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ABSTRACT

Intracranial aneurisms are ongoing problem for neurosurgeons and especially for interventional neuroradiologists due to its morbidity and mortality. The method of choice for treatment of the unruptured and ruptured intracranial aneurisms is endovascular coiling on account of its minimal invasiveness and high effectiveness. The aim of our study was to evaluate the safety and successfulness of endovascular coil procedure in unruptured and ruptured intracranial aneurysms.

Our study was designed as case series and consisted of patients older than 18 years, who underwent endovascular coiling of unruptured and ruptured intracranial aneurysms and follow-up examination 6 months after the interventional procedure. The procedures were performed from December 2010 to December 2016, by experienced interventional neuroradiologists (more than 400 performed embolizations each) at the Department for Interventional Neuroradiology, Clinical Center Kragujevac, Serbia.

There were 681 patients (average age 47.5 ± 11.2 years) treated with endovascular coiling, out of them 324 (234 females, 90 males) had unruptured intracranial aneurysm and 357 (138 females, 219 males) had ruptured intracranial aneurysm. In our series, total complication rate was 11.71 %. Analysis of the results after first endovascular procedure has shown that complete aneurysm occlusion was accomplished in 546 patients (80.3%), near-complete in 81 patients (11.8%), and incomplete in 54 patients (7.9 %).

Our results were satisfying regarding the procedure’s success, safety, outcomes and study material. However, further technical development of the materials and constant training of the interventional radiologists, are a necessity in order to improve treatment outcomes and patients’ benefit.
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SAŽETAK

Intrakranijalne aneurizme su problem kako za neurohirurge, tako i za intervencne neuroradiologe zbog svog morbidi- teta i mortaliteta. Metoda izbora za lečenje nerupturiranih i rupturiranih intrakranijalnih aneurizmi je endovaskular- ni koiling zbog minimalne invazivnosti i visoke efikasnosti. Cilj naše studije je bio da se proceni bezbednost i uspešnost procedure endovaskularnog koilovanja kod nerupturiranih i rupturiranih intrakranijalnih aneurizmi.

Naša studija je dizajnirana kao serijska slučajeva i sastoja- la se od pacijenata starijih od 18 godina, koji su podvrgnuti endovaskularnom koilovanju nerupturiranih i rupturiranih intrakranijalnih aneurizmi i naknadnom pregledu 6 meseci nakon intervencne procedure. Procedure su sprovedene od decembra 2010. do decembra 2016. godine od strane isku- snih intervencnih neuroradiologa (više od 400 izvedenih embolizacija) na Odeljenju za intervencnu neuroradiologiju Kliničkog centra Kragujevac, Srbija.

Bilo je 681 pacijenata lećenih endovaskularnim koilovanjem (prosečna starost 47.5 ± 11.2 godina), od kojih je 324 (234 žena, 90 muškaraca) imalo nerupturiranu intrakranijalnu aneurizmu, a 357 (138 žena, 219 muškaraca) imalo rupturiranu intrakranijalnu aneurizmu. U našoj seriji, ukupna stopa komplikacija bila je 11.71%. Analiza rezultata nakon prve endovaskularne procedure pokazala je da je kompletna okluzija aneurizme izvršena kod 546 pacijenata (80.3%), skoro-kompletna okluzija kod 81 pacijenta (11.8%) i nepotpuna okluzija kod 54 pacijenta (7.9%).

Naši rezultati su zadovoljavajući u pogledu uspeha, bez- bednosti, ishoda i studijskog materijala. Međutim, dodatni tehnološki razvoj materijala i stalna obuka intervencnih radiolo- loga su neophodni u cilju poboljšanja ishoda lečenja i koristi pacijenata.

Ključne reči: endovaskularni koil, embolizacija, intrakranijalna aneurizma, bezbednost, komplikacija, evaluacija
INTRODUCTION

Intracranial aneurisms (IA) are ongoing problem for neurosurgeons and especially for interventional neuroradiologists due to its morbidity and mortality. Its prevalence was estimated to be between 0.5% and 6%, in adults (1). They are multiple in 10-30% of cases (2). In majority of patients, aneurysm ruptures which results in subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) (3). In developed countries, such as United States, around 27000 new patients with SAH after ruptured intracranial aneurysm (RIA) occur, while the incidence of SAH in the western countries is around 6-10 per 100 000 inhabitants per year (4,5). In Serbia the incidence of rupture and SAH goes between 8 to 10 per 100 000 inhabitants per year (5). These patients usually come with „sudden severe headache of atypical quality“, coma or severe neurologic disfunction, but they can also have mild clinical presentation (6,7). Cerebrospinal fluid examination and imaging with magnetic resonance (MR) imaging and MR angiography are used as diagnostic modalities (5). Still, mortality rates after subarachnoid hemorrhage are around 40% and due to this fact, diagnosis and treatment of intracranial aneurysm before the rupture are crucial (8).

