ON SOME MODIFICATION
OF ŚWIĄTKOWSKI PROPERTY

GERTRUDA IVANOVA — ELŻBIETA WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA

ABSTRACT. We introduce some families of functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ modifying the Darboux property analogously as it was done by [Maliszewski, A.: *On the limits of strong Świątkowski functions*, *Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Łódź. Mat.* **27** (1995), 87–93], replacing continuity with $\mathcal{A}$ -continuity, i.e., the continuity with respect to some family $\mathcal{A}$ of subsets in the domain. We prove that if $\mathcal{A}$ has $(*)$ -property then the family $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ of functions having $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property is contained and dense in the family $\mathcal{DQ}$ of Darboux quasi-continuous functions.

A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the intermediate value property if, on each interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$, the function f assumes every real value between $f(a)$ and $f(b)$. In 1875, J. Darboux [4] showed that this property is not equivalent to the continuity and every derivative has the intermediate value property.

The intermediate value property is usually called the Darboux property and a function having the intermediate value property is called a Darboux function.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the class of Darboux functions. To simplify our notation, we will write:

$$\langle a, b \rangle = (\min\{a, b\}, \max\{a, b\}).$$

In 1977, T. Mańk and T. Świątkowski [16] defined some modification of the Darboux property. They considered a family of functions with the so-called Świątkowski property.

DEFINITION 1 ([16]). A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has Świątkowski property if for each interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ there exists a point $x_0 \in (a, b)$ such that $f(x_0) \in \langle f(a), f(b) \rangle$ and f is continuous at x_0 .

In 1995, A. Maliszewski investigated a class of functions which possesses some stronger property.

DEFINITION 2 ([14]). A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the strong Świątkowski property if for each interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and for each $\lambda \in \langle f(a), f(b) \rangle$ there exists a point $x_0 \in (a, b)$ such that $f(x_0) = \lambda$ and f is continuous at x_0 .

The family of all strong Świątkowski functions will be denoted by $\mathcal{D}_s$.

In 2009, Z. Grande considered some modification of strong Świątkowski property replacing the continuity with the approximate continuity.

DEFINITION 3 ([5]). A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the ap-Darboux property if for each interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and for each $\lambda \in \langle f(a), f(b) \rangle$ there exists a point $x_0 \in (a, b)$ such that $f(x_0) = \lambda$ and f is approximately continuous at x_0 .

In the sequel, the family of all functions with the ap-Darboux property will be denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{ap}$.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a σ -ideal of the sets of the first category. In [6] and [7], we introduce a family of functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ modifying the Darboux property analogously as it was done by Z. Grande and replacing approximate continuity with $\mathcal{I}$ -approximate continuity, i.e., the continuity with respect to $\mathcal{I}$ -density topology in the domain (see [3], [19], [20], [23], [24]).

DEFINITION 4. A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the $\mathcal{I}$ -ap-Darboux property if for each interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and for each $\lambda \in \langle f(a), f(b) \rangle$ there exists a point $x_0 \in (a, b)$ such that $f(x_0) = \lambda$ and f is $\mathcal{I}$ -approximately continuous at x_0 .

The family of all functions with the $\mathcal{I}$ -ap-Darboux property will be denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{I}-ap}$.

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$, where $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ is a family of all subsets of $\mathbb{R}$. To simplify our considerations, we need the following definition.

DEFINITION 5. We will say that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if for each open set $V \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $f(x) \in V$ there exists a set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $x \in A$ and $f(A) \subset V$. We will say that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous if f is $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is not difficult to see that if $\mathcal{A}$ is the Euclidean topology τ_e , then the notion of the $\mathcal{A}$ -continuity is equivalent to the notion of the continuity in the classical sense. If $\mathcal{A}$ is the density topology τ_d , then we have the approximate continuity. If $\mathcal{A}$ is the $\mathcal{I}$ -density topology $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$, then we obtain the $\mathcal{I}$ -approximate continuity. If $\mathcal{A}$ is some topology τ on $\mathbb{R}$, then the $\mathcal{A}$ -continuity is a continuity between $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$ and $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_e)$.

