunistically. The condition of the study was
thoroughly explained to all subjects, and written
informed consent was subsequently obtained. The
study protocol was approved by the Ethics
Committee of University of Guilan.

Measurements
The physical Self-Description Questionnaire (PSDQ)
(Marsh et al. 1994) was used to measure subject’s
physical self-concept. The PSDQ consists of 70 items
which under 11 scales: Health; Coordination;
Physical Activity; Body Fat; Global Physical;
Competence; Sports; Appearance; Strength;
Endurance; Flexibility and Esteem. Confirmatory
factor analysis of multitrait-multimethod supported
the convergent and discriminated validity of the
PSDQ [27]. The PSDQ was administered to high
school student on 4 occasions over 14-months
period. Across the 11 scales, the internal
consistency at each occasion was good (median
alpha = 0.92) and the stability over time varied from
median r= 0.83 for a 3-months period to median
r=0.69 for the 14-months period [28]. A 6-point
Likert scale, form False (1) to true (6) was used with
the PSDQ in this study. The scoring for the negatively
worded items (1, 4, 12, 15, 22, 23, 26, 31, 33, 37,
40, 41, 44, 45, 48, 56, 59, 62, 67, 68, and 70) was
reversed. Total score of the PSDQ is ranged from 70
to 420.

Procedure
Upon receiving permission from the participations
and their respective tutors, the researcher
conducted the pencil-paper measurements during
the physical education classes. Informed consent
was obtained from students prior in test.
Confidentiality of subject’s information and data was
addressed. Subjects were instructed to complete the
PSDQ sincerely and no discussion was allowed
throughout the test.

Data analysis
Descriptive statistics was used to compare the mean
and standard deviation of the total mean PSDQ
scores and the 11 scales scores for the subjects.
The 2 × 2 independent group MANOVA was
conducted to compare the mean vector scores
between gender (male and female) and major
(physical education and non-physical education) on
each of the scales. The above statistical analyses
were conducted by using the SPSW 18 for Windows.

Results
Descriptive statistical analyses of the mean vector
scores of 11 scales were presented in table I. Basic
assumption for the use of MANOVA procedures in
data analyses were tests through computation of the
Bartlett-Box, Box M test, and Bartlett Test of
Sphericity. The results are presented in table II.
Table I. Description statistics for the PSDQ mean vector scores (mean (SDPQ)) for both gender and major (N=370)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Physical education</th>
<th>Non-physical education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>4.20±0.88</td>
<td>4.13±0.76</td>
<td>4.10±0.88</td>
<td>4.3±0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>3.90±1.11</td>
<td>3.83±1.2</td>
<td>3.95±1.13</td>
<td>3.80±1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Fat</td>
<td>4.23±1.7</td>
<td>3.96±1.15</td>
<td>4.3±0.76</td>
<td>3.89±0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordination</td>
<td>3.78±0.91</td>
<td>3.50±0.86</td>
<td>3.63±0.88</td>
<td>3.78±0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endurance</td>
<td>3.80±1.20</td>
<td>3.44±1.14</td>
<td>3.75±1.14</td>
<td>3.69±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexibility</td>
<td>3.93±0.84</td>
<td>3.82±0.75</td>
<td>4.1±0.67</td>
<td>3.93±0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>4.30±0.33</td>
<td>4.12±0.50</td>
<td>4.15±0.39</td>
<td>3.99±0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport Competence</td>
<td>3.83±0.87</td>
<td>3.72±0.92</td>
<td>3.88±0.44</td>
<td>3.79±0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>3.93±0.81</td>
<td>3.72±0.65</td>
<td>3.90±0.72</td>
<td>3.80±0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Physical Self-Concept</td>
<td>3.83±0.72</td>
<td>3.67±0.87</td>
<td>3.90±0.66</td>
<td>3.78±0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Esteem</td>
<td>4.21±0.65</td>
<td>4.12±0.55</td>
<td>4.4±0.89</td>
<td>3.85±0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Basic assumption for 2 × 2, independent group MANOVA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Bartlett-Box F-Ratio</th>
<th>P Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>4.101</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>3.356</td>
<td>0.044*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Fat</td>
<td>1.121</td>
<td>0.654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordination</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endurance</td>
<td>2.782</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexibility</td>
<td>2.341</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>3.251</td>
<td>0.047*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport Competence</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Physical Self-Concept</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Esteem</td>
<td>1.791</td>
<td>0.447</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III. MANOVA comparing physical self-concept scales for physical education and non-physical education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Wilks Lambda</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>0.01 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>0.04 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex &amp; Major</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>0.02 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant difference at level of p <0.05

