Ultrasound assisted green synthesis of cerium oxide nanoparticles using *Prosopis juliflora* leaf extract and their structural, optical and antibacterial properties

Thirunavukkarasu Arunachalam $^{1,\ast},$ Muthukumaran Karpagasundaram 2, Nithya Rajarathinam 1

¹Department of Industrial Biotechnology, Government College of Technology, Coimbatore, 641013, India ²Department of Chemistry, Government College of Technology, Coimbatore, 641013, India

Cerium oxide nanoparticles (CONPs) were prepared using ultrasound assisted leaf extract of Prosopis juliflora acting as a reducing as well as stabilizing agent. The synthesized CONPs were characterized by ultraviolet-visible absorption spectroscopy (UV-Vis), particle size analyzer (PSA), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), Raman spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM). From the UV-Vis analysis, the optical band gap of the prepared CONPs ($E_g = 3.62 \text{ eV}$) was slightly increased as compared to the bulk ceria (Eg = 3.19 eV). The phytochemicals in the extract reduced the particle size to 3.7 nm \pm 0.3 nm, as it is evident from the PSA. FT-IR results confirmed the Ce-O stretching bands by showing the peaks at 452 cm⁻¹. The Raman spectrum showed a characteristic peak shift for CONPs at 461.2 cm⁻¹. XRD analysis revealed the cubic fluorite structure of the synthesized nanoparticles with the lattice constant, a of 5.415 Å and unit cell volume, V of 158.813 Å³. XPS signals were used to determine the concentration of Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺ in the prepared CONPs and it was found that major amount of cerium exist in the Ce⁴⁺ state. HRTEM images showed spherical shaped particles with an average size of 15 nm. Furthermore, the antibacterial activity of the prepared CONPs was evaluated and their efficacies were compared with the conventional antibiotics using disc diffusion assay against a set of Gram positive (G+) bacteria (Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumonia) and Gram negative (G-) bacteria (Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus vulgaris). The results suggested that CONPs showed antibacterial activity with significant variations due to the differences in the membrane structure and cell wall composition among the two groups tested.

Keywords: cerium oxide nanoparticles; Prosopis juliflora; structural, optical and antibacterial properties

1. Introduction

As the toxicological attributes of the conventional route of synthesizing metal and metal oxide nanoparticles are inevitable, an exponential increase in the search of an alternate route of synthesis exists in the recent scientific research. Plantderived products, such as the extracts of leaf, seed, and flower, play a vital role in chelating chemical compounds pointing out an effective, cleaner and eco-safe way of synthesizing nanoparticles. Green chemistry allows surface modification which has a strong influence on the physical, chemical, electrical, and optical properties of nanoparticles.

Cerium oxide (CeO_2) , an oxide form of the rare earth metal cerium, is a known semiconductor with a wide band gap energy of 3.0 eV to 3.9 eV and large excitation energy [1]. CeO₂ finds its applications in the fields of catalysis, sensors, fuel cells, cosmetics, biomedical and pathogenesis [2-6]. The biosynthetic route of CeO₂ nanoparticles (CONPs) offers a wide range of advantages including ease of scale-up; it is bio-compatible and less timeconsuming than the conventional procedures which are complex and hazardous [7–16]. A recent synthesis of CONPs was made from food (honey [4], egg white [11]), by myogenesis (fungal extracellular compounds [17]) and from the leaf extracts of gloriosa superba L [6], hibiscus sabdariffa [18] and olea europaea [19]. The active biochemicals

^{*}E-mail: thiru@gct.ac.in

^{© 2017.} This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 3.0 License. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/)

act as chelating, stabilizing and reducing agents to synthesize monodisperse nanocrystals of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles.

