Basophil activation test versus radio-immunoassay in the diagnosis of \(\beta\)-lactam immediate-type hypersensitivity reactions
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Abstract

Background and aims: to assess the sensitivity and specificity for the basophil activation test (BAT) and the detection of drug-specific antibodies (IgE) in the retrospective diagnosis of \(\beta\)-lactam allergy by using assay-specific thresholds as resulted from the performance of receiver operating characteristics curve (ROC) analysis and to describe a sequential algorithm that might increase diagnostic sensitivity and reduce the number of patients that should undergo drug challenge tests by the joint use of in vivo and in vitro diagnostic tests. Methods: 37 patients with suspected \(\beta\)-lactam immediate-type hypersensitivity reactions were tested for the culprit drug. 31 healthy controls were similarly tested. BAT was performed with Flow2Cast technique (Bühlmann Laboratories, Switzerland). Drug-specific IgE antibodies were detected using “sandwich”-type radio-immunoassay (RIA) with sepharose as solid phase (Pathologie Université „H. Poincare”, France). Results: ROC curve analysis identifies an optimal threshold >1.9 for RIA positivity, with 70.3% sensitivity and 90.3% specificity. For BAT, an optimal threshold >1.97 yields 51.4% sensitivity and 90.3% specificity. 89.18% of the patients were diagnosed by the combined use of skin tests and in vitro tests results, while 10.82% of the patients had negative tests results. Conclusions: RIA seems to have higher sensitivity than BAT (70.3% versus 51.4%) for \(\beta\)-lactams. The joint use of allergy diagnostic tests has 89.18% sensitivity. A combination of allergologic skin tests, detection of antibiotic-specific antibodies, followed by BAT may be suitable for investigating \(\beta\)-lactam hypersensitivity reactions since no diagnostic test has absolute diagnostic accuracy.
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Rezumat

Premise și obiective: evaluarea sensibilității și specificității testului de activare a bazofilelor (BAT) și a determinării anticorpilor specifici (IgE) în diagnosticul retrospectiv al hipersensibilității la \(\beta\)-lactamine folosind
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criterii diagnostice stabilite prin efectuarea analizei curbelor ROC (receiver operating characteristics curve) si prezentarea unui algoritm diagnostic cu sensibilitate maxima care sa reduca numarul de pacienti supusi testelor de provocare. Metoda: 37 pacienti cu hypersensibilitate de tip imediat la β-lactamine au fost testati in vivo si in vitro pentru antibioticul incriminat in istoric. 31 subiecți sanitati au fost testati similar. BAT a fost efectuat prin tehnica Flow2Cast (Bühlmann Laboratories, Switzerland). Determinarea anticorpilor IgE specifici a fost efectuata prin radio-imundozare “sandwich” (RIA) pe gel solid cu sefaroz (Pathologie Université „H. Poincare”, France). Rezultate: Analiza ROC definește un prag optim >1.9 pentru pozitivitatea RIA, cu sensibilitate de 70.3% si specificitate de 90.3%. Pentru BAT, un index optim>1.97 prezintă sensibilitate de 51.4% si specificitate de 90.3%. La 89.18% dintre pacienți diagnosticat a fost confirmat prin utilizarea combinată a testelor cutanate și a celor in vitro, in timp ce 10.82% dintre pacienți au avut toate testele negative. Concluzii: RIA pare să aibă o sensibilitate superioară BAT (70.3% versus 51.4%) pentru β-lactamine. Utilizarea simultană a testelor diagnostice alergologice prezintă sensibilitate de 89.18%. Sumarea testelor cutanate cu detectarea anticorpilor specifici, urmate de BAT, este o secvență potrivită pentru diagnosticul reacțiilor de hypersensibilitate induse de β-lactamine, niciun test diagnostic prezentând acuratețe perfectă.
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Introduction

Allergy to β-lactam antibiotics is one of the most frequent causes of drug anaphylaxis, with 5%-10% of the population being allergic to β-lactams (1) and 29.4-47% of the patients with drug-induced hypersensitivity reactions having a β-lactam antibiotic as culprit drug (2, 3).

