DOI 10.7603/s40956-016-0006-0

Moroccan J. Pure and Appl. Anal.(MJPAA) RESEARCH ARTICLE
Volume 2(2), 2016, Pages 79–90
ISSN: 2351-8227

On a (p, k)-analogue of the Gamma function and some associated Inequalities

K. Nantomah[a], E. Prempeh[b] and S. B. Twum[a]

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a new two-parameter deformation of the classical Gamma function, which we call a (p, k)-analogue of the Gamma function. We also provide some identities generalizing those satisfied by the classical Gamma function. Furthermore, we establish some inequalities involving this new function.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.33B15, 26D07, 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Gamma function, (p, k)-analogue, inequality.

1. Introduction

The classical Euler's Gamma function, $\Gamma(x)$ is usually defined for $x > 0$ by

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1}e^{-t}\,dt = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n!n^x}{x(x+1)(x+2)\dots(x+n)}$$

It is well-known that $\Gamma(x)$ satisfies the following basic relations.

$$\Gamma(n+1) = n!, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\},$$
$$\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Received February 20, 2016 - Accepted June 08, 2016.

[a]Department of Mathematics, University for Development Studies, Navrongo Campus, P. O. Box 24, Navrongo, UE/R, Ghana.
e-mail: mykwarasoft@yahoo.com, knantomah@uds.edu.gh
stwum@uds.edu.gh

[b]Department of Mathematics, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana.
e-mail: eprempeh.cos@knust.edu.gh

Published online: 22 June 2016

Closely associated with the Gamma function is the Digamma or Psi function $\psi(x)$, which is defined for $x > 0$ as the logarithmic derivative of the Gamma function. That is,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &= \frac{d}{dx}\ln\Gamma(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)},\\ &= -\gamma + (x-1)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(n+1)(n+x)},\\ &= -\gamma - \frac{1}{x} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{x}{n(n+x)}\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{k} - \ln n\right) = 0.577215664...$ is the Euler-Mascheroni's constant. The Polygamma functions, $\psi^{(m)}(x)$ are defined for $x > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{(m)}(x) &= \frac{d^m}{dx^m}\psi(x) = \frac{d^{m+1}}{dx^{m+1}}\ln\Gamma(x)\\ &= (-1)^{m+1}m!\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(n+x)^{m+1}}\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi^{(0)}(x) \equiv \psi(x)$.

The p-analogue (also known as p-extension or p-deformation) of the Gamma function is defined for $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x > 0$ as

$$\Gamma_p(x) = \frac{p!p^x}{x(x+1)\dots(x+p)}$$

where $\lim_{p\to\infty}\Gamma_p(x) = \Gamma(x)$. See [1, p. 270]. It satisfies the identities:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_p(x+1) &= \frac{px}{x+p+1}\Gamma_p(x),\\ \Gamma_p(1) &= \frac{p}{p+1}.\end{aligned}$$

The p-analogues of the Digamma and Polygamma functions are defined for $x > 0$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_p(x) &= \frac{d}{dx}\ln\Gamma_p(x) = \ln p - \sum_{n=0}^{p}\frac{1}{n+x},\\ \psi_p^{(m)}(x) &= \frac{d^m}{dx^m}\psi_p(x) = (-1)^{m-1}m!\sum_{n=0}^{p}\frac{1}{(n+x)^{m+1}}\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_p^{(0)}(x) \equiv \psi_p(x)$.

In 2007, Díaz and Pariguan [2] also defined the k-analogue of the Gamma function for $k>0$ and $x\in\mathbb{C}\backslash k\mathbb{Z}^-$ as

$$\Gamma_k(x)=\int_0^\infty t^{x-1}e^{-\frac{t^k}{k}}\,dt=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n!k^n(nk)^{\frac{x}{k}-1}}{(x)_{n,k}}$$

where $\lim_{k\to1}\Gamma_k(x)=\Gamma(x)$ and $(x)_{