Prosopis juliflora, known as mesquite from the Fabaceae family, is native to Central and South America and an invader species in India that competes with the native species [20-22]. Being one of the prominent plants in the folkloric system of Indian medicine, the leaves were used to treat oral ailments [23], respiratory disorders [24], and as an insecticide [25]. The active phytochemicals from the classes of flavonoids, piperidine alkaloids (julifloricine, juliprosinene and juliflorine) and ellagic acid glycosides were shown to exhibit antimicrobial activity against several gram-positive bacteria and fungi [26–30]. This study aims to utilize these bioactive chemicals as an effective reducing and stabilizing agents for the synthesis of CONPs. To accomplish this, the study used ultrasound mediated leaf extract of P. juliflora to synthesize and characterize them. Also, the cytotoxic behavior of the prepared CONPs was exploited against the set of Gram positive (G+) and Gram negative (G-)bacterial systems.

2. Experimental

2.1. Preparation of ultrasound assisted leaf extract of *Prosopis juliflora*

Prosopis juliflora leaves were dried, powdered and sieved to the size of $d < 100 \mu m$. An aliquot of 0.5 g of leaf powder was accurately weighed and extracted with 100 mL of double distilled water in the ultrasonic cleaning bath (Citizon digital ultrasonic cleaner) at 40 kHz and 120 W and sonicated for 10 min. After sonication, the solution was cooled to the room temperature. The ultrasound assisted extract of yellowish green color was filtered using Whatman filter 0.45 µm and stored at 5 °C for further use.

2.2. Synthesis of cerium oxide nanoparticles using ultrasound assisted *P. juliflora* leaf extract

In a typical procedure, 0.1 M cerium chloride (99.5 %, analytical grade) aqueous solution was

prepared and mixed dropwise with an equal volume of *P. juliflora* leaf extract. The formation of white precipitate indicated that the hydroxide mediated precipitation occurred in the solution. The solution was heated at 80 °C in an oven till half of its volume. The precursor was then kept in the microwave oven, operated at a power of 800 W with the frequency 2450 MHz for 10 min. A yellowish brown precipitate started to appear which suggested the formation of cerium oxide nanoparticles. The obtained precipitate was powdered and annealed at 800 °C for 2 h. A schematic diagram of the formation of CeO₂ NPs using ultrasound assisted *P. juliflora* leaf extract is shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1. Formation of CONPs using *P. juliflora* leaf extract.

2.3. Characterization of CeO₂ NPs

UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Varian Cary 50) was used to record the optical absorption of the green synthesized nanoparticles in the spectral range of 200 nm to 850 nm. The particle sizes were measured using PSA at 25 °C at 90°/173° scattering angle (Horiba Scientific Nanopartica SZ-100). Fourier transform infra-red spectroscopy (FT-IR) analysis was carried out in the range of 400 cm⁻¹ to 4000 cm⁻¹ (Perkin Elmer). Raman shifts were measured using Raman spectrophotometer (R-3000 QE TM) with the spectral range of 200 cm⁻¹ to 2000 cm⁻¹. XRD pattern was recorded on Powder XRD (Bruker D8 Advance Powder X-ray Diffractometer, Germany) using CuK α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54060$ Å) for measuring the crystalline nature of atoms in the material. The diffractograms were recorded in the range of 2θ from 20° to 90° at a scanning speed of 2 °/min at room temperature. The average crystallite size of the synthesized CONPs was calculated using Scherrer formula. XPS signals were detected at a pressure using ultra-high vacuum with AlK α excitation at 400 W (Thermo Scientific). The morphology of the synthesized CONPs was examined using HRTEM. Samples for TEM analysis were prepared by drop coating the nanosols on carboncoated copper grids at ambient temperature. The excess nanoparticle solution was removed with a filter paper. The copper grid was finally dried at room temperature and was subjected to HRTEM analysis by the instrument JEOL JEM 2100 model operated at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. All the graphs were prepared using origin 8.6 evaluation software.

