INTRODUCTION

Orange fruits and orange juices are an important source of biologically active compounds including antioxidants such as vitamins, flavonoids, phenolic compounds, and pectin that are important for human nutrition (Jayaprakasha and Patil, 2007). Thermal processing continues to be the most widely used method of preserving and extending the shelf-life of foods (Awuah et al., 2007). The primary purpose of pasteurisation in food processing is to destroy pathogenic organisms and also inactivate enzymes. Spoilage microorganisms and native enzymes can be inactivated by thermal treatment but such treatment can cause irreversible damage to the sensory attributes (Braddock, 1999) as well as reduction of nutrients. Orange juice is traditionally pasteurised with either tubular or plate-type heat exchangers that are heated either by steam or hot water. Commercially, the juice is rapidly heated to 90–99 °C and heating usually takes about 30 seconds or less and the juice is heated rapidly without local overheating (Braddock, 1999; Kulwant et al., 2012). Ultra-high temperature (UHT) sterilises food by heating it above 135 °C, the temperature required to kill spores in juices, for 1 to 2 seconds. UHT is most commonly used in milk production, but the process is also used for fruit juices, cream, soy milk, yogurt, wine, soups, honey, and stews. UHT processing is an attractive technique to extend the shelf-life and raise the consumer safety while maintaining the fresh quality of orange juice. The aim of this work was to compare impact of two methods of the processing — Conventional Thermal Pasteurisation (94 °C/30’) (CTP) and alternative Ultra-High Temperature (UHT) (130 °C/2’) processing on bioactive compounds and antioxidant capacity changes of fresh Navel orange juice was investigated. Sensory attributes of processed juices were evaluated. Results showed that using technologies CTP and UHT orange juice Navel significantly changed vitamin C concentration in comparison with fresh orange juice. The highest concentration of antioxidants (vitamin C, total phenols, hesperidin and carotenoids) was observed in orange juice Navel produced by UHT technology. Sensory results indicated that characteristics of the orange juice obtained using UHT technology were more liked than the CTP heat treated juice. UHT technology emerges as an advantageous alternative process to preserve bioactive compounds in orange juice.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials used for experiments. The study was carried out in laboratories of the Latvia University of Agriculture, Jelgava, Latvia State Institute of Fruit Growing, Dobele, Latvia; and in Untersuchungs-, Beratungs-, Forschungslaboratorium GmbH, Altlandsberg, Germany in 2015.
The orange (Citrus sinensis) juice of Greek Navel variety from the S.A. Biofresh production line of a commercial juice plant in Laconia Southern Greece was obtained. No thermal treatment was applied. The juice was immediately frozen in a forced circulation freezer and kept at −20 °C until using. All samples of the frozen orange juice were defrosted. UHT processing and conventional thermal processing (CTP) were conducted on the same day for each experiment. All samples were compared in terms of bioactive compounds and consumer acceptance.

**UHT processing.** UHT processing of orange juice was carried out using a laboratory pilot scale pasteuriser with a tubular heat exchanger (Armfield FT74, HTST/UHT Processing Unit, Hampshire, England) at 130 °C for 2 s.

**CTP.** CTP of orange juice was carried out using the laboratory equipment AES-110 RFG (Raypa, Spain) at 94 °C for 30 s. Treatment conditions were comparable to those used in case of orange juice in commercial practices: heating from 90–99°C for 10–30 s (Braddock, 1999; Nirman et al., 2012).

All samples of processed juice were filled in glass bottles of 150 ml capacity with screw-cup closures. Five bottles of each juice were kept for sensory evaluation and two samples of each juice were immediately sent to laboratory for the determination of total soluble solids, pH, titration acidity, vitamin C, total phenols, total carotenoids and antioxidant activity. The sensory evaluation was conducted within one week after the production, using a hedonic scale test by 14 trained panellists who were the analysts in the UBF GmbH Company.

