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Abstract

Growth traits, wood properties, stem-branch characteristics and bark percentage were assessed for 60 *Eucalyptus urophylla* S.T. Blake clones in southern China measured at age 21, 52, 71 and 96 months. Analysis of variance showed that there were significant differences on growth traits, wood properties and individual tree wood weight among clones. Coefficients of genotypic variation ranged from 12.12% to 53.16% for growth traits, 9.02% to 20.18% for wood properties, 21.75% to 22.71% for stem-branch characteristics, 28.31% for bark percentage and 51.20% for individual tree wood weight. Repeatability ranged from 0.36 to 0.53 for growth traits, 0.35 to 0.51 for wood properties, 0.21 to 0.24 for stem-branch characteristics, 0.07 for bark percentage and 0.31 for individual tree wood weight. The strongly negative genotypic correlations suggesting that selection on growth traits at 21 months can not be effective to predict growth traits at 96 months whereas it could be used to predict growth traits at 52 and 71 months. The genotypic correlations between growth traits and basic density were ranged from −0.78 to 0.28 and weakly positive phenotypic correlations were found between growth traits, wood properties and individual tree wood weight among clones. Coefficients of genotypic variation showed that there were significant differences on growth traits, wood properties and individual tree wood weight among clones. Coefficients of genotypic variation ranged from 12.12% to 53.16% for growth traits, 9.02% to 20.18% for wood properties, 21.75% to 22.71% for stem-branch characteristics, 28.31% for bark percentage and 51.20% for individual tree wood weight. Repeatability ranged from 0.36 to 0.53 for growth traits, 0.35 to 0.51 for wood properties, 0.21 to 0.24 for stem-branch characteristics, 0.07 for bark percentage and 0.31 for individual tree wood weight. The strongly negative genotypic correlations suggesting that selection on growth traits at 21 months can not be effective to predict growth traits at 96 months whereas it could be used to predict growth traits at 52 and 71 months. The genotypic correlations between growth traits and basic density were ranged from −0.78 to 0.28 and weakly positive phenotypic correlations were found between growth traits, wood properties and individual tree wood weight among clones. Coefficients of genotypic variation showed that there were significant differences on growth traits, wood properties and individual tree wood weight among clones.
traits and basic density, ranging from 0.03 to 0.09. The selection gain on diameter at breast height over bark by different selection proportions at 21, 52, 71 and 96 months old expressed that selection gain at 71 months was some what higher than that at other ages during 10% to 30% selection proportion, while selection gain at 52 months was some what higher than that at other ages during 60% to 90% selection proportion. Wood properties and individual tree wood weight which are strongly related to end production and economically important in pulp production should be studied extensively especially for pulp breeding.
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**Introduction**

With the steady increase in global population and the reduction of native forest and the protection of natural forests by governments, particularly in developing countries, tree plantations and agroforestry have become the basic source to meet the increasing demand for pulp and paper and wood products (Xu and Dell, 2002; Huang and Dell, 2002; Danusevicius and Lindgren, 2002; Bull et al., 2006; Kien et al., 2009). Forest trees, unlike livestock or crops, are typified by long rotations and long breeding cycles and the expression of genes in relation to age and relationships with environment also need to be considered in tree genetics and tree breeding (Kusnandar et al., 1998; Borralho, 2002; Bouvet et al., 2003). As an important type of forestry, commercial forest plantations have become a competitive alternative to harvesting natural forests in many parts of the world, especially in the tropical and sub-tropics (Henri, 2001). The launching of large-scale commercial plantation programs and a significant increase in the area of plantations were in 1960s and 2000s (Bull et al., 2006). However, short-rotation plantation management which have rotation often less than 10 years has been becoming a more and more important forest practice in many countries, particularly those lacking land or forest resources and with pressures from increasing populations and demands on forest products, for various uses such as energy, construction material production, pulp wood and other purposes (Wei and Borralho, 1996; Fang et al., 1999; Wei, 2002; Lemenih and Bekele, 2004).

Among the genera selected for plantations, Eucalyptus has many characteristics that make it more suitable for short-rotation plantation and become most widely used genetic populations occur (Xu and Dell, 2002; Huang and Dell, 2002; Danusevicius and Lindgren, 2002; Kien et al., 2009; Warren et al., 2009). Compared with other Eucalyptus species growing throughout China, Eucalyptus urophylla S.T. Blake is very important plantation in tropical and subtropical area (Chen et al., 2006; He et al., 2011). E. urophylla is native to seven islands of the Sumba archipelago in eastern Indonesia, in a latitudinal range from 7°30’S to 10°S and altitudinal range from 90 to 3000 m (Wright and Osorio, 1996; Xu et al., 2001; Qi, 2002; Kien et al., 2009). It was introduced into Southern China in 1980s under the Australia-China Program of Technical Cooperation (Mckenny, 1998). In China, superior clones for cellulose production have been abstained mainly through inter-specific hybridization between E. urophylla and other species, via natural or controlled pollination, owing to the good performance for wood volume of same species in hybrid combinations (Hardy et al., 2002; Berken, 2004; Luo et al., 2010). However, they grow poor in the tropical climate with mean annual rainfall of less than 1000 mm and a long hot dry season of more than six months (Varghese et al., 2008).

