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Abstract. In this paper, an electromagnetic levitation system was used with a synchronous motor to navigate the control rod of a small-type research reactor. The result from this prototype magnetic levitation system was in agreement with simulation results. The control system was programmed in MATLAB through open-loop system, closed-loop with state feedback and closed-loop with state feedback integral tracking. The final control system showed the highest performance with a low positioning error. Our results showed that the developed control system has the potential to be used as a reliable actuator in nuclear reactors to satisfy higher performance and safety.
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Introduction

The control-rod (CR) driving mechanism is one of the most important safety equipment that controls important functions such as the start, power adjustment and shutdown of the reactor.

The hydraulic control-rod driving system was specifically developed for the nuclear heating reactor (NHR). A similar CR driving mechanism had been operated in the 5 MW experimental NHR (NHR-5), since 1989. This system has a hydrodynamic pressure driving mechanism, which uses the reactor coolant (water) as a working medium. The commercial sized NHR with an output of 200 MWT (NHR-200), which has been developed by INET is one of the last nuclear heating reactors [1]. Yuanqiang et al. [2] tested the 10 MW high temperature gas-cooled reactor-test module (HTR-10) control-rod system. The control-rod system of the HTR-10 was designed to work at high temperature, high radiation and in helium environment. The stepping motor as the motive power source contributes to simplify the control-rod driving mechanism because of omission of the traditional brake clutch counterweight. The sprocket wheel device, an actuator of the control rod, is more suitable for the HTR-10 than other possible designs. A magnetic damper, a kind of the deceleration-device based on the electromagnetic principle was used to limit the emergency insertion speed of the control rod [2].

The high-temperature engineering test reactor (HTTR) control rods is individually suspended by support cables from the control-rod drive mechanisms, and are driven by using the alternating current motor. On the contrary, the electromagnetic clutch is separated to insert the control rods into the reactor core by gravity.
when electric current through the clutch is cut off by a reactor scram signal [3]. Chyou et al. [4] presented a case study of prototyping a control-rod driving mechanism (CRDM) in the Taiwan Research Reactor (TRR-II). Two major components, the movable magnetic coils and the hydraulic damper, were studied as paradigms to envisage the indispensable role of experimental mechanics in the prototyping of CRDM. The former is responsible for the position adjustment of the control rod during normal operation, while the latter provides the damping mechanism needed to subdue the ultimate impact of the CRDM during scram condition and preserve its mechanical integrity. Experimental data in various aspects confirm that the prototype has fulfilled the specifications.

In 2010, Shirazi et al. [5] proposed a simple design and implementation of a microcontroller-based system for the automatic movement of control rods in nuclear reactors. The processes of this system have been simulated in MATLAB and all responses of the system, including oscillation and transient responses, analyzed. But, the authors did not analyze the real conditions of a control-rod driving mechanism as a function of mass, velocity and time. This system can be used for educational purposes.

In 2008, Tanaka et al. [6] developed a 3-D virtual analysis system to analyze the motion of CRDM. The analysis system consists of a 3-D model to calculate the forces acting on the mechanism, and was verified by mock-up test. The 3-D simulator was verified by mock-up test to accurately simulate the CRDM motion including its sensitivity against variable parameters. The analysis system is useful for functional evaluation in maintenance or to factor out root causes for malfunction of CRDM.

Malfunction of CRDM and originated accidents have been discussed in different works. In 2012, the RELAP5 Mod3.2 code has been used to analyze the rod ejection accident (REA) in a VVER-1000 reactor. A 58-mm break

