A NOTE ON THE $[0]$ -LOWER CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

STANISŁAW KOWALCZYK — KATARZYNA NOWAKOWSKA

ABSTRACT. We present some properties of $[0]$ -lower continuous functions. We give an equivalent condition of $[0]$ -lower continuity and find maximal additive family and maximal multiplicative family for the class of $[0]$ -lower continuous functions.

1. Preliminaries

In the paper, we apply standard symbols and notations. By $\mathbb{R}$ we denote the set of all real numbers, by $\mathbb{N}$ we denote the set of all positive integers. By $\mathcal{L}$ we denote the family of measurable in sense of Lebesgue subsets of real line. The symbol $|\cdot|$ stands for the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Throughout the paper, $I = (a, b)$ denotes an open interval (not necessarily bounded) and f is a real-valued function defined on I . By $\mathcal{A}$ we denote the class of approximately continuous functions.

Let E be a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. According to [2], the numbers

$$\underline{d}^+(E, x) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|E \cap [x, x+t]|}{t} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{d}^+(E, x) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|E \cap [x, x+t]|}{t}$$

are called the right lower density of E at x and right upper density of E at x , respectively. The left lower and upper densities of E at x are defined analogously. If

$$\underline{d}^+(E, x) = \overline{d}^+(E, x) \quad (\underline{d}^-(E, x) = \overline{d}^-(E, x)),$$

then we call these numbers the right density (left density) of E at x and denote them by $d^+(E, x)$ ($d^-(E, x)$). The numbers

$$\bar{d}(E, x) = \limsup_{\substack{t \rightarrow 0^+ \\ k \rightarrow 0^+}} \frac{|E \cap [x - t, x + k]|}{k + t} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{d}(E, x) = \liminf_{\substack{t \rightarrow 0^+ \\ k \rightarrow 0^+}} \frac{|E \cap [x - t, x + k]|}{k + t}$$

are called the upper and lower density of E at x , respectively. It is clear that $\bar{d}(E, x) = \max\{\bar{d}^+(E, x), \bar{d}^-(E, x)\}$ and $\underline{d}(E, x) = \min\{\underline{d}^+(E, x), \underline{d}^-(E, x)\}$.

If $\bar{d}(E, x) = \underline{d}(E, x)$, we call this number the density of E at x and denote it by $d(E, x)$.

Let us recall the definition of $[\lambda, \varrho]$ -continuous function.

DEFINITION 1.1 ([7]). Let $E \in \mathcal{L}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < \lambda \leq \varrho \leq 1$, $\lambda < 1$. We say that x is a point of $[\lambda, \varrho]$ -type density of E , if

$$\underline{d}(E, x) > \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}(E, x) > \varrho \quad \text{when} \quad \lambda < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho < 1$$

or

$$\underline{d}(E, x) > \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}(E, x) = \varrho \quad \text{when} \quad \lambda < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho = 1.$$

DEFINITION 1.2 ([7]). A real-valued function f defined on an open interval I is called $[\lambda, \varrho]$ -continuous at $x \in I$ provided that there is a measurable set $E \subset I$ such that x is a point of $[\lambda, \varrho]$ -density of E , $x \in E$ and $f|E$ is continuous at x . If f is $[\lambda, \varrho]$ -continuous at every point of I , we simply say that f is $[\lambda, \varrho]$ -continuous.

We will denote the class of $[\lambda, \varrho]$ -continuous functions by $\mathcal{C}_{[\lambda, \varrho]}$.

In [7], an equivalent condition of $\mathcal{C}_{[\lambda, \varrho]}$ -continuity was proved.

THEOREM 1.1 ([7]). Let $0 < \lambda \leq \varrho < 1$, $x_0 \in I$ and let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. Then f is $[\lambda, \varrho]$ -continuous at x_0 if and only if

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}\left(\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0\right) > \lambda$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{d}\left(\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0\right) > \varrho.$$

We will need the following technical lemma from [6].

LEMMA 1.1 ([6, Lemma 2.3]). Let F be a measurable set and let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. There exists a sequence of closed intervals $\{I_n = [a_n, b_n]: x < \dots < b_{n+1} < a_n < \dots\}$ such that

$$\bar{d}^+\left(F \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, x\right) = \bar{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \setminus F, x\right) = 0.$$

Now, we will give a basic definition of the present paper.

DEFINITION 1.3. A real-valued function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called $[0]$ -lower continuous at $x \in I$ if there exists $\lambda_x > 0$ such that f is $[\lambda_x, \lambda_x]$ -continuous at x . If f is $[0]$ -lower continuous at every point of I , we simply say that f is $[0]$ -lower continuous.

We will denote the class of $[0]$ -lower continuous functions by $\mathcal{C}_{[0]}$.

THEOREM 1.2. Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function and let $x_0 \in I$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- i) function f is $[0]$ -lower continuous at x_0 ,
- ii) there exists measurable set $E \subset I$ such that $x_0 \in E$, $f|_E$ is continuous at x_0 and $\underline{d}(E, x_0) > 0$,
- iii) $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}(\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) > 0$.

