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Abstract

Background: The prevalence of overweight and obesity among children has increased dramatically in recent decades. The survey examined overweight and obesity in the population of boys and girls from Ljubljana, the capital of Slovenia, aged seven through fourteen from 1991 to 2011.

Methods: An annually repeated cross-sectional study of data from the national SLOFIT monitoring system was used. The body mass index cut-off points of the International Obesity Task Force were used to identify the prevalence of overweight and obesity. Multinomial logistic regression was used for modelling the probability of overweight and obesity as a function of time (year of measurement), sex and age of subjects.

Results: In 1991-2011 period, the odds for overweight and obesity among primary school children (n = 376,719) increased every year by 1.7% (95% CI: 1.6-1.9) and 3.7% (3.4-4%) respectively. Boys have 1.17 (95% CI: 1.15-1.20) times higher odds of becoming overweight and 1.39 (95% CI: 1.35-1.44) times higher odds of becoming obese than girls. In comparison to the reference group (age of 14), the highest odds for overweight were found at the ages of nine and ten (1.39; 95% CI: 1.34-1.44), while for the obesity the highest odds were at the age of eight (2.01; 95% CI: 1.86-2.16).

Conclusion: From 1991 to 2011, overweight and obesity clearly became more prevalent in children from Ljubljana. This trend has been more obvious among boys than girls. In comparison to 14-year-old boys and girls, the highest odds for excessive weight were found below the age of 10.
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Izvleček


Rezultati: Vsako leto se ne glede na spol merjencev obet za prekomerno prehranjenost poveča za 1,7% (95% CI: 1,6–1,9%) in za debelost za 3,7% (95% CI: 3,4–4%). Med fanti je obet za prekomerno prehranjenost 1,17-krat (95% CI: 1,15–1,20) in za debelost 1,39-krat (95% CI: 1,35–1,44) večji kot med dekleti. Glede na štirinajstletnike je največji obet za prekomerno prehranjenost opazen med devet- in desetletniki (1,39; 95% CI: 1,34–1,44), za debelost pa med osemletniki (2,01; 95% CI: 1,86–2,16).


Ključne besede: javno zdravje, otroška debelost, indeks telesne mase, osnovna šola, spol, starost
1 INTRODUCTION

The extensive changes in people’s lifestyles also influence the physical development of children and youth (1). Lack of movement and unsuitable eating habits result in overweight and obesity (OW&OB) (2, 3) that are reaching epidemic proportions in the developed world (4–6).

The mechanism of obesity development is not fully understood. It has been confirmed that obesity occurs when energy intake exceeds energy expenditure, suggesting that a proper diet and physical activity are the key strategies for controlling the current epidemic of obesity (7). Genetic factors influence the susceptibility of a given child to an obesity-conducive environment. However, socio-economic status, level of education, lifestyle preferences and the cultural environment also seem to play major roles in the rising prevalence of obesity worldwide (1, 3, 8).

The World Health Organisation has recognised obesity as a multifactorial disease with a complex aetiology, which holds many health consequences (9). Several reviews summarise the consequences, both short- and long-term, of childhood and adolescent obesity, including cardiovascular risk factors and coronary heart disease mortality, type 2 diabetes, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, asthma, sleep-disordered breathing, systemic inflammation and orthopaedic problems (9–11). Furthermore, obesity also has social and psychological consequences: obesity is linked with low self-image, low self-confidence and depression (11, 12).

There is a wide variety of definitions of child obesity. No commonly accepted standard has yet emerged (13); although less sensitive than skin-fold thickness, body mass index (BMI; weight/height$^2$) is widely used. A number of studies on the prevalence of OW&OB in European children and adolescents in different years after 1990 showed that the prevalence was especially high in southern Europe and substantially lower in central and northern Europe (11, 14–16). There are differences in obesity prevalence between boys and girls, e.g. some studies conducted on British (8, 17), Irish (18), Spanish (19), Swedish (20) and Turkish (21) sub-populations showed higher prevalence among girls, while the data from the Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) survey (14, 16) and studies conducted on Austrian (22), Italian (23) and Finish sub-populations (24) indicated the opposite trends. The reasons for this may include gender differences in food choices and dietary concerns (16). Gender patterns may indicate that environmental influences are more detrimental for boys: boys eat more fast food (25), while girls are more likely to pay attention to food as a way to influence health and to meet nutritional recommendations (16). Furthermore, parents are less likely to encourage boys to control their weight (16) and preventive interventions are less effective for boys (14).