**Analytical methods.** The total soluble solids concentration (°Brix) was determined at temperature 20 °C with a digital refractometer ATAGO N20 (deviation of measuring instrument face value ± 0.1%) (ISO 2173:2003);

The total acid total soluble solids concentration (%) was determined by titrating with 0.1N NaOH (ISO 750:1998);

L-ascorbic acid (vitamin C) (mg·100 ml⁻¹) was determined according to standard (LVS EN 14130:2003);

Total phenol total soluble solids concentration (mg·100 ml⁻¹) was determined by the Folin–Ciocalteu method and measured at wavelength 760 nm and expressed as gallic acid equivalents (ISO14502-1:2005);

Flavonoid, concentration of hesperidin (mg·100 ml⁻¹) was determined by high-efficiency liquid chromatography (HPLC), (Shimadzu, Japan).

The concentration of total carotene was determined by using a spectrometer UV-1650-PC at wavelength 450 nm, German standard methods (DGF) C-III (1975).

Antioxidant capacity (AOC) assay was studied applying three spectrometry methods. Free-radical scavenging-effect on 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) according to the method (Cao et al., 2012) and the method adapted from Rice-Evans and Miller (1994), which is based on the inhibition by antioxidants of the radical cation of 2,2-azinobis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS), which has a characteristic absorption maximum at 734 nm. All data were then expressed as Trolox equivalents (TE).

**Sensory evaluation.** Orange juices were evaluated by using the hedonic rating scale according to ISO 4121:1987 (Sensory analysis — Guidelines for the use of quantitative response scales). Consumer acceptability was evaluated by 14 trained panellists (10 female, 4 male) from 21 to 58 years of age. Prior to sensory evaluation orange juice samples were refrigerated, randomly coded and served (20 ml) at 18 °C together with non-salted crackers and still water. The panelists were seated separately in booths in order to allow an unbiased evaluation of the sensory attributes. Samples of orange juice obtained by UHT and CTP processing technologies were compared in terms of aroma and flavour and overall acceptability on a 9-point hedonic scale. For aroma-flavour and overall acceptability 1 was the lowest and 9 was the highest score.

**Statistical analysis.** The data processing was carried out by Microsoft Excel for Windows 7.0 variance analysis and the SPSS program SPSS 15 package.

**RESULTS**

To compare the impact of different processing technologies, the main bioactive compounds present in orange juice were quantified.

**Effect of processing methods on bioactive compounds in orange juice Navel.** The soluble solids (°Brix), total acidity (TA) and pH values of fresh unfrozen, CTP and UHT processed orange juice Navel are provided in Table 1. There were no significant differences in these values after CTP and UHT treatments in comparison with the fresh unfrozen juice (p > 0.05).

Figure 1 shows concentration of vitamin C in orange juice Navel after CTP and UHT processing. In fresh unfrozen orange juice Navel the concentration of vitamin C was 53.65 mg·100 ml⁻¹. CTP and UHT processed juices had signifi-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples of orange juice Navel</th>
<th>Total soluble solid, °Brix</th>
<th>Total acidity, %</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh unfrozen</td>
<td>11.43 ± 0.00a</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.05a</td>
<td>3.65 ± 0.00a</td>
<td>13.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional thermal pasteurized</td>
<td>11.58 ± 0.00b</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.00b</td>
<td>3.65 ± 0.01b</td>
<td>14.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultra-High Temperature processed</td>
<td>11.60 ± 0.00b</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.04a</td>
<td>3.55 ± 0.01b</td>
<td>14.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Column values with different online letters (a, b) differ (p < 0.05).

*Results were presented as “means” ± standard error (n = 4)
cant difference in values of vitamin C concentration in comparison with freshly squeezed orange juice. In both (CPT and UHT) processed juices, the content of vitamin C decreased to 49.21 and 49.79 mg·100 ml⁻¹, which is about a 9% and 8% loss, respectively.

In fresh unfrozen orange juice Navel the total phenol concentration was 105.67 mg·100 ml⁻¹. Total phenol concentration was slightly ($p > 0.05$) higher (98.39 mg·100 ml⁻¹) in orange juice processed by CTP compared to the samples obtained by UHT processing (98.04 mg·100 ml⁻¹) (Fig. 2).

