Influence of agricultural utilization of sludge and compost from rural wastewater treatment plant on nitrogen passes in light soil

Franciszek Czyżyk, Agnieszka Rajmund*

Institute of Technology and Life Sciences – Lower Silesia Research Centre in Wrocław, ul. Gen. Z. Berlinga 7, 51–209 Wrocław *Corresponding authors: e-mail: agnieszka_rajmund@o2.pl

This paper presents the results of studies which aim was to determine the pollution of the water environment for both methods of preparation for the utilization of sewage sludge in agriculture. The study was conducted in 2008–2012 in lysimeters filled with light sandy soil (clayey sand). During the study variants with equal doses of nitrogen in an amount of 20 g \cdot m⁻² (15.7 g per one lysimeter) were supplied to the soil in sewage sludge and in the compost made from the same sludge. These variants were used three times for two species of perennial plants: Miscanthus gigantenus i Sida hermaphrodita Rusby. In a variant of sludge soil fertilizing, it was leached into water environment more than 12% of the applied nitrogen over 5 years of research. In case of compost nitrogen leaching was reduced to 8.1–10.0% of the quantity supplied to the soil.

Keywords: compost, sewage sludge, water pollution with nitrogen.

INTRODUCTION

Sludge produced in municipal wastewater treatment plants are noxious and hazardous waste to the water environment. Therefore, should be disposed and utilized with a minimal risk to the environment cleanness. One way of sewage sludge utilization is agriculture soil fertilization. Most suitable are sludge from rural areas and small non-industrialized towns. Unlike sewage waste in large cities, they do not contain excessive levels of heavy metals and generally meet the existing legislation requirements. Wastewater sludge has a high fertilizer and soil-forming value^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5}.

One method of sewage sludge preparation for agriculture use is composting^{6, 7, 8, 9, 10}. Composting of sludge is a long-term process and requires proper equipment, space and effort. For economic reasons, hygienisation of sludge with calcium oxide (II) (CaO) is often used with its direct application to the soil.

Application of sewage sludge to fertilize the soil, regardless of their previous treatment creates hazard of penetration of some components (especially nitrogen) into the water environment. Nitrogen in the sludge and composts occurs mainly in an organic form and its mineral form are from several to more than 20% of the total nitrogen content^{11, 12}. Organic nitrogen supplied to the soil undergoes a complex and dynamic changes^{13, 14}. As a result of these changes, especially nitrification process, mineral forms of nitrogen in particular nitrates (V) are formed. N-NO3⁻ and N-NH4⁺ released during the mineralization process are taken up by plants, although much of it passes into the groundwater^{15, 16}. The amount of nitrogen leaching into the water environment depends among others on the form of the fertilizer used and the size of the dose. It may even be more than 60 kg $N \cdot ha^{-1}$ per year¹⁷.

Sewage sludge composts are characterized by a high content of humificated organic matter. Humus is the predominating sewage sludge component that only after the introduction into the soil undergo biochemical mineralization and humification processes¹⁸. The pace and products of these changes are dependent on climatic and soil conditions (air, water and pH)¹⁹. This gives a reason

to believe that especially nitrogen contamination of water environment will vary. It will depend on whether the sewage sludge or compost produced out of it is provided to the soil. There are no data in the literature on the results of comparative studies carried out in the same conditions, using both forms of sludge and their impact on the water environment and soil.

This work presents the results of the studies whose aim was to determine the pollution of the water environment for both methods of preparation for the utilization of sewage sludge in agriculture

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

The study was conducted in 2008–2012 in lysimeters filled with light sandy soil (clayey sand), an average of 14% of the earthy particles (fraction <0.02 mm). The conditions in the lysimeters are similar to the natural field conditions. Lysimeters with a diameter of 100 cm and a depth of 130 cm are completely submerged in the ground and is surrounded by agricultural fields. The study was conducted at the Research Station in Kamieniec belonging to the Lower Silesia Wroclaw Research Centre.

In subsequent years of the studies the stabilized and mechanically dehydrated sludge from the rural mechanical-biological wastewater treatment plant was used as well as the compost produced from this sludge and waste plant.

During the study variants with equal doses of nitrogen in an amount of 20 g \cdot m⁻² (15.7 g per one lysimeter) were supplied to the soil in sewage sludge and in the compost made from the same sludge. These variants were used three times for two species of perennial plants:

- Miscanthus gigantenus,

– Sida hermaphrodita Rusby.

