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ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{a x_{n-1}}{b+c x_{n} x_{n-1}}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

with positive parameters and nonnegative initial conditions. We use the explicit formula for the solutions of equation ( $\mathbb{E}$ ) in investigating their behavior.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the following rational difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{a x_{n-1}}{b+c x_{n} x_{n-1}}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c$ are positive real numbers and the initial conditions $x_{-1}, x_{0}$ are nonnegative real numbers such that $x_{-1}$ or $x_{0}$ or both are positive real numbers. Equation (E) in the case of negative $b$ was considered in [1].

The purpose of this paper is to use the explicit formula for solutions of equation (E) in investigating their behavior. We will show that when $a<b$, the zero equilibrium is a global attractor for all positive solutions of equation (E) and that all positive solutions of equation (E) are bounded.

There has been a lot of work concerning the asymptotic behavior of solutions of rational difference equations. Second order rational difference equations were investigated, for example in [1-11]. This paper is motivated by the short notes [2] and [9], where the authors studied the rational difference equation

$$
x_{n+1}=\frac{x_{n-1}}{1+x_{n} x_{n-1}}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots
$$
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## 2. Main results

Let $p=\frac{b}{a}, q=\frac{c}{a}$. Then equation (E) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{x_{n-1}}{p+q x_{n} x_{n-1}}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{E1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The change of variables $x_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} y_{n}$ reduces the above equation to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=\frac{y_{n-1}}{p+y_{n} y_{n-1}}, \quad n=0,1, \ldots \tag{E2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \in R_{+}$and the initial conditions $y_{-1}, y_{0}$ are nonnegative real numbers such that $y_{-1}$ or $y_{0}$ or both are positive real numbers. Hereafter, we focus our attention on equation (E2) instead of equation (E). Note, that the solution $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ with $y_{-1}=0$ or $y_{0}=0$ of equation (E2) is oscillatory. In fact, in this case we have

$$
\left\{y_{n}\right\}=\left\{0, y_{0}, 0, \frac{y_{0}}{p}, 0, \frac{y_{0}}{p^{2}}, \ldots\right\}
$$

or

$$
\left\{y_{n}\right\}=\left\{y_{-1}, 0, \frac{y_{-1}}{p}, 0, \frac{y_{-1}}{p^{2}}, 0, \ldots\right\}
$$

Obviously, if $p=1$, these solutions are 2 -periodic.
Thus, let us assume that $y_{-1}$ and $y_{0}$ are positive. Then it is clear that $y_{n}>0$ for all $n \geq-1$. In the sequel, we will only consider positive solutions of equation (E2).

The equilibria of equation (E2) are the solutions of the equation

$$
\bar{y}=\frac{\bar{y}}{p+\bar{y}^{2}} .
$$

Hence, $\bar{y}=0$ is always an equilibrium point of equation (E2). Clearly, when $p \geq 1$ it is a unique equilibrium point. The local asymptotic behavior of the zero equilibrium of equation (E2) is characterized by the following result.

Theorem A ([11). The following statements are true.
(i) If $p>1$, then $\bar{y}=0$ is locally asymptotically stable.
(ii) If $p<1$, then $\bar{y}=0$ is a repeller.

Applying Theorem 2.1 obtained by Cinar in [3] (with $a=b=\frac{1}{p}$ ) to equation (E2) we get the explicit formula for every solution $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ with positive initial
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conditions $y_{-1}, y_{0}$. We can write it in the following form

$$
y_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
y_{-1} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{n+1}{2}-1}\left[p^{2 i}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i-1} p^{k}\right]}{\frac{n+1}{2}-1}\left[p^{2 i+1}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i} p^{k}\right] & \text { for odd } n,  \tag{1}\\
\prod_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\left[p^{2 i+1}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i} p^{k}\right] \\
y_{0} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n}-1}{\left.\prod_{i=0}^{2}-p^{2 i+2}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i+1} p^{k}\right]} & \text { for even } n . \\
\prod_{i=0} & &
\end{array}\right.
$$

