INTRODUCTION

The term research design has been interchangeably used with research method [19]. Despite the fact that main research methods are known as qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods, research design might have different implications in different situations. For example, in one research it may refer to the whole research process from first steps in defining the research problem to research questions, literature review, methods and conclusions, however, in another research, it might be limited only to methodology including data collection and analysis. It is important to identify research design as it conveys message about key features of research and they can be different in qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods.

With its emphasis on numerical data, quantitative method was the dominant method for research in the management science during last century, however, qualitative research started popularity in the second half of twentieth century by focusing on information collection via communication and interpretive analysis of data [21]. Despite the fact that mixed research method can be traced to multi-trait, multi-method approach introduced by Campbell and Fisk in 1959 [36]. It started to gain popularity as an alternative to main two paradigms from early years of 1990 [35]. Mixed research method is also known as the third path [14], the methodological movement [37] and the third research paradigm [23]. Capability to integrate thematic and statistical data is considered as the main strength point of mixed research method and reason behind its increasing popularity among management scholars [38].

Current research presents mixed research method known as the third research paradigm and its application to research design in management science as well as in production engineering. In doing so, paper will study different definitions of mixed methods research, clarifying which research questions can be answered by application of mixed method, different steps in designing a mixed method research and finally different types of mixed research method applicable to management research.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Mixed research method has been defined by different scholars in different ways. The first definition of mixed method is provided by Greene, Caracelli, and Graham [15] as: “In this study, we defined mixed-method designs as those that include at least one quantitative method (designed to collect numbers) and one qualitative method (designed to collect words), where neither type of method is inherently linked to any particular inquiry paradigm”.

Latter definition by Tashakori and Tiddle moved from mixing two methods to mixing all steps of research process: “qualitative and quantitative approaches in the methodology of a study” [38]. Other renowned definitions of mixed method can be mentioned as: “mixed methods research is the type of research in which a researcher or team of researchers combines elements of qualitative and quantitative research approaches (e.g., use of qualitative and quantitative viewpoints, data collection, analysis, inference techniques) for the purposes of breadth and depth of understanding and corroboration” [22]. “Mixed methods rese-
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Mixed method is advocated by management scholars due to two main advantages: first, it has the theoretical capacity to be used in different scientific discipline including: human resources, strategic management, production engineering, sociology, education, organizational behaviour and psychology as well as healthcare. Second it can ensure the reliability and validity of research outcomes due to variable research questions which it can answer [4, 6, 13, 33].

Many management scholars have advocated application of mixed research method in management research due to its particular characteristics. Jogulu and Pansiri [21] discussed the possibility for simultaneous use of inductive and deductive research logic by applying mixed research method. According to them, such opportunity will provide researchers to generate theory and examine the hypothesis in one study. Jogulu and Pansiri also argue that due to subjective nature of social experiences (including management research), it is recommended to have more in depth knowledge from interpretations of experiences which are relevant to the social phenomenon rather than sole reliance on validity of data collected by application of quantitative methods. Therefore, using interview and focus group techniques in addition to survey questioners will provide consistency between experience and subjective views to a social phenomenon and statistical data collected in quantitative method [21].

Jack and Raturi studied capability of the mixed research method in combining statistics and thematic data in process of data analysis and concluded that such combination will result in strengthening research findings through triangulation [20]. This is considered as a complementary strength without any overlapping weakness [21] where application of mixed research method will add value to soft-core experiences collected via interview to hard data generated by questioners [32].

According to Caracelli and Greene [17], there are three main applications for mixed research method: “(1) testing the match of findings obtained from different measuring instruments, (2) clarifying and building on the results of one method on results obtained from application of another method. Such challenge can result in defining new directions for the study, – finally, fifth reason for using mixed method is expansion which refers to clarification of study’s result and add value to findings by application of both quantitative and qualitative methods.

RESEARCH METHOD

Current paper presents study on theory of research methods and on the basis of extensive literature review in the field of research methodology and research design with focus on mixed research method. On the basis of electronic research in academic databases, a comparative study has taken place among exiting literature on application of mixed research method in management science to define different styles of mixed method research design and its relevant attributes in management research.

DIFFERENT TYPES OF MIXED METHOD DESIGN

Scholars from different areas of science have contributed in identification and development of mixed research method (see Fig. 1) [11, 31, 37, 38]. However, mixed research method has firm roots in evolution literature and majority of existing frameworks on mixed method studies are referenced to work of evolution scholars [15, 17, 11, 23, 24, 30, 27, 29, 37]. Despite the fact that identified frameworks for mixed method design are significantly different from each other, but mixed method managed is recognized as strong and precise research tool in field of management research. However, it should not be forgotten that neither of those frameworks have been dominantly endorsed by scholars [19].

Fig. 1 Mixed Methods Design Matrix

Source: [21].