These are the plants with a high demand for nutrients (especially nitrogen), normally grown for biomass as a renewable source of energy (energy crops). For both plants, high doses of nitrogen equivalent to 200 kg N \cdot ha⁻¹, were used.

During the study period amounts of precipitation and leachate from the lysimeters were measured. Chemical composition analyzes of the sewage sludge, compost, rainwater and leachate were performed with currently valid and the most commonly used methods^{20, 21}. The concentration of nitrogen and its forms in leachates was marked by the colorimetric indophenol method according to²², using the UV-Vis 916 GBC spectrophotometer. The following analyses were made for the studied sludge and compost: loss on ignition by gravimetric method according to²³, organic carbon – made by Tiurin method, involving wet oxidation of organic matter, followed by potassium dichromate – $K_2Cr_2O_7$ treatment. The remaining in the $K_2Cr_2O_7$ solution, was titrated with Mohr's salt. The concentration of nitrogen and its forms in an aqueous extract was determined by the colorimetric indophenol method according to²².

The results of leachate from lysimeters and the concentration of nitrogen forms were statistically analyzed taking into account the long-term average. The statistical calculations were made in the Statistica 10 PL. The T-test was made for independent samples at variable at level of significance p < 0.05. In addition, the coefficient of variation of the concentrations of nitrogen and its forms in leachates was calculated. The results are summarized in the tables.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical analysis of the sewage sludge used in the studies revealed that it contained 45.0–65.9 mg \cdot g⁻¹ \cdot DM (average 53.3 mg \cdot g⁻¹ \cdot DM) of total nitrogen, and 64.1–76.4% (average 71%) of organic matter (Table 1). Compost prepared from the sewage sludge and waste plant contained about 2 times less nitrogen 21.9–28,4 mg \cdot g⁻¹ \cdot DM (average 25.0 mg \cdot g⁻¹ \cdot DM) and less organic matter (average 62%). Differences in nitrogen

Table 1. The nitrogen content and its forms, and carbon

and its forms in the sludge and compost were confirmed statistically.

In order to provide an equal dose of nitrogen to the soil in both fertilization methods, greater amount of compost than sewage sludge was used. Therefore, the amount of organic matter supplied to the soil with compost was greater than the amount supplied with sewage sludge. This could have an impact on the reduction of leaching from soil when fertilized with compost. Leachates from the soil depends mainly on the amount of rainfall and the transpiration of plants during the growing season as well as the type of soil and its organic matter content.

Individual years of study differed considerably in terms of the amount of rainfall (Table 2). The volume of leachate from the lysimeters also varied (Table 3). Leachate occurred primarily during late autumn and winter periods. During plants growing periods the volume of leachates was much smaller and they occurred only after heavy rainfall.

The leachates volume in the case when soil was fertilized with sewage sludge was higher than in the case when it was fertilized with compost. It is due to the fact that the soil added to the compost improves its properties faster, and increases water holding capacity of the soil^{24, 25, 26}. While the sludge added to the soil is under the biochemical mineralization and humification processes. The differences in the volume of leachate from the soil fertilized with sewage sludge and compost, although it is significant, have been statistically confirmed only in the variant of the *Sida hermaphrodita Rusby* species. This shows the difference of the transpiration of the used plant species. It has occurred in all years of the study and in both plant species. A substantial effect of plant transpiration on the volume of leachates has also been

Year	Fertilizer		Com	ponent in mg	· g ⁻¹ · DM		Organic matter	C:N
		N _{Tot}	N _{org}	N-NOx	N-NH ₃	C _{org}	%	
0000	Sewage sludge	52.2	47.4	0.53	4.26	307.3	76	6
2008	Compost	27.3	26.4	0.59	0.26	310.4	66	12
2009	Sewage sludge	53.3	48.6	0.13	4.51	297.3	70	6
	Compost	24.8	23.4	0.81	0.55	292.8	59	12
2010	Sewage sludge	65.9	64.5	0.23	1.14	311.4	72	5
2010	Compost	22.8	22.2	0.29	0.29	258.6	66	11
2011	Sewage sludge	50.0	47.6	0.24	2.20	328.5	71	7
2011	Compost	21.9	19.8	1.84	0.23	245.4	53	11
2012	Sewage sludge	45.0	41.8	0.32	2.84	255.1	64	6
2012	Compost	28.4	27.1	1.05	0.30	266.8	64	9
Avg±S.D.	Sewage sludge	53.3 ± 7.7	50.0 ± 8.5	0.29 ± 0.15	2.99 ± 1.41	299.9 ± 27.5	71 ± 4.3	6 ± 0.7
of 5 years	Compost	25.0 ± 2.80	23.8 ± 3.02	0.92 ± 0.59	0.33 ± 0.13	274.8 ± 26.4	62 ± 4.3	11 ± 1.2
Test-t	Sewage sludge vs. Compost	0.00006*	0.00019*	0.04984*	0.00301*	0.17845	0.02170*	0.00005*