Table 1. Technical specifications of the MNSR facility [7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reactor type</td>
<td>Tank-in-pool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal power</td>
<td>30 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling system</td>
<td>Natural convection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal irradiation channels</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External irradiation channels</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum thermal neutron fluxes and temperature in irradiation sites</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner sites</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{12} \text{ n-cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} &lt; 45^\circ\text{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer sites</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{11} \text{ n-cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} &lt; 36^\circ\text{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>UAl$_4$, dispersed in Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrichment</td>
<td>90.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel density</td>
<td>3.456 g/cm$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of fuel pellet</td>
<td>4.3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clad material</td>
<td>Al-303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clad thickness</td>
<td>0.60 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel pin total length</td>
<td>250 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel pin active length</td>
<td>230 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of fuel rod</td>
<td>0.55 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of concentric circles on the grid</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fuel rod position</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fuel rods loaded</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of dummy rods</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess reactivity</td>
<td>3.85 mk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature coefficient</td>
<td>$-0.1 \text{ mk/}^\circ\text{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak power</td>
<td>76 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to peak</td>
<td>360 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet coolant temperature</td>
<td>62°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum wall temperature of fuel element</td>
<td>92°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation dose rate</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.2 \text{ mRem/h}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of control rods</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorber material</td>
<td>Cadmium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control rod</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control-rod diameter including S.S. tube</td>
<td>5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control-rod travel length</td>
<td>230 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Metallic beryllium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side reflector</td>
<td>10.2-cm thick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom reflector</td>
<td>5.1-cm thick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top reflector</td>
<td>Variable thickness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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is considered in the upper head of the reactor pressure vessel due to the impact of an ejected rod. The results of the RELAP5 code in different operating condition are consistent with VVER-1000 FSAR data [8].

In this paper, the CRDM of a research reactor is studied. In the first step, the in-use drive mechanism act on the rod using electric motor and rod will be moved at starting position. Then, an auxiliary drive mechanism via magnetic levitation system will control the rod position. Non-linear differential equations with an electrical excitation mechanism have been proposed. These equations linearize around an equilibrium point. A state feedback control with integral tracking for stability and improvement of the position error has been used.

Reactor description

The miniature neutron source reactor (MNSR), developed by the Chinese Institute of Atomic Energy, is a compact research reactor based on the Canadian SLOW-POKE reactor design. The MNSR is a low power tank-in-pool type research reactor and uses highly enriched uranium as fuel, light water as a moderator and coolant, and metallic beryllium as a reflector. Technical specifications of MNSR reactor are summarized in Table 1.

The core of the MNSR consists of the fuel cage, one central control rod and its guide tube, fuel pins (fuel rods), dummy rods and tie rods, with the generated heat removed through natural circulation.

The water and fuel temperatures rise during the reactor daily operational time, which is about 2.5 h. The water temperature increases from 20°C to 45°C and the fuel temperature increases from 20°C to 65°C. MNSR includes one central rod, cadmium absorber and stainless steel as cladding, so that the total reactivity worth of the control rod is only 7 mk. Thus, a small neutron flux variation is found near the control rod (≈5 cm far from the rod).

Methodology

The MNSR has two modes of control system, the manual and the automatic. One is an operator’s control system, where the neutron flux level can be kept in a given band and another is a computer closed-loop control system which satisfies the stable neutron flux. Because the control system for the MNSR consists of only one control rod, the system for its control is correspondingly simple. To detect the reactor characteristics and to test the instrumentation, the BF3 ion chambers, small fission type neutron chamber and Gamma-chamber, self-powered cobalt detector, water temperature detectors of thermocouple type for inlet, outlet and difference and radiation detectors, are located in irradiation sites near the core, or outside the reactor vessel. As we know, often last drive mechanisms were just electric motor, but in this method a new mechanism by electromagnetic levitation system (EMLS) has been proposed. Figure 1 shows the MNSR with EMLS.

Magnetic levitation system

The basics of an EMLS for rod position control is shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the magnetic force, \( F = \frac{C i^2}{y} \), is opposite to gravity of the levitated steel shaft. The magnetic force \( C \frac{i^2}{y} \) (\( C \) factor is 1) depends on the electromagnet current \( i \), electromagnet characteristics and air gap \( y \) between the steel hollow shaft and the electromagnet [9, 10].