For the purpose of this paper, framework of Creswell [11] will be used in order to explain different design models in mixed research method. Creswell describes a guide for construction of mixed method features by introducing six models for design and refers to them as strategies of inquiry. Criteria for distinguishing strategies of inquiry from each other include: sequential or concurrent collection of quantitative and qualitative data, whether one type of data has been used as reference for other one or they are given same weight in the study, where and when does the mixing...
of data appear, which theoretical paradigm (positivism, constructivism, etc.) is dominant throughout the research [19]. The six models of approaches to mixed method design are discussed below.

**Sequential Explanatory Design** is the first approach to mixed method described by Creswell. Theoretical basis might or might not be influential in this approach as focus will be on providing explanation and interpreting relations among variables. Therefore, quantitative data will be collected first and it will complemented, followed and enhanced by qualitative data. As a result, quantitative and qualitative data will be collected and interpreted separately while results will be integrated after interpretation of findings [11]. This approach gives more weight to quantitative rather than qualitative components. Strength of sequential exploratory method can be considered in separate phases of design, data collection and interpretation for each group of qualitative and quantitative data. However, weak points of this method should be searched in time and cost of separate data collection phases and demand for expertise in integration of results achieved from interpretation of quantitative and qualitative data.

According to Morgan [26], sequential explanatory design can be considered as the most frequently used among mixed method design approaches.

**Sequential Explanatory Design** is the second design approach in mixed method research. In contrast with former approach, during the process of sequential exploratory design, quantitative data will be used as a complementary factor in interpretation of findings from qualitative data. This form of design will apply to studies which follow the goal of enhancing generalization with or without influence of theoretical perspectives. According to Creswell [11] instrument construction is a popular practice in this approach where an instrument like survey or test will be used as pilot for a small population and collecting important qualitative data on the basis of their experience with research problem. Later, follow up modifications and corrections on instrument will lead to collection of quantitative data which enhance and complement results of pilot study. Sequential exploratory design has similar weak points and strengths as previous approach.

**Sequential Transformative Design** is third approach to mixed method research. In this method either type of data can be collected first. While theoretical perspective plays a significant role in conduct of the research, used methods should reflect theoretical perspective properly. After separate analysis of qualitative and quantitative data, integration of outcomes will take place during the interpretation phase. This particular design approach will be considered when it is necessary to ensure representation of diverse range of participants [11]. Strengths and weaknesses of sequential transformative design are similar and comparative to sequential exploratory and explanatory design approaches [19].

**Concurrent Triangulation Design** is the fourth mixed method design approach discussed by Creswell. It is mostly applicable to conditions where the main idea behind the study is corroborating, cross-Validating or confirming of the findings. Despite the fact that one type of data can have more important role in this design approach, qualitative and quantitative data in general have similar weight during the integration phase and they are collected simultaneously in order to cover each other’s weaknesses. Different types of data are collected and analysed separately while integration of findings will take place during interpretation phase [11]. Strengths of concurrent triangulation design can be summarized as reducing the time of data collection and weakness can be mentioned as problems for correct application of quantitative and qualitative data collection process at the same time plus finding a justification for existing discrepancies in quantitative and qualitative data [19].

**Concurrent Nested Design** is the fifth design approach explained by Creswell. In this design approach, qualitative and quantitative data are collected concurrently and analysis of types of data will take place together [11]. Either type of data can have more significant weight as the other type of data will be used in embedded format [19]. One of the main occasions for application of concurrent nested design is multilevel structural studies where different levels or unites of a single organization will be studies at the same time [37]. Multiple embedded perspectives in collected data and shorter period of data collection are main advantages of concurrent nested design while weakness of using this approach can be considered as necessity expertise in process of combining qualitative and quantitative data and existing discrepancies in finding from analysis of different types of data [19].

**Concurrent Transformative Design**. Similar to sequential transformative design it is guided by clear and defined theoretical perspective. In this design approach, qualitative and quantitative data are collected at the same time and mixed during analysis phase. However, they might have equal or non-equal weight in the study [11]. Similar to other concurrent design approaches, shorter data collection time can be considered as the main strength of concurrent transformative design approach whereas, necessity to transform data in order to mix it during the analysis process and resistance of conflicting outcomes from analysis of quantitative and qualitative data can considered as main weaknesses of this design approach [19].

**DISCUSSION**

Providing justification to use a research method during the process of study is one of the fundamental steps in choosing the method by researcher in all fields of science as well as management science. In doing so, researcher should prove that research design is capable of providing best answers to research questions. However, no single method can provide best answer to all research questions. For example, quantitative research method is suitable when researcher aims at exploring a problem, provide an in depth study and explain multiple perspectives. On the other hand, qualitative research method can provide best answer when researcher is trying to define relationship among variables or explore reasons behind better performance of one group in comparison with others. Therefore, it seems logical to find out that which types of research question can be answered by the mixed method before taking further steps in defining different types of mixed method research design and their application in management science.

