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Abstract. The influence of sandblasting on surface and subsurface of stainless steel is investigated using variable energy positron beam (VEP), positron annihilation spectroscopy (PAS), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and atomic force microscopy (AFM). Samples of stainless steel were blasted using 110 μm particles of Al₂O₃ under different pressure and time duration. In the case of sandblasting for 90 s, the reduction of positron diffusion length depending on the applied pressure was observed. Sandblasting during 30 s leads only to the reduction of positron diffusion length to about 60 nm for all samples. Positron lifetimes close to 170 ps measured using positrons emitted directly from the source point to the presence of vacancies on the dislocation lines. SEM and AFM images show that surface roughness depends rather on pressure of sandblasting than time of exposition.
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Introduction

The knowledge on the impact of the given surface treatment process on the tribological properties of the material is important from the practical point of view. Management of the production process, preparing better quality parts of machines and devices, protection from corrosion, and limiting of the wear may need this kind of knowledge.

Sandblasting is a cold working process used to clean surfaces and remove corrosion. The stream of high-speed abrasive particles hits the surface, removes oxides, and forms a clean surface. In this way, in addition next to changes in tribology of the surface, e.g. roughness, the local plastic deformations below it are generated. This causes the increase in the microhardness and residual stresses in a deformed layer.

As a result of plastic deformations, lattice defects appear, and they can be detected using positron annihilation spectroscopy (PAS). PAS is useful in studying changes undetectable by other popular methods such as microhardness test, electron microscopy, or X-ray diffraction. There are numerous works concerning PAS studies on the influence of different surface modification processes such as ion implantation [1], sliding [2], and cutting [3] on the deformed zone properties. Additionally, Dryzek [4] examined pure aluminum and aluminum alloy exposed to sandblasting. In this case, samples were blasted with air pressure 6.5 bar with silicon carbide particles of less than 0.5 mm in diameter. The exponential decay of defect concentration with in-
creasing depth from the surface was observed for both materials. The thickness of defected zone was close to 300 μm. In case of pure aluminum, divacancies were recognized, while in aluminum alloy, vacancy clusters were larger, probably consisted of three vacancies.

Our paper reports the influence of sandblasting with different time of exposition and pressures on the changes in the structure and surface of stainless steel. These are monitored by variable energy positron beam (VEP) and conventional positron annihilation spectroscopy (PAS). Additionally, the surface roughness is examined with scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM).

**Experimental details**

The stainless steel (SS) with chemical composition of 0.11 C, 0.6 Si, 1.8 Mn, 10.3 Ni, 0.01 S, 0.03 P, 18.6 Cr, 0.2 Cu, and the rest Fe (all in mass %) was used in this study. The samples were cut into 20 × 10 × 3 mm³ blocks and polished to get a smooth surface. Then two sets of seven identical samples were annealed in 800°C for 2 hours in vacuum conditions of 10⁻⁸ bar and slowly cooled down to room temperature. In this way, samples in the same state containing residual amount of defects were obtained. Next, two specimens were saved as a reference sample (RF) while the rest of them were sandblasted using Renfert Vario Basic Jet blaster. The abrasive material Edelkorund containing 99.8% of aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃) with size of 110 μm was applied. The surfaces were blasted perpendicularly with the distance of 10 mm between a sample and a nozzle under the pressure 1, 3, and 5 bar during 30 and 90 s.

VEP is operating as a part of Low Energy Positron Toroidal Accumulator (LEPTA) facility at the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR) in Dubna [5]. The positrons emitted from ²²Na source of 25 mCi activity were moderated onto frozen Ne (7 K) under pressure of 10⁻⁸ Torr. Then, they were accelerated to the demanded energy in the range between 50 eV and 30 keV and in the form of a beam of 5 mm diameter and intensity of 10⁸ e⁻/s implanted into the sample. 511 keV quanta from the annihilation process were registered by Doppler broadening (DB) of annihilation gamma line spectrometer with 1.2 keV energy resolution interpolated to 511 keV.

From measured lines, the so-called S and W parameters were extracted. The first one is defined as the ratio of area under the central part of the annihilation line to the total surface area under this line. The second one is calculated as the ratio of the area under the wing part of annihilation line to the whole area under the line. S parameter is strictly correlated with the type of defects and their concentration, i.e. the higher its value, the greater is the concentration of defects. The connection of two parameters can give information about a kind of defects.

Positron lifetimes were measured with a conventional fast-fast spectrometer. It was constructed from two BaF₂-based detectors and standard ORTEC electronic units: the time resolution was 250 ps. The isotope ²²Na with activity 32 μCi enveloped into a 7 μm thick Kapton foil was applied. Positron source was placed between two identical samples in front of the scintillator detectors of the positron lifetime spectrometer. Obtained spectra including 10⁶ counts were deconvoluted by LT code [6], subtracting the background and the source components.