Clonal forestry, in a strict sense, refers to use a number of tested, selected and identified clones in plantation forestry for estimating basic genetic parameters in order to determine the best strategies for clonal testing and breeding, and to predict genetic gains from deploying the best clones (Osorio et al., 2001; Osorio et al., 2003; Hai et al., 2006; Li et al., 2011). Indeed, widely used eucalypt cultivation in China is based on a small number of clones (Pegg et al., 2006; Li et al., 2011), and overwhelming majority of them are established with germplasm derived wholly from E. grandis W. Hill ex maiden, E. urophylla or from hybrids of these two species (Hardy et al., 2002; Berken, 2004; Luo et al., 2010). These large areas of monoclonal or oligoclonal plantations of restricted genetic diversity carry potential risks both ecological and genetically (Aravangopoulos et al., 1999; Wang et al., 1999; Forrester et al., 2006; Plura et al., 2007).

Through more than 30 years of testing and domestication, there has been remarkable progress in terms of wood volume in China. However, the mean annual increment in volume of eucalypt plantations in China is nearly the mean annual yield of eucalypt plantations in the world. In some tropical and subtropical regions, the mean annual yield of eucalypt plantations is more than 20 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, and some commercial plantations in countries such as Brazil, South Africa, Congo and Australia can be as much as 30–90 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (Mo et al., 2002; Toit et al., 2010). More over, productivity of eucalypt plantations is very variable from 2 to 70 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, which suggesting a great potential for improving productivity of eucalypt plantations in southern China (Yang, 2003; Xu et al., 2003a). Consequently, selecting new clones and increasing the productivity of existing plantations to supply the growing consumption of wood and offer the largest potential for reducing the unit cost of wood production are extremely urgent in China.

Improvement of growth, stem straightness and resistance has been the primary focus of eucalypts breeding owing to their economic importance and ease of measurement. Numerous studies have been conducted in an attempt to understand genetic parameters and breeding value in growth traits, stem characteristics and resistance for E. urophylla families and provenances at early
ages (Huang et al., 1999; Leite et al., 2002; Liang and Bai, 2003; Liu et al., 2003, 2004; Xu et al., 2003b, 2005; Li et al., 2004, 2010; Li et al., 2005a, 2005b; Quang et al., 2009; Kien et al., 2009). Currently, the information on genetic parameters, genetic gain and correlations between multiple-traits of E. urophylla clones with age trends are limited in China.

The objectives of this study were to: (1) compare growth traits, stem characteristics and wood properties of different clones, (2) estimate repeatability of traits with age trends, (3) look at the genotypic and phenotypic relationships between growth traits, stem characteristics and wood properties, (4) evaluate genetic gain for different purposes, and (5) explore the implications for tree improvement. This information will be used to develop appropriate selection strategies for eucalypt breeding programs in southern China.

Material and Methods

Trial description

The trial was established at Duhui village of Jiangmen City in Guangdong (22°33'N, 113°02'E, 45m asl), and is affected by the south tropical monsoon with annual mean temperature of 22.3°C and annual mean rainfall of 1750 mm. Mean January temperature at this location is 6.7°C, mean for July 28.3°C and the minimum temperature was 2.9°C. There is not frost period and average annual mean accumulated temperature is 7653°C. The red lateritic earth was derived from sandstone. The dominant plants in the undergrowth of the original tree canopy included Dicranopteris pedata (Honrut.) Nakaike, Mussaenda pubseens Ait and Rhodomyrtus tomentosa Hassk. Planting pits (60 cm × 50 cm × 40 cm) were prepared and 0.75 kilogram eucalypts fertilizer (N: P: K = 8: 15: 8) was applied in first years for individual tree. The details of assessed clones are presented in Table 1. Sixty clones were planted in April 2003 and most of them were selected and propagated from a provenance / family trials established in 1995. Clone 10, 21, 22, 25 and 36 were superior phenotypes selected from other family tests. The seed lot of clone 40, 46 and 54 were mixed included 14531, 14532, 12895 and 12987. The field design was a randomized complete block with 20 replications and one-tree line plots planted with a spacing of 3.0 m × 2.0 m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clones No.</th>
<th>Seed lot</th>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>Latitude (S)</th>
<th>Longitude (E)</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>12897</td>
<td>Mt. Mandiri, IND</td>
<td>8°33'</td>
<td>122°35'</td>
<td>830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6, 31, 53, 60</td>
<td>14531</td>
<td>Mt. Egon, Flores, IND</td>
<td>8°38'</td>
<td>122°27'</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5, 16, 35, 43, 45, 51</td>
<td>14532</td>
<td>Mt. Lewotobi, Flores, IND</td>
<td>8°31'</td>
<td>122°45'</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>14533</td>
<td>Flores Island, IND</td>
<td>8°31'</td>
<td>122°45'</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, 3, 4, 9, 27, 28, 41, 47, 57, 59</td>
<td>14534</td>
<td>Mt. Egon, Flores, IND</td>
<td>8°38'</td>
<td>122°27'</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 8, 12, 13, 18, 20, 24, 32, 33, 34, 52</td>
<td>17565</td>
<td>Lewotobi, Flores Island, IND</td>
<td>8°32'</td>
<td>122°48'</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26, 48</td>
<td>17566</td>
<td>Wuiko, Flores, IND</td>
<td>8°73'</td>
<td>122°38'</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23, 30, 37, 39, 42, 44, 49, 55, 56</td>
<td>17567</td>
<td>Egon, Flores, IND</td>
<td>8°38'</td>
<td>122°27'</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>17571</td>
<td>Mt. Egon, Flores, IND</td>
<td>8°38'</td>
<td>122°27'</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19, 38, 50</td>
<td>17572</td>
<td>Mt. Egon, Flores, IND</td>
<td>8°37'</td>
<td>122°27'</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14, 17</td>
<td>17574</td>
<td>Mt. Egon, Flores Island, IND</td>
<td>8°36'</td>
<td>122°28'</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7, 58</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10, 21, 22, 25, 36</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Mixed Provenances include 14531, 14532, 12895 and 12987.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40, 46, 54</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Selected From Other Family Trials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data collection