The retrospective diagnosis is mainly based on the clinical history, allergologic skin tests, and the detection of drug- specific IgE (3, 4). Drug challenge, the gold standard diagnostic test, is time consuming and potentially dangerous. The quantification of basophil activation by flow cytometry (basophil activation test, BAT) has been considered a useful tool for the assessment of the immediate-type response to allergens mediated by IgE or by other mechanisms in drug allergic patients (5). BAT has not yet entered routine clinical practice, but more than 50% of the allergologocial centers use it in allergologic work-ups (6).

Being a potentially life-threatening condition, the retrospective diagnosis of β-lactam requires tests with high sensitivity, thus avoiding false negative results, for the detection of the culprit drug, as well as cross-reactivity. First, optimal allergen-specific thresholds for the determination of sensitivity and specificity are needed. The diagnostic accuracy of tests significantly improves by applying drug-specific cutoffs obtained from the receiver operating curves (ROC) analysis, which allows the comparison of different tests (7, 8). Second, studies to assess the equivalency of different diagnostic methods have rarely been performed.

Moreover, the joint use of both in vitro and in vivo studies might improve the sensitivity of the allergologocial surveys.

Objectives: to assess the sensitivity and specificity for the basophil activation test and the detection of drug-specific antibodies in the retrospective diagnosis of β-lactam allergy by using assay-specific thresholds as resulted from the performance of receiver operating characteristics curve (ROC) analysis and to describe a sequential algorithm that might increase diagnostic sensitivity and reduce the number of patients that should undergo drug challenge tests by the joint use of in vivo and in vitro diagnostic tests.

Material and methods

Subjects

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Medicine and Pharmacy “Iuliu Hatieganu”
After signing the informed consent form, 37 adult patients with a positive history of an immediate-type hypersensitivity reaction to β-lactam antibiotics were tested for the culprit drugs. 31 healthy controls without previous drug allergies were also tested in vivo and in vitro to different β-lactam antibiotics they tolerated well. Exclusion criteria were therapy with steroid medication or H₁ or H₂ antihistamines in both patients and controls.

**In vivo tests**

The allergological skin tests included the skin prick test (SPT) and the intradermal test (IDT). Each was performed using solutions of antibiotics for parenteral use (Table 1). The skin tests were performed according to international recommendations (9).

**In vitro flow cytometry- Basophil activation test (BAT)**

For BAT, flow cytometric analysis of in vitro activated basophils was performed with Flow2Cast technique (Bühlmann Laboratories AG, Switzerland), as we described in a previous article (10). We used 6 test tubes containing 50 µl of whole blood. The blood was collected into K-EDTA venipuncture tubes, up to the dedicated volume, from antecubital vein (no garrot). We performed the cell stimulation immediately after collection of the blood and we did not store the blood samples. The first sample was mixed with 50 µl of stimulation buffer as negative control. The next two samples were mixed with 50 µl solution of anti-FcεRI (a highly specific monoclonal antibody for the IgE receptor) and 50 µl solution of FMLP (an unspecific cell activator- the chemo-tactic peptide N-Formyl- Met-Leu), as positive controls. In the remaining 3 test tubes, 50 µl of antibiotic solution was added. The tested drug concentrations were c₁, c₂ and c₃ for each drug, as presented in Table 1. Subsequently, 20 µl staining reagent with two monoclonal antibodies, anti-CCR3-PE (human chemokine receptor labelled with Cluj-Napoca. After signing the informed consent form, 37 adult patients with a positive history of an immediate-type hypersensitivity reaction to β-lactam antibiotics were tested for the culprit drugs. 31 healthy controls without previous drug allergies were also tested in vivo and in vitro to different β-lactam antibiotics they tolerated well. Exclusion criteria were therapy with steroid medication or H₁ or H₂ antihistamines in both patients and controls.