2.4. Determination of zone of inhibition (ZOI) and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

The Gram positive (G+) (Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae) and Gram negative (G-) (Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus vulgaris) bacterial cell suspensions were taken from log phase (10⁶/mL) and cultured in Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB). The disc diffusion assay was performed for three different concentrations of CONPs (10 mg/L, 50 mg/L and 100 mg/mL) against the set of bacteria as described previously using Mueller Hinton agar [31]. Each test strain was inoculated in the plates containing 50 mg/mL of CONPs treated discs and a solution of chloramphenicol antibiotic (5 mg/mL) treated discs along with the deionized water as negative control. A zone of inhibition was observed for each strain tested after incubation for 24 h at 37 °C and the all antibacterial activity was performed in triplicates. To determine the MICs, about 0.1 mL of culture suspensions were dispensed into a 96-well microtiter plate and then exposed to CONPs (50 mg/mL) and a set of screened antibiotics with the defined concentrations (Table 1). The growth was observed by measuring the absorbance at 600 nm. The observed MICs of nanoparticles were listed in Table 2.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. UV-Vis spectroscopy studies

Fig. 2 shows the UV-Vis absorption spectrum of ultrasound assisted green synthesized CONPs. The presence of piperidine alkaloids reduced the precursor into cerium hydroxide, upon oxidation resulting in smaller particles of cerium oxide. This effect can be observed by the shift in the absorption peak into UV-Vis region [9, 11, 13, 14]. The optical band gap can be determined with the help of equation 1 [6]:

$$\alpha h \upsilon = A (h \upsilon - E_g)^{0.5} \tag{1}$$

where α is the absorption coefficient, hu is the photon energy and E_g is the direct band gap. The direct optical band gap of the prepared CONPs was found to be 3.62 eV as it has been observed by Arumugam et al. [6], showing a slight increase in the band gap when compared with the bulk ceria. This increase in the band gap could be observed either through quantum size effect or through the charge transition of cerium ions [32, 33].



Fig. 2. UV-Vis spectrum of the green synthesized CONPs.

3.2. Particle size analysis

PSA was carried out using the dynamic light scattering technique at 173° scattering angle at room temperature (Horiba Scientific Nanopartica

Table 1. Concentration of the screened a	intibiotics based on CLSI standards.
--	--------------------------------------

Antibiotic concentration [μ g·mL ⁻¹]					
Ampicillin	Chloramphenicol	Erythromycin	Gentamicin	Tetracycline	Kanamycin
10	30	15	10	30	30

10002. Determination of Mile value of antibiotics and COM	Table 2.	Determination	of MIC	value of	antibiotics	and	CONP
---	----------	---------------	--------	----------	-------------	-----	------

Species	MIC [µg·mL ⁻¹]						
	Ampicillin	Chloramphenicol	Erythromycin	Gentamicin	Tetracycline	Kanamycin	CONPs
G (+)							
Staphylococcus aureus	4	8	4	4	3	3	4
Streptococcus pneumonia	4	8	4	4	3	3	4
G (-)							
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	2	4	2	2	3	2	2
Proteus vulgaris	2	4	2	2	3	2	2

SZ-100). Initially, the sample was prepared by dispersing a small amount of CONPs in 0.2 % sodium metahexaphosphate water and sonicated for 20 min. The viscosity of the dispersion medium was found to be 1.084 mPa·s. The mean diameter of the particles was assessed as 3.7 nm with the standard deviation of 0.3 nm (Fig. 3).



Fig. 3. PSA of the ultrasound assisted green synthesized CONPs.

3.3. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

FT-IR spectra of the synthesized CONPs are shown in Fig. 4. The spectra were obtained using the KBr pellet method in the wave number range 400 cm⁻¹ to 4000 cm⁻¹. As it is evident from the spectra, the absorption range of 3800 cm⁻¹ to 3000 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the O–H stretching frequency. The absorption peak at 3480.29 cm⁻¹ confirms the presence of CONPs. As cerium oxide readily traps atmospheric CO₂, their corresponding peaks were observed at 2426 cm⁻¹ and 1385 cm⁻¹. The Ce–O stretching frequency was expected below 400 cm⁻¹ but in this study it was observed at 452 cm⁻¹, indicating the formation of CeO₂ [13]. Similar observations were reported by Goharshadi et al. [34] where the Ce–O stretching band appeared in 450 cm⁻¹.



Fig. 4. FT-IR spectrum of the ultrasound assisted green synthesized CONPs.