Measurements of diameter at breast height over bark (DBHOB in cm) and height (HGT in m) were collected in January 2006, August 2008, March 2010 and April 2011, at which time the trials were aged 21, 52, 71 and 96 months. Individual tree volume over bark (VOL in m³) was calculated using the following formula as described by McKENNEY et al. (1991):

\[
VOL = HGT \times DBHOB^2/30000 \quad (1)
\]

Bark percentage (Bark Perc) for each individual tree was defined as the ratio of the area of bark at breast height (1.3 m) to total cross-sectional area at the same height (Wu et al., 2011b).

\[
\text{Bark Perc} \% = \frac{DBHOB^2 - (DBHOB-2BT)^2}{DBHOB^2} \times 100\% \quad (2)
\]

where BT (cm) is the average bark thickness. Bark thickness was measured using a caliper for former ten replications trees in April 2011.

The equation of wood volume under bark (VOLU) is the product of diameter at breast under bark (DBHUB) and height.

\[
VOLU = HGT \times DBHUB^2/300000 \quad (3)
\]

And the individual tree wood weight (WW, in kg) also can be recognized as the product of VOLU and basic density (BD).

\[
WW = VOLU \times BD \times 1000 \quad (4)
\]

BD was determined by a volumetric method, which required measuring weight of water displaced by immersion and oven dry weight of core in the laboratory (MIRANDA et al., 2001; ISIK and LI, 2003; PELLETIER et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2010, 2011a). Five millimeter thick increment cores, passed from bark to bark through the pith, were obtained at breast height (1.3 m) from the same trees that were measured with caliper for bark thickness in April 2011. Cores were removed in the north-south orientation as this corresponded to the direction of the planted rows. The increment cores were immediately stored in plastic tubes with both ends sealed (KIEN et al., 2008).

Modulus of elasticity (MOE) often considered as an important indicator of wood quality was used to be calculated by green density of cores and stress wave velocity (SWV) by Fakopp microsecond timer (WANG et al., 2008; MOND et al., 2004; KNOWLES et al., 2004; WAGHORN et al., 2007; MATHESON et al., 2008; ISHIGURI et al., 2008):

\[
MOE = \rho \omega^2 \quad (5)
\]

where \( \rho \) is the green wood density of the stem in g · cm⁻³, \( \omega \) is the SWV in m · s⁻¹.

SWV was determined from sample length (L = 1500 mm) and transit time (t) as (DICKSON et al., 2003; RAYMOND et al., 2004; WAGHORN et al., 2007; MATHESON et al., 2008; ISHIGURI et al., 2008):

\[
SWV = L/t \quad (6)
\]

The transit time was measured for former ten replications trees in April 2011.

Stem straightness (STR) was assessed using a four-class relative scoring, where class 4 is a very straight stem without knots and scars, 2–3 is straight or has a slight bend at one position with few knots and scars, 1 is a very crooked stem. Branch size (BRA) was assessed using a three-class relative scoring, where class 3 is a tree with small and uniform branch, 2 is a tree with acceptable branch, 1 is a tree with very big branches. They were graded for all trees in April 2011.

Statistical analysis

Variation among ramets of the sampled clones was analyzed by analysis of variance, using a linear model (HANSEN and ROULUND, 1996):

\[
y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + e_{ij} \quad (7)
\]

where \( y_{ij} \) is the performance of the ramet of \( i \)th clone within the \( j \)th replicate, and \( \mu \) is the general mean, \( \alpha_i \) is the random effect of the \( i \)th clone, \( \beta_j \) is the random effect of the \( j \)th replicate, \( e_{ij} \) is the random error.

The repeatability of the clone mean was calculated following HAI et al. (2008a):

\[
R = \frac{\sigma_\alpha^2}{\sigma_\alpha^2 + \sigma_\beta^2 + \sigma_e^2}, \quad (8)
\]

where \( \sigma_\alpha^2 \) is the variance of clone, \( \sigma_\beta^2 \) is the variance of replicate, \( \sigma_e^2 \) is the residual variance.