Diagnose of the unruptured intracranial aneurisms (UIA) is growing due to the increasing use of modern imaging modalities (9,10). The prevalence of UIA is around 1-7%, and some authors suggest that 30% of general population has an UIA (8,11). These patients present with palsy of the cranial nerves or brain stem compression (2). Most of the UIA are small (65-85%), with diameter less than 5mm or up to 7 mm in diameter and they have the risk of rupture less or qual than 1% per year (12). The rupture of the UIA is rare, but high in mortality (13). It was noticed that there is a raise of cost associated with the diagnosis and treatment of the UIA in the United States (14). This was due to the expanded use of MR angiography and computed tomography angiography (12,14).

The method of choice for treatment of the unruptured and ruptured intracranial aneurysms is endovascular coil- ing on account of its minimal invasiveness and high effectivenss (3,4,14,15). This technique is presented by Gugliermi’s discovery of detachable coils and the essence of the procedure is to exclude the aneurysm from the circulation by use of detachable coils after suitable placement of a microcatheter in the aneurysm (11,16). It is proven that endovascular embolization shortens hospitalization length and improves recuperation on account of its ability to prevent aneurismal rupture and intracranial hemorrhage (17). Because of that more advanced way of treatment was designed in the form of bioactive coils (18). However, despite its effectiveness, the treatment is occasionally accompanied with perianeurymsal edema (PAE) (15). The relationship between the occurrence of the perianeurymsal edema and use of bioactive coils in the treatment is still under research (15,17). Other complications of the coil embolization are: cerebral vasospasm, artery occlusion, aneurysm perforation, coil migration, thromboembolism etc. (2).

The aim of our study was to evaluate the safety and suc- cessfulness of endovascular coiling procedure in unruptured and ruptured intracranial aneurysms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Our study was designed as case series and consisted of patients older than 18 years, who underwent endovascular coiling of unruptured and ruptured intracranial aneu- rysms and follow-up examination 6 months after the interventional procedure. The procedures were performed by experienced interventional neuroradiologists (more than 400 performed embolizations each) at the Department for Interventional Neuroradiology, Clinical Center Kragujevac, Serbia from December 2010 to December 2016.

The study participants had given written consent before the study onset, and the Ethics Committee of the Clinical Center had approved the study. The Helsinki Declaration as well as applicable guidelines were followed.

Every patient was subjected to digital subtraction angiography of brain, MR imaging of brain and multidetector computed tomography of brain, prior to the interventional endovascular procedure. Treatment strategy was chosen based on the diagnostic images and clinical manifestation. The embolizations were made by „etachable platinum coils: pure platinum, hydrophilic and combination of platinum and hydrophilic coils“. Self-expanding stents were used for pre- vention of the coil extrusion in aneurysms with broad neck.

The aneurysms were categorized according to their size and location. Technical failure was defined as „an attempted embolization procedure during which coils could not be successfully deployed“. Any procedural or other subsequent complication was recorded and ana- lyzed in order to collect information about procedure’s safety. The successnessulness of the endovascular coils was estimated by initial post-embolization angiography and then by digital subtraction angiography after 6 months, using the following categories: complete occlusion of an
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aneurysm (98%–100%), near-complete occlusion (90%–98%), and incomplete occlusion (<90%). Patients were followed after the procedure and Modified Rankin Score was used to determine clinical outcome (scores 0-2: good outcome; scores 3-5: dependency, cannot attend own bodily needs and carry out daily activities without assistance; score 6: death).

Statistical analysis of the data was accomplished with the descriptive statistics, primarily using medians, means and standard deviations for continuous variables, and percentages and odds for categorical variables. The differences among study groups were considered significant if the probability of null hypothesis was <0.05.

RESULTS

There were 681 patients (average age 47.5 ± 11.2 years) treated with endovascular coiling, out of them 324 (234 females, 90 males) had unruptured intracranial aneurysm and 357 (138 females, 219 males) had ruptured intracranial aneurysm. Figures 1-4 present patients with ruptured and
unruptured aneurysms before and after endovascular coil-ing procedure. Also, mean aneurysm size was calculated to be 18.85 mm in diameter. Location of the aneurysm, its size and Modified Rankin Score are presented in Table 1.