**Table 1. Antibiotic concentrations used in the allergologic skin tests and basophil activation test (BAT).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>SPT</th>
<th>IDT</th>
<th>BAT</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
<td>25mg/mL</td>
<td>25mg/mL</td>
<td>c₁</td>
<td>1.25 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c₂</td>
<td>0.125 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c₃</td>
<td>0.0125 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>25mg/mL</td>
<td>25mg/mL</td>
<td>c₁</td>
<td>1.25 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c₂</td>
<td>0.125 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c₃</td>
<td>0.0125 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin G</td>
<td>25x10⁶UI/mL</td>
<td>25x10⁶UI/mL</td>
<td>c₁</td>
<td>2 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c₂</td>
<td>0.2 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c₃</td>
<td>0.02 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxacillin</td>
<td>25mg/mL</td>
<td>25mg/mL</td>
<td>c₁</td>
<td>2 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c₂</td>
<td>0.2 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c₃</td>
<td>0.02 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>2mg/mL</td>
<td>2mg/mL</td>
<td>c₁</td>
<td>1.25 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c₂</td>
<td>0.125 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c₃</td>
<td>0.0125 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefuroxime</td>
<td>2mg/mL</td>
<td>2mg/mL</td>
<td>c₁</td>
<td>1.25 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c₂</td>
<td>0.125 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c₃</td>
<td>0.0125 mg/mL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPT = skin prick test; IDT = intradermal test; BAT = basophil activation test.
with phycoerythrin) and anti-CD63-FITC (or Gp53, a glycoprotein expressed on activated basophils), were added in each tube. The samples were incubated for 15 min at 37°C in a water bath. A prewarmed lysing solution of 2 ml was added to each tube and incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature. After centrifuging (500xg, 5 minutes) and washing, the cells were suspended in 300 µl wash buffer. The up-regulation of CD63 marker on the basophils was measured using Cell Quest programme (FACSCalibur Becton Dickinson San Jose California USA Analyzer 2001). Our flow cytometer is equipped to detect forward scatter, side scatter and the two fluorochromes FITC and PE. Our laboratory limit of basophilic cells analyzed for allergies was set to 500. The gate was set by including the entire basophil population CCR3 with low side scatter (SSC low) and calculating the percentage of CD63 positive cells compared to the total amount of basophilic cell gated. The result was expressed as the stimulation index (SI), which is calculated as the percentage of activated basophils after stimulation with antibiotic divided by the number of basophils with no stimulation.

**In vitro radio-immunoassay (RIA)-the detection of drug-specific antibodies (R)**

We detected drug-specific IgE antibodies (IgE) using “sandwich”-type RIA with sepharose as solid phase (Pathologie Cellulaire et Moléculaire en Nutrition, Université „H. Poincare”, Nancy, France) and anti-IgE 

$^{125}$I-labelled antibodies (Immunotech, Czech Republic). 50µl patient serum were incubated while centrifuging with the culprit antibiotic fixed in the sepharose gel for 3 hours at 24°C. After washing for three times with phosphate buffer solution, 50µl solution with anti-IgE 

$^{125}$I-labelled antibodies were added and the samples were incubated for 18 hours. Radioactivity was determined with LKB gamma-counter (CliniGamma 1272-003, Wallac Oy, Finland). The final result was expressed as R, the ratio between the percentage of antibody fixed when using patient serum and the percentage of fixed antibody when using serum from healthy controls.

**Statistical analysis**

The stimulation indexes for BAT (SI) and the presence of drug-specific antibodies by using radioimmune assay (R) for all subjects and all concentrations were analysed. Receiver operating characteristics curve (ROC) analysis was performed with the highest SI and R as discrimination variables. The reference standard was considered when patients had positive history of allergy to β-lactam antibiotics. The area under curve (AUC), sensitivity and specificity were evaluated (11, 12). U Mann-Whitney test was used to establish the presence of significant statistical differences between mean values for numerical data. A p<0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

A total of 37 adult patients (31 female and 6 male patients) with positive history of β-lactam antibiotics immediate-type hypersensitivity reactions were tested *in vivo* and *in vitro* for the culprit β-lactam antibiotics (amoxicillin, ampicillin, oxacillin, ceftriaxone or penicillin). From the 37 patients, 17 presented positive skin tests for the culprit drug (either skin prick test or intradermal test), while 20 patients had negative skin tests. Immediate-type hypersensitivity symptoms ranged from urticaria (12 patients) and angioedema (9 patients) to severe bronchospasm (4 patients), hypotension (3 patients) and shock (9 patients) (Supplemental material 1). 31 healthy controls with no previous β-lactam allergic reactions and negative skin tests were similarly tested *in vivo* and *in vitro*.