In Slovenia, the prevalence of OW&OB among children and adolescents has also increased dramatically in recent decades, especially in younger age groups (26–30). It is important to follow secular trends of OW&OB between age groups in childhood, as overweight and obese children have a greater risk of becoming overweight or obese adults (27, 31, 32). Independent of genetic differences, environmental variables (geographic position, socio-economic level of family, educational level of parents, maternal employment, etc.) are extremely important predictors of obesity that operate in complex ways, both within and between countries (8, 28). Ljubljana, the capital of Slovenia, located in central geographic position of the country, has the highest rate of GDP per capita and the highest purchasing power standards; furthermore, the earnings of persons employed in Ljubljana are the highest in the country (33).

Therefore, the main purpose of the study was to examine the prevalence of OW&OB among primary school children from Ljubljana, aged 7 to 14. The aim of the study was to analyse twenty-year trends in the prevalence according to sex and age.

2 METHODS

2.1 Participants

The repeated studies consist of boys (n = 194,415) and girls (n = 182,304) from Ljubljana primary schools who enrolled in the Slovenian physical fitness monitoring system (SLOFIT) (34) from 1991, the year of independence from the former Yugoslavia, until 2011. Only schools that were part of the Ljubljana municipality in 2011 were taken into consideration. Information on birth dates was obtained from school records. For data analysis, the age limits were set as follows: 7 years: 7.0–7.99 years, 8 years: 8.0–8.99 years, to 14 years: 14.0–14.99 years.

Only healthy boys and girls who were not exempt from physical education (PE) for health reasons and whose parents had given their written consent to participate in the measurements were included. The number of subjects whose data of body height and body weight were included in the analysis is presented in Figure 1 and 2. Between 1991 and 2011, slightly less than 95% of primary school boys and girls below the age of 15 were measured every year (30).
The Slovenian school system has undergone a number of changes in recent years. The new nine-year primary education system was gradually implemented between school years 1999/2000 to 2007/2008. Some of the students that started with schooling earlier (before the age of 6) were not included in the sample; they were either not yet 7-years-old or they were older than 15-years-old before the April when measurements were held. Therefore, at the ages 7 and 14, a smaller proportion of students was included in the measurements.

As a result of the birth rate having strongly decreased from after the independence of Slovenia to 2003 (27) and not all children having been included in the nine-year basic education system at the same time, a smaller number of students was measured until 2008.

2.2 Measurements

The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Sport, Ljubljana, Slovenia, and supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Sport. Measurements were held annually in April during PE classes in all Slovenian schools. All measurements of body height and body weight were conducted by trained PE teachers who completed a 30-hour course in anthropometric measurement during their studies, according to the standard protocol. Subjects were barefoot in their shorts and T-shirts. Height was measured with stadiometers of various brands to the nearest 0.1 cm and weight with pre-calibrated portable scales of various brands to the nearest 0.1 kg. The collected data were checked to detect coding errors.
2.3 Data analysis

Data were analysed with the use of the SPSS 18.0 statistical package. OW&OB were defined by International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) criteria (age- and sex-specific BMI cut-off points correspond to adults’ cut-off points of 25 kg/m² for overweight and 30 kg/m² for obesity) (5, 35) and the prevalence was determined separately for age (7- to 14-years old), sex and the year of measurement (1991 to 2011). Confidence intervals (CI) for the proportions were computed using the Collett formula (36). Multinomial logistic regression was performed to obtain adjusted odds ratios (AORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs), for modelling the probability of OW&OB as a function of time (year of measurement as covariate) and sex and age of subjects as factors. In 1991, girls at age 14 were used as reference categories, separately for OW&OB subsamples. The p-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3 RESULTS