Proof. Assume that f is $[0]$ -lower continuous at x_0 . There exists $\lambda > 0$ such that function f is $[\lambda, \lambda]$ -continuous at x_0 . So, we can find a measurable set $E \subset I$ such that $x_0 \in E$, $f|_E$ is continuous at x_0 and $\underline{d}(E, x_0) > \lambda > 0$.

Assume that there exists a measurable set $E \subset I$ such that $x_0 \in E$, $f|_E$ is continuous at x_0 and $\underline{d}(E, x_0) > 0$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $[x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta] \cap E \subset \{x: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{d}(\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) &\geq \\ \underline{d}(\{x \in E: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) &= \underline{d}(E, x_0) \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}(\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) \geq \underline{d}(E, x_0) > 0.$$

Now, suppose that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}(\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) > 0.$$

There exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}(\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) > \lambda.$$

From Theorem 1.1, we conclude that f is $[\lambda, \lambda]$ -continuous at x_0 . Hence f is $[0]$ -lower continuous at x_0 . $\square$

EXAMPLE 1.1. We shall show that there exists $f \in \mathcal{C}_{[0]} \setminus \bigcup_{0 < \lambda \leq \varrho \leq 1, \lambda < 1} \mathcal{C}_{[\lambda, \varrho]}$.

Let $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of points from I such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = b$ and $x_{n+1} > x_n$ for every $n \geq 1$. We can find a sequence $\{J_n = [p_n, q_n]\}_{n \geq 1} \subset (a, b)$ of pairwise disjoint closed intervals, for which $x_n \in (p_n, q_n)$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a sequence of closed intervals $\{[a_m^n, b_m^n]\}_{m \geq 1}$ such that $x_n < b_{m+1}^n < a_m^n < b_m^n$ and $[a_m^n, b_m^n] \subset J_n$ for every $m \geq 1$ and

$$\underline{d}^+ \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} [a_m^n, b_m^n], x_n \right) = \frac{1}{n}.$$

For each $n \geq 1$ there exists a sequence of pairwise disjoint closed intervals $\{[c_m^n, d_m^n]\}_{m \geq 1}$ such that $[c_m^n, d_m^n] \subset J_n$ and $[a_m^n, b_m^n] \subset (c_m^n, d_m^n)$ for every $m \geq 1$ and

$$\overline{d}^+ \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} ([c_m^n, d_m^n] \setminus [a_m^n, b_m^n]), x_n \right) = 0.$$

Let $I_m^n = [a_m^n, b_m^n]$ and $K_m^n = [c_m^n, d_m^n]$ for every $m \geq 1$.

Finally, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ take any $y_n \in (p_n, x_n)$.

Define $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ([y_n, x_n] \cup \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} I_m^n), \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in ((a, b) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ((x_n, d_1^n] \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} K_m^n) \right), \\ \text{linear on the intervals } [c_m^n, a_m^n], [b_m^n, d_m^n], [p_n, y_n], [d_1^n, q_n], n, m \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, f is continuous at every point except at $x_1, x_2, \dots$ and constant on every set

$$E_n = \left([y_n, x_n] \cup \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} I_m^n \right).$$

Since $\underline{d}(E_n, x_n) = \frac{1}{n} > 0$, f is $C_{[0]}$ -continuous at $x_1, x_2, \dots$. Hence, $f \in C_{[0]}$.

Let λ, ϱ be any real numbers such that $0 < \lambda \leq \varrho \leq 1$ and $\lambda < 1$. There exists n_0 such that $\frac{1}{n_0} < \lambda$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{d} \left(\{x \in J_{n_0} : |f(x) - f(x_{n_0})| < 1\}, x_{n_0} \right) &\leq \underline{d}^+ \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} K_m^{n_0}, x_{n_0} \right) \leq \\ &\underline{d}^+ \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} I_m^{n_0}, x_{n_0} \right) + \overline{d}^+ \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (K_m^{n_0} \setminus I_m^{n_0}), x_{n_0} \right) = \frac{1}{n_0} + 0 < \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f \notin \mathcal{C}_{[\lambda, \varrho]}$ and $f \notin \bigcup_{0 < \lambda \leq \varrho \leq 1, \lambda < 1} \mathcal{C}_{[\lambda, \varrho]}$.

COROLLARY 1.1. $\mathcal{C}_{[0]} \not\supseteq \bigcup_{0 < \lambda \leq \varrho < 1} \mathcal{C}_{[\lambda, \varrho]}$.

Remark 1.1. It seems that, in the same way as in [1, Theorem 4], one can prove that the set $\bigcup_{0 < \lambda \leq \varrho < 1} \mathcal{C}_{[\lambda, \varrho]}$ is even nowhere dense in $\mathcal{C}_{[0]}$.