Explanations: *statistically significant at the level of (p<0.05), Avg- average; S.D.-standard deviation Source: own studies.

 Table 2. Atmospheric precipitation at research station of the Lower Silesian Research Centre in Kamieniec Wrocławski in the years 2008–2012

		Precipitation in months [mm]										*Period	**Period	
Year		п		IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	~	XI	XII	IV–X	XI–III
		- 11	111	IV	v	VI	VII	VIII		^			[mm]	[mm]
2008	61.7	12.2	43.8	82.5	35.0	45.7	50.2	103.6	28.8	42.2	29.2	16.8	345.8	205.9
2009	38.2	56.7	58.2	30.1	85.8	174.1	138.8	58.3	11.9	87.3	32.5	58.5	499.0	331.4
2010	47.8	10.3	37.0	60.5	169.2	42.5	97.3	129.7	132.2	5.0	73.5	67.0	631.4	240.6
2011	34.8	11.0	48.8	30.7	51.1	84.2	143.1	79.1	36.6	46.2	0.5	50.8	424.8	192.1
2012	59.5	38.9	12.3	27.8	66.2	106.8	100.2	72.6	56.9	43.7	32.1	24.6	430.5	211.1

*Period of vegetation of plants (IV–X), **Period outside the vegetation of plants (XI–III). Source: own studies

Ann	Annual amount	Volume of leachates f	rom particular variants	Volume of leachates from particular variants			
Year	Annual amount - of precipitation	(Miscanthus	gigantenus)	(Sida hermaph	nrodita Rusby)		
real	of precipitation	Sewage sludge	Compost	Sewage sludge	Compost		
	mm	dm ³	dm³	dm ³	dm ³		
2008	551.7	262	213	262	155		
2009	830.4	186	169	158	124		
2010	872.0	202	162	165	111		
2011	616.9	63	41	49	26		
2012	641.6	139	118	107	74		
Test – t	-	0.3	841	0.04	75*		

Table 3. Annual amount of precipitation and volume of leachates from particular fertilization variants in the period of 2008–2012

Explanations: *statistically significant at the level of (p<0.05). Source: own studies.

noticed. In the first year of the study (2008), when plants were just planted and still poorly developed, leachates in both variants of fertilization were most abundant, despite smallest precipitation this year.

Average concentrations of total nitrogen and its forms in leachates from lysimeters were similar in both variants of fertilization (Table 4). Differences in the content (concentration) of nitrogen in the leachate from soil fertilized with sewage sludge and compost have not been statistically confirmed (with the exception of differences in the concentrations of N-NOx variation of species Sida hermaphrodita Rusby). These concentrations were calculated as the weighted average of the volume of sludge and the contents of analyzed components. In the first year of the study, with a poorly developed plant the sludge contained large amounts of nitrate nitrogen. In the following years, when the plants were in full development, organic nitrogen was predominant in both fertilization variants. This demonstrates the extensive collection of N-NO_x by plants and leaching of nitrogen in water-soluble organic compounds contained in the sludge and in compost. Organic nitrogen is not absorbed by plants²⁷.

The leachate test revealed very high variability of nitrate nitrogen concentrations in both variants of fertilization (Table 5).

In the leachate from lysimeters planted with miscanthus concentration the changeability of this form of nitrogen exceeded 200%. This was probably due to the variable collecting of this form of nitrogen by plants throughout the year and due to the impact of temperature on the nitrification process of organic nitrogen contained in sewage sludge and compost. The changeability of the concentration of total nitrogen, as well as of other forms was much smaller and not more than 100%.