The genotypic coefficient of variation (CV) was calculated as (PLIURA et al., 2007; HAI et al., 2008):

\[
CV = \left(\frac{100 \sigma_e}{\sigma_x}\right) \times 100\%, \quad (9)
\]

where \( x \) is the phenotypic mean. The equation expresses a standardized measure of the genetic variance relative to the mean of trait.

The genotypic correlation \( r_{A(xy)} \) of traits \( x \) and \( y \) was calculated as (PLIURA et al., 2007; STACKPOLE et al., 2010):

\[
\Gamma_{A(xy)} = \frac{\sigma_{A(xy)}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{a(x)} \times \sigma_{a(y)}}} \quad (10)
\]

where \( \sigma_{A(xy)}^2 \) is the clone covariance component for the trait \( x \), \( \sigma_{a(xy)}^2 \) is the clone variance component for the trait \( y \) and \( \sigma_{a(xy)}^2 \) is the clone covariance component.

Simple weighting coefficient equation as follows:

\[
I = w_i \frac{h_i^2(X_i - \bar{X})}{\sigma_i} + w_j \frac{h_j^2(X_j - \bar{X})}{\sigma_j} + \ldots + w_k \frac{h_k^2(X_k - \bar{X})}{\sigma_k} \quad (11)
\]

where \( I \) is the selection index value, \( w_i \) is the relative economic weight of \( i \)th trait, \( h_i^2 \) is the clonal repeatability of \( i \)th trait, \( X_i \) is mean value of \( i \)th trait within clone, \( \bar{X} \) is the general mean within trait and \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation of \( i \)th trait.

The genetic gain (%) was calculated as (XU et al., 2003a):

\[
\Delta G = R \cdot S \cdot X^{-1} \quad (12)
\]

where \( R \) is the clonal repeatability, \( S \) is deviation between selected mean and general mean and \( X^{-1} \) is the general mean.

The significance of fixed effects was assessed using F-tests. Meanwhile, the standard errors of genotypic
correlations were calculated following methodologies described by PHIURA et al. (2006) and MACDONALD et al. (1997). The analysis of variance and genotypic correlation were performed using the PROC ANOVA and VARCHCOMP in SAS.

**Results and Discussion**

**Variance among clones and replicates**

The analysis of variance of studied traits among clones and replicates at different ages is presented in [Table 2](#). The results showed that there were significant differences on growth traits, SWV, BD, MOE and WW among clones, indicating clear differences among clones. According to equation 4, WW was the product of VOLU and BD. And both of them among clones were significant differences at 0.01 level, whereas the WW differences among clones was only on 0.05 level. This may be due to the ubiquitous negative relationships between growth traits and BD (WEI and BORRALHO, 1997; MACDONALD et al., 1997; KIEN et al., 2008). However, the differences on stem-branch characteristics and bark percentage among clones were not significant expressing that there were not differences among clones.

![Table 2. – Analysis of variance of the studied traits at 21, 52, 71 and 96 months old.](#)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>Clones</th>
<th>Replicates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGT (m)</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 months old</td>
<td>DBHOB(cm)</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOL (m³)</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGT (m)</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 months old</td>
<td>DBHOB(cm)</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOL (m³)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGT (m)</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 months old</td>
<td>DBHOB(cm)</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOL (m³)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGT (m)</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96 months old</td>
<td>DBHOB(cm)</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOL (m³)</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VOLU (m³)</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STR</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.1044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRA</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.0707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bark Perc (%)</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.3401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWV (km s⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD (g cm⁻³)</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOE (GPa)</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.0199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW (kg)</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.0392</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HGT height; DBHOB diameter at breast height over bark; VOL volume over bark; VOLU volume under bark; STR stem straightness; BRA branch size; Bark Perc bark percentage; SWV stress wave velocity; BD wood basic density; MOE modulus of elasticity; WW individual tree wood weight; F the F-ratio; P the probability of the F-ratio.
Furthermore, there were significant differences on HGT, DBHOB, stem-branch characteristics, SWV, BD and MOE among replicates at different ages at least in part due to the relatively uneven site conditions, whereas the differences on VOL, bark percentage and WW among replicates were not significant suggesting the less environmental effects on these traits. It was interesting to find that the replicates differences on VOL changed from significant differences at 0.01 level at 21 months old to significant differences at 0.05 level at 52 age months and no significant differences at 71 and 96 months old implying the environmental effects on VOL decreased with age trend. Further, the probability of the F-ratio among replicates reached peak at 71 months and then decreased nearly 0.05 level at 96 months though the value of VOLU was 0.30 at this time. A possible explanation may be at least in part due to the former environmental effects made by uneven site condition and the latter environmental effects made by relationships among individual trees and bark thickness.