Technical success of the procedure was assessed by the evaluation of the procedure and after 6 months examination. Analysis of the results after first endovascular procedure has shown that complete IA occlusion was accomplished in 546 patients (80.3%), near-complete in 81 patients (11.8%), and incomplete in 54 patients (7.9%). These rates were also investigated after six months and it was determined that complete occlusion persisted in 528 patients, near-complete in 75 patients, and incomplete in 38 patients. In thirty eight patients, outcome was death. Occlusion rate was investigated depending on the aneurysm size. Our results revealed that the complete occlusion was successful in all patients with aneurysms <10 mm in diameter, after first endovascular treatment and after 6 months of follow-up. Nevertheless, angiograms of aneurysms >10 mm in diameter have shown that after 6 months, 35 patients had recanalization of the aneurysm, even though their aneurysms were completely occluded after the first procedure. The endovascular coiling procedure in this study led to a significant decrease in aphasia, confusion and frequency of motor deficit in the upper and lower extremities.

Procedure safety was estimated based on the occurrence of the coiling complications. In our series, total complication rate was 11.71%. Death rate was 5.5%. The occurrence of individual complications, due to the endovascular coiling procedure are presented in Table 2.

**DISCUSSION**

It has been published by several authors that endovascular embolization of intracranial aneurysms with detachable coils is an effective method for patients’ treatment (19,20). Previously, the golden standard for the treatment of IA was surgical clipping (21). Thanks to the technical advancement, this conception has changed and interventional procedures have found their way in this field (20,22). Gugliemi’s discovery of detachable coils brought the opportunity to treat the patients with IA more efficiently and more effective (16). This technique as previously described has its complications.

Different studies have shown that their mortality rates varied from 0.6% to 29% (4,23,24). One of the published studies had presented that death rate of patients with IA who underwent coil embolization was 6.21 % (25). This study was performed on both ruptured and unruptured intracranial aneurysms and it stated that 1.74% of deaths was due to the technical complications and in 4.47% of patients the main cause of death was severity of the hemorrhage (25). In our series, mortality rate was 5.5% which is a good result compared to the published literature and is in good accordance comparing to the other studies (23-25).

The coils embolization outcomes were compared with the surgical treatment and it was found, by the other authors, that the risk of significant disability was 22.6% lower, as well as risk of death in favour of coiling procedure (2,13). Our case series showed good clinical outcome, as 78% of patients had grade 1 or 2 on Modified Rankin Score, which is in accordance with previous studies (Jahromi: 63% and Sluzewski: 84% ) (19,26). Also, all of our patients with small aneurysms (less than 10 mm) had successful treatment, while larger aneurysms demonstrated predisposition for new coiling. This was justified with the aneurysm size and their closeness to the large blood vessels which is delicate factor that may lead to extensive ischemia after potential compression.

Our study has also evaluated the complications of the procedure. In our series complication rate was 11.71 %, which is similar with previous studies (12.4%) (27). We have shown that none of the patients with incomplete occlusion had repeated rupture after six month follow-up examination, which may be due to the smaller sample size than previous study which reported that 1% out of 1005 patients had rebleeding (28). It was also shown that the risk of rebleeding is around 1.4% by another study (11). Our rate of the perianeurysmal edema is in agreement with previously published papers in which PAE rate was 33.3% of all cases (29). Also, our symptomatic PAE rate was

---

**Table 1. Aneurysm characteristics and patients’ Modified Rankin Score results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of ruptured aneurysm</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carotid artery</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle cerebral artery</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior communicating or cerebral artery</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior circulation</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of aneurysm</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≤10 mm</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥25 mm</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15 mm</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;15 mm</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modified Rankin Score</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good (score:1-2)</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependency (score: 3-5)</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death (score 6)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Occurrence of the endovascular coiling procedure complications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Percentage, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perianeurysmal edema</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic perianeurysmal edema</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral vasospasm</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thromboembolism</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artery occlusion</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneurysm perforation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil migration</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.5%, and other studies have shown that this rate can be very small or it goes up to 14.3% (15,30).

In conclusion, our results were satisfying regarding the procedure's success, safety, outcomes and study material. The material that is used for the endovascular procedures has relevant role in the treatment of patients with intracranial aneurysms. However, further technical development of the materials and constant training of the interventional radiologists, are a necessity in order to improve treatment outcomes and patients’ benefit.
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