The Bartlett-Box F-Ratios for body fat, coordination, endurance, flexibility, sport competence, strength, global physical self-concept and global esteem were non significant (p>0.05); however, appearance, activity and health were significant (p<0.05). The Bartlett-Box F-Ratios were significant (p<0.05) indicating that there were significant differences in variance, covariance matrices for the two independent groups.

The MANOVA program was utilized to compare the mean vectors of PSDQ scale scores using gender and major as the independent variable. The results of the analysis were presented in table III.

Significant (p <0.05) mean vector differences were found in both main effects: sex and major. The interaction however was significant difference with respect to the 11 scales of the PSDQ. The Univariate F-test for each of the 11 dependent variables is presented in the table IV. The results showed that mean vector scores of physical education in the following scales: physical activity; global physical; competence; sports; strength; endurance and flexibility were significantly (p <0.05) higher than that of non-physical education major students. Also, the results shows that mean vector scores of male in the following scales: health; coordination; physical activity; body fat; global physical; competence; sports; global physical self-concept and global esteem were significantly (p <0.05) higher than female.
Table 4. Univariate F-Ratio comparing Gender (male and female) and Major (Physical education and non-Physical education) student on physical self concept scales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Major Physical education/ Non-physical education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>0.00 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Fat</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordination</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endurance</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexibility</td>
<td>0.05 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport Competence</td>
<td>0.02 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>0.05 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Physical Self-Concept</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Esteem</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant difference at level of p <0.05.