### Clonal variation and repeatability

Mean clonal value and ranges for growth traits, stem-branch characteristics and wood properties at four ages are presented in Table 3. The mean DBHOB at 21, 52, 71 and 96 months were 10.46, 12.94, 14.11 and 16.94 cm respectively. Therefore the increments between ages were 2.48, 1.17 and 2.83 cm during 31, 19 and 25 months. These results are somewhat bigger than published material on *E. urophylla* (Kien et al., 2009) and other species in Pakistan (Mahmood et al., 2003), India (Varghese et al. 2008), Vietnam (Kien et al., 2010), Aus-

#### Table 3. — Mean value, ranges, coefficient of variation and clonal repeatability of the studied traits at 21, 52, 71 and 96 months old.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>Mean (m)</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>CV%</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21 months old</td>
<td>HGT (m)</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>19.50</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>12.12</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>14.90</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOL (m³)</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>37.04</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 months old</td>
<td>DBHOB(cm)</td>
<td>12.94</td>
<td>25.80</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>16.41</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.11</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>20.70</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOL (m³)</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>42.10</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 months old</td>
<td>HGT (m)</td>
<td>21.95</td>
<td>27.20</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>27.19</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.11</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>24.68</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOL (m³)</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>31.04</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96 months old</td>
<td>DBHOB(cm)</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>34.11</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>52.43</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOLU (m³)</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>53.16</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STR</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>21.75</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRA</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>22.71</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bark Perc (%)</td>
<td>14.70</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>28.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>28.31</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWV (km s⁻¹)</td>
<td>402.97</td>
<td>276.33</td>
<td>573.33</td>
<td>36.21</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD (g cm⁻³)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOE (GPa)</td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>30.96</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>20.18</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW (kg)</td>
<td>99.57</td>
<td>14.54</td>
<td>289.10</td>
<td>52.54</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>51.20</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HGT height; DBHOB diameter at breast height over bark; VOL volume over bark; VOLU volume under bark; STR stem straightness; BRA branch size; Bark Perc bark percentage; SWV stress wave velocity; BD wood basic density; MOE modulus of elasticity; WW individual tree wood weight; SD standard deviation; SE standard error; CV genotypic coefficient of variation; R repeatability.
Australia (Kube et al., 2001) and China (Wu et al., 2011b). Wu et al. (2011b) reported that the mean DBH/DBH ranged from 11.98 to 12.60 cm for 19 commercial hybrid eucalypt clones at three sites in southern China measured at age 51 months.

The mean DB values were 0.50 (±0.00) g m⁻³ at 96 months (Table 3), agreeing with previous studies by Quang et al. (2009) and Kien et al. (2008). Kien et al. (2008) reported that wood basic density, estimated from 5 mm increment cores taken at breast height, averaged 510 g m⁻³ for E. urophylla across two trials in northern Vietnam at the age of eight and nine years. Nevertheless, the present wood density values are somewhat lower than those reported for E. urophylla (Wei and Borrallho, 1997), Eucalyptus camaldulensis Dehnh. (Varghese et al., 2008; Kien et al., 2010), Eucalyptus nitens Maiden (Kube et al., 2001) and higher than Eucalyptus globulus Labill (Aplolaza et al., 2005; Isabel et al., 2001) and Eucalyptus grandis Hill ex Maiden (Retief and Stanger, 2009). These BD values are also higher than commercial used hybrid eucalypt clones which ranged from 0.404 to 0.427 g m⁻³ sampled at age 51 months in southern China (Wu et al., 2011b). Meanwhile, the mean BD value is accord with the range of basic density for pulpwood in eucalypt that has been suggested as 0.47 to 0.55 g m⁻³ and pulp yield decrease sharply when basic density falls below 0.4 or exceeds 0.60 (Iemori et al., 1986; Dean, 1995; Kien et al., 2008). Thus, some of these assessed clones would likely substantial improve pulp production in China and some of them need to be simultaneously addressed in an efficient propagation and commercial use in China.

Growth stresses are involving in effecting end splitting of logs, deflection during sawing and deformation of boards as stresses are released during sawing operations (Raymond et al., 2004). It is well known that MOE can be used to estimate growth stresses without the need for harvesting trees. Our results showed that the MOE values ranged from 7.15 to 30.96 GPa with 15.83 (±0.21) GPa of mean value (Table 3). Findings of the value of MOE were somewhat difference with previous studies, in which Eucalyptus cloeoziana F. Muell showed the highest value (14.2 to 15.7 GPa), followed by Eucalyptus pilularis Smith (12.2 to 13.5 GPa) and Eucalyptus dunnii Maiden (10.7 to 12.6 GPa) (Warren et al., 2009). These values are much higher than other species such as radiata pine (3.7 to 7.5 GPa) (Chauhan and Walker, 2006; Waghorn et al., 2007; Matheson et al., 2008) and Japanese larch trees (7.35 to 15.39 GPa) (Ishiguri et al., 2008). The possible reason of higher MOE value and range would be the bigger wood density and variation among clones implying great gain after selection.