Of course, $\mathcal{A}$ need not be a topology. Let $\overline{A}$ ($Int(A)$) denote the closure (interior) of the set A in the Euclidean topology. A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ is said to be semi-open if there is an open set U such that $U \subset A \subset \overline{U}$ (see [11]). It is not difficult to see that A is semi-open if and only if $A \subset \overline{Int(A)}$. The family of all semi-open sets will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}$. A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is semi-continuous if for each set V open in the Euclidean topology the set $f^{-1}(V)$ is semi-open (see [11]).

DEFINITION 6. A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is quasi-continuous at a point x if for every neighbourhood U of x and for every neighbourhood V of $f(x)$ there exists a non-empty open set $G \subset U$ such that $f(G) \subset V$. A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is quasi-continuous if it is quasi-continuous at each point.

A. Neubrunnová [18] proved that f is semi-continuous if and only if it is quasi-continuous.

Obviously, $\mathcal{S}$ is not a topology and if $\mathcal{A}$ is the family of semi-open sets $\mathcal{S}$, then the $\mathcal{A}$ -continuity is equivalent to the quasi-continuity.

DEFINITION 7. We will say that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property if for each interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and each $\lambda \in (f(a), f(b))$ there exists a point $x \in (a, b)$ such that $f(x) = \lambda$ and f is $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous at x .

The family of all functions having $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property will be denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$. It is easy to see that if $\mathcal{A}$ is the Euclidean topology τ_e , then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{D}_{\tau_e} = \mathcal{D}_s$, if $\mathcal{A}$ is the density topology τ_d , then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{D}_{\tau_d} = \mathcal{D}_{ap}$, and if $\mathcal{A}$ is the $\mathcal{I}$ -density topology, then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{D}_{\tau_{\mathcal{I}}} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{I}-ap}$.

The set A is of the first category at the point x (see [9]) if there exists an open neighbourhood G of x such that $A \cap G$ is of the first category. By $D(A)$ we will denote the set of all points x such that A is not of the first category at x .

Let $\mathcal{B}a$ be a family of all functions having the Baire property.

DEFINITION 8. We will say that the family $\mathcal{A}$ has $(*)$ -property if

1. $\tau_e \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}a$;
2. $A \subset D(A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

It is not difficult to see that the wide class of topologies has $(*)$ -property, for example, Euclidean topology, $\mathcal{I}$ -density topology, topologies constructed in [10] by E. Łazarow, R. A. Johnson and W. Wilczyński or topology constructed by R. Wiertelak in [22]. Some families of sets which are not the topologies also have $(*)$ -property, for example, the family of semi-open sets, however, it does not have the density topology.

DEFINITION 9. We will say that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has q-property if for each $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and for each non-empty open interval $(C, D) \subset f((a, b))$ there exists a non-empty open interval $(c, d) \subset (a, b)$ such that $f((c, d)) \subset (C, D)$.

LEMMA 1. *Darboux function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has q-property if and only if f is quasi-continuous.*

Proof. $\Rightarrow$: Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. There are two cases:

1. f is constant on some neighbourhood of x . Then, f is continuous at x .

2. f is constant on no neighbourhood of x . Let (a, b) and (p, q) be arbitrary intervals such that $x \in (a, b)$ and $f(x) \in (p, q)$. From the Darboux property, $f((a, b))$ is a non-degenerate interval and

$$f(x) \in (p, q) \cap f((a, b)).$$

Hence, $(p, q) \cap f((a, b))$ is a non-degenerate interval and it contains some non-empty interval (C, D) . From q -property, there exists a non-empty open interval $(c, d) \subset (a, b)$ such that $f((c, d)) \subset (C, D) \subset (p, q)$.

$\Leftarrow$: Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is quasi-continuous and $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let (C, D) be an arbitrary non-empty open interval contained in $f((a, b))$. Then, there exists a point $x \in (a, b)$ such that $f(x) \in (C, D)$. Hence, from Definition 6, there exists a non-empty open interval $(c, d) \subset (a, b)$ such that $f((c, d)) \subset (C, D)$. $\square$

LEMMA 2. *If $\mathcal{A}$ has $(*)$ -property and $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$, then f has q -property.*

Proof. Let (a, b) be such that $f((a, b))$ is a non-degenerate interval, and let $(C, D) \subset f((a, b))$.

Fix $y \in (C, D)$ and a number $\epsilon > 0$ with $[y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon] \subset (C, D)$. Clearly,

$$[y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon] \subset f((a, b)).$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$, there exists a point $x \in (a, b)$ such that $f(x) = y$ and f is $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous at x . Hence, we can find a set $A_x \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $x \in A_x$ and $f(A_x) \subset (y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon)$.