Motion equation of the hollow steel shaft can be expressed by the following equation:

\[
M \frac{d^2 y(t)}{dt^2} = Mg - \frac{i^2}{y(t)}
\]

\[
U(t) = Ri(t) + L \frac{di}{dt}
\]

Fig. 1. MNSR with EMLS.
Choosing the states \( x_1(t) = y(t), \) \( x_2(t) = \frac{dy(t)}{dt} \), and \( x_3(t) = i(t) \) and Eqs. (1) and (2), state space equations described as follows,

\[
\begin{align*}
    \frac{dx_1}{dt} &= x_1(t) \\
    \frac{dx_2}{dt} &= g - \frac{1}{M} x_2^2(t) \\
    \frac{dx_3}{dt} &= \frac{R}{L} x_1(t) - \frac{1}{L} U(t) \\
    X(t) &= [x_1(t) \ x_2(t) \ x_3(t)]^T
\end{align*}
\]

where, \( X(t) \) is state vector, and initial conditions are:

\[
\begin{align*}
    y_0(t) &= x_{01} = \text{const.} \\
    x_{02}(t) &= \frac{dx_{01}}{dt} = 0 \\
    \frac{d^2 y_0(t)}{dt^2} &= 0 \\
    i_0(t) &= x_{03}(t) = \sqrt{M g x_{01}}
\end{align*}
\]

Finally, the system matrix, input matrix and output matrix of the reactor control-rod position with magnetic levitation system expressed as:

\[
A^* = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\frac{x_{03}}{M x_{01}} & 0 & -\frac{2 x_{03}}{M x_{01}} \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{R}{L}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad B^* = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
\frac{g}{x_{01}} \\
0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad C^* = [1 \ 0 \ 0]
\]

where, open-loop poles are placed in

\[
|s I - A^*| = 0
\]

**Rod position control**

As mentioned before, the aim of the study is the design of control-rod position control that yields desirable closed-loop performance under steady-state and transient conditions. As we know, the open-loop poles (Eq. (12)) are controllable, i.e. controllability matrix is a full rank matrix, and then an arbitrary closed-loop eigenvalue placement via state-space feedback can be achieved.

**State feedback control law**

The open-loop system under study \((A^*, B^*, C^*)\) is represented by the LTI state space representation:

\[
\begin{align*}
    X(t) &= A^* X(t) + B^* U(t) \\
    Y(t) &= C^* X(t) + D^* U(t)
\end{align*}
\]

where direct matrix \(D^*\) is zero, the state feedback control law is:

\[
U(t) = -K X(t) + r(t)
\]

when \(K\) is the constant state feedback gain matrix then yields the closed-loop state equation with the desired performance characteristics. Block diagram of the state feedback control is shown in Fig. 4.

The closed-loop system dynamic matrix is expressed as:
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(16) \[ \dot{X}(t) = (A^* - B^* K)x(t) + B^* r(t) \]

(17) \[ Y(t) = C^* x(t) \]

The open-loop characteristic polynomial written as:

(18) \[ sI - A^* \]

and closed-loop is:

(19) \[ sI - A^* + B^* K \]

By closed-loop pole placement choosing the \( p_1, p_2, p_3 \), the gain matrix \( K \) is calculated to a feedback gain vector denoted by:

(20) \[ K = [k_1, k_2, k_3] \]

The stability of closed-loop is the main target of control, but the tracking position error must be zero. Static pre-compensator will be obtained by \( s = 0 \), \( G(0) = -[C^*(A^* - B^* K) - B^*]^{-1} \). Since the input noise and measured noise always exist in the control system, try to dynamically compensate them by the tracking method [11].

State feedback tracking

Figure 5 shows the block diagram of the state feedback tracking control. Tracking error will be decreased using integral control even with noise.