Table 1. Prevalence of overweight children in Ljubljana from 1991 to 2011 by sex (in %).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year/Leto</th>
<th>Sex/Spol</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>Total/ Skupaj</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>Boys/ Fantje</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Boys/ Fantje</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Boys/ Fantje</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Boys/ Fantje</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Boys/ Fantje</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Boys/ Fantje</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls/ Dekleta</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The prevalence of OW&OB (%) between 1991 and 2011 when the entire sample (n = 376,719) is considered, irrespective of the boys’ and girls’ ages, is presented in Tables 1 and 2. The prevalence of OW&OB showed some small fluctuations but with significant trends of increasing. The proportion of overweight boys grew from 15.1% (n = 1,839; 95% CI: 14.5–15.8) in 1991 to 17.8% (n = 1,372; 95% CI: 16.9–18.6) in 2011 and obese boys from 2.9% (n = 352; 95% CI: 2.6–3.2) to 5.8% (n = 450; 95% CI: 5.3–6.4). The proportion of overweight girls grew from 13.5% (n = 1,528; 95% CI: 12.9–14.2) to 15.9% (n = 1,156; 95% CI: 15.1–16.7) and obese girls from 2.2% (n = 246; 95% CI: 1.9–2.5) to 3.7% (n = 266; 95% CI: 3.2–4.1) respectively. In all observed years, the prevalence of OW&OB was significantly higher among boys than among girls.

In recent years, it has been observed that the 9- to 13-year age group represents the largest proportion of OW&OB boys (between 23% and 26%), whereas among girls the highest proportions of OW&OB are observed among the 8- to 12-year age group (between 20% and 23%). The lowest percentage of OW&OB is observed among 7-year-old boys and 14-year-old girls.

The multinomial logistic regression model (Table 3) for the prediction of OW&OB with the sex and age of subjects as factors and year of measurement as covariate was found to be highly significant ($\chi^2$=289, p<.001). In every year from 1991 to 2011, the odds for OW&OB increased by 1.7% (95% CI: 1.6–1.9) and 3.7% (95% CI: 3.4–4) respectively. Boys have 1.17 (95% CI: 1.15–1.20) times higher odds of becoming overweight and 1.39 (95% CI: 1.35–1.44) times higher odds of becoming obese than girls. In comparison to reference group (age of 14), the highest odds for being overweight was found at the ages of nine and ten (AOR=1.39; 95% CI: 1.34–1.44), while for obesity the highest odds were at the age of eight years (AOR=2.01; 95% CI: 1.86–2.16).