As $\mathcal{A}$ has $(*)$ -property, A_x has the Baire property and is not of the first category at x . Hence, $A_x \cap (a, b)$ has the Baire property and is not of the first category at x , too. Consequently,

$$A_x \cap (a, b) = G \Delta P = (G \setminus P) \cup (P \setminus G),$$

where G is open and P is of the first category in the Euclidean topology. Obviously, $G \neq \emptyset$, hence, there exists an interval $(c, d) \subset G$. Observe that $(c, d) \setminus P \subset (a, b)$, so, $(c, d) \subset (a, b)$ and $(c, d) \setminus P \subset A_x$, i.e., $f((c, d) \setminus P) \subset f(A_x) \subset (y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon)$.

Now, let us prove that $f((c, d)) \subset [y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon]$. Put

$$V = \mathbb{R} \setminus [y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon].$$

Clearly,

$$f^{-1}(V) \cap (c, d) = (c, d) \setminus f^{-1}([y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon]) \quad (1)$$

and

$$(c, d) \setminus P \subset f^{-1}\left(f((c, d) \setminus P)\right) \subset f^{-1}([y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon]). \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2), we obtain

$$f^{-1}(V) \cap (c, d) \subset P. \quad (3)$$

Assume that $f((c, d)) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, i.e., there exists $x_1 \in f^{-1}(V) \cap (c, d)$. From (3), we can find a point $x_2 \in (x_1, d) \setminus f^{-1}(V)$. The set V is open, hence there exists a point $Z \in V \cap (f(x_1), f(x_2))$. As $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$, we can find a point $z \in (x_1, x_2)$ such that $f(z) = Z$ and f is $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous at z , i.e., there exists a set $A_z \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $z \in A_z$ and

$$f(A_z) \subset V. \quad (4)$$

By (3) and (4), we have

$$A_z \cap (x_1, x_2) \subset f^{-1}(V) \cap (c, d) \subset P,$$

i.e., $z \in A_z \cap (x_1, x_2)$, and A_z is of the first category at z , which is a contradiction, as $\mathcal{A}$ has $(*)$ -property and $A_z \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, $f((c, d)) \cap V = \emptyset$, i.e., $f((c, d)) \subset [y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon] \subset (C, D)$. $\square$

From now on, by $\mathcal{Q}$ we will denote the family of quasi-continuous functions and by $\mathcal{DQ}$ the family of quasi-continuous functions with the Darboux property.

THEOREM 1. *If $\mathcal{A}$ has $(*)$ -property, then $\mathcal{D}_s \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{DQ}$.*

Proof. It follows easily from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2. $\square$

Let us show that these inclusions may be proper for different families $\mathcal{A}$.

LEMMA 3. *There exists a family $\mathcal{A} \neq \tau_e$ with $(*)$ -property such that $\mathcal{D}_s = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = \tau_h$, where $\tau_h = \{G \setminus P : G \in \tau_e \text{ and } P \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is a H. Hashimoto topology (see [8]). Obviously, $\tau_e \subset \tau_h$, so $\mathcal{D}_s \subset \mathcal{D}_{\tau_h}$.

Let us show that $\mathcal{D}_{\tau_h} \subset \mathcal{D}_s$. Fix a function $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\tau_h}$, an interval (a, b) such that $f(a) \neq f(b)$ and $Z \in (f(a), f(b))$. Hence, as f has the τ_h -Darboux property, there exists a point $z \in (a, b)$ such that $f(z) = Z$ and f is τ_h -continuous at z .

Let us observe that f is τ_e -continuous at z . Indeed, fix $\epsilon > 0$. Hence, there exists a set $A \in \tau_h$ such that $z \in A$ and

$$f(A) \subset \left(f(z) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, f(z) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right).$$

The set A is open in τ_h , therefore $A = G \setminus P$, where G is open and P is of the first category. Obviously $A \subset G$, so $z \in G$, and there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $(z - \delta, z + \delta) \subset G$. Hence $(z - \delta, z + \delta) \setminus P \subset G \setminus P = A$, so

$$f((z - \delta, z + \delta) \setminus P) \subset \left(f(z) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, f(z) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right).$$