Discussions

The purpose of this study was to compare physical self-concept between physical education and non-physical education university students. The result showed that differences were significant in major and mean vector scores of physical education in the following scales: physical activity; global physical; competence; sports; strength; endurance and flexibility were significantly (p<0.05) higher than that of non-physical education major students. Several researchers have documented that athlete have higher self-concept as compared to non-athlete [26, 29, 30-31]. Self-selection may be the main cause of these differences. Marsh [32] conducted a study to compare self-esteem between athlete and non-athlete and concluded that athletes had a higher positive attitude, attractive sociable and successful that their counterparts. To increase overall self-worth through a positive change in physical self-concept does not automatically result from participation in physical activity programs but such programs can be utilized to incrementally improve the physical self-concept perceptions of the individual [14]. In this sense, investigations conducted by Sonstroem et al [15] Page et al [16] and Asçi [17] have found that more favorable perceptions of one’s physical capacity contribute to an increase in levels of participation in physical activity. Other researchers have also articulated a link between the athletes greater physical endurance, coordination, reflexes and a more positive body image for the athletes which could in turn, generalize to the athletes overall self-esteem [26-29]. Previous studies, reported physical education student and athlete had invested heavily in their sports performance and frequently received positive informational feedback were essential for the development and maintenance of self-esteem. From the studies revealing that strong relationship between physical activity and physical self-concept [14, 32, 33-34], as well as physical fitness and physical ability self-concept [26, 29, 30-31] It could be conclude that individual with more activity involvement tend to have better motor skill and physical fitness and higher physical ability self-concept. Moreover, studies result of Fox [14], Goñi et al [18], Sonstroem et al [15] RahmanifNia et al [31] shows that physical activity and fitness provide the ability to correct Self-perceived in school-aged children, youth and adults. Also, the results showed that differences were significant in gender and mean vector scores of male in the following scales: health; coordination; physical activity; body fat; global physical; competence; sports; global physical self-concept and global esteem were significantly (p<0.05) higher than female. The finding on gender partly support Wylie’s [35] research finding in which, who concluded that there was no evidence for sex differences in overall self-concept at any age level, however, sex differences would exist in specific
components of self-concept. Research indicates that male and female usually differ in both global and specific self-concept dimensions [6]. In general, investigators have noted less favorable physical self-perceptions for females in comparison to males [18, 19, 20-36]. Marsh [20] also found that males had higher self-concept in physical ability and appearance whereas; Rahmani-Nia et al [31] indicated that males scored higher on perceived physical performance capacity than females. Chung [26] also obtained similar findings--males scored higher than females on perceived physical appearance and perceived athletic competence. These less favorable self-perceptions for females have been found with regard to specific physical self-concept dimensions, including perceived sport competence, physical condition and strength [17, 21-22] physical attractiveness [21-22] and overall physical self-appraisals[17, 20, 21-37]. The social cultural context exerts a clear effect on physical self-concept characteristics. Moreno et al [37], Maïano et al [21] and Ruiz et al [38] have noted that motor competence diminishes with age in female which can have correspondent effects on perceived competence. A lack of perceived competence can affect level of involvement in physical activity. Gender stereotypes about various physical activities in sport can also influence the sport and physical activity of females. The study by Solmon et al [39] indicated that when females perceived an activity to be more appropriate for males than for females, they typically demonstrate lower perceived competence in that activity. In this same way, Ruiz et al [38] speak of clashing self-concepts. Males may be more motivated to participate in competitive activities and females in cooperative activities as a consequence of differences in preferred styles of social interaction. The study by Aşçi [17] indicated that Turkish girl’s score lower on self-ratings of physical attractiveness than do Turkish boys and the same patterns hold for American girls, whereas Estonian girls score more highly than their Turkish and American counterparts [40]. Education provides an important socialization experience for youngsters. Physical Education contributes to the development of the physical self-concept of youngsters and to attitudes toward the practice of physical activity that can extend through the lifetime. In most studies, are shown the ratio of male to female in a positive and correct self-perceived in physical fitness, among children who are physically active, different genders there is not significant [21, 24-31]. Physical activity levels can be an important determinant in self-perceived and self-concept measure physical fitness to be considered. Also, Children who have physical activity in, than children who do not have a physical activity, correct Self-perceived in physical fitness. On average, female the ratio of in male in low activity and may understand little of physical fitness. Also, other studies that the effect of gender on the self-perceived check, result shows that female more try the protected person placed the ability of their less shows, but the male always like to have the ability to show their more subjective assessment of your ability so the male more than female [2,27,28,30-31]. Seems to be a gender difference over the impact of factors related to the physiological differences and anatomic (structural) associated with the role of gender and the views of people about specific tasks and the female and male in a given society.

Conclusions

The result showed that differences were significant in both gender and major. The male and physical education major students had higher scores in most of the scales of PSQD than their counterparts. Also,
the result showed that there were significant interactions (sex & major).

The relationship between self-concept and behavior tendencies has been researched extensively over years. Roid et al [41] stated that the individual’s self-concept has been demonstrated to be highly influential in much of his/her behavior and also to be directly related to general personality and mental health. It can be expected that individuals with positive physical self-concept may be more active and those who activity involved in physical activity may have higher physical self concept. In fact, the positive effects of physical training on self-concept and body-concept are well documented [24, 29, 30-42]. The cultural stereotypes females suggest that they should be inactive, weak and decent but do not limit them from participating in physical activity and in building up self-confidence for females in performing physical activity.

The PSDQ is a reliable, valid and comprehensive inventory for reflecting one’s physical self-concept. However, it seems difficult for subjects to complete 70 items of statements consecutively with focused attention. It is desirable to simplify the questionnaire and to develop an Iranian version of the PSDQ for future studies.

**Perspectives**

On the one hand, the use of physical activity is increasingly being promoted as a means of prevention of chronic disease (overweight, obesity and blood pressure...). On other hand; the economic resources for health care are limited and increase physical self concept.
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