We will use the explicit formula for solutions of equation (E2) in investigating their asymptotic behavior. We will consider the cases, when $p \geq 1$ and $p \in(0,1)$.
Theorem 1. Assume that $p \geq 1$. Then every positive solution $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ of equation (E2) converges to zero.
Proof. Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be a solution of equation (E2) satisfying the initial conditions $y_{-1}>0$ and $y_{0}>0$. It is enough to prove that the subsequences $\left\{y_{2 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{2 n-1}\right\}$ converge to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From (11) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{2 n} & =y_{0} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[p^{2 i+1}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i} p^{k}\right]}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[p^{2 i+2}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i+1} p^{k}\right]} \\
& =y_{0} \exp \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \ln \frac{p^{2 i+1}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i} p^{k}}{p^{2 i+2}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i+1} p^{k}}\right] \\
& =y_{0} \exp \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \ln \left(1-\frac{p^{2 i+2}-p^{2 i+1}+p^{2 i+1} y_{0} y_{-1}}{p^{2 i+2}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i+1} p^{k}}\right]\right] \\
& \leq y_{0} \exp \left[-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{p^{2 i+2}-p^{2 i+1}+p^{2 i+1} y_{0} y_{-1}}{p^{2 i+2}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i+1} p^{k}}\right] \\
& =y_{0} \exp \left[-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{p^{2 i+1}\left(p-1+y_{0} y_{-1}\right)}{p^{2 i+2}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i+1} p^{k}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2 n} \leq y_{0} \exp \left[-\left(p-1+y_{0} y_{-1}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{p^{2 i+1}}{p^{2 i+2}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i+1} p^{k}}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p \geq 1, p-1+y_{0} y_{-1}>0$ and from the above inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{2 n} & \leq y_{0} \exp \left[-\left(p-1+y_{0} y_{-1}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{p^{2 i+1}}{p^{2 i+2}+y_{0} y_{-1} p^{2 i+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i+1} 1}\right] \\
& =y_{0} \exp \left[-\left(p-1+y_{0} y_{-1}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{p+y_{0} y_{-1}(2 i+2)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{p+y_{0} y_{-1}(2 i+2)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so $y_{2 n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Similarly, we obtain
$y_{2 n-1} \leq y_{-1} \exp \left[-\left(p-1+y_{0} y_{-1}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{p+y_{0} y_{-1}(2 i+1)}\right] \rightarrow 0, \quad$ as $\quad n \rightarrow \infty$.
This completes the proof.
Theorem $\mathbb{1}$ extends Theorem 1 of Stević 9 .
Theorem 1 and Theorem A imply the following result.
Corollary 1. Assume $p>1$. Then the unique equilibrium $\bar{y}=0$ of equation (E2) is globally asymptotically stable.

Note, that equation (E2) is a special case of equation (3.3) in [11], but our result relating the global attractivity of the zero equilibrium is stronger.

For $p \in(0,1)$ we have the following result about the subsequences of the even terms $\left\{y_{2 n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and the odd terms $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ of every positive solution $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ of equation (E2).

Theorem 2. Assume that $p \in(0,1)$. Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be a solution of equation (E2) with positive initial conditions $y_{-1}, y_{0}$. Then the following statements are true:
(i) If $y_{0} y_{-1}<1-p$, then the subsequences $\left\{y_{2 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{2 n-1}\right\}$ are both increasing and bounded.
(ii) If $y_{0} y_{-1}>1-p$, then the subsequences $\left\{y_{2 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{2 n-1}\right\}$ are both decreasing and bounded.
(iii) If $y_{0} y_{-1}=1-p$, then the subsequences $\left\{y_{2 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{2 n-1}\right\}$ are both constant sequences.

## Proof.

(i) Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be a positive solution of equation (E2). From (1) for the subsequence $\left\{y_{2 n}\right\}$ we have

$$
y_{2 n}=y_{0} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[p^{2 i+1}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i} p^{k}\right]}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[p^{2 i+2}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i+1} p^{k}\right]},
$$

and so for $n \geq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{y_{2 n+2}}{y_{2 n}} & =\frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n}\left[p^{2 i+1}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i} p^{k}\right] \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[p^{2 i+2}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i+1} p^{k}\right]}{\prod_{i=0}^{n}\left[p^{2 i+2}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i+1} p^{k}\right] \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[p^{2 i+1}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i} p^{k}\right]} \\
& =\frac{p^{2 n+1}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 n} p^{k}}{p^{2 n+2}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 n+1} p^{k}} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $y_{0} y_{-1}<1-p$, we have

$$
y_{0} y_{-1} p^{2 n+1}<p^{2 n+1}-p^{2 n+2} .
$$

Hence

$$
y_{0} y_{-1}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2 n+1} p^{k}-\sum_{k=0}^{2 n} p^{k}\right)<p^{2 n+1}-p^{2 n+2}
$$

and therefore

$$
p^{2 n+1}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 n} p^{k}>p^{2 n+2}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 n+1} p^{k}
$$

From the above inequality and (3) it follows that the subsequence $\left\{y_{2 n}\right\}$ is increasing. Similarly we obtain that the subsequence $\left\{y_{2 n-1}\right\}$ is increasing. Now, we will show that the solution $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.
From (2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{2 n} & \leq y_{0} \exp \left[-\left(p-1+y_{0} y_{-1}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{p^{2 i+1}}{p^{2 i+2}+y_{0} y_{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 i+1} p^{k}}\right] \\
& =y_{0} \exp \left[\left(1-p-y_{0} y_{-1}\right)(1-p) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{p^{2 i+1}}{p^{2 i+2}(1-p)+y_{0} y_{-1}\left(1-p^{2 i+2}\right)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for $p \in(0,1)$ the series