Analogously as in the proof of Lemma 2 (replacing (c, d) with $(z - \delta, z + \delta)$ and $(y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon)$ with $[f(z) - \epsilon/2, f(z) + \epsilon/2]$) we obtain that

$$f((z - \delta, z + \delta)) \subset \left[f(z) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, f(z) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right].$$

Consequently,

$$f((z - \delta, z + \delta)) \subset (f(z) - \epsilon, f(z) + \epsilon),$$

so f is τ_e -continuous at z and $f \in \mathcal{D}_s$. $\square$

We will say that the sets of the form $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n)$ or $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n, b_n]$ are right-hand interval sets (left-hand interval sets) at $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if $b_{n+1} < a_n < b_n$ ($b_n < a_{n+1} < b_{n+1}$) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = x$.

LEMMA 4. *There exists a family $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ with $(*)$ -property such that $\mathcal{D}_s \subsetneq \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \subsetneq \mathcal{DQ}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = \tau_{\mathcal{I}}$, where $\tau_{\mathcal{I}}$ denotes the $\mathcal{I}$ -density topology. Then, $\mathcal{A}$ has $(*)$ -property, so $\mathcal{D}_s \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{DQ}$.

Let us prove $\mathcal{D}_s \subsetneq \mathcal{D}_{\tau_{\mathcal{I}}} \subsetneq \mathcal{DQ}$.

Assume that $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n)$ is a right interval set at zero and put

$$f_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - x & \text{for } x \leq 0, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{n} & \text{for } x \in [a_n, b_n], n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{for } x = \frac{a_n + b_{n+1}}{2}, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and for } x \in [b_1, \infty), \\ \text{linear} & \text{on the intervals } [b_{n+1}, \frac{a_n + b_{n+1}}{2}], [\frac{a_n + b_{n+1}}{2}, a_n], n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, f_A is continuous at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \neq 0$, and assumes value 1 only at 0. Hence, $f_A \notin \mathcal{D}_s$. On the other hand, it is easy to see, that $f_A \in \mathcal{DQ}$ for each right-hand interval set A .

Let B be a right-hand interval set at 0 such that 0 is a right-hand $\mathcal{I}$ -density point of B (see [6]). Obviously, $f_B \in \mathcal{D}_{\tau_{\mathcal{I}}} \setminus \mathcal{D}_s$.

Let C be a right-hand interval set at 0 such that 0 is a right-hand $\mathcal{I}$ -dispersion point of C . Then, f_C is not $\mathcal{I}$ -approximately continuous at 0 (see [6] for more details) and assumes value 1 only at point 0, so $f_C \in \mathcal{DQ} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{\tau_{\mathcal{I}}}$. $\square$

LEMMA 5. *There exists a family $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ with $(*)$ -property such that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{DQ}$.*

Proof. Let us observe that the family $\mathcal{S}$ of semi-open sets has $(*)$ -property. Fix $A \in \mathcal{S}$. As $A \subset \overline{\text{Int}(A)}$, the set A is a union of two sets, where the first is open and the second is nowhere dense, so A has the Baire property. Fix a point $x \in A$ and an interval (a, b) such that $x \in (a, b)$. Hence $x \in \overline{\text{Int}(A)}$, so $(a, b) \cap \text{Int}(A) \neq \emptyset$, i.e., $(a, b) \cap A$ is of the second category and $x \in D(A)$. Consequently, $A \subset D(A)$.

By Theorem 1, we have $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}} \subset \mathcal{DQ}$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{DQ}$. Fix $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(a) \neq f(b)$, and let $Z \in (f(a), f(b))$. From the Darboux property, there exists $z \in (a, b)$ such that $f(z) = Z$. As f is quasi-continuous at z , $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}}$.

Consequently, we can put $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a family of all functions such that for each $a < b$ and for each set $A \subset [a, b]$ with $\text{card}(A) < \text{card}(\mathbb{R})$, the set $f([a, b] \setminus A)$ is dense in $\langle f(a), f(b) \rangle$. Let $\mathcal{QU} = \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{U}$. As $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{U}$ (for more details, see [2]), we have $\mathcal{DQ} \subset \mathcal{QU}$.

A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is cliquish at the point x if for every neighbourhood U of x and for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a non-empty open set $G \subset U$ such that $|f(y) - f(z)| < \epsilon$ for each $y, z \in G$. A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is cliquish if it is cliquish at each point.