3.4. Raman spectroscopy

Fig. 5 shows a Raman spectrum of the CONPs. The sharp peak is observed at 461.2 cm^{-1} ,

which is very similar to the results reported in the literature [21, 22]. The appearance of such shift may be due to the symmetrical stretching mode of the Ce–O vibrational unit that is highly sensitive to any disorder in the oxygen sublattice [35, 36]. The particle size of the CONPs can be estimated using the following equation [37–39]:

$$\Gamma = 10 + \frac{124.7}{D} \tag{2}$$

where Γ (cm⁻¹) is the FWHM of the Raman active mode peak and D is the particle size. From the results, the calculated particle size was found as 11.47 nm, which is comparable with the XRD analysis.



Fig. 5. Raman spectrum of the ultrasound assisted green synthesized CONPs.

3.5. X-ray diffraction analysis

XRD results showed that the synthesized nanoparticles exist in the face-center cubic phase of CONPs (JCPDS Card No. 34-0394) what can be confirmed from the peaks obtained at 2θ angles. Fig. 6 shows 9 peaks located at angles (2θ) of 28.54°, 33.08° and 47.38° corresponding to (1 1 1), (2 0 0) and (2 2 0) planes of the CONPs. Similarly, other peaks found at angles (2θ) of 56.33°, 59.08°, 69.39°, 76.54°, 78.99° and 88.22° correspond to (3 1 1), (2 2 2), (4 0 0), (3 3 1), (4 2 0) and (4 2 2)

planes. The lattice constant a of CONPs was found to be 5.415 Å by using the following relation [6]:

$$\frac{1}{d^2} = \frac{(h^2 + k^2 + l^2)}{a^2} \tag{3}$$

The unit cell volume (V) was calculated as third power of the lattice constant and found as 158.813 Å³. Debye-Scherrer formula (equation 4) was used to determine the average crystallite size of the particles:

$$D = \frac{0.9\lambda}{\beta\cos\theta} \tag{4}$$

where D is the average crystallite size, λ is the wavelength of X-ray used (1.54060 Å), β is the angular peak width at half maximum (rad) and θ is Bragg's diffraction angle. The average crystallite size was estimated as 11.42 nm for CONPs.



Fig. 6. XRD pattern of the ultrasound assisted green synthesized CONPs.

3.6. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

The percentage composition of different oxidation states of each element in the sample can be computed with the help of XPS signals. Fig. 7 shows the Ce_{3d} scan for the synthesized CONPs. The XPS spectrum can be split into Ce $3d_{5/2}$ and Ce $3d_{3/2}$ with multiple up-down satellites, which correspond to the v and u spin orbit coupling, respectively. The peaks obtained between 875 and 900 eV belong to the Ce $3d_{5/2}$ and above 900 eV they refer to Ce $3d_{3/2}$ [40]. The peak observed at 923 eV is a characteristic satellite peak indicating the presence of Ce⁴⁺. From Fig. 7, the observed peaks at 889.02 eV, 892.40 eV, 895.66 eV, 898.08 eV correspond to the Ce $3d_{5/2}$. Similarly, the peaks at 904.87 eV, 907.84 eV, 913.54 eV, 923.28 eV belong to the Ce $3d_{3/2}$. Table 3 shows the peak assignments for the multiplets u and v. The peaks were fitted in the Gaussian-Lorentzian model using Origin 8.6 and their relative concentration estimation (equation 5 and equation 6) showed that the cerium in the synthesized CONPs exists in the Ce⁴⁺ state rather than in the Ce³⁺ state:

$$Ce^{3+} = v_0 + v' + u_0 + u' \tag{5}$$

$$Ce^{4+} = v + v'' + u + u'' \tag{6}$$

$$[Ce^{3+}] = \frac{Ce^{3+}}{Ce^{3+} + Ce^{4+}}$$
(7)

Table 3. Ce 3d peak assignments.