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{p^{2 i+1}}{p^{2 i+2}(1-p)+y_{0} y_{-1}\left(1-p^{2 i+2}\right)}
$$

is convergent and we get the boundedness of $\left\{y_{2 n}\right\}$. Similarly we obtain the boundedness of the subsequence $\left\{y_{2 n-1}\right\}$.
(ii) The proof is similar to the proof of $(i)$ and will be omitted.
(iii) If $y_{0} y_{-1}=1-p$ then from (E2) we get

$$
y_{n+1}=\frac{y_{n-1}}{p+y_{n} y_{n-1}}=y_{n-1}
$$

Hence

$$
\left\{y_{2 n}\right\}=\left\{y_{0}, y_{0}, y_{0}, \ldots\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{y_{2 n-1}\right\}=\left\{y_{-1}, y_{-1}, y_{-1}, \ldots\right\}
$$

and, the solution

$$
\left\{y_{n}\right\}=\left\{y_{-1}, y_{0}, y_{-1}, y_{0}, \ldots y_{-1}, y_{0}\right\}
$$

is 2-periodic.
This completes the proof.
From Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we get the following corollary.
Corollary 2. Every positive solution of equation (E) is bounded.
We say the sequences $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ are equivalent (Cauchy equivalent) if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(a_{n}-b_{n}\right)=0$. If there exists a $k$-periodic sequence $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ equivalent to $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$, we say that $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is asymptotically $k$-periodic sequence.

The next corollary follows from Theorem 2 and from the expression of equation (E2).

Corollary 3. Assume that $p \in(0,1)$. Then every positive solution of equation (E2) is asymptotically 2-periodic sequence $\{r, s, r, s, r, s, \ldots\}$, where $r s=$ $1-p$.

Moreover, it is clear from formula (11) that, for a fixed $p$, numbers $r$ and $s$ depend only on the initial data $y_{-1}, y_{0}$.

## 3. Numerical results

Example 1. Let $y_{-1}=2, y_{0}=5$ be the initial conditions of equation (E2) with $p=2$. Then, by Theorem 1, the solution converges to zero.

The Table 1 sets forth the values of $y_{n}$ for selected small $n$ 's. Note that Theorem 5.2 from [11] in this case can not be applied.
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Table 1. The values of $y_{n}$ for selected small $n$ 's.

| n | $y_{n}$ | n | $y_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1666666666 | 2 | 1.764705882 |
| 3 | 0.07264957264 | 4 | 0.8291991495 |
| 5 | 0.03526265806 | 6 | 0.4086255174 |
| 7 | 0.01750521080 | 8 | 0.2035846305 |
| 9 | 0.008737036910 | 10 | 0.1017018654 |
| 29 | $8.527211545 * 10^{-6}$ | 30 | $9.928883343 * 10^{-5}$ |
| 699 | $1.218311909 * 10^{-106}$ | 700 | $1.418573558 * 10^{-105}$ |

Example 2. Let $y_{-1}=500, y_{0}=100$ be the initial conditions of equation (E2) with $p=\frac{4}{5}$. Then $y_{0} y_{-1}=50000,1-p=\frac{1}{5}$. So condition $y_{0} y_{-1}>1-p$ holds and by Theorem 2, the subsequences $\left\{y_{2 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{2 n-1}\right\}$ are both decreasing.

The Table 2 sets forth the values of $y_{n}$ for selected small $n$ 's.

TABLE 2. The values of $y_{n}$ for selected small $n$ 's.

| n | $y_{n}$ | n | $y_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.009999840002 | 2 | 55.55604937 |
| 3 | 0.007376952648 | 4 | 45.92037709 |
| 5 | 0.006478100367 | 6 | 41.84177433 |
| 7 | 0.006048334655 | 8 | 39.73302154 |
| 9 | 0.005813925263 | 10 | 38.53815313 |
| 29 | 0.005460160780 | 30 | 36.67435849 |
| 49 | 0.005456447604 | 50 | 36.65440759 |
| 99 | 0.005456404175 | 100 | 36.65417618 |

Example 3. Let $y_{-1}=0.001, y_{0}=2$ be the initial conditions of equation (E2) with $p=0.9$. Then $y_{0} y_{-1}=0.002,1-p=0.1$. So condition $y_{0} y_{-1}<1-p$ holds and by Theorem 2, the subsequences $\left\{y_{2 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{2 n-1}\right\}$ are both increasing.

The Table 3 sets forth the values of $y_{n}$ for selected small $n$ 's.

## ANNA ANDRUCH-SOBILO - MAtGORZATA MIGDA

Table 3. The values of $y_{n}$ for selected small $n$ 's.

| n | $y_{n}$ | n | $y_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.00110864745 | 2 | 2.216760874 |
| 3 | 0.001228475933 | 4 | 2.455637323 |
| 5 | 0.001360413317 | 6 | 2.718395588 |
| 7 | 0.001505384658 | 8 | 3.006767998 |
| 9 | 0.00166427951 | 10 | 3.322380518 |
| 25 | 0.003484539186 | 26 | 6.888785559 |
| 49 | 0.006449046007 | 50 | 12.37982939 |
| 99 | 0.007251009859 | 100 | 13.77325644 |
| 299 | 0.007255975296 | 300 | 13.78174482 |
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