

## REMARKS ON SMALL SETS ON THE REAL LINE

MAŁGORZATA FILIPCZAK — ELŻBIETA WAGNER-BOJAKOWSKA

**ABSTRACT.** We consider two kinds of small subsets of the real line: the sets of strong measure zero and the microscopic sets. There are investigated the properties of these sets. The example of a microscopic set, which is not a set of strong measure zero, is given.

The notion of strong measure zero set was introduced by E. Borel [Bo]. Properties of these sets were investigated by W. Sierpiński, A. S. Besicovitch, F. Galvin, J. Mycielski, R. M. Solovay, and others.

**DEFINITION 1.** A set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a strong measure zero set if for each sequence  $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive real numbers there exists a sequence of intervals  $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$  and  $m(I_n) < \epsilon_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Sometimes, in the definition of strong measure zero set, instead of  $E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ , one demands that  $E \subset \limsup_n I_n$ , where

$$\limsup_n I_n = \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=p}^{\infty} I_n.$$

The following theorem shows that both conditions are equivalent.

**THEOREM 1.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E$  is a strong measure zero set;
- (ii) for each sequence  $\{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive real numbers there exists a sequence  $\{J_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of intervals such that  $E \subset \limsup_n J_n$  and  $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} m(J_k) < \eta_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (iii) for each sequence  $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive real numbers there exists a sequence  $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of intervals such that  $E \subset \limsup_n I_n$  and  $m(I_n) < \delta_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\implies$  (ii). Let  $E$  be a strong measure zero set and let  $\{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an arbitrary sequence of positive real numbers. Put

$$\theta_m = \min\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m\} \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 28A05, 28A75.

Keywords: microscopic set, set of strong measure zero.

Obviously, the sequence  $\{\theta_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is nonincreasing and  $\theta_m \leq \eta_m$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $[a_{kn}]_{k \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an arbitrary infinite matrix of positive real numbers such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{kn} \leq 1 \quad (1)$$

(for example,  $a_{kn} = \frac{1}{2^{k+n}}$  for  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Let us consider a function  $\psi : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  defined as follows:

$$\psi(k, n) = 2^{k-1} (2n - 1) \quad \text{for } (k, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}.$$

It is not difficult to prove that  $\psi$  is a bijection. Put

$$\epsilon_n^{(k)} = a_{kn} \theta_{\psi(k, n)} \quad \text{for } k, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2)$$

Let  $k$  be a fixed positive integer. From (i) it follows that for the sequence  $\{\epsilon_n^{(k)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  there exists a sequence  $\{I_n^{(k)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of intervals such that

$$E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n^{(k)} \quad \text{and} \quad m(I_n^{(k)}) < \epsilon_n^{(k)} \quad (3)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\psi$  is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$ , there exists exactly one pair  $(k, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\psi(k, n) = m$ . Then put

$$J_m = I_n^{(k)}.$$

Obviously,  $E \subset \limsup J_m$ , since each point of  $E$  belongs to infinitely many of intervals  $I_n^{(k)}$ ,  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $A_p = \{(k, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \psi(k, n) \geq p\}$ . Using (3), (2), and (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=p}^{\infty} m(J_m) &= \sum_{(k, n) \in A_p} m(I_n^{(k)}) < \sum_{(k, n) \in A_p} \epsilon_n^{(k)} \\ &= \sum_{(k, n) \in A_p} a_{kn} \theta_{\psi(k, n)} \\ &= \sum_{m=p}^{\infty} a_{\psi^{-1}(m)} \theta_m \leq \theta_p \sum_{m=p}^{\infty} a_{\psi^{-1}(m)} \leq \theta_p \leq \eta_p. \end{aligned}$$

The other implications are obvious. □

The notion of microscopic set was introduced by J. Appell in [A1]. The properties of these sets were investigated by J. Appell, E. D'Aniello, and M. V ä t h in [AAV] and [A2].