The surface characterization was performed using scanning electron microscope Tescan Vega3 SBH and atomic force microscope AFM/STM SOLVER Nano (NT-MTD).

**PAS measurements**

The dependencies of S parameter on positron implantation energy (bottom axis) and mean implantation depth (top axis) for the reference (RF) and sandblasted samples for 30 and 90 s are presented in Figs. 1a and 1b, respectively. The mean implantation depth \( z \) was estimated using the formula

\[
\frac{\rho}{nAEz} = \frac{\rho}{nAE} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)
\]

where \( E \) is positron energy in keV, \( A = 2.62 \mu g/(cm²·keVⁿ) \), \( n = 1.692 \) are Makhov's parameters for iron, and \( \rho = 7.87 g/cm³ \) stands for density [8]. In this experiment, investigated depth was between 0.02 nm and 1.05 μm under the surface. In all cases, S parameter decreases with increasing positron energy and saturates in the region of higher energy. The level of S parameter saturation gives information about the presence of open volume defects. Higher value of S parameter in the saturation area
Studies of stainless steel exposed to sandblasting can be attributed to higher defects concentration. The profile representing RF (black circles) as annealed and containing residual defects only is located below the sandblasted ones. The saturation in this case appears in the region of 15 keV. For sandblasted samples, faster saturation of S parameter values is clearly visible. It is observed around 10 keV for sandblasting for 30 s and close to 5 keV for 90 s. It points to the reduction of positron diffusion lengths ($L_+$). Additionally, the saturation of profiles occurs for higher values of S parameter in comparison to RF sample. The influence of different pressures used in the process of 90 s sandblasting is marked stronger than during 30 s treatment.

More convenient analysis can be performed by fitting S parameter profiles using VEPFIT program [7]. The fitting procedure was performed by taking into account single layer model and Makhov’s parameters – $A$, $n$ (mentioned above), and $m = 1.766$. The best fits are marked with solid black lines and corresponding parameters are presented in Table 1.

Positron diffusion length obtained from VEPFIT program [7] for RF sample equals 79 nm. Wu and Jean [9] reported $L_+$ of 78 nm and 59 nm in the bulk of 304 and 316 steels, respectively. Thus, the obtained values correspond to these reported by other authors. Sandblasting for 30 s reduces $L_+$ to ca. 60 nm, which seems to be rather independent on the pressure applied during this treatment. Small change is visible in the values of $S_{\text{bulk}}$ parameters. $\Delta S_{\text{bulk}}$ defined as the difference in $S_{\text{bulk}}$ parameter for sandblasted samples to $S_{\text{bulk}}$ for RF is equal 0.013 for 1 and 3 bar, while for 5 bar, it arises to 0.016. Sandblasting for 90 s shows clearly the impact of pressure on the annihilation characteristics. Treatment under the pressure of 1 bar reduces positron diffusion length to 39 nm, while for 5 bar, it is equal to only 13 nm. Simultaneously, the above mentioned $\Delta S_{\text{bulk}}$ factor arises from 0.012 for 1 bar to 0.018 for 5 bar. It points to the increase in defect concentration with higher pressure. Additionally, $\Delta S_{\text{bulk}}$ factors for sandblasting under 1 bar for the time of 30 and 90 s are comparable.

On the right of Fig. 1, W parameter vs. S parameter for studied samples is shown. Points represent values of these parameters in bulk and surface, but they were obtained by fitting using VEPFIT [7]. For clarity, W($E$) profiles was not presented in this work. In both cases (30 and 90 s), all points fall approx. on a straight line. It indicates the domination of the same kind of defects across the scanned adjoined surface layer.

The measurements of the positron lifetime spectra direct on the sandblasted surface revealed only a single component. Table 1 gathered the obtained values for the studied samples. The mean implantation depth of positrons emitted from $^{22}$Na into the surface can be attributed to higher defects concentration. The profile representing RF (black circles) as annealed and containing residual defects only is located below the sandblasted ones. The saturation in this case appears in the region of 15 keV. For sandblasted samples, faster saturation of S parameter values is clearly visible. It is observed around 10 keV for sandblasting for 30 s and close to 5 keV for 90 s.