Variability and seasonality of nitrate concentrations in the leachate from the soil were also shown by the studies in the facilities used for agriculture²⁸. Maximum concentrations of nitrate were noticed at the turn of February and March, before the start of plant vegetation. The research conducted in the UK confirmed high variability of nitrate and its maximum values occurrence during the early spring²⁹.

The quantity (volume) of the nitrogen in the soil is a much more important parameter than the concentration. Is influenced by various factors. One of the most important is the way of soil usage and maintenance of agricultural production^{30, 31}. Crucial impact on the

Table 4. The average annual concentration of total nitrogen and its forms in the leachate from different variants of fertilizationduring 2008–2012 in mg \cdot dm⁻³

Variant	Component		Year				Avg±S.D.		
vanant	Component	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	for 5 yers		
	N _{tot}	27.7	6.1	7.4	5.1	8.6	9.6 ± 8.7		
Sewage sludge	N _{org}	5.0	5.3	7.1	4.6	3.7	5.1 ± 2.4		
(Miscanthus gigantenus)	N-NO _x	22.3	0.5	0.1	0.2	4.7	4.2 ± 8.7		
	N-NH ₃	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3 ± 0.1		
	N _{Tot}	28.9	6.7	8.5	5.4	5.1	10.1 ± 9.3		
Compost	N _{org}	5.1	5.7	7.9	5.0	3.9	5.7 ± 2.9		
(Miscanthus gigantenus)	N-NO _x	23.4	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.9	4.1 ± 9.1		
	N-NH ₃	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3 ± 0.1		
	N _{tot}		0.7780						
Sewage sludge vs. Compost	N _{org}		0.2908						
(Miscanthus gigantenus)	N-NO _x								
	N-NH ₃		0.0857						
	N _{tot}	27.4	7.7	10.4	8.7	9.5	12.1 ± 8.3		
Sewage sludge	N _{org}	2.5	4.6	7.4	4.3	3.5	4.7 ± 2.7		
(Sida hermaphrodita Rusby)	N-NO _x	24.4	2.7	2.8	4.2	5.9	7.1 ± 9.1		
	N-NH ₃	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3 ± 0.2		
	N _{tot}	28.1	8.2	10	8.5	13.7	12.9 ± 8.3		
Compost	N _{org}	3.2	3.9	7.7	4.7	3.2	4.7 ± 2.4		
(Sida hermaphrodita Rusby)	N-NO _x	24.3	4.0	2.0	3.5	10.4	7.9 ± 9.1		
	N-NH ₃	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.3 ± 0.2		
	N _{tot}	0.6806							
Sewage sludge vs. Compost	N _{org}	0.9739							
(Sida hermaphrodita Rusby)	N-NÖ _x		0.0097*						
	N-NH ₃		0.9347						

Explanations: *statistically significant at the level of (p<0.05), Avg – average; S.D. – standard deviation. Source: own studies.

Variant	x	Component					
vanant	min– max	N _{tot}	N _{org}	N-NO _x	N-NH ₃		
Sewage sludge (<i>Miscanthus gigantenus</i>)	$\frac{x}{\min-\max}$	$\frac{9.9}{3.0-40.6}$	$\frac{5.1}{2.5 - 10.8}$	$\frac{4.5}{0.1 - 34.4}$	$\frac{0.3}{0.1 - 0.7}$		
n = 44	V%	88	47	192	46		
Compost (Miscanthus gigantenus)	$\frac{x}{\min-\max}$	$\frac{9.8}{2.4 - 40.6}$	$\frac{5.5}{1.9-13.3}$	$\frac{4.0}{0.1 - 35.1}$	$\frac{0.3}{0.1 - 0.7}$		
n = 46	V%	92	47	220	38		
Sewage sludge (Sida hermaphoidta Rusby)	$\frac{x}{\min-\max}$	$\frac{12.3}{3.7 - 34.8}$	$\frac{4.8}{1.2 - 10.8}$	$\frac{7.3}{0.3 - 32.7}$	$\frac{0.3}{0.1-1.0}$		
n = 40	V%	66	57	120	77		
Compost (Sida hermaphoidta Rusby)	$\frac{x}{\min-\max}$	$\frac{13.1}{4.0-37.0}$	$\frac{4.7}{1.6-10.9}$	$\frac{8.0}{0.6 - 33.3}$	$\frac{0.3}{0.1-1.1}$		
n = 42	V%	62	49	110	69		