# REMARKS ON SMALL SETS ON THE REAL LINE

**DEFINITION 2.** A set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  is microscopic if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a sequence of intervals  $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \quad \text{and} \quad m(I_n) < \epsilon^n \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**THEOREM 2.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $E$  is a microscopic set;
- (ii) for each positive number  $\eta$  there exists a sequence  $\{J_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of intervals such that

$$E \subset \limsup_n J_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} m(J_k) < \eta^n \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N};$$

- (iii) for each positive number  $\delta$  there exists a sequence  $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of intervals such that

$$E \subset \limsup_n I_n \quad \text{and} \quad m(I_n) < \delta^n \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Proof.** (i)  $\implies$  (ii). Suppose that  $E$  is a microscopic set and  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $\psi : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be the function considered in the proof of Theorem 1. Put

$$\theta = \frac{\eta}{1 + \eta} \tag{4}$$

and

$$\epsilon_k = \theta^{2^k} \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let  $k$  be a fixed positive integer. From (i) it follows that there exists a sequence  $\{I_n^{(k)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of intervals such that

$$E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n^{(k)} \quad \text{and} \quad m(I_n^{(k)}) < (\epsilon_k)^n. \tag{5}$$

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists a unique pair  $(k, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\psi(k, n) = m$ . Put

$$J_m = I_n^{(k)}.$$

Then  $E \subset \limsup_m J_m$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $A_p = \{(k, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \psi(k, n) \geq p\}$ .

Using (5) and (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{m=p}^{\infty} m(J_m) &= \sum_{(k,n) \in A_p} m(I_n^{(k)}) < \sum_{(k,n) \in A_p} (\epsilon_k)^n \\
 &= \sum_{(k,n) \in A_p} \theta^{2^k n} \\
 &= \sum_{(k,n) \in A_p} \theta^{2^{k-1} \cdot 2n} < \sum_{(k,n) \in A_p} \theta^{2^{k-1} (2n-1)} \\
 &= \sum_{(k,n) \in A_p} \theta^{\psi(k,n)} \\
 &= \sum_{m=p}^{\infty} \theta^m \\
 &= \frac{\theta^p}{1-\theta} \leq \left( \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} \right)^p = \eta^p,
 \end{aligned}$$

since  $0 < 1 - \theta < 1$ , so  $(1 - \theta)^p \leq 1 - \theta$ .

The other implications are obvious. □

Denote by  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$  the family of countable sets, strong measure zero sets, microscopic sets, and Lebesgue measure zero sets, respectively. It is easy to see (compare [BJ] and [AAV]) that both families  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are the  $\sigma$ -ideals situated between countable sets and sets of Lebesgue measure zero. Obviously, each strong measure zero set is microscopic, so

$$\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}.$$

We have  $\mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{N}$ , because the classical Cantor set has Lebesgue measure zero but is not microscopic (see [AAV]). If we assume CH, then  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{S}$ , since every Luzin set is a strong measure zero set which is uncountable (see [BJ]). Recall that a Luzin set is an uncountable subset of a real line having countable intersection with every set of the first category. The construction of such a set using the continuum hypothesis was given first by Luzin (1914) and Mahlo (1913), independently. It is easy to see that each Luzin set is a strong measure zero set. Indeed, suppose that  $A$  is a Luzin set. Let  $\{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of all rational numbers and let  $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an arbitrary sequence of positive real numbers. Then the set

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( r_n - \frac{\epsilon_{2n}}{3}, r_n + \frac{\epsilon_{2n}}{3} \right)$$

# REMARKS ON SMALL SETS ON THE REAL LINE

is open and dense, so its complement is a set of the first category. Consequently, the set

$$B = A \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( r_n - \frac{\epsilon_{2n}}{3}, r_n + \frac{\epsilon_{2n}}{3} \right)$$

is countable. Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of all elements of  $B$ . Then

$$A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( r_n - \frac{\epsilon_{2n}}{3}, r_n + \frac{\epsilon_{2n}}{3} \right) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( x_n - \frac{\epsilon_{2n-1}}{3}, x_n + \frac{\epsilon_{2n-1}}{3} \right),$$

so  $A$  is a strong measure zero set.