The major glycoside flavonoids in citrus are hesperidin. The study results showed 13.02 mg·100 ml⁻¹ concentration of hesperidin in fresh unfrozen orange juice. The hesperidin concentration (14.81 mg·100 ml⁻¹) increased in UHT treated orange juice and hesperidin concentration (12.73 mg·100 ml⁻¹) decreased in CPT processed orange juice compared with fresh unfrozen orange juice (Fig. 2).

The total carotenoid concentration in fresh unfrozen orange juice Navel was 2.26 mg·100 ml⁻¹. Just after CTP and UHT treatment of orange juices, the total carotenoid concentration showed a significant decrease to 1.82 mg·100 ml⁻¹ thus resulting in a 20% loss, and to 1.95 mg·100 ml⁻¹ thus resulting in 14% loss, respectively, in comparison with total carotenoid content of fresh orange juice.

Figure 4 presents the results of the AOC of orange juices obtained by CTP and UHT processing. In the DPPH assay, the scavenging activity of Navel orange juice was 88.84, 85.88, and 84.89 mg Trolox equiv. 100 ml⁻¹ in fresh unfrozen, UHT and CTP processed orange juices, respectively. The AOC was also determined using FRAP assay: values were 143.62, 141.49, and 137.87 mg Trolox equiv. 100 ml⁻¹ in orange juice, respectively. The chosen methods for AOC determination did not show significant differences ($p > 0.05$) in orange juice samples processed by CTP and UHT technologies. Grouped statistics show that ABTS was correlated directly with the vitamin C, total phenols, carotenoids, and hesperidin ($r = 0.688$; $r = 0.563$; $r = 0.802$; $r = 0.511$, respectively). In the test with DPPH radical and by FRAP method clearly correlated ($r = 0.993$; $r = 0.999$; $r = 0.960$ and $r = 0.899$; $r = 0.961$; and $r = 0.817$) with the vitamin C, carotenoids and flavonoids respectively.

Effect of UHT and CTP processing on sensory quality of orange juice Navel. Sensory evaluation of fresh defrosted,
UHT and CTP processed orange juice Navel in terms of overall and flavour characteristic were determined using a consumer acceptability test based on a 9-point hedonic scale. The results of the study are shown in Table 2. Fresh unfrozen orange juice in terms of overall and flavour and aroma characteristics was located in the “extremely like” part of the hedonic scale with 8.5 and 8.1 scores while UHT treated orange juice was evaluated in the “like moderately” part of the hedonic scale with 7.8 and 7.4 scores, respectively. Conventional thermal pasteurised orange juice was evaluated in the “like slightly” part of the hedonic scale with 6.2 (overall) and 6.0 (flavour and aroma) scores, respectively. These results showed that although sensorial characteristics of orange juice Navel did not change adversely after CTP treatment, UHT treated orange juice was significantly more liked than CTP processed orange juice (*p < 0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

According to various references, orange juice can be considered as a rather rich source of vitamin C (Topuz et al., 2005; Klimczak et al., 2007; Melendez-Martinez et al., 2007). The concentration of vitamin C is an important indicator of orange juice quality and nutrition value, and it serves as an indicator that all technological processes, which ensure a high quality of the product, have been applied (Manso et al., 1996; Lee, Coates, 1997; Lee, Chen, 1998; Polydera et al., 2005). Vitamin C is an unstable compound; therefore the stability of this compound is influenced by the degree of heat treatment. It is known that heat treatments may reduce antioxidant activity and concentration of bioactive compounds in juices (Patras et al., 2010). In this study concentration of vitamin C was significantly lower in both (CPT and UHT) processed juices.