It is noted (see [15]) that each quasi-continuous function is cliquish and a function f is cliquish if and only if the set of discontinuity points of f is of the first category. Hence the sum of two cliquish functions is cliquish.

Let $\mathcal{Cliqu}$ denote the family of all cliquish functions.

From the Lindenbaum's Theorem ([12]), it is well-known that an arbitrary function can be represented as a sum of two Darboux functions (see also [1, Chapter I, Theorem 4.1]). In [5], Z. Grande proved that every real function f defined on some interval is the sum of two functions from $\mathcal{D}_{ap}$.

W. Sierpiński in [21] proved that an arbitrary function can be represented as a limit of a pointwise convergent sequence of Darboux functions. Z. Grande showed the analogous result for his family, i.e., that every real function f defined on some interval is the limit of a pointwise convergent sequence of functions from $\mathcal{D}_{ap}$. As for each family $\mathcal{A}$ having $(*)$ -property $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{DQ}$ it is easily seen that analogous results for our family do not hold, moreover:

THEOREM 2. *If $\mathcal{A}$ has $(*)$ -property, then:*

1. $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{D}_s + \mathcal{D}_s$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{DQ} + \mathcal{DQ}$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{Cliqu}$;
2. f is cliquish if and only if f is a limit of pointwise convergent sequence of functions having $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property;
3. $f \in \mathcal{QU}$ if and only if f is a limit of uniformly convergent sequence of functions having $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an arbitrary family having $(*)$ -property.

1. From Theorem 1 we obtain $\mathcal{D}_s \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{DQ} \subset \mathcal{Cliqu}$. Consequently, $\mathcal{D}_s + \mathcal{D}_s \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{DQ} + \mathcal{DQ} \subset \mathcal{Cliqu} + \mathcal{Cliqu} = \mathcal{Cliqu}$. On the other hand, by [13, Corollary 3.4, Chapter II], we have $\mathcal{Cliqu} \subset \mathcal{D}_s + \mathcal{D}_s$, so $\mathcal{Cliqu} = \mathcal{D}_s + \mathcal{D}_s = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{DQ} + \mathcal{DQ}$.
2. By [14, Corollary 6], the function f is cliquish if and only if there exists a pointwise convergent sequence of Darboux quasi-continuous functions $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$, and if and only if there exists a pointwise convergent sequence of strong Świątkowski functions $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such

that $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n$. So, by Theorem 1, we have that f is a limit of pointwise convergent sequence of functions having $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property if and only if f is cliquish.

3. In [17, Theorem 4], the author proved that $f \in \mathcal{QU}$ if and only if f is a limit of uniformly convergent sequence of Darboux quasi-continuous functions. On the other hand, by [14, Corollary 5], $f \in \mathcal{QU}$ if and only if f is a limit of uniformly convergent sequence of strong Świątkowski functions. So, by Theorem 1, $f \in \mathcal{DQ}$ if and only if f is a limit of uniformly convergent sequence of functions having $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property.

□

Let us introduce a metric ρ in the space $\mathcal{UQ}$ in the following way:

$$\rho(f, g) = \min\left\{1, \sup\{|f(t) - g(t)| : t \in \mathbb{R}\}\right\}.$$

COROLLARY 1. *If $\mathcal{A}$ has $(*)$ -property, then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is dense in $(\mathcal{DQ}, \rho)$, and the closure of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ equals $\mathcal{QU}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a family having $(*)$ -property. By the previous theorem, f is a limit of uniformly convergent sequence of functions having $\mathcal{A}$ -Darboux property if and only if $f \in \mathcal{QU}$, so $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is dense in $(\mathcal{QU}, \rho)$, and the closure of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ equals $\mathcal{QU}$. As $\mathcal{DQ} \subset \mathcal{QU}$, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is dense in $(\mathcal{DQ}, \rho)$. □

Clearly, if $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2$ then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}_1} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}_2}$. The opposite implication does not hold: there exist uncomparable families $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}_1} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}_2}$. For example, if $\mathcal{A}_1$ is Hashimoto topology and $\mathcal{A}_2$ is a family of! semi-open sets, then, by Lemmas 3 and 5, we have $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}_1} = \mathcal{D}_s \subsetneq \mathcal{DQ} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}_2}$. Simultaneously, if P is a set of the first category dense in $\mathbb{R}$, then $\mathbb{R} \setminus P$ is open in the Hashimoto topology but not semi-open. On the other hand, $[0, 1]$ is semi-open but not open in the Hashimoto topology.