Now we will construct an example of some set  $A \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ .

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $\{r_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a following sequence of all rational numbers from the interval  $(0, 1)$ :

$$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{2}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \dots$$

Let  $I_k$  be a closed interval centered at a point  $r_k$  with a length equal to

$$\frac{1}{(k+1)^{2^{k-1}}} \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Put

$$A_n = I_{\frac{n^2-n+2}{2}} \cup \dots \cup I_{\frac{n^2+n}{2}} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$A = \limsup_n A_n.$$

Then

$$A = \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=p}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=p}^{\infty} I_n.$$

First we will prove that  $A$  is a microscopic set. Let  $\epsilon$  be an arbitrary positive number. There exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{(k_0+1)^{2^{k_0-1}}} < \epsilon.$$

Obviously,

$$A \subset \bigcup_{n=k_0}^{\infty} I_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{k_0+n-1}.$$

We will show that

$$m(I_{k_0+n-1}) < \epsilon^n \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{k_0+n-2} &= 2^{k_0-1} \cdot 2^{n-1} \\ &\geq 2^{k_0-1} \cdot n \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

so

$$m(I_{k_0+n-1}) = \frac{1}{(k_0+n)^{2^{k_0+n-2}}} \leq \left( \frac{1}{(k_0+1)^{2^{k_0-1}}} \right)^n < \epsilon^n \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consequently,  $A$  is a microscopic set.

Now we will prove that  $A$  is not a strong measure zero set. We will construct a sequence  $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive numbers such that for each sequence  $\{J_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of intervals with  $m(J_n) < \epsilon_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$A \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n \neq \emptyset.$$

Put

$$\delta_n = \min\{m(I_i) : I_i \subset A_n\}$$

and

$$A_n^* = \left\{ \frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{2}{n+1}, \dots, \frac{n}{n+1} \right\} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Obviously,

$$n^2 + n \geq 2n \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

so

$$\delta_n = m\left(I_{\frac{n^2+n}{2}}\right) = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n^2+n+2}{2}\right)^{2^{\frac{n^2+n-2}{2}}}} \leq \frac{1}{n+1}$$

and the set  $A_n^*$  is the  $\epsilon$ -net of the interval  $[0, 1]$  for  $\epsilon = 1/(n+1)$ .

We will define by induction two sequences  $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{i_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in a following way. Put  $\epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}\delta_3$ . There exists a positive integer  $i_1 > 1$  such that

$$\frac{1}{i_1+1} < \frac{1}{7}\epsilon_1.$$

Put  $\epsilon_2 = \delta_{i_1}$ . Now suppose that the positive real numbers  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$  and positive integers  $i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}$  are chosen. There exists  $i_n > i_{n-1}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{i_n+1} < \frac{1}{7}\epsilon_n.$$

Let us put  $\epsilon_{n+1} = \delta_{i_n}$ .

# REMARKS ON SMALL SETS ON THE REAL LINE

Now, let  $\{J_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an arbitrary sequence of intervals such that  $m(J_n) < \epsilon_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will find the descending subsequence  $\{I_{k_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the sequence  $\{I_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left( \bigcup_{j=1}^n J_j \right) \cap I_{k_n} = \emptyset.$$

We have

$$m(J_1) < \epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2} \delta_3 \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{8}.$$

Simultaneously,  $A_3 = I_4 \cup I_5 \cup I_6$  and the distance between  $I_4$  and  $I_6$  is greater than  $\frac{1}{4}$  because  $m(I_6) < m(I_4) < \frac{1}{8}$ . So, there exists the component interval of the set  $A_3$  which is disjoint with  $J_1$ . Denote it by  $I_{k_1}$  (if there is more than one such component interval, we take the longest one).