Table 5. Average concentration and the variability coefficient of total nitrogen and its forms in the leachates samples collected in $2008-2012 \text{ (mg} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3})$

Explanations: n – numer of sample, x – average concentration, V% – variability coefficient. Source: own studies.

amount of nitrogen discharged in the leachate from the soil has the size of the dose and the type (form) of used fertilizer. This has been inter alia shown by studies with using identical doses of nitrogen supplied in ammonium sulphate and composts. Nitrate concentrations and the amount of discharged nitrogen in the leachate in the fertilization of the soil with ammonium sulphate were much higher than with the same dose of nitrogen in the compost^{27, 32, 33}. The greater fertilization dose the greater was the difference in the losses of applied nitrogen within fertilizer types. In the soil fertilized with sewage sludge and compost the amount of nitrogen discharged from the leachate was little differentiated (Table 6). The differences are statistically confirmed only in the variant of the *Sida hermaphrodita Rusby* species.

The amount of the total nitrogen discharged in the leachate (per 1 m^2) and its concentration decrease, throughout the study period (Table 6). Strong influence of plants on nitrogen losses noted, due to its leaching

from the soil. In the first year after planting, when they have extracted a little of both the water and nutrients, nitrogen losses were very large (tens of percent). In the following years, under the full development of plants, these losses declined repeatedly.

Table 7 shows the overall amount of nitrogen supplied to the soil in the sewage sludge, compost and precipitation as well as leachate discharged. The amount of nitrogen provided to the soil from rainfall in the research area was 3.9 g \cdot m⁻². It was calculated on the basis of precipitation and the weighted average concentration of total nitrogen in the rain. It was a slightly greater amount as in previous years in this area, which was 3.4–3.7 g \cdot m^{-2 34}.

The results given in Tables 6 and 7 clearly show the difference between the amount of nitrogen leaching from the soil in sewage sludge and compost fertilization variants. In a variant of sludge soil fertilizing, it was leached into water environment more than 12% of the applied nitrogen over 5 years of research. In the case

Table 6. Amounts of total nitrogen drained from	leachate during the period of 2008–2012
---	---

Year	Amount of	f total nitrogen leaching froi	m different variants of fertilization.	mg · m ^{−2}	
	Miscanthus gi	gantenus	Sida hermaphrodita Rusby		
	Sewage sludge	Compost	Sewage sludge	Compost	
2008	9245	7842	9145	5548	
2009	1445	1442	1550	1295	
2010	1904	1754	2186	1414	
2011	409	282	543	282	
2012	1523	767	1295	1291	
Σ	14 527	12 087	14 719	9831	
Test - t	0.384	1	0.047	5*	

*Statistically significant at the level of (p<0.05). Source: own studies.

Table 7. Amounts of nitrogen and phosphorus supplied to the soil and drained from leachate during the period of 2008–2012 in $g \cdot m^{-2}$

Variants of fertilization	Amour	nts of N_{tot} supplied g \cdot m ⁻²	Amounts of N _{tot} drained from leachate			
	in fertilizers	of precipitation	Σ	g• m ^{−2}	%	
Sewage sludge:						
Miscanthus gigantenus	100	19.5	119.5	14.5	12.1	
Sida hermaphoidta Rusby	100	19.5	119.5	14.7	12.3	
Compost:						
Miscanthus gigantenus	100	19.5	119.5	12.1	10.1	
Sida hermaphoidta Rusby	100	19.5	119.5	9.8	8.2	

Source: own studies.

of compost nitrogen leaching was reduced to 8.2-10.1% of the quantity supplied to the soil. There were smaller nitrogen losses than in the case of compost fertilizer, where the loss of only mineral nitrogen exceeded 12%, and in the conditions of using mineral fertilizers it was about 28% of the applied nitrogen doses¹⁷.

CONCLUSION

Compost made from sewage sludge and waste plants contains about two times less nitrogen and slightly less organic matter than the sludge.

The volume of soil sludge depends, not only on the amount of precipitation, but also on the variant of fertilization. In the variants of soil fertilization with compost leachate the volume was much smaller than in the case of sewage sludge fertilizer in the same soil and climatic conditions.