2. Basic results

THEOREM 2.1. *If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{[0]}$, then f is measurable.*

Proof. Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f \in \mathcal{C}_{[0]}$ and suppose that f is not measurable. There exists a number $a \in \mathbb{R}$ for which at least one of the sets $\{x \in I: f(x) < a\}$, $\{x \in I: f(x) > a\}$ is non-measurable. We may assume that the $\{x \in I: f(x) < a\}$ is non-measurable. Let $A = \{x \in I: f(x) < a\}$ and $B = \{x \in I: f(x) \geq a\}$. Then $B = I \setminus A$ is also non-measurable. There exist measurable sets $A_1 \subset A$, $B_1 \subset B$ such that $A \setminus A_1$ and $B \setminus B_1$ do not contain any measurable set of positive measure. Therefore $A \setminus A_1$ and $B \setminus B_1$ are non-measurable. Moreover,

$$F = (A \setminus A_1) \cup (B \setminus B_1) = I \setminus (A_1 \cup B_1)$$

is measurable. Let $L(F)$ be a set of all density points of a set F . Since $|F \setminus L(F)| = 0$, there exists $x_0 \in (A \setminus A_1) \cap L(F)$.

It follows that there exists a measurable set $E \subset I$ such that $x_0 \in E$, $\underline{d}(E, x_0) > 0$ and $f|_E$ is continuous at x_0 , because f is 0-lower continuous at x_0 . As $x_0 \in A$, we have $f(x_0) < a$. Therefore it is possible to find $\delta > 0$ such that $E \cap (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \subset A$. Let $E' = E \cap (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$. Hence $x_0 \in E'$, $f|_{E'}$ is continuous at x_0 , $E' \subset A$ and

$$\underline{d}(E', x_0) = \underline{d}(E, x_0) > 0. \quad (\mathcal{R})$$

We have

$$E' = (E' \cap A_1) \cup (E' \cap (A \setminus A_1)).$$

Since E' and $E' \cap A_1$ are measurable, $E' \cap (A \setminus A_1)$ is also measurable. Hence, $|E' \cap (A \setminus A_1)| = 0$. Moreover,

$$\underline{d}(E' \cap A_1, x_0) = 1 - \overline{d}(I \setminus (E' \cap A_1), x_0) \leq 1 - \overline{d}(F, x_0) = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{d}(E', x_0) &= \underline{d}((E' \cap A) \cup (E' \cap (A \setminus A_1)), x_0) \\ &\leq \underline{d}(E' \cap A, x_0) + \overline{d}(E' \cap (A \setminus A_1), x_0) \\ &= 0 + 0 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting to $(\mathcal{R})$. □

Applying Proposition 7 from [1], we see that $\mathcal{C}_{[0]}$ is not closed under the uniform limit.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of measurable functions $f_n: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be uniformly convergent to f , $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $x_0 \in I$. Then f is $[0]$ -lower continuous at x_0 if and only if*

$$\inf_{\delta > 0} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \underline{d}(\{x \in I: |f_k(x) - f_k(x_0)| < \delta\}, x_0) > 0. \quad (1)$$

Proof. Let

$$\alpha = \inf_{\delta > 0} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \underline{d}(\{x \in I: |f_k(x) - f(x_0)| < \delta\}, x_0) > 0.$$

Take any $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $n_0 \geq 1$ such that for every $k > n_0$ and every $x \in I$, the inequality

$$|f_k(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

holds. In particular,

$$|f_k(x_0) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for $n \geq n_1$. By (1), we can find $n > n_0$ such that

$$\underline{d}(\{x \in I: |f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\}, x_0) > \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(x_0)| &\leq |f(x) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)| + |f_n(x_0) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon \\ &\text{for } x \in \left\{t \in I: |f_n(t) - f_n(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left\{x \in I: |f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right\} \subset \{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Hence,

$$\underline{d}(\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) \geq \underline{d}(\{x \in I: |f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\}, x_0) > \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was taken arbitrarily,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}(\{x: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} > 0.$$

It follows that f is $[0]$ -lower continuous at x_0 .

Now, suppose that f is $[0]$ -lower continuous at x_0 . Let

$$\beta = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}(\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) > 0.$$

Then, $\underline{d}(\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) \geq \beta$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. Fix any $\delta > 0$.

There exists $n_0 \geq 1$ such that for every $k > n_0$ and every $x \in I$ the inequality

$$|f_k(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\delta}{3}$$

holds. Similarly as earlier, we can easily check that

$$\left\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\delta}{3}\right\} \subset \{x \in I: |f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)| < \delta\} \quad \text{for } n > n_0.$$

Therefore,

$$\underline{d}(\{x \in I: |f_k(x) - f_k(x_0)| < \delta\}, x_0) \geq \beta \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0$$

and

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \underline{d}(\{x \in I: |f_k(x) - f_k(x_0)| < \delta\}, x_0) \geq \beta.$$

Since $\delta > 0$ was taken arbitrarily,

$$\inf_{\delta > 0} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \underline{d}\left(\{x \in I: |f_k(x) - f_k(x_0)| < \delta\}, x_0\right) \geq \beta > 0,$$

and (1) holds. $\square$

COROLLARY 2.1. *Assume that every function $f_n: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that every f_n is $[\lambda, \lambda]$ -continuous at some $x_0 \in I$. If the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is uniformly convergent to f , $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then f is also $[0]$ -lower continuous at x_0 .*

3. Maximal additive class

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be any family of real valued functions defined on I . The set

$$\mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{F}) = \{g: \forall f \in \mathcal{F} \ f + g \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

is called the maximal additive family for $\mathcal{F}$.