		v ₀	v	\mathbf{v}'	$\mathbf{v}^{\prime\prime}$
Ce 3de /	Origin	Ce ³⁺	Ce ⁴⁺	Ce ³⁺	Ce ⁴⁺
$cc 3u_{5/2}$	FWHM	4.74	10.11	6.23	11.15
		u ₀	u	u′	u″
Ce 3d _{3/2}	Origin	Ce ³⁺	Ce ⁴⁺	Ce ³⁺	Ce ⁴⁺
	FWHM	5.78	8.44	17.06	19.93

3.7. Transmission electron microscopy

Fig. 8a to Fig. 8c show HRTEM images of the CONPs and the mean particle size is about 15 nm for the spherical structure. Fig. 8d shows clear Debye-Scherrer diffraction rings in the SAED pattern implying the high crystallinity of the CONPs. The rings can be appropriately assigned to the Miller indices $(1 \ 1 \ 1)$, $(2 \ 0 \ 0)$, $(2 \ 2 \ 0)$, $(3 \ 1 \ 1)$, $(2 \ 2 \ 2)$, $(4 \ 0 \ 0)$, $(3 \ 3 \ 1)$, $(4 \ 2 \ 0)$, $(4 \ 2 \ 2)$ and $(5 \ 1 \ 1)$ of cubic fluorite CONPs.

3.8. Antibacterial analysis of CONPs

Fig. 9 shows the size measurements of ZOI around CONPs and antibiotic treated discs. In



Fig. 7. XPS peak fit of the ultrasound assisted green synthesized CONPs.



Fig. 8. HRTEM images of the ultrasound assisted green synthesized CONPs.

the case of *P. aeruginosa*, *P. vulgaris*, ZOIs of 4.09 ± 0.22 mm and 4.38 ± 0.44 mm were observed, respectively, which shows mild to moderate antibacterial behavior. On contrary, ZOIs of 12.43 ± 0.36 mm and 14.56 ± 0.23 mm were recorded against *S. aureus* and *S. pneumonia*, respectively, for 100 mg/mL of CONPs. This significant difference in ZOI might be due to the differences in the membrane structure and cell wall



Fig. 9. Antibacterial activity of the ultrasound assisted green synthesized CONPs.

composition among them. Similar results were reported by Arumugam et al. [6]. On comparing to the chemical synthesis of CONPs, which is highly cytotoxic to somatic cells [41], the green synthesized particles show low genotoxic and cytotoxic behavior towards healthy cells [42]. From the results of XRD, Raman and TEM, the average CONPs size was found to be 15 nm, with the smaller crystallite size being 3 nm. The smaller crystallite size results in greater antibacterial activity due to their increased surface area [43]. Among the dependent variables including size, surface area and morphology, the cytotoxic efficiency depends mainly on the polar surface of the particles [44– 46]. The surface interaction may cause the reactive oxygen species (ROS) to increase its level, resulting in the cell under oxidative stress [47]. The most significant ROS include reactive hydroxyl radical (·OH), less toxic superoxide anion radical $(- \cdot O_2)$, and singlet oxygen $({}_{1}O^{2})$ with a weaker oxidizer, creating oxidative stress which results in significant damage in cell structures [48]. The mechanism of cell death could be possibly explained by the denaturation of the membrane surface proteins through the interaction of their surface thiol (-SH) group with the released ions of the nanoparticles [49]. The variation of band gap energy for the CONPs on comparing with the bulk ceria may also assist in the increased ROS production as it is evident from the UV-Vis analysis.

4. Conclusions

The ultrasound assisted leaf extract of *P. juliflora* proved to be an effective reducing and stabilizing agent for the green synthesis of highly

crystalline and extremely small sized CONPs. The UV-Vis, PSA and FT-IR studies revealed the formation of CONPs. XRD, Raman and SAED analysis validated the presence of highly monodisperse nanoparticles of cerium oxide. XPS signals were fitted using a Gaussian/Lorentzian model and confirmed that the cerium exists in the Ce^{4+} state more prevalent than Ce³⁺. HRTEM images confirmed that the CONPs possessed octahedral morphology with the average size of 15 nm. Smaller sized particles, rigid morphology, surface interaction and variation in the band gap energy of the synthesized CONPs induced the generation of ROS species, which showed an effective cytotoxic activity. The toxicological behavior of the CONPs is mild and moderate in G- strain and highly potent in G+ strains due to their membrane complexity. From the results of the present study we can infer that the CONPs synthesized through green chemistry possess good potential in the application of antimicrobial therapies.