Nitrogen pollution of the water environment is lower when using compost rather than sewage sludge soil fertilization. In the case of identical doses of nitrogen, introduced into the sandy soil in the compost and sewage sludge, increased leaching of this component was observed in sewage sludge fertilization variant (over 12%) than compost (8.2–10.1%).

There is a leaching of not only the mineral forms of nitrogen, but also organic nitrogen (30–50% of the total leaching amount) from the soil fertilized with sewage sludge and compost.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Baran, S., Flis-Bujak, M., Turski, R. & Żukowska, G. (1993). Transformation of organic matter in the sandy soil fertilized with sewage sludge. *Zesz. Probl. Post. Nauk Rol.* 409, 243–250 (in Polish).

2. Krzywy, E., Wołoszyk, C. & Iżewska, A. (2000). Evaluation of the possibility of the land application of sludge compost from municipal wastewater treatment plants. *Charakterystyka i zagospodarowanie osadów ściekowych, Bydg. Tow. Ściek. Seria* A 30, 63–83 (in Polish).

3. Loveland, P. & Webb, J. (2003). Is there a critical level of organic matter in the agricultural soils of temperate regions: a review. *Soil Till. Res.* 70, 1–18. DOI: dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(02)00139-3.

4. Wei, Y. & Liu, Y. (2005). Effects of sewage sludge compost application on crops and cropland in a 3-year field study Chemosphere, 59, pp. 1257–1265.

5. Song, U. & Lee, E.J. (2010). Environmental and economical assessment of sewage sludge compost application on soil and plants in a landfill. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 54(12), 1109–1116.

6. Böhem, L. (1957). Compostierung von Klarschlamm nach dem Verfahren natürlicher compostierung, Landvirtschaft H 12, pp. 1–83.

7. Bernal, P., Navarro, A.F., Roig, A., Cegarra, J. & Garcia, D. (1996). Carbon and nitrogen transformation during composting of sweet sorghum bagasse Biology and Fertility of Soils, 22, pp. 141–148.

8. Czyżyk, F., Kuczewska, M. & Sieradzki, T. (2001). Preliminary results of studies composting liquid sewage sludge with straw. *Zesz. Probl. Post. Nauk Rol.* 475, 263–270 (in Polish).

9. Iżewska, A., Krzywy, E., Balcer, K. (2007). Impact of sewage sludge and composts prepared from sewage sludge on the content and uptake of macronutrients by straw of miscanthus sacchariflorus. *Pol. J. Chem. Tech.* 9(3), 56–59. DOI: 10.2478/ v10026-007-0054-3. (in Polish). 10. Siuta, J., Wasiak, G., Chłopecki, K., Kazimierczuk, M., Jońca, M., Mamelka, D. & Sułek, S. (1996). Natural and technical processing of sewage sludge into compost. Warszawa IOŚ 40 (in Polish).

11. Czyżyk, F. & Kozdraś, M. (2004). Chemical properties and composting of sludge from rural sewage wastewater treatment plant. *Woda Środowisko Obszary Wiejskie*, 4, 2a (11), 559–569 (in Polish).

12. Krzywy, J., Krzywy, E., Krzywy-Gawrońska, E. & Rozmarynowska, M. (2007). The effect of sewage sludge composts and potato pulp on uptaking of macroelements by the grain and straw of the spring triticale. *Pol. J. Chem. Tech.* 9(4), 14–17. DOI: 10.2478/v10026-007-0081-0.

13. Fotyma, E. (1996). Application of the method N_{min} to assess the environmental effects of nitrogen fertilization. *Zesz. Probl. Post. Nauk Rol.* 440, 89–99. (in Polish).

14. Scholefield, D., Lockyer, D.R., Whitehead, D.C. & Tyson, K.C. (1991). A model to predict transformations and losses of nitrogen in UK pastures grazed by beaf cattle. *Plant and Soil.* 132(2), 165–171. DOI: 10.1007/BF00010397.

15. Gotkiewicz, J. (1996). The release and transformation of mineral nitrogen in soils hydrogenic. *Zesz. Probl. Post. Nauk Rol.* 440, 121–129. (in Polish).

16. Sapek, B. (1996). Potential leaching of nitrates on dynamic background of nitrogen mineralization in grassland soils. *Zesz. Probl. Post. Nauk Rol.* 440, 331–341. (in Polish).