Stem-branch characteristics and bark percentage considered as important traits for breeding have been widely used in tree improvement (Wei and Borrallho, 1997; Mahmood et al., 2003; Hai et al., 2008, 2008b; Kien et al., 2008). The mean value of STR and BRA were 1.46 (±0.01) and 1.73 (±0.01) (Table 3) implying most of the clones had slight bend at one position with few knots and acceptable branch. The bark percentage ranged from 1.1% to 28.1% and the mean was 14.7% (±0.00), higher than published material by Wei and Borrallho (1997). Retief and Stanger (2009) found that the bark percentage was 7.43% for E. grandis, 17.31% for E. urophylla, 7.97% for E. grandis × E. urophylla hybrids and 9.50% for E. urophylla × E. grandis hybrids from control-pollinated families at 75 months in South African. The mean value of WW was 99.57 (±3.39) kg and the maximum value was nearly twenty times than minimum, expressing great variation among clones. However, the individual tree wood weight which are strongly related to end production and economically important in pulp production has not been studied extensively.

The coefficient of variation and repeatability of all traits at three ages are given in Table 3. Coefficients of variation ranged from 12.12% to 53.16% for growth traits, 9.02% to 20.18% for wood properties, 21.75% to 22.71% for stem-branch characteristics, 28.31% for bark percentage and 51.20% for individual tree wood weight. These were in agreement with the variability observed in previous studies by Wei and Borrallho (1997), in which coefficients of variation for wood properties and bark percentage ranged from 7.9% to 13.6% and from 29.1% to 29.9% for E. urophylla in south east China. Kien et al. (2010) reported that the coefficients of variation were less than 10% for wood properties and between 10% and 20% for growth traits. These results also showed that basic density had least variation, stem-branch characteristics and bark percentage were moderate and volume both under bark and over bark always had greatest variation, indicating that the scope for selection among clones would be considerable. This conclusion was consistent with previous studies in Acacia auriculiformis A. Cunn, Ex Benth. (Hai et al., 2008) and Eucalyptus (Kien et al., 2008, 2010). Furthermore, the coefficient of variation for growth traits generally increased with age trend and it reached peak in 53.16% at 96 months. The individual tree wood weight also had bigger variation among clones probably due to the affects by volume under bark.

Estimates of repeatability for growth traits at clone mean level ranged from 0.36 at 21 age months to 0.53 at 52 month old. At ages 52 and 71 months, the clonal repeatabilities for growth traits in this study were moderate to high (0.40 to 0.53), suggesting that considerable selection responses could be expected for growth following selection and subsequent propagation of the selected clones for planting. Xiang et al. (2003) found that the heritabilities increased over time from a total of 275 parents and 690 full-sib families from 23 diallel tests of loblolly pine (Pinus taeda L.) through age 8 in the Northern, Coastal and Piedmont test region of the southeastern U.S. In general, the difference of repeatabilities between growth and wood traits was not as much as earlier published results (Kube et al., 2001; Plüra et al., 2007; Stackpole et al., 2010). Furthermore, the repeatabilities of growth and wood traits were always higher than other traits. The high repeatability estimates for wood density were consistent with earlier results (Lima et al., 2000; Muneri and Raymond, 2000). Greaves et al. (1996) observed that repeatability of ring density averaged 0.37 in E. nitens at 7-year-old. Repeatabilities of stem-branch characteristics were
ranged from 0.21 to 0.24 which lower that of the individual tree wood weigh. Among the traits studied, the repeatability of bark percentage was very much lower than for other traits. SWV and MOE relatively had higher repeatabilities than other traits, agreeing with earlier results by WU et al. (2011b). These results support that sawn wood defects related traits like log end splitting, board end splitting and cupping are very heritable and selection of trees based on them brings enormous genetic gain (GARCIA, 2002).

Genotypic and phenotypic correlations among traits at three ages are listed in Table 4. There were some genotypic correlations among studied traits above 1.00, probably due to imprecise estimation and may therefore be unreliable. Since volume was calculated from measurements of diameter taken along the stem of each tree, positive correlations some degree of always existed among DBHOB, HGT and volume whether in Eucalyptus, Populus or other species (GREAVES et al., 1997; HANSEN and ROULUND, 1996; KUMAR and SINGH, 2001; HAI et al., 2008; KIEN et al., 2010). In this study, DBHOB and HGT had strongly positive genotypic and phenotypic correlations with VOL, ranging from 0.65 to 1.00. Generally speaking, the genotypic correlations among DBHOB, HGT and VOL at same age increased with age trends but no significant trends on phenotypic correlations. The correlations between DBHOB and VOL, however, were generally higher than those between HGT and VOL, indicating the importance of DBHOB in selecting for improved stem volume (WU et al., 2011b). Age-age genetic correlations of early DBHOB, HGT and VOL with 96-months same traits increased over time. XIANG et al. (2003) reported that age-age genetic correlations of early height with 8-year volume increased significantly in the first 3–4 years, and then leveled off after that in loblolly pine. The genotypic correlations between VOL at 21 and 96 months ranged from −0.81 to −1.16 indicating that selection on growth traits at 21 months can not be effective to predict growth traits at 96 months whereas it could be used to predict growth traits at 52 and 71 months. Published material (GREAVES et al., 1997; OSORIO et al., 2003; KIEN et al., 2008) summarized that early selection age for eucalypts should be at least 3 years old.