References

- [1] MIAO J.J., WANG H., LI Y.R., ZHU J.M., ZHU J.J., J. Cryst. Growth, 281 (2005), 525.
- [2] KORSVIK C., PATIL S., SEAL S., SELF S., Chem. Commun., 10 (2007), 1056.
- [3] CHANDAR K.N., JAYAVEL R., *Physica E*, 58 (2014), 48.
- [4] DARROUDI M., HOSEINI S.J., OSKUEE R.K., HOS-SEINI H.A., GHOLAMI L., GERAYLI S., *Ceram. Int.*, 40 (2013), 7425.
- [5] RENU G., DIVYA RANI V.V., NAIR S.V., SUBRAMA-NIAN K.R.V., LAKSHMANAN V.K., Adv. Sci. Lett., 6 (2012), 17.
- [6] ARUMUGAM A., KARTHIKEYAN C., HAMEED A.S.H., GOPINATH K., GOWRI S., KARTHIKA V., *Mat. Sci. Eng. C-Mater.*, 49 (2015), 408.
- [7] CHOUDHURY B., CHOUDHURY A., *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 131 (2012), 666.
- [8] HU C., ZHANG Z., LIU H., GAO P., WANG Z.L., Nanotechnology, 17 (2006), 5983.
- [9] HUANG Y., CAI Y., QIAO D., LIU H., Particuology, 9 (2011), 170.
- [10] KRISHNAN A., SREEREMYA T.S., MURRAY E., GHOSH S., J. Colloid Interf. Sci., 389 (2013), 16.
- [11] MAENSIRI S., MASINGBOON C., LAOKUL P., JARE-ONBOON W., PROMARAK V., ANDERSON P.L., SERAPHIN S., Cryst. Growth Des., 7 (2007), 950.
- [12] MAENSIRI S., LABUAYAI S., LAOKUL P., KLINKAEWNARONG J., SWATSITANG E., Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., 53 (2014), 06JG14.

- [13] PHOKA S., LAOKUL P., SWATSITANG E., PRO-MARAK V., SERAPHINC S., MAENSIRI S., Mater. Chem. Phys., 115 (2009), 423.
- [14] SATHYAMURTHY S., LEONARD K.J., DABESTANI R.T., PARANTHAMAN M.P., Nanotechnology, 16 (2005), 1960.
- [15] SURESH R., PONNUSWAMY V., MARIAPPAN R., Appl. Surf. Sci., 273 (2013), 457.
- [16] TAO Y., GONG F.H., WANG H., WU H.P., TAO G.L., Mater. Chem. Phys., 112 (2008), 973.
- [17] KHANA S.A., AHAMAD A., Mater. Res. Bull., 48 (2013), 4134.
- [18] THOVHOGI N., DIALLO A., GURIB-FAKIM A., MAAZA M., J. Alloy Compd., 647 (2015), 392.
- [19] MAQBOOL Q., NAZAR M., NAZ S., HUSSAIN T., JABEEN N., KAUSAR R., ANWAAR S., ABBAS F., JAN T., Intl. J. Nanomedicine, 11 (2016), 5015.
- [20] PASIECZNIK N.M., FELKER P., HARRIS P.J.C., HARSH L.N., CRUZ G., TEWARI J.C., CADORET K., MALDONADO L.J., *The Prosopis juliflora - Prosopis pallida Complex: A Monograph*, HDRA, Coventry, UK, 2001.
- [21] HARRIS P.J.C., PASIECZNIK N.M., SMITH S.J., BILLINGTON J.M., RAMIREZ L., Forest Ecol. Manag., 180 (2003), 153.
- [22] SEETHA LAKSHMI B., NAIDU K.C., MURTHY Y.L.N., BOBBARALA V., PANDIT N., J. Pharm. Res., 3 (2010), 356.
- [23] HEBBAR S.S., HARSHA V.H., SHRIPATHI V., HEGDE G.R., J. Ethnopharmacol., 94 (2004), 261.
- [24] AGRA M.F., SILVA K.N., BASILIO I.J.L.D., FREITAS P.F., BARBOSA-FILHO J.M., *Rev. Bras. Farmacogn.*, 18 (2008), 472.
- [25] SENTHILKUMAR N., VARMA P., GURUSUBRAMA-NIAN G., Parasitol. Res., 104 (2009) 237.
- [26] AHMAD V.U., SULTANA A., QAZI S., J. Nat. Prod., 52 (1989), 497.
- [27] AHMAD A., KHURSHEED A.K., SABIHA Q., VIQARUDDIN A., *Fitoterapia*, 60 (1989), 86.
- [28] AHMAD A., KHURSHEED A.K., SABIHA Q., VIQARUDDIN A., Drug Res., 39 (1989), 652.
- [29] SATISH S., RAVEESHA K.A., JANARDHANA G.R., Lett. Appl. Microbiol., 28 (1999), 145.
- [30] KANTHASAMY A., SUBRAMANIAN S., GOVIN-DASAMY S., *Indian Drugs*, 26 (1988), 390.
- [31] GURUNATHAN S., HAN J.W., KWON D.N., KIM J.H., Nanoscale Res. Lett., 9 (2014), 373.