4 DISCUSSION

The main finding of our study is that during 1991–2011, OW&OB became clearly more prevalent in children from Ljubljana with the only real exception at the beginning of the studied period, which is probably due to a significant migration of the population after the
establishment of the new state of Slovenia in 1991 (37).
The increase in the proportion of OW&OB children in
developed countries is a result of the different ways
young people spend their free time, characterised
mainly by physical inactivity (2) and inappropriate
diets marked by energy-rich food and unsuitable
eating habits (14, 16, 38). Furthermore, in Slovenia
the volume of free-time physical activities gradually
decreases with age (39–41) and the food intake
pattern (skipping breakfast and drinking soft-drinks
daily) deviates markedly from healthy eating patterns
(16).
After 1990, the prevalence of OW&OB children aged
around 7 to 11 years (using the IOTF cut-off points)
was especially high in southern Europe (Italy 36%,
Spain 34%, Greece 31%) and substantially lower in
northern Europe (Netherlands 12%, Denmark 15%,
Germany 16%) (11). In the 2006 HBSC study (based
on self-reporting), the prevalence of overweight among
11-, 13- and 15-year-olds was similar among Central,
Eastern and Northern-European (10.3% to 11.7%)
regions and much higher in the Southern-European
region (14). The same patterns were seen also in the
2009/2010 HBSC study (16): the highest rates of
OW&OB among 11- and 13-year-olds were seen in
North America (around 30%) but prevalence was also
high in Southern (Greek boys 26.3% and girls 15.3%;
Portuguese boys 24.7% and girls 16%; Italian boys
21.3% and girls 13%) and some Eastern European
countries (Polish boys 20.7% and girls 13.3%). In the
PRO Children Survey, parents reported height and
weight of children and BMI values were analysed using
the US Centres of Disease Control and Prevention and
the IOTF reference populations (15). They found that in
2003 the prevalence of OW&OB among 11-years olds
between the nine European countries varied from 8.6%
to 30.6% and 5.9% to 26.5% respectively, depending on
the reference population, with the lowest prevalence in
Dutch girls and the highest in Portuguese boys. Obesity
prevalence varied from 1.1% (Dutch and Danish girls) to
10.7% (Portuguese boys) and from 0.3% (Dutch girls)
to 6.2% (Portuguese boys) respectively. In 2009, more
11- and 13-years old girls and boys from Ljubljana were
overweight or obese in comparison with the Slovenian
sub-sample in the 2009/2010 HBSC study (11-year-
old girls 19.1% vs. 16%; 13-year-old girls 17.1% vs.
13%; 11-year-old boys 26.4% vs. 22%; 13-year old boys
25.8% vs. 20% respectively) (16). The results of this
study are not directly comparable with the results of
the HBSC Studies (14, 16) and the PRO Children Survey
(15), while self-reported weight and height could have
resulted in some underreporting of (over)weight (42).
Among Ljubljana’s girls, the highest increase of
OW&OB rates was observed between 1991–1996 and
and 2006–2011. The reasons for these differences
are unclear. Nevertheless, we can conclude that the
prevalence of OW&OB among Ljubljana’s juvenile
population, its secular trends and pattern of changes
from childhood to early adolescence are lower to those
in the USA (4, 16), Ireland (18) and countries from
the southern European region (Portugal, Spain, Italy,
Greece, Malta) (11, 15, 16, 43) and higher than in the
northern (Denmark, Finland, Sweden) (15, 16, 24,
44) and central European region (Austria, Germany,
Netherlands) (15, 16).
Moreover, in the twenty-year period, the increase
of prevalence of OW&OB among children from Ljubljana
is lower in comparison with the Slovenian population
(30). In 1991, more boys from Ljubljana were OW&OB
compared with the Slovenian population of the same
ages (Ljubljana 18% vs. the rest of Slovenia 15.9%),
while in 2011 contrary data were observed (Ljubljana
23.6% vs. the rest of Slovenia 27.9%). There were
small differences among girls from Ljubljana and girls
from the rest of Slovenia in 1991 (15.7% vs. 15.5%),
while in 2011 the prevalence of OW&OB is much
higher among girls from the rest of Slovenia than
among their counterparts from Ljubljana (24.6% vs.
19.6% respectively). A possible explanation for the less
prominent changes in BMI among Ljubljana’s school
population obviously lies in the changes in living habits,
including more opportunities for physical activity and
healthier nutrition. As the capital city, Ljubljana has the
highest rate of GDP per capita (33) and the highest
number of sport clubs (45); in the previous four years,
between 60 and 70 PE teachers have been employed
in sport clubs and paid by the municipality to ensure
free access to sport programmes for children and
youth (45). Although we cannot infer causality from
this study, our results may also indicate that changes
in the environment have not similarly affected all age
groups and the juvenile population living in different
environments (urban, sub-urban and rural).
Between 2010 and 2011, the prevalence of OW&OB
in Ljubljana’s population, especially among girls, may
have reached a plateau, as in some other countries
(4, 44, 46).
In 7-year-old children, the secular trend was less
prominent. In boys, the prevalence of OW&OB
showed no trend during the past 20 years (16.9%)
and among girls the prevalence was still decreased
(17.4% vs. 16.2% respectively). Similar findings have
been reported among pre-school children in the Czech
Republic, France, the Netherlands and Sweden (47,
48). It may be that 7-year-old children have retained
their need for spontaneous physical activity and they
do not spend so much time watching television and
using other electronic devices (24).
In recent years, the lowest percentage of OW&OB is observed among 14-year-old girls. Girls have been become slimmer in adolescence, most probably as a result of attention to foods as a way to meet nutritional recommendations (16). Obesity is rising at higher rates than overweight (odds 1.7% vs. 3.7% per year respectively), especially among boys. Interestingly, the physical activity level among primary school boys is still higher than among girls, but the level of their physical fitness has declined in previous decades, in comparison with girls (40). Moreover, the highest proportion of children with excessive weight is observed particularly in the period between the ages of 10 and 13, when young people have the best conditions available for sports activities in schools (three hours of PE per week; PE is taught by specialised PE teachers in good working conditions with a smaller number of children in a group, etc.) and still show an interest in free-time sports participation in sports clubs (40). Although there is a close correlation between the amount of body fat and BMI (49), it is not possible to make an exact prediction of excessive weight on the basis of BMI, because a higher BMI can be the result of a higher proportion of fat mass or muscle mass (50). It has been suggested that the reference values of the IOTF for a specific age group are not particularly suitable. This anomaly of the BMI distribution found on the studied population is also characteristic of other populations (51). For this reason, Starc and Strel (13) suggested that the nationally-specific BMI cut-off points, based on more recent data than international references, would be appropriate for defining underweight, overweight and obesity in Slovenian school-aged population.