Creswell and Clark [10] define six types of research question which can be suitably answered by mixed method. First group is type of questions which need more than one source of data. This might happen due to different reasons like inadequacy of collected data by one method of research or lack of researcher’s expertise in exploiting a research method at full scale. Second group are research questions in which the initial result is in need of further expla-
nation. This is common problem when result of quantita-
tive research only provides general relations among va-
riables, but a further understanding from statistical tests
are needed. In such occasions, mixed method can be a pre-
ferable for research design as it can fill the gap in mea-
ningsfullness of results. Third are questions which need fur-
ther enhancement of study by using second method. It is
very popular in management research to face with occa-
sions that a second method is applied in research design to
enhance the understanding from some phases of research.
This can happen by adding qualitative data to quantitative
design or the other way around. However, “embedding
qualitative method in quantitative study is more popu-
lar” [8]. Fourth is the group of questions which theoretical
framework provides situation in need of using mixed met-
method for collecting data by simultaneous application of qu-
antitative and qualitative research design. Data can be col-
lected simultaneously, or in a sequential form by using one
type of data as a basis for other one. Fifth group are ques-
tions which are in need of providing better understanding
from objectives of research via using multiple research pha-
ses. This applies to long span projects when research will
take many years and it includes many components such as
organizational evaluation studies. In such projects, quanti-
tative and qualitative data can be collected simultaneously
or sequentially. Such researches are also famous as multi-
project or multi-phase mixed method studies and they in-
volve different teams of researchers who collaborate with
each other during multiple phases of study [8].

Finally, sometimes guiding theories of the study, vari-
ables for being measures and questions to be asked in the
research are not clear for researcher. Such situation is very
popular when the research topic is something new or sub-
jects of research are not available. Mixed method design
can be very useful in such condition as application of qual-
itative questions will provide enough insights for researcher
which theories to use, which questions to ask and which
variables to measure. Later, application of qualitative met-
 hod can provide a generalized picture from what has been
studied [8].

CHALLENGES FOR APPLICATION OF MIXED METHOD DE-
SIGN IN MANAGEMENT RESEARCH

Despite the fact that mixed method research provides a
flexible research designs which match the need for rigours
examination of research problems in different management
diplines, it is necessary to keep in mind significant chal-
genues for its application in process of management research
[5, 9, 24, 36].

A very important challenge for application of mixed
method research is achieving a reconciliation in paradigm
war. There is no doubt that still many researchers do not
agree on possibility to use quantitative and qualitative met-
 hods at the same time [34] while mixed method research
literature consider the such disagreements resolved by tak-
ing a pragmatic stance [7, 10, 18, 37]. Next challenge is
providing a commonly accepted definition from mixed met-
 hod research including components (research question,
data collection, design, data analysis and interpretation),
time and method for mixing components [3, 5, 23, 27, 37,
40]. In addition to above mentioned challenges, we also
need to consider the necessity for access to sufficient time
and resources to collected and analyse two different types
of data as well as existence of relevant skills for application
of mixed method research method.

CONCLUSIONS

The main objective of current paper is addressing the
problem of research design in mixed research method
which is considered by Teddile and Tashakkori [37] as
the third methodological movement. In achieving its objective,
research tried to explain fundamental principles of mixed
method research, analyse its different types with reference
to Creswell Framework [11], define suitable research que-
tions which can be answered by application of mixed met-
 hod design and finally point at existing challenges on the
way for it application.

There is no doubt that quantitative research design is
still the most popular research method in management
science which is followed by qualitative design [25]. Ap-
lication of mixed research method has relative short life
span in management research and its application is much
more limited in comparison with either of qualitative and
quantitative methods [1, 2, 12]. However, due to its flexibi-
 lity in offering different research designs, mixed method
research can offer researchers more creative design, data
gathering and analysis in almost all areas of management
discipline as well as in production engineering. Despite the
fact that popularity of mixed method research is growing
among management researchers still many criticisms exist
on the way of its full scale application which should be ans-
wered by its proponents.

REFERENCES

[1] L.M. Anderson and T.S. Bateman. „Individual environ-
mental initiative: championing natural environmental
issues in US business organizations”, in Academy of
-70.

model of ecological responsiveness”, in Academy of
-36.

methods research for nursing and the health sciences,
E. Halcomb and S. Andrew, London: Wiley-Blackwell,
2009, pp. 84-118.


[5] A. Bryman. „Barriers to integrating quantitative and
qualitative research”, in Journal of Mixed Methods

[6] R.L. Calabrese. The Elements of an Effective Dissa-
Right the First Time, Lanham (MD): Rowman & Little-
field, 2006.

[7] J.W. Carey. „Linking qualitative and quantitative met-
 hods: integrating cultural factors into public health”, in
Qualitative Health Research, vol. 3(3), August 1993,
pp. 298-318.

research in the health sciences, in Bethesda (MD):

tive, and mixed methods approaches, Thousand Oaks

ducting mixed methods research, Thousand Oaks (CA):

search designs”, in Sage Handbook of Mixed Methods
Hamed Alavi  
Tallinn University of Technology  
Akadeemia Tee 3, Tallinn 12618, ESTONIA  
e-mail: hamed.alavi@ttu.ee  
dr inż. Patrycja Hąbek  
Silesian University of Technology,  
Faculty of Organization and Management  
Institute of Production Engineering  
ul. Roosevelta 26, 41-800 Zabrze, POLAND  
e-mail: Patrycja.Habek@polsl.pl