Orange juice is a rich source of bioactive compounds, such as phenols including hesperidin. Gorinshein et al. (2001) reported that total polyphenol concentration in peeled oranges was 154 ± 10.2 mg·100 g⁻¹ of fresh fruits, which is consistent with the results of this study. The total concentration of phenols in orange juices was determined in other studies. Klimczak et al. (2007) determined total polyphenols and individual phenolic compounds in two commercial orange juices. Using HPLC and Folin-Ciocalteu methods they found that concentration of total polyphenols was higher determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu method (684.2 and 634.6 mg/L) compared to the concentration obtained by the HPLC method (226.4 and 202.7 mg/L) in both orange juices. Esteve and Frigola, (2008) did not find significant (*p > 0.05) differences between orange juices pasteurised by Pulsed Electric Fields (PEF) and High Pressure Processing (HPP) methods; values were higher in case of untreated orange juice. Vanamala et al. (2006) reported phenolic compound concentration of 53.2 mg·100 ml⁻¹ in MFC (made from concentrate) orange juice and concentration of these compounds (36.49 mg·100 ml⁻¹) was significantly higher compared to the NFC (not from concentrate) orange juice. A slight decrease in the concentration of flavonoids after heat treatment may be due to changes in the structure of vesicles in the orange, permitting a greater extraction of flavanones that is reduced by pasteurisation.

The major glycose flavonoids in citrus are hesperidin, naringin, and neohesperidin. Hesperidin is a main flavonoid of oranges. Hesperidin concentration of citrus fruit varies with species (Omidbaigi et al., 2002). The results of our study are in a good agreement with results reported by Kanitsar et al., (2001), 127–219 mg per L, and by Tomas-Barberan and Clifford (2000), 104–637 mg per L. In our study the concentration of hesperidin increased in UHT treated orange juice and it decreased in CTP orange juice compared with fresh unfrozen orange juice. This may be due to hydrolysis of the glycoside at high temperature. Also, these results are in agreement with previously reported values (Mouly et al., 1998; Vanamala et al., 2006). Belajova and Suhaj (2004) published a hesperidin level of 44–56 mg per L in commercial orange juices. Robards et al. (1997) and Tomas-Barberan and Clifford (2000) reported that the hesperidin level in orange juice depended on technological treatment.

Carotenoids are important quality indicators (the colour and nutritional value) for orange juice; some are known for their antioxidant capacity (e.g. β-carotene and lutein) (Rao and Rao, 2007). The concentration of total carotenoid in fresh unfrozen orange juice Navel was comparable to values found by Lee and Coates (2003), although higher concentration of total carotenoids is reported as well (Sanchez-Moreno et al., 2005; Gama and Sylos, 2007; Esteve et al., 2009). These results are in agreement with Hyoung and Coates (2003), Lee and Coates (2003), Cortes et al., (2006), Esteve et al., (2009) who also noticed a significant processing effect. Some authors, nevertheless, have reported that processing had no significant effect on the carotenoid profile (Lee and Coates, 2003; Vervoort et al., 2011). Also, there were no significant changes after thermal treatment in a study by Sanchez-Moreno et al. (2005), after HPP treatment by Donsi et al. (1996) and Esteve et al. (2009), and after PEF treatment by Sanchez-Moreno et al. (2005). Plaza et al. (2011) reported that low pasteurisation temperature (LPT) juice did not show carotenoid degradation but after HP treatment the orange juice showed a significant increase in carotenoids (45.19%) in comparison to untreated juice.
They also found that HP juice showed the highest carotenoid concentration among all tested juices.

Antioxidants play a very important role in nutrition. This is caused by their health benefits. Heat treatments may reduce antioxidant capacity and concentration of bioactive compound groups (Patras et al., 2010). In this study, AOC of orange juice after thermal processing was evaluated using the DPPH free radical-scavenging, ferrie reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assays and ABTS radical-cation method. All these methods are recommended by many authors for measuring the antioxidant activity of fruit juices. As can be seen by the used methods of determination, AOC decreased but insignificantly ($p > 0.05$) after CTP and UHT processing technology. No data were found in the literature about antioxidant activity or capacity of UHT processed orange juices. Literature data suggest changes in individual antioxidant values in grape seed extract (Davidov-Pardo et al., 2011), with different treatments of 60, 90, and 120 °C for 5, 10, 15, 30, 45, and 60 min, but no significant changes on total antioxidant capacity were observed after thermal treatment.

The results of the sensory study showed that although both processed juices had no significant differences in physico-chemical parameters and the concentration of biologically active substances, the UHT treated orange juice was significantly more pleasant than the CTP treated orange juice. UHT technology emerges as an advantageous alternative process to preserve bioactive compounds in orange juice.
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