When detecting drug-specific IgE, patients had a mean R value of 2.39 (95%CI 1.87-2.91, range 1-10.7), while controls presented a mean R value of 1.61 (95%CI 1.50-1.72, range 1-2.20), with patients having significantly higher values than controls (U Mann-Whitney test p<0.001) (Figure 1). Flow cytometry revealed SI mean values of 2.33 (95%CI 1.63-3.03, range 0.35-12.41) for the patients and 1.44 (95% CI 1.23-1.66, range 0.51-3.41) for con-
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**Results**
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controls, the two results being significantly different (U Mann-Whitney test p<0.05) (Figure 2).

For radio-immunoassays, ROC curve analysis identified and optimal threshold of 1.9 for R, with an area under curve of 0.79 (95% CI 0.67-0.88). With this specific threshold the detection of drug-specific antibodies has 70.3% sensitivity and 90.3% specificity.

For flow cytometry, by performing the ROC curve analysis we found a SI>1.97 as the optimal threshold for BAT positivity. For BAT, an area under curve of 0.65 (95% CI 0.53-0.76), with 51.4% sensitivity and 90.3% specificity were obtained using a cut off 1.97. These values seem lower than those found for R, but there is no significant statistical difference between the ROC curve performed for R and SI (p=0.11) (Figure 3).

From the 20 patients with negative skin tests 13 present positive RIA results. From these, 3 also present positive BAT, while 10 are BAT negative. The remaining 7 patients with negative skin tests and negative RIA result present either positive BAT (3 patients) or negative BAT (4 patients).

Using allergologic skin tests, 17 out of 37 patients with previous β-lactam allergy are identified (45.94%). By adding the detection of drug-specific antibodies from the 20 patients with negative skin tests, 13 are detected as being positive (17 skin tests positive plus 13 positive IgE_R, 30 out of the 37 patients= 81.08%). By adding the performance of flow cytometry, 3 extra patients are identified as being positive (33 out of the 37 patients=89.18%). Thus, from the 37 patients, 4 present negative in vivo and in vitro diagnostic tests (10.82%). From the 20 patients with negative skin tests, 13 are identified as having drug specific antibodies (positive RIA result) and 3 are identified as being BAT positive. The combined use of in vitro tests in patients with negative skin tests and positive history confirms the diagnosis of β-lactam allergy in 80% patients (16 out of 20 patients).

Discussion

The retrospective diagnosis of a β-lactam induced immediate-type hypersensitivity reaction includes positive history and both allergologic skin tests and in vitro tests in order to confirm the allergic reaction caused by the culprit drug and to identify cross-reactive compounds.

Skin tests are currently the reference tests for the diagnosis of antibiotic allergy, though their diagnostic value is not absolute. Their specificity for β-lactams is 97-99%, whereas the sensitivity is
Sensitivity of skin tests might decrease over time, a phenomenon particularly relevant for antibiotics (14), thus false negative results might occur. Skin tests are negative in a variable, but significant percentage of patients allergic to beta-lactams, ranging from 10% to 36% (15). Therefore, challenge tests in patients with suggestive clinical history and negative skin test is recommended. Challenge tests carry the risk of inducing potentially dangerous allergic reaction and may even present false negative results when the time frame between the allergic reaction and testing is large, the performance of retests being sometimes required. Thus, reliable in vitro tests are necessary.

The identification of IgE antibodies can be performed by using either ELISA or radio-immunoassay (RIA) techniques. Serum specific IgE assays are still the most common in vitro methods for evaluating immediate reactions (16). Studies in patients with allergic reactions to penicillins indicate that RIA has a sensitivity of around 50%, and a specificity of 95% (17, 18).

Flow cytometry (BAT) is at the present time a widely confirmed and clinically validated test (19), with sensitivity about 50% and specificity about 90% (7). BAT represents a suitable complement to the in vitro and in vivo tests used to quantify IgE (17). We chose to analyze the highest stimulation index in BAT as this might represent the maximal cellular response after optimal stimulation (maximal cellular reactivity).