The findings about differences between boys and girls in prevalence of OW&OB as defined by BMI are generally inconsistent (4, 8, 17–21, 23, 24) and may result from differences in biology (sex differences) or those assumed to be due to society or culture (gender differences), or a combination of the two (25, 52). However, more Ljubljana boys are overweight (from 13.2% to 18.7% vs. 11.4% to 16.1%) and obese (from 2.2% to 6.1% vs. 1.9% to 4.1%) than girls in all age groups in all observed years. Furthermore, a comparison with Škerlj’s data (53) showed that between 1939 and 2011 the BMI among 11- to 19-year olds from Ljubljana increased on average by 32.6% among boys and 21.4%, among girls respectively (12). Our results are similar to the data from the HBSC studies (14, 16) and previous studies from SLOFIT system data (26, 27, 30), while Planinšec and Fošnarič (28) found no differences in the prevalence of OW&OB among 6- to 12-year-old children of Slovenia (boys 18.3% and 6.5%, girls 18.5% and 6.7% respectively).

There are some limitations of the study. After 1996 (when new education legislation was accepted) only healthy students wishing to participate and having the written consent of their parents are included in SLOFIT system. According to annual reports, slightly less than 95% of primary school boys and girls below the age of 15 were measured every year. Nevertheless, the sampling procedure was the same throughout the study. Therefore, there is no reason for the described trends not to apply to the entire population of Ljubljana.

Note also that as almost entire population of students were included, the confidence intervals as evaluated by Collett formula are actually narrower (more precise) than reported in the results of this study.

5 CONCLUSION

Enormous socio-political and economic changes in Slovenia in the last 20 years have strongly influenced the lifestyles, nutritional habits of children and youth and their physical development (37–41). Clearly, the prevalence of OW&OB is also taking on epidemic proportions in Ljubljana’s school population. Obesity is growing at higher rates than overweight, especially among boys. Obesity in childhood often follows into adulthood (27, 54), which further supports the importance of preventing childhood obesity. More efficient management of the ever-increasing problem of OW&OB requires a comprehensive, multi- and inter-disciplinary approach as well as the establishment of a supportive environment that will encourage and ensure healthy conduct of children and youth. An efficient approach necessitates systemic measures from all competent authorities, a transformation of the health system into one supporting the prevention of illness and the cooperation of nutritional and other experts and media. Adequate education and the promotion of a healthy lifestyle needs to be included into formal and informal education, as the establishment of healthy decision-making patterns and a healthy lifestyle for all age groups is a prerequisite for alleviating the problem of OW&OB (55). Therefore, certain measures are suggested such as public interventions focused on changing dietary intake and physical activity, safeguarding the school neighbourhood so that children can walk or cycle to and from school, maintenance of playgrounds near the school thus allowing for spontaneous physical activity, an improvement in the quality of PE, e.g. a smaller number of children per teacher in the first few years of education, involving the combined teaching of general teachers and PE teachers (56, 57), and free access to out-of-school sport programmes for all children. However, it is also vital that parents ensure healthy eating habits and limit children’s time spent in front of television and computer screens.
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