Remark 3.1. Let f be a constant function, $f(x) = 0$ for each x . If $f \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}$.

Now, we will find a maximal additive family for the family of $[0]$ -lower continuous functions.

THEOREM 3.1. *A measurable function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{C}_{[0]})$ if and only if at every $x_0 \in I$ the following condition*

$$\forall_{\substack{E \in \mathcal{L} \\ E \subset I}} \left(\underline{d}(E, x_0) > 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}\left(E \cap \{x: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0\right) > 0 \right) \quad (\text{A})$$

is fulfilled.

Proof. Assume that a measurable function f fulfills condition (A). Let $x_0 \in I$ and let g be a lower $[0]$ -continuous at x_0 . There exists a measurable set E such that $x_0 \in E$, $g|_E$ is continuous at x_0 and $\underline{d}(E, x_0) > 0$. Hence, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$E \cap (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \subset \left\{x: |g(x) - g(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in I: |(f + g)(x) - (f + g)(x_0)| < \varepsilon\} \supset \\ \{x \in E \cap (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta): |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\underline{d}\left(\left\{x \in I: |(f+g)(x) - (f+g)(x_0)| < \varepsilon\right\}, x_0\right) \geq \underline{d}\left(\left\{x \in E: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}, x_0\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}\left(\left\{x \in I: |(f+g)(x) - (f+g)(x_0)| < \varepsilon\right\}, x_0\right) &\geq \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}\left(\left\{x \in E: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}, x_0\right) &= \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}\left(E \cap \left\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\right\}, x_0\right) &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.2, $f+g$ is $[0]$ -lower continuous at x_0 .

Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{C}_{[0]})$. Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in I$ at which condition (A) is not fulfilled. Then, there exists a measurable set $E \subset I$ such that $\underline{d}(E, x_0) > 0$ and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}\left(E \cap \left\{x: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\right\}, x_0\right) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}^+\left(E \cap \left\{x: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\right\}, x_0\right) = 0$$

or

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^-} \underline{d}^-\left(E \cap \left\{x: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\right\}, x_0\right) = 0.$$

We may assume that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}^+\left(E \cap \left\{x: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\right\}, x_0\right) = 0.$$

By Lemma 1.1, there exists a sequence of closed intervals $\{[a_n, b_n]\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that $x_0 < b_{n+1} < a_n < b_n$ for $n \geq 1$ and

$$\overline{d}^+\left(E \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n], x_0\right) = \overline{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n] \setminus E, x_0\right) = 0.$$

Let $\{[c_n, d_n]\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint closed intervals such that $[a_n, b_n] \subset (c_n, d_n)$ and $\overline{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ([c_n, d_n] \setminus [a_n, b_n]), x_0\right) = 0$. Let $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ and $J_n = [c_n, d_n]$ for every $n \geq 1$. Define a function $g: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in (a, x_0] \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, \\ f(x_0) - f(x) + 1 & \text{if } x \in (x_0, b) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{int } J_n, \\ \text{linear on every interval } [c_n, a_n], [b_n, d_n], n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, g is $[0]$ -lower continuous at every point except at x_0 . Since

$$\underline{d}\left((a, x_0] \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, x_0\right) = \underline{d}^+(E, x_0) > 0$$

and g restricted to $(a, x_0] \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ is constant, we conclude that $g \in \mathcal{C}_{[0]}$. Take any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

If $x \in (x_0, b) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n$, then $(f + g)(x) - (f + g)(x_0) = 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{d}^+\left(\{x \in I: |(f + g)(x) - (f + g)(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0\right) = \\ \underline{d}^+\left(\left\{x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\right\}, x_0\right) = \\ \underline{d}^+\left(\{x \in E: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0\right). \end{aligned}$$

By assumption,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}^+(E \cap \{x: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}^+\left(\{x \in I: |(f + g)(x) - (f + g)(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0\right) = 0.$$

Therefore, $f + g \notin \mathcal{C}_{[0]}$. □

We will show connections between $\mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{C}_{[0]})$ and the so-called T^* -continuity. To this end, we need the notion and some properties of sparse sets and definition of T^* continuous functions. Details of this notion can be found in [4], [8]. We will need only the following

DEFINITION 3.2 ([4]). We say that a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is sparse at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ if for every measurable set $F \subset \mathbb{R}$, if $\bar{d}(F, x_0) < 1$ then $\bar{d}(E \cup F, x_0) < 1$. We say that E is sparse if E is sparse at every $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

DEFINITION 3.3 ([4]). We say that a function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is T^* continuous at $x_0 \in I$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the complement of the set $\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}$ is sparse at x_0 . A function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is T^* continuous if and only if it is T^* continuous at each point of I .

(Actually, these definitions are equivalent conditions of original definitions of sparsity and T^* continuity.)