Genotypic and phenotypic correlations among traits at three ages are listed in Table 4. There were some genotypic correlations among studied traits above 1.00, probably due to imprecise estimation and may therefore be unreliable. Since volume was calculated from measurements of diameter taken along the stem of each tree, positive correlations some degree of always existed among DBHOB, HGT and volume whether in Eucalyptus, Populus or other species (GREAVES et al., 1997; HANSEN and ROULUND, 1996; KUMAR and SINGH, 2001; HAI et al., 2008; KIEN et al., 2010). In this study, DBHOB and HGT had strongly positive genotypic and phenotypic correlations with VOL, ranging from 0.65 to 1.00. Generally speaking, the genotypic correlations among DBHOB, HGT and VOL at same age increased with age trends but no significant trends on phenotypic correlations. The correlations between DBHOB and VOL, however, were generally higher than those between HGT and VOL, indicating the importance of DBHOB in selecting for improved stem volume (Wu et al., 2011b). Age-age genetic correlations of early correlations were generally negative with other studied traits except for stem straightness and branch size were generally negative with growth traits and bark percentage were not independent. WEI et al. (1997) and RETIEF et al. (2009) also observed negative genotypic correlations between bark percentage and basic density whereas the correlations between bark percentage and basic density were positive. This provides less possibility to reduce bark proportion and simultaneously improve growth traits and wood properties.
Weakly to strongly negatively genotypic correlations and weakly negatively phenotypic correlations were found between SWV and growth traits. Actually, BD and SWV are not totally independent of each other. SWV was found to be weakly positively correlated with BD (r = 0.13 and 0.24), indicating that the average basic density can be improved by selecting for improved SWV (WANG et al., 2000; CHAUHAN and WALKER, 2006; WU et al., 2011a). CHAUHAN and WALKER (2006) reported a low correlation between SWV and outerwood density for young trees of *Pinus radiata*, but it increased with time and was significant at 25 years. The genotypic correlations between growth traits and BD were ranged from –0.78 to 0.28 whereas weakly positive phenotypic correlations were found between growth traits and BD, ranging from 0.03 to 0.09. Interestingly, the genotypic correlations between growth traits and BD tended to be increased from negative to positive with age trends, implying that multiple selection gain can be got from both growth traits and BD at elder age. The genotypic and phenotypic correlations between growth traits and MOE were generally from strongly negative to slightly positive. Growth traits and wood properties generally had positive genotypic and phenotypic correlations with WW. Nevertheless, slightly and strongly negative genotypic and phenotypic correlations between WW and stem-branch characteristics and bark percentage have been found in this study.

*Genetic gain for different purposes with different traits by age trend*

The simple weighting coefficient method depending on different selection purposes gives different weight to different traits and then calculates selection index value for different clones or families. According to the measured traits, VOL at 96 age months, STR, BRA, Bark Perc, BD and MOE were assumed with 0.4, 0.1, 0.1, –0.1, 0.3 and 0.2 for large timber respectively. The

![Figure 1. Selection index values of clones assessed by simple weighting coefficient equation.](image-url)

**Table 5.** Mean and genetic gains of six selected clones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>VOL (m³)</th>
<th>STR</th>
<th>BRA</th>
<th>Bark Perc (%)</th>
<th>MOE (GPa)</th>
<th>BD (g cm⁻³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.215(0.04)</td>
<td>1.00(0.00)</td>
<td>2.00(0.33)</td>
<td>15.44(0.00)</td>
<td>15.06(0.08)</td>
<td>0.63(0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.334(0.04)</td>
<td>1.38(0.12)</td>
<td>2.00(0.18)</td>
<td>13.48(0.01)</td>
<td>18.24(0.77)</td>
<td>0.51(0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.233(0.02)</td>
<td>1.33(0.12)</td>
<td>2.17(0.24)</td>
<td>12.29(0.01)</td>
<td>19.57(1.10)</td>
<td>0.53(0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.101(0.01)</td>
<td>1.67(0.14)</td>
<td>2.33(0.14)</td>
<td>11.39(0.00)</td>
<td>22.16(0.72)</td>
<td>0.56(0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.333(0.03)</td>
<td>1.18(0.10)</td>
<td>1.27(0.15)</td>
<td>12.58(0.00)</td>
<td>17.99(0.64)</td>
<td>0.52(0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.336(0.04)</td>
<td>1.33(0.14)</td>
<td>1.67(0.27)</td>
<td>9.45(0.00)</td>
<td>14.36(0.08)</td>
<td>0.52(0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Selected mean</strong></td>
<td>0.259(0.04)</td>
<td>2.68(0.11)</td>
<td>1.91(0.20)</td>
<td>12.44(0.01)</td>
<td>17.90(0.86)</td>
<td>0.54(0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General mean</strong></td>
<td>0.227(0.01)</td>
<td>2.54(0.01)</td>
<td>1.73(0.01)</td>
<td>14.65(0.00)</td>
<td>15.83(0.21)</td>
<td>0.50(0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic gain (%)</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>-1.06</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>4.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VOL: volume over bark; STR: Stem straightness; BRA: branch size; Bark Perc: bark percentage; MOE: modulus of elasticity; BD: wood basic density; The Selected mean and General mean are the means of the selected clones, and the means of all data.
The clonal selection index values ranged from –0.5 to 0.47 which somewhat smaller than published results (XU et al., 2009), implying smaller variation among clones. Xu et al. (2009) found that the selection index values ranged from –1.72 to 0.62 on *Eucalyptus* hybrids at four years old. The mean and genetic gains of six selected clones by 10% selection proportion are presented in Table 5. Clone 48, 54, 47, 23, 22 and 35 were selected with higher selection index value. However, it was interesting to find that the six clones were all from different provenances showing good families can be found within all provenances. Therefore, the breeding population should in corporate families from several provenances to maintain and improve genetic gain and diversity in the breeding program (KIEN et al., 2009). The genetic gain ranged from –1.06 to 6.60 indicating that clones with higher growth, basic density and MOE always had thin bark percentage, smaller branch size and slight bend on stem.