17. Czyżyk, F., Pulikowski, K., Strzelczyk, M. & Pawęska, K. (2011). Outflow of mineral forms of nitrogen from a light soil fertilised every year with compost from sewage sludge and mineral fertilisers. *Woda Środowisko Obszary Wiejskie* 11 4 (36), 95–105 (in Polish).

18. Doublet, J., Francou, C., Pétraud, J.P., Dignac, M.F., Poitrenaud, M. & Houot, S. (2010). Distribution of C and N mineralization of a sludge compost within particle-size fractions. *Biores. Tech.* 101(4), 1254–1262. DOI: dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. biortech.2009.09.037.

 Busby, R.R., Torberth, H.A. & Gebhart, D.L. (2007). Carbon and nitrogen mineralization of non-composted and composted municipal solid waste in sandy soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 39, 1277–1283. DOI: dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.12.003.

20. Hermanowicz, W., Dojlido, J., Dożańska, W., Koziorowska, B. & Zerze, J. (1999). *Physico-chemical analysis of water and wastewater*. Arkady. (in Polish).

21. Set of standards. (1999). Water and wastewater, Wydaw. Normalizacyjne Alfa-Wero (in Polish).

22. Polish Standard PN-76/C-04576/01 Water and wastewater – Nitrogen content tests – Determination of ammonium nitrogen by the colorimetric method indophenol. (in Polish).

23. Polish Standard PN-EN 15935:2013-02E Sewage sludge, treatment of biowaste, soil and waste – determination of loss on ignition. (in Polish).

24. Vengadaramana, A., Jashothan, P.T.J. (2012). Effect of organic fertilizers on the water holding capacity of soil in different terrains of Jaffna peninsula in Sri Lanka J. Nat. Prod. *Plant Resour.*, 2 (4), 500–503.

25. Curtis, M.J. & Claassen, V.P. (2005). Compost incorporation increases plant available water in a drastically disturbed serpentine soil. *Soil Science*, 170. 939–953.

26. Nguyen, T.T., Fuentes, S. & Marschner, P. (2012). Effects of compost on water availability and gas exchange in tomato during drought and recovery. *Plant Soil and Environment*, 58(11), 495–502.

27. Hartl, W., & Erhart, E. (2005). Crop nitrogen recovery and soil nitrogen dynamics in a 10 year field experiment with biowaste compost. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 168(6), 781–788. DOI: 10.1002/jpln.200521702.

28. Pulikowski, K., Paluch, J., Paruch, A. & Kostrzewa, S. (2005). The period of maximum occurrence of nitrate concentrations in surface waters. *Zesz. Probl. Post. Nauk Rol.*, Warszawa 505 : 339–345. (in Polish).

29. Jarvie, H.P., Withers, P.J.A., Bowes, M.J., Palmer-Felgate, E.J., Harper, D.M., Wasiak, K., Wasiak, P., Hodgkinson, R.A., Bates, A., Stoate, C., Neal, M., Wickham, H.D., Harman, S.A. & Armstrong, L.K. (2010). Streamwater phosphorus and nitrogen across a gradient in rural-agricultural land use intensity. Agriculture, *Ecosystems and Environment*, 135, 238–252 DOI: 10.1016/j.agee.2009.10.002.

30. Koc, J., Solarski, K., Rochwerger, A. (2007). Effect of a land reclamation system on the volume and seasonality of nitrate runoff from croplands. *J. Elementol.* 12(2), 121–133.

31. Rode, M., Thiel, E., Franko, U., Wenk, G. & Hesser, F. (2009). Impact of selected agricultural management options on the reduction of nitrogen loads in three representative meso scale catchments in Central Germany. *Sci. Tot.Environ.* 407, 3459–3472.

32. Maeda, M., Zhao, B., Ozaki, Y. & Yoneyama, T. (2003). Nitrate leaching in an Andisol treated with different types of fertilizers. *Environ. Pollut.* 121(3), 477–487. DOI: dx.doi.org/10.1016.

33. Erhart, E., Feichtinger, F., & Hartl, W. (2007). Nitrogen leaching losses under crops fertilized with biowaste compost compared with mineral fertilization. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, 170(5), 608–614.

34. Czyżyk, F. & Rajmund, A. (2011). Quantity of nitrogen deposited in soil as precipitated from atmosphere in the Wroclaw area during 2002–2007. *Ecol. Chem. Engineering* A 18(4), 515–521.