THEOREM 3.2 ([4]). *A complement of a measurable set E is sparse at x if and only if for each measurable set $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\underline{d}(F, x) > 0$ the inequality $\underline{d}(E \cap F, x) > 0$ holds.*

Applying Definition 3.3 and Theorem 3.2, we have

THEOREM 3.3. *A function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is T^* continuous at $x_0 \in I$ if and only if*

$$\forall_{\substack{E \in \mathcal{L}, \\ E \subset I}} \left(\underline{d}(E, x_0) > 0 \Rightarrow \forall_{\varepsilon > 0} \underline{d}(E \cap \{x: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) > 0 \right). \quad (\text{B})$$

COROLLARY 3.1. $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{C}_{[0]}) \subset \mathcal{C}_{T^*}$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$, where $x_0 < b_{n+1} < a_n < b_n$ for every $n \geq 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = x_0$. If*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n - a_n}{a_n - x_0} = \infty \quad (2)$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n - b_{n+1}}{b_{n+1} - x_0} < \infty, \quad (3)$$

then

$$\forall_{\substack{E \in \mathcal{L}, \\ E \subset I}} \left(\underline{d}^+(E, x_0) > 0 \Rightarrow \underline{d}^+(E \cap F, x_0) > 0 \right). \quad (\text{C})$$

Proof.

According to (3), there exist $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$ and $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{a_n - b_{n+1}}{b_{n+1} - x_0} < \alpha$ for $n \geq n_1$. Choose any measurable set $E \subset I$ satisfying $\underline{d}^+(E, x_0) > 0$. Let $\beta \in (0, \underline{d}^+(E, x_0))$. Then we can find $\delta > 0$ such that $\frac{|E \cap [x_0, x]|}{x - x_0} > \beta$ for each $x \in (x_0, x_0 + \delta)$. Choose any $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $b_{n_2} < x_0 + \delta$. By (2), there exists $n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b_n - a_n > \frac{2(1+\frac{\beta}{2})(1+\alpha)}{\beta}(a_n - x_0)$ for $n \geq n_3$. In particular, $b_n - a_n > \frac{2}{\beta}(a_n - x_0)$ for $n \geq \mathbb{N}$. Let $c_n = a_n + \frac{2}{\beta}(a_n - x_0)$ for $n \geq n_3$. Then, $c_n \in [a_n, b_n]$. Finally, let $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2, n_3\}$.

Fix any $x \in (x_0, a_{n_0})$. There exists $k > n_0$ such that $x \in [b_{k+1}, b_k]$.

If $x \in [c_k, b_k]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |E \cap F \cap [x_0, x]| &\geq |E \cap [a_k, x]| \\ &\geq |E \cap [x_0, x]| - (a_k - x_0) \\ &\geq \beta(x - x_0) - (a_k - x_0). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Moreover, $x - x_0 \geq c_k - x_0 = (\frac{2}{\beta} + 1)(a_k - x_0)$. Hence, $a_k - x_0 \leq \frac{\beta}{2}(x - x_0)$. Therefore,

$$|E \cap F \cap [x_0, x]| \geq \beta(x - x_0) - \frac{\beta}{2}(x - x_0) = \frac{\beta}{2}(x - x_0). \quad (5)$$

If $x \in [b_{k+1}, c_k]$, then

$$|E \cap F \cap [x_0, x]| \geq |E \cap [a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}]| \geq |E \cap [x_0, b_{k+1}]| - (a_{k+1} - x_0) \quad (6)$$

Moreover, $x - x_0 \geq b_{k+1} - x_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} x - x_0 &\leq c_k - x_0 = \left(1 + \frac{2}{\beta}\right) (a_k - x_0) \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{\beta}\right) (b_{k+1} - x_0 + a_k - b_{k+1}) \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{\beta}\right) (b_{k+1} - x_0 + \alpha(b_{k+1} - x_0)) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{2}{\beta}\right) (1 + \alpha)(b_{k+1} - x_0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$a_{k+1} - x_0 \leq \frac{2}{\beta}(b_{k+1} - a_{k+1}).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{|E \cap F \cap [x_0, x]|}{x - x_0} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\beta}\right)(1 + \alpha)} \cdot \frac{|E \cap [x_0, b_{k+1}]|}{b_{k+1} - x_0} - \frac{\frac{\beta}{2(1 + \frac{\beta}{2})(1 + \alpha)}(b_{k+1} - a_{k+1})}{b_{k+1} - x_0} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\beta}\right)(1 + \alpha)} \left(\frac{|E \cap [x_0, b_{k+1}]|}{b_{k+1} - x_0} - \frac{\beta(b_{k+1} - x_0)}{2(b_{k+1} - x_0)} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{2}{\beta}\right)(1 + \alpha)} \left(\beta - \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{2(1 + \frac{2}{\beta})(1 + \alpha)}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

By (5) and (7), we have

$$\frac{|E \cap F \cap [x_0, x]|}{x - x_0} \geq \frac{\beta}{2(1 + \frac{2}{\beta})(1 + \alpha)} \quad \text{for every } x \in (x_0, a_{n_0}).$$

Therefore,

$$\underline{d}^+(E \cap F, x_0) \geq \frac{\beta}{2(1 + \frac{2}{\beta})(1 + \alpha)} > 0. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 3.4. $\mathcal{A} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{C}_{[0]}) \subsetneq \mathcal{C}_{T^*}$.