![Fig. 2. SEM: BI, 15; WD, 6.84 mm; view field, 68.3 mm; Detector – SE, SEM MAG – 2.05 kx (on the left) and AFM (on the right) images of RF and samples sandblasted for 90 s.](image)

Table 1. Parameters characterizing studied samples: $L_+$ as a positron diffusion length, $S_{\text{bulk}}$ is the value of S parameter in saturation and $S_{\text{surf}}$ on the surface obtained from VEPFIT [7], positron lifetimes $\tau$ from LT measurements, $S_a$ representing arithmetical mean height, $S_p$ maximum peak height and maximum pit height $S_v$ from AFM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$L_+$ [nm]</th>
<th>$S_{\text{bulk}}$</th>
<th>$S_{\text{surf}}$</th>
<th>$\tau$ [ps]</th>
<th>$S_a$ [µm]</th>
<th>$S_p$ [µm]</th>
<th>$S_v$ [µm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>79(1)</td>
<td>0.477(2)</td>
<td>0.557(1)</td>
<td>111(1)</td>
<td>0.15(2)</td>
<td>0.65(16)</td>
<td>1.36(27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 bar</td>
<td>63(3)</td>
<td>0.490(1)</td>
<td>0.518(1)</td>
<td>164(1)</td>
<td>0.42(2)</td>
<td>1.86(16)</td>
<td>2.24(22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 bar</td>
<td>58(3)</td>
<td>0.490(1)</td>
<td>0.521(1)</td>
<td>170(1)</td>
<td>0.56(7)</td>
<td>2.22(38)</td>
<td>2.54(28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 bar</td>
<td>64(3)</td>
<td>0.493(1)</td>
<td>0.517(1)</td>
<td>172(1)</td>
<td>0.88(5)</td>
<td>3.03(44)</td>
<td>3.99(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 bar</td>
<td>39(1)</td>
<td>0.489(2)</td>
<td>0.522(1)</td>
<td>169(1)</td>
<td>0.44(2)</td>
<td>1.75(80)</td>
<td>2.18(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 bar</td>
<td>26(1)</td>
<td>0.491(2)</td>
<td>0.514(1)</td>
<td>173(1)</td>
<td>0.77(7)</td>
<td>2.88(29)</td>
<td>4.73(78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 bar</td>
<td>13(1)</td>
<td>0.495(2)</td>
<td>0.518(1)</td>
<td>172(1)</td>
<td>0.96(4)</td>
<td>3.25(41)</td>
<td>4.15(41)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SS amounts to 29 μm. The positron lifetime for RF equaled 111 ps can be attributed to annihilation in the defect-free lattice. Lifetime values obtained for deformed samples vary between 164 and 173 ps. Because the positron lifetime in the vacancy of the perfect lattice is equal to 180 ps, the lower value can point to the annihilation in single vacancies on dislocations edges.

**SEM and AFM analysis**

Figure 2 shows SEM (on the left) and AFM (on the right) images of RF and samples sandblasted for 90 s. The RF surface is characterized by oriented in one direction trenches formed during preparation. Sandblasting changes definitely this type of morphology introducing random deformations created as a result of contact of the surface with abrasive particles. In this way, in SEM pictures, irregular squeezes created on the surface are clearly visible. Higher pressure during blasting is the reason of bigger area of the trace. The micrographs obtained from AFM tests show surface occupied by nonregular peaks and valleys. Application of higher pressure of abrasive particles leads to the reduction of sharp peaks against bigger ones and deeper valleys.

The AFM measurements offer the possibility of quantitative analysis of the surface roughness using special parameters. In this way, the mean roughness defines arithmetical mean height \( S_a \); additionally, maximum peak height \( S_{\text{p}} \), defined as the height between the highest peak and the mean plane, maximum pit depth \( S_{\text{n}} \), defined as the depth between the mean plane and the deepest valley. The area 100 × 100 μm² was measured five times and results were averaged. Results are presented in Table 1.

The \( S_{\text{a}} \) parameter, that is, the average roughness, for RF equals 0.13 μm, but in the case of sandblasted samples, it varies from 0.42 to 0.96 μm in dependency on the applied pressure. It is important that the small differences between times of sandblasting are visible. When maximal height of peak in the RF sample equals to 0.63 μm, this parameter becomes three times as big as for sample blasted under 1 bar and five times as high as for 5 bar. The impact of blasting time is imperceptible. Similar situation appears for \( S_{\text{p}} \) parameter where it arises from 1.36 μm for RF to about 2.2 μm for 1 bar to ca. 4 μm for 5 bar. The time of exposition does not reveal an important impact on this characteristic.

**Summary**

The stainless steel exposed to sandblasting was investigated using VEP, PAS, SEM, and AFM methods. Analysis of \( S \) parameter profiles points to the reduction of positron diffusion lengths attributed to the creation of lattice open volume defects. In the case of blasting for 90 s, increasing pressure is reflected in decreasing positron diffusion length. For 30 s, only shortening of diffusion length was observed without the influence of pressure. Positron lifetimes measured on the sandblasted surfaces indicate the presence of vacancies on the edge of dislocations. The SEM and AFM images show the deformation of sandblasted surfaces. The surface roughness seems to be more sensitive to the pressure applied during the process than the time of exposition.
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