None of the available diagnostic tests demonstrates absolute accuracy. A false-negative diagnosis might put the patients at risk for inducing anaphylaxis upon reexposure to the culprit drug. Most of the in vitro allergy tests have shown good specificity for drugs, but the low sensitivity still remains a major drawback. The assessment of sensitivity needs specific thresholds to be used for the diagnosis. These can be found by performing ROC curve analysis to establish optimal sensitivity and specificity.

In our study, the ROC curve analysis identified an optimal threshold > 1.9 for RIA positivity, with 70.3% sensitivity and 90.3% specificity. For BAT, an optimal threshold > 1.97 yields 51.4% sensitivity and 90.3% specificity. A SI > 2 as optimal threshold for BAT positivity for antibiotics has been previously described in large multicenter studies, with 50% sensitivity (1, 20, 21). By comparing the ROC curves performed for R and SI, the area under curve and the sensitivity seems to be lower for BAT when compared to RIA, but the statistical significant difference has not been attained (p=0.11). A larger number of cases would be needed to assess the difference between the two in vitro techniques used in the evaluation of beta-lactam hypersensitivity. For drugs the lower observed sensitivity of the test probably reflects a weaker allergenicity of these molecules (22). The low sensitivity can also be explained by the time-dependent decrease in reactivity of the cells involved in allergic reactions. A loss of sensitivity that occurs naturally and gradually year by year when the culprit drugs are avoided in allergic patients has been described and explains the occurrence of negative diagnostic tests in allergic patients (2, 17, 23, 24).

Skin tests, determination of drug-specific antibodies and flow cytometry do not always show concordance between their results, as is the

![Figure 3. Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves for in vitro techniques: radio-immunoassay (R) and flow cytometry (BAT).](image-url)
case in our study. Both RIA and BAT revealed significantly higher values for patients compared to controls. Thus, both in vitro techniques discriminate well between patients and controls. They may be used as complementary in order to increase the allergologic survey sensitivity.

Being a potentially life threatening condition, the retrospective diagnosis of antibiotic-induced hypersensitivity reactions might benefit from the joint use of allergologic skin tests and in vitro tests. A diagnostic approach using skin tests, IgE dosing and drug challenge is necessary in the diagnosis of β-lactam allergy since none has sufficient sensitivity to be used alone (25). BAT has a similar sensitivity to immunoassays, but the combination of both tests improves sensitivity (20). The performance of BAT in patients with negative skin tests and negative IgE results might avoid potentially dangerous challenge tests. The combination of the BAT with the other tests increases the sensitivity of allergologic workups, reducing the need for drug provocation tests (26).

The combined use of skin tests, RIA and BAT has 89.18% sensitivity and limits the need for the performance of drug challenge tests to 10.8% of the patients. Negative diagnostic tests do not definitely exclude hypersensitivity and these patients have to perform drug challenge tests to confirm or rule out β-lactam hypersensitivity.

Allergologic skin tests and afterwards the detection of antibiotic-specific antibodies, followed by BAT may be a suitable practical approach when previous tests are negative in patients with previous immediate-type hypersensitivity reactions induced by antibiotics. In patients with severe reactions, the in vitro test should be the first choice in the diagnostic evaluation (27, 28).

Conclusions

ROC curve analysis identifies an optimal threshold>1.9 for RIA positivity, with 70.3% sensitivity and 90.3% specificity. For BAT, an optimal threshold>1.97 yields 51.4% sensitivity and 90.3% specificity. RIA seems to have higher sensitivity when compared to flow cytometry for β-lactam antibiotics immediate-type hypersensitivity reactions. The combined use of allergy diagnostic tests has 89.18% sensitivity and limits the need for the performance of drug challenge tests to 10.8% of the patients. Allergologic skin tests and afterwards the detection of antibiotic-specific antibodies, followed by BAT may be a suitable practical approach when previous tests are negative in patients with positive history suggestive of an immediate-type hypersensitivity reaction.
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Abbreviations

AUC = area under curve
BAT = basophil activation test
CI = 95% confidence interval
IDT = intradermal test
IgE = immunoglobulin E, drug-specific antibodies
R = the ratio result for RIA
RIA = radio-immunoassay
ROC = receiver operating characteristics curve
SI = stimulation index for BAT
SPT = skin prick test
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