- [32] OREL Z.C., OREL B., Phys. Status Solidi. B, 186 (1994), K33.
- [33] TSUNEKAWA S., WANG J.T., KAWAZOE Y., J. Appl. Phys., 94 (2003), 3654.
- [34] GOHARSHADI E.K., SAMIEE S., NANCARROW P., J. Colloid Interf. Sci., 356 (2011), 473.
- [35] KOSACKI I., SUZUKI T., ANDERSON H.U., COLOM-BAN P., Solid State Ionics, 149 (2002), 99.
- [36] KOSACKI I., PETROVSKY V., ANDERSON H.U., J. Am. *Ceram. Soc.*, 85 (2002), 2646.
- [37] BARKER A., SIEVERS A., *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 47 (1975), S1.
- [38] PARAYANTHAL P., POLLAK F.H., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 52 (1984), 1822.
- [39] WEBER W.H., BASS K.C., MCBRIDE J.R., *Phys. Rev.*, *B*, 48 (1993), 178.
- [40] BURROUGHS P., HAMNETT A., ORCHARD A.F., THORNTON G., J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., 17 (1976), 1686.
- [41] ABBAS F., IQBAL J., JAN T., J. Alloy Compd., 648 (2015), 1060.
- [42] LIMA R., SEABRA A.B., DURAN N., J. Appl. Toxicol., 32 (2012), 867.
- [43] HAMEED A.S.H., KARTHIKEYAN C., SASIKUMAR S., KUMAR S., KUMARESAN S., RAVI G., J. Mater. Chem. B, 1 (2013), 5950.
- [44] XIA T., KOVOCHICH M., BRANT J., HOTZE M., SEMPF J., OBERLEY T., SIOUTAS C., YEH J.I., WIES-NER M.R., NEL A.E., *Nano Lett.*, 6 (2006), 1794.
- [45] XIA T., KOVOCHICH M., LIONG M., MADLER L., GILBERT B., SHI H., YEH J.I., ZINK J.I., NEL A.E., ACS Nano, 2 (2008), 2121.
- [46] BURELLO E., WORTH A.P., *Nanotoxicology*, 5 (2011), 228.
- [47] DEVASAGAYAM T., TILAK J.C., BOLOOR K.K., KE-TAKI S.S., SAROJ S.G., LELE R.D., J. Assocn. Physicians of India, 52 (2004), 796.
- [48] LI Y., ZHANG W., NIU J.F., CHEN Y.S., ACS Nano, 6 (2012), 5164.
- [49] TONG G.X., DU F.F., LIANG Y., J. Mater. Chem. B, 1 (2012), 454.

Received 2017-02-17 Accepted 2017-11-23