Tree breeding is, after all, a combination of activities aiming to improve the performance of purpose plants under a given forest management system (BORRALHO, 2002). In most cases, such system major in eucalypts plantation always managed to provide wood-chips, or wood to supply directly a mill for pulp in China. The individual tree wood weight, calculated based on growth traits and wood basic density should be recognized as a direct indicator for wood-chips or pulp production. The mean values of WW of clones at 96 age months and direct responses for different selection proportions for WW are given in Figure 2 and Figure 3. The mean values of WW (±SE) ranged from 23.41 to 191.63 kg at clonal level, and most of them were in the range of 40 to 160 kg. Clone 22, Clone 54, Clone 2, Clone 28, Clone 43 had higher WW, ranging from 158.86 to 191.63 kg whereas Clone 56, Clone 17, Clone 23, Clone 55, Clone 14 were the slowest growing. Clone 38, Clone 54, Clone 11, Clone 52, Clone 42 had higher variation, however Clone 56, Clone 60, Clone 49, Clone 25, Clone 40 grow steady in this site. The selection gain decreased sharply from 10% selection proportion to 30% selection proportion, and then reduced steady to 90% selection proportion. This result indicated that the selection proportion should be made no more than 30%. Meanwhile, genetic diversity, time and costs also should be considered because the maintenance of them is an important issue in both forest conservation and tree breeding (DANUSEVICIUS and LINDGREN, 2002; JONES et al., 2006).
The selection gain on DBHOB by different selection proportions at 21, 52, 71 and 96 months old are given in Figure 4. The selection gain at 21 months was always lower than that at other ages by any selection proportion. Generally, selection gain at 71 months was somewhat higher than that at other ages during 10% to 30% selection proportion. These results expressed that selection should be carried out at 71 months by no more than 30% selection proportion. These results indicated that maximum selection efficiency could be expected nearly 71 months, in agreement with the earlier findings by repeatability. Kien et al. (2009) reported that efficiency of early selection at identical selection intensity generally increased to a maximum between age 2 and 4 years in E. urophylla in northern Vietnam (Kien et al., 2009). Just like the selection gain trend of WW, these trends also decreased deeply from 10% selection proportion to 20% selection proportion, and then reduced steady to 90% selection proportion. Meantime, the selection gain reached similar value by range of 30% to 60% selection proportion at 52, 71 and 96 months, whereas the selection gain declined to similar value at any ages.

Major conclusions and implications for breeding programs

In the present study, the genotypic parameters of the studied traits and relationships between growth traits, wood properties, stem-branch characteristics and bark percentage were examined. In addition, the genetic gain for different purposes with different traits by age trend and implications for breeding programs were discussed.

Joint analysis of clonal trial in the present study showed five implications for tree improvement in E. urophylla in China. Primarily, the individual tree wood weight which had moderate clonal repeatability and high coefficient of variation in this study should been studied extensively for tree breeding, especially for pulp wood breeding. Secondly, the strongly negative genotypic correlations between volume over bark at 21 and 96 months implying that selection on growth traits at 21 months can not be effective to predict growth traits at 96 months whereas it could be used to predict growth traits at 52 and 71 months. Therefore more care should be taken regarding early selection age and prediction age. Thirdly, wood properties had similar coefficient of variation and repeatability as growth traits which is the primary trait used in most tree breeding programs indicating that tree breeding programs for wood properties can be as efficient as for growth. The genotypic correlations between growth traits and basic density tended to be increased from negative to positive with age trends, implying that selection for faster growth may not diminish basic density if it was measured at elder age. Fourthly, the six selected clones by simple weighting coefficient method were all from different provenance suggesting that good families can be found within all provenances and the breeding population should in corporate families from several provenances to maintain and improve genetic gain and diversity in the breeding program. Furthermore, the selection gain on diameter at breast height over bark by different selection proportions and estimates of repeatability for growth traits at clone mean level at 21, 52, 71 and 96 months old suggested that considerable selection responses could be expected for growth following selection and subsequent propagation of the selected clones for planting at ages 52 and 71 months.
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