REFERENCES

- [1] BRUCKNER, A. M.: *Differentiation of Real Functions*, in: Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 659, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [2] BRUCKNER, A. M.—CEDER, J. G.—WEISS, M. L.: *Uniform limits of Darboux functions*, Colloq. Math. **15** (1966), 65–77.
- [3] CIESIELSKI, K.—LARSON, L.—OSTASZEWSKI, K.: *I-density continuous functions*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **515** (1994), 133 p.
- [4] DARBOUX, G.: *Memoire sur les fonctions discontinues*, Ann. Sci. Scuola Norm. Sup. **4** (1875), 57–112.
- [5] GRANDE, Z.: *On a subclass of the family of Darboux functions*, Colloq. Math. **117** (2009), 95–104.

ON SOME MODIFICATION OF ŚWIĄTKOWSKI PROPERTY

- [6] IVANOVA, G.: *Remarks on some modification of the Darboux property*, Bull. Soc. Sci. Lettres Lódź Sér. Rech. Déform. **72** (2014), 91–100.
- [7] IVANOVA, G.—WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.: *On some modification of Darboux property*, Math. Slovaca (to appear).
- [8] HASHIMOTO, H.: *On the $\ast$ -topology and its application*, Fund. Math. **91** (1976), 5–10.
- [9] KURATOWSKI, A.—MOSTOWSKI, A.: *Teoria Mnogości Wraz ze Wstępem do Opisowej Teorii Mnogości*. PWN, Warszawa, 1978.
- [10] ŁAZAROW, E.—JOHNSON, R. A.—WILCZYŃSKI, W.: *Topologies related to sets having the Baire property*, Demonstratio Math. **21** (1989), 179–191.
- [11] LEVINE, N.: *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly **70** (1963), 36–41.
- [12] LINDENBAUM, A.: *Sur quelques propriétés des fonctions de variable réelle*, Ann. Soc. Math. Polon. **6** (1927), 129–130.
- [13] MALISZEWSKI, A.: *Darboux Property and Quasi-Continuity. A uniform approach*. WSP, Słupsk, 1996.
- [14] MALISZEWSKI, A.: *On the limits of strong Świątkowski functions*, Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. dz. Mat. **27** (1995), 87–93.
- [15] MALISZEWSKI, A.: *Sums and products of quasi-continuous functions*, Real Anal. Exchange **21** (1995-96), 320–329.
- [16] MAŃK, T.—ŚWIĄTKOWSKI, T.: *On some class of functions with Darboux's characteristic*, Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. dz. Mat. **11** (301) (1977), 5–10.
- [17] NATKANIEC, T.: *On quasi-continuous functions having Darboux property*, Math. Pannon. **3** (1992), 81–96.
- [18] NEUBRUNNOVÁ, A.: *On certain generalizations of the notion of continuity*, Matematický časopis **23** (1973), 374–380.
- [19] POREDA, W.—WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.—WILCZYŃSKI, W.: *A category analogue of the density topology*, Fund. Math. **125** (1985), 167–173.
- [20] POREDA, W.—WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA, E.—WILCZYŃSKI, W.: *Remarks on $\mathcal{I}$ -density and $\mathcal{I}$ -approximately continuous functions*, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae **26** (1985), 553–563.
- [21] SIERPIŃSKI, W.: *Sur une propriété de fonctions réelles quelconques définies dans les espaces métriques*, Matematiche (Catania) **8** (1953), 73–78.
- [22] WIERTEŁAK, R.: *A generalization of density topology with respect to category*, Real Anal. Exchange **32** (2006/2007), 273–286.
- [23] WILCZYŃSKI, W.: *A generalization of the density topology*, Real Anal. Exchange **8** (1982-83), 16–20.
- [24] WILCZYŃSKI, W.: *A category analogue of the density topology, approximate continuity and the approximate derivative*, Real Anal. Exchange **10** (1984-85), 241–265.

Received December 2, 2013

Gertruda Ivanova
 Elżbieta Wagner-Bojakowska
 University of Łódź
 Faculty of Mathematics and
 Computer Science
 ul. Banacha 22
 PL-90-238 Łódź
 POLAND
 E-mail: gertruda@math.uni.lodz.pl
 wagner@math.uni.lodz.pl