Proof. We only have to prove that $\mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{C}_{[0]}) \setminus \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{C}_{T^*} \setminus \mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{C}_{[0]}) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $x_n = \frac{1}{n!}$, $y_n = \frac{x_n + x_{n+1}}{2}$, $u_n = \frac{x_n + y_n}{2}$ (we may assume that $[0, 1] \subset I$). Obviously, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n - x_{n+1}}{x_{n+1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_n - x_{n+1}}{x_{n+1}} = \infty$. Define $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \in (a, 0] \cup \{x_1, x_2, \dots\} \cup [x_1, b), \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [y_n, u_n], \\ \text{linear on every interval } [x_{n+1}, y_n], [u_n, x_n], n = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

The function f is continuous at every point except at 0. Take $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\{x \in I: |f(x) - f(0)| < \varepsilon\} = (a, 0] \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} + \varepsilon(y_n - x_{n+1})] \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [u_n + (1 - \varepsilon)(x_n - u_n), x_n] \cup [x_1, b)$$

Notice that the set

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} + \varepsilon(y_n - x_{n+1})]$$

fulfills conditions (2) and (3) from Lemma 3.1. Indeed, if

$$a_n = x_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad b_n = x_{n+1} + \varepsilon(y_n - x_{n+1}),$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n - a_n}{a_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{n+1} + \varepsilon(y_n - x_{n+1}) - x_{n+1}}{x_{n+1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varepsilon(y_n - x_{n+1})}{x_{n+1}} = \infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_n - b_{n+1}}{b_{n+1}} &= \frac{x_{n+1} - x_{n+2} - \varepsilon(y_{n+1} - x_{n+2})}{x_{n+2} + \varepsilon(y_{n+1} - x_{n+2})} \\ &\leq \frac{(2 - \varepsilon)(y_{n+1} - x_{n+2})}{\varepsilon(y_{n+1} - x_{n+2})} \\ &= \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n - b_{n+1}}{b_{n+1}} < \infty.$$

By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.3, f is T^* -continuous at 0 and $f \in \mathcal{C}_{T^*}$. Notice that

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}: |f(x) - f(0)| < \varepsilon\} \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[x_{n+1} + \varepsilon \frac{x_n - x_{n+1}}{2}, u_n \right] = \emptyset.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left[x_{n+1} + \varepsilon \frac{x_n - x_{n+1}}{2}, u_n \right] \right| &= \left| \left[x_{n+1} + \varepsilon \frac{x_n - x_{n+1}}{2}, x_{n+1} + \frac{3}{4}(x_n - x_{n+1}) \right] \right| \\ &= \frac{3}{4}(x_n - x_{n+1}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(x_n - x_{n+1}) \\ &= \frac{3 - 2\varepsilon}{4}(x_n - x_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\overline{d}^+ \left(I \setminus \{x \in I: |f(x) - f(0)| < \varepsilon\}, 0 \right) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{3 - 2\varepsilon}{4}(x_n - x_{n+1})}{u_n}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{d}^+ \left(I \setminus \{x \in I : |f(x) - f(0)| < \varepsilon\}, 0 \right) &\geq \\ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|[0, u_n] \cap (I \setminus \{x \in I : |f(x) - f(0)| < \varepsilon\})|}{u_n} &\geq \\ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{3-2\varepsilon}{4}(x_n - x_{n+1})}{x_{n+1} + \frac{3}{4}(x_n - x_{n+1})} &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\frac{4}{3-2\varepsilon} \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n - x_{n+1}} + \frac{3}{3-2\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{d}^+ \left(I \setminus \{x \in I : |f(x) - f(0)| < \varepsilon\}, 0 \right) \geq \frac{3-2\varepsilon}{3} = 1 - \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon$$

and

$$\underline{d}^+ \left(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |f(x) - f(0)| < \varepsilon\}, 0 \right) \leq 1 - \left(1 - \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon \right) = \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}^+ \left(\{x \in I : |f(x) - f(0)| < \varepsilon\}, 0 \right) = 0.$$

Finally,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}^+ \left(E \cap \{x \in I : |f(x) - f(0)| < \varepsilon\}, 0 \right) = 0$$

and $f \notin \mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{C}_{[0]})$. Thus $f \in \mathcal{C}_{T^*} \setminus \mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{C}_{[0]})$.

Next, define $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \in (a, 0] \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [x_{n+1}, y_n] \cup [x_1, b), \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in \{u_1, u_2, \dots\}, \\ \text{linear on every interval } [y_n, u_n], [u_n, x_n], n = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Obviously, g is continuous at every point except at 0 and g is not approximately continuous at 0. It is easy to see that $\{[x_{n+1}, y_n]\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy conditions (2) and (3) from Lemma 3.1. Since g restricted to $(a, 0] \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [x_{n+1}, y_n]$ is constant, g satisfies condition (A). Hence, $g \in \mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{C}_{[0]}) \setminus \mathcal{A}$. $\square$

4. Maximal multiplicative class

DEFINITION 4.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be any family of real valued functions defined on I . The set

$$\mathcal{M}_m(\mathcal{F}) = \{g : \forall f \in \mathcal{F} \ f \cdot g \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

is called a maximal multiplicative family for $\mathcal{F}$.