The new control-law proposed is:

(21) \[ U(t) = -K x(t) + k_i \xi(t) \]

where,

(22) \[ \dot{\xi}(t) = r(t) - y(t) \]

in which \( r(t) \) is the input (step position) reference to be tracked by \( y(t) \). \( \xi(t) \) represents the integral of the tracking error. The Laplace transform of \( \xi(t) \) with zero initial conditions gives:

(23) \[ s \xi(s) = R(s) - Y(s) = E(s) \Rightarrow \xi(s) = \frac{E(s)}{s} \]

The control law can be written as:

(24) \[ U(t) = [K \ k_i] x(t) \]

which can be expressed as a state feedback control law involving four-dimensional augmented state vectors formed by the open-loop state vector \( x(t) \) and the integrator state variable \( \xi(t) \). So, the new four-dimensional closed-loop state equations are:

(25) \[ \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \xi(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A^* - B^* K & B^* k_i \\ C^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} r(t) \\ y(t) = -[C^* 0]. \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \xi(t) \end{bmatrix} \]

Closed-loop system response is determined by the eigenvalues of the \([x_1, x_2, x_3, \xi] \) closed-loop system dynamic matrices:

(26) \[ \begin{bmatrix} A^* - B^* K & B^* k_i \\ -C^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B \end{bmatrix} \]

It can be proved that the arbitrary placement of the closed-loop eigenvalues is guaranteed if the three initial assumptions are fulfilled, which results equivalent to require:

(27) \[ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -C & 0 \end{bmatrix} = (A_{41}, B_{41}) \]

As a result, the closed-loop eigenvalues can be arbitrarily placed by a proper choice of the augmented feedback gain vector \( K_i = [K - k_i] \) in a similar way as it was presented for the feedback gain method.

Simulation results

The control system is simulated using MATLAB/SIMULINK, where the parameters of EMLS are listed in Table 2.

Open-loop system

Figure 6 illustrates the rod position without state feedback. Open-loop poles are placed in 99.0454, –99.0454 and 10.0000. It is clear that the system is unstable.

Closed-loop system with state feedback

Closed-loop poles are determined at –10, –15 and –25. So, the state feedback gain matrix is obtained as,

\[ K = [-557.9139, -11.9484, 40.0000] \]

Figure 7 shows the unit step response of the rod position with state feedback.

It is obvious from Fig. 7 that the closed-loop in the steady state is stable, but the rod position error is so high.
Closed-loop system with state feedback and tracking

Closed-loop poles are determined at –10, –11, –12 and –15. The state feedback gain matrix is obtained as

$$K_t = [-539.1531, 12.0410, 38.0000, -22.3504]$$

Figure 8 shows the step response of the rod position with the state feedback tracking. By according to control the rod speed limit of MNSR, 10.6 mm/s, step final value considered at 10.6 mm. Fig. 8 shows the control system tracks the control-rod position 10.6 mm in about 1 s.

To shut down nuclear reactor the control rods must be dropped into the reactor core. Figure 9 shows that the MNSR control rod which has been dropped during 1 s into the core.

Since in nuclear reactor operating conditions, the regulating control-rod movement is in frequent movement to response the power level, we try to model a continuous control-rod positioning.

It is clear from Fig. 10 that EMLS with integral tracking follows the position demand with high per-

---

**Table 2. Parameters of the levitation system with hollow steel shaft**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coil diameter</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNSR control rod mass</td>
<td>50 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control-rod length</td>
<td>230 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil resistance, R</td>
<td>10 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil inductance, L</td>
<td>1 H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designed operating point</td>
<td>60 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial condition, x₀</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Performance. A prototype EMLS laboratory set based on the proposed procedures has been built with Table 2 parameters. The prototype is shown in Fig. 11. Because of some dimension limitations, the length of the control rod is made 100 mm.

Conclusion

Electromagnetic levitation actuator has been applied to MNSR for precision control-rod positioning. The results from the prototype system showed that the proposed method is useful in such a sensitive application. The simulation results show that the closed-loop control system with the state feedback tracking method has a good response time at steady state and transient operation. Thus, this system can be designed to apply in power reactors to control the regulating rods locally.
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Nomenclature

\( y(t) \) – hollow steel shaft position (M)
\( R \) – winding resistance (\( \Omega \))
\( M \) – ball mass (Kg)
\( U(t) \) – input voltage (V)
\( i(t) \) – winding current (A)
\( L \) – winding inductance (H)
\( g \) – acceleration of gravity (m/s²).
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Fig. 11. EMLS laboratory prototype.