Let us consider the interval  $I_{k_1}$  and the set  $A_{i_1}^*$ . Since  $m(I_{k_1}) \geq \delta_3$ , in the interval  $I_{k_1}$  we can find at least six points of the set  $A_{i_1}^*$ . We have

$$m(J_2) < \epsilon_2 = \delta_{i_1} \leq \frac{1}{i_1 + 1},$$

so,  $\text{card}(J_2 \cap A_{i_1}^*) \leq 1$  and  $J_2$  has non-empty intersection with at most three component intervals of  $A_{i_1}$ . Consequently, there exists a component interval  $I_{k_2}$  of the set  $A_{i_1}$  such that

$$I_{k_2} \subset I_{k_1} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{k_2} \cap J_2 = \emptyset.$$

Suppose that the intervals  $I_{k_1}, I_{k_2}, \dots, I_{k_n}$  such that

$$I_{k_1} \supset I_{k_2} \supset \dots \supset I_{k_n},$$

$I_{k_p}$  is a component interval of the set  $A_{i_{p-1}}$  for  $p = 1, \dots, n$  and

$$\left( \bigcup_{j=1}^n J_j \right) \cap I_{k_n} = \emptyset$$

are chosen. Let us consider the interval  $I_{k_n} \subset A_{i_{n-1}}$  and the set  $A_{i_n}^*$ . We have

$$m(J_{n+1}) < \epsilon_{n+1} = \delta_{i_n} \leq \frac{1}{i_n + 1}$$

so  $\text{card}(J_{n+1} \cap A_{i_n}^*) \leq 1$ . Hence, there exists a component interval  $I_{k_{n+1}}$  of the set  $A_{i_n}$  such that

$$I_{k_{n+1}} \subset I_{k_n} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{k_{n+1}} \cap J_{n+1} = \emptyset.$$

Consequently,

$$\left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{n+1} J_j \right) \cap I_{k_{n+1}} = \emptyset.$$

Let  $x_0 \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{k_n}$ . Then  $x_0 \in \limsup_n I_n = A$ . On the other hand,  $x_0 \in I_{k_n}$ , so  $x_0 \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^n J_j$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently,  $x_0 \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} J_j$ , i.e.,  $x_0 \in A \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n$ .

In the previous example we have shown straightly from the definition that  $A$  is not a strong measure zero set. Remark that it is sufficient to observe that  $A$  is a perfect set because no perfect set has strong measure zero (compare [BJ]).

# REFERENCES

- [A1] APPELL, J.: *Insiemi ed operatori “piccoli” in analisi funzionale*, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste **33** (2001), 127–199.
- [A2] APPELL, J.: *A short story on microscopic sets*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena **52** (2004), 229–233.
- [AAV] APPELL, J.—D’ ANIELLO, E.—VÄTH, M.: *Some remarks on small sets*, Ricerche Mat. **50** (2001), 255–274.
- [Bo] BOREL, E.: *Sur la classification des ensembles de mesure nulle*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **47** (1919), 97–125.
- [BJ] BARTOSZYŃSKI, T. —JUDAH, H.: *Set Theory: On the Structure of the Real Line*, A. K. Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1995.
- [M] MILLER, A. W.: *Special subsets of the real line*, in: Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, (K. Kunen, J. Vaughan, eds.) North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 201–233.

Received September 26, 2007

*Małgorzata Filipczak*  
*University of Łódź*  
*Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science*  
*Banacha 22*  
*PL-90-238 Łódź*  
*POLAND*  
*E-mail: malfil@math.uni.lodz.pl*

*Elżbieta Wagner-Bojakowska*  
*The College of Computer Science*  
*Chair of Mathematics*  
*Rzgowska 17A*  
*PL-93-008 Łódź*  
*POLAND*

*University of Łódź*  
*Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science*  
*Banacha 22*  
*PL-90-238 Łódź*  
*POLAND*  
*E-mail: wagner@uni.lodz.pl*