Remark 4.1. Let f be a constant function, $f(x) = 1$ for each x . If $f \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F}$.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function from $\mathcal{C}_{[0]}$. If there exists $x_0 \in I$ such that f does not fulfill condition (A) at x_0 and $f(x_0) \neq 0$, then there exists $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g \in \mathcal{C}_{[0]}$ and $f \cdot g \notin \mathcal{C}_{[0]}$.*

Proof.

By assumptions, there exists a measurable set $E \subset I$ such that $\underline{d}(E, x_0) > 0$ and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}\left(E \cap \{x: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0\right) = 0.$$

Again, we may assume that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}^+\left(E \cap \{x: |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0\right) = 0.$$

There exists a sequence of pairwise disjoint closed intervals $\{[a_n, b_n]\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that $x_0 < b_{n+1} < a_n < b_n$ for every $n \geq 1$ and

$$\overline{d}^+\left(E \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n], x_0\right) = \overline{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n] \setminus E, x_0\right) = 0.$$

Let $\{[c_n, d_n]\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint closed intervals such that $[a_n, b_n] \subset (c_n, d_n)$ and

$$\overline{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ([c_n, d_n] \setminus [a_n, b_n]), x_0\right) = 0.$$

Let $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ and $J_n = [c_n, d_n]$ for every $n \geq 1$. Define $g: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in (a, x_0] \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in (x_0, b) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{int} J_n, \\ \text{linear on every interval } [c_n, a_n], [b_n, d_n], n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, g is continuous at every point except of x_0 . Since

$$\underline{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, x_0\right) = \underline{d}^+(E, x_0) > 0$$

and g restricted to $\{x_0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ is constant, we have $g \in \mathcal{C}_{[0]}$. If

$$x \in (x_0, b) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n,$$

then $(fg)(x) - (fg)(x_0) = -f(x_0)$.

Since

$$\overline{d}^+ \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (J_n \setminus I_n), x_0 \right) = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{d}^+ \left(\{x \in I : |(fg)(x) - (fg)(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0 \right) &= \\ \underline{d}^+ \left(\left\{ x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n : |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon \right\}, x_0 \right) &= \\ \underline{d}^+ \left(\{x \in E : |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0 \right) &= \\ \underline{d}^+ \left(E \cap \{x \in I : |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0 \right) &\text{ for all } \varepsilon \in (0, |f(x_0)|). \end{aligned}$$

By assumption,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}^+ \left(E \cap \{x : |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0 \right) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}^+ \left(\{x \in I : |(fg)(x) - (fg)(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0 \right) = 0.$$

Therefore, $fg \notin \mathcal{C}_{[0]}$. □

DEFINITION 4.2. Let $\mathcal{W}_{[0]}$ be a set of all measurable functions $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that at every $x_0 \in I$ at which f does not fulfill condition (A), the following two conditions hold

(W1) $f(x_0) = 0$,

(W2) for each measurable $E \subset I$ such that

$$\underline{d}(E, x_0) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E \supset \{x \in I : f(x) = 0\},$$

we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d} \left(E \cap \{x \in I : |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0 \right) > 0.$$

THEOREM 4.1. $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathcal{C}_{[0]}) = \mathcal{W}_{[0]}$.

Proof. Assume that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies conditions (W1) and (W2). If f fulfills condition (A) at $x_0 \in I$, then, repeating arguments from the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can easily prove that $f \cdot g$ is $[0]$ -lower continuous at x_0 for every $g \in \mathcal{C}_{[0]}$.

Assume that f does not satisfy condition (A) at x_0 . By (W1), we have $f(x_0) = 0$. Let $N_f = \{x \in I : f(x) = 0\}$. Take any $g \in \mathcal{C}_{[0]}$. There exists a measurable set $E \subset I$ such that $x_0 \in E$, $g|_E$ is continuous at x_0 and $\underline{d}(E, x_0) = \lambda > 0$.

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ for which $E \cap (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \subset \{x \in I : |g(x)| < \varepsilon\}$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}(\{x \in I : |(f \cdot g)(x)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}((E \cup N_f) \cap \{x \in I : |f(x)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) > 0,$$

by condition (W2). Hence $f \cdot g$ is $[0]$ -continuous at x_0 . Since x_0 was arbitrary, $f \cdot g \in C_{[0]}$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_m(C_{[0]})$ and assume that f does not fulfill condition (A) at x_0 . By Lemma 4.1, $f(x_0) = 0$. Choose any measurable set $E \subset I$ such that $N_f \subset E$ and $\underline{d}(E, x_0) > 0$. We can find four sequences $(I_n = [a_n, b_n])_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(J_n = [c_n, d_n])_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(I'_n = [a'_n, b'_n])_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(J'_n = [c'_n, d'_n])_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise disjoint closed intervals such that

$$c'_n < a'_n < b'_n < d'_n < c_{n+1}, \quad d_{n+1} < c_n < a_n < b_n < d_n, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a'_n = x_0,$$

$$\overline{d}^-\left(E \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, x_0\right) = \overline{d}^-\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I'_n \setminus E, x_0\right) = 0, \\ \overline{d}^+\left(E \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, x_0\right) = \overline{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \setminus E, x_0\right) = 0,$$

and

$$\overline{d}^-\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (J'_n \setminus I'_n), x_0\right) = \overline{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (J_n \setminus I_n), x_0\right) = 0.$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} |\{x \in [d_{n+1}, c_n] \setminus N_f : |\alpha \cdot f(x)| < 1\}| = 0,$$

there exists $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|\{x \in [d_{n+1}, c_n] \setminus N_f : |\alpha_n \cdot f(x)| < 1\}| < \frac{|[a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}]|}{n}.$$

It follows that

$$\overline{d}^+\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in [d_{n+1}, c_n] \setminus N_f : |\alpha_n \cdot f(x)| < 1\}, x_0\right) = 0.$$

Similarly, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\beta_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\overline{d}^-\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in [d'_n, c'_{n+1}] \setminus N_f : |\beta_n \cdot f(x)| < 1\}, x_0\right) = 0.$$

Define $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in \{x_0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_n \cup I'_n) \cup (a, c'_1] \cup [d_1, b), \\ \alpha_n & \text{for } x \in [d_{n+1}, c_n], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \beta_n & \text{for } x \in [d'_n, c'_{n+1}], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \text{linear on every interval} & [c_n, a_n], [b_n, d_n], [c'_n, a'_n], [b'_n, d'_n], n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that g is continuous at every point except at x_0 . Moreover, $\underline{d}(\{x \in I: g(x) = g(x_0)\}, x_0) > 0$. Thus, $g \in C_{[0]}$. By assumptions about f , we have $f \cdot g \in C_{[0]}$. In particular, $f \cdot g$ is $[0]$ -lower continuous at x_0 . Since $(f \cdot g)(x_0) = 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}(\{x \in I: |(f \cdot g)(x)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) > 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}(\{x \in I: |(f \cdot g)(x)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0) \\ & \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (I_n \cup I'_n) \cap \{x: |f(x)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0\right) \\ & \quad + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{d}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ([d_{n+1}, c_n] \cap \{x: |\alpha_n \cdot f(x)| < \varepsilon\}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cup ([d'_n, c'_{n+1}] \cap \{x: |\beta_n \cdot f(x)| < \varepsilon\}) \setminus N_f, x_0\right) \\ & \quad + \bar{d}\left(N_f \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n, x_0\right) + \bar{d}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ((J_n \setminus I_n) \cup (J'_n \setminus I'_n)), x_0\right) \\ & = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \underline{d}(E \cap \{x: |f(x)| < \varepsilon\}, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, condition (W2) holds. $\square$

COROLLARY 4.1. *If $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that at every $x_0 \in I$ at which f does not fulfill condition (A), the following two conditions hold*

$$(W1') \quad f(x_0) = 0,$$

$$(W2') \quad \underline{d}(\{x \in I: f(x) = 0\}, x_0) > 0,$$

then

$$f \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathcal{C}_{[0]}).$$

COROLLARY 4.2.

$$\mathcal{M}_m(\mathcal{C}_{[0]}) \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_a(\mathcal{C}_{[0]}).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] BORSÍK, J.: *Some classes of strongly quasicontinuous functions*, Real Anal. Exchange **30** (2004–2005) 689–702.
- [2] BRUCKNER, A. M.: *Differentiation of Real Functions*, in: Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 659, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [3] BRUCKNER, A. M.—O’MALLEY, R. J.—THOMSON, B. S.: *Path Derivatives: A unified view of certain generalized derivatives*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **283** (1984), 97–125.
- [4] FILIPCZAK, T.: *On some abstract density topologies*, Real Anal. Exchange **14** (1988–1989) 140–166.
- [5] KOWALCZYK, S.—NOWAKOWSKA, K.: *A note on ϱ -upper continuous functions*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **44** (2009), 153–158.
- [6] KOWALCZYK, S.—NOWAKOWSKA, K.: *Maximal classes for the family of $[\lambda, \varrho]$ -continuous functions*, Real Anal. Exchange **36** (2010/2011), 307–324.
- [7] NOWAKOWSKA, K.: *On a family of $[\lambda, \varrho]$ -continuous functions*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **44** (2009), 129–138.
- [8] SHARKEL, D. N.—DE, A. K.: *The proximally continuous integrals*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **31** (1981), 26–45.

Received December 2, 2013

S. Kowalczyk
K. Nowakowska
Institute of Mathematics
Pomeranian Academy
ul. Arciszewskiego 22b
PL-76-200 Słupsk
POLAND
E-mail: stkowalcz@onet.eu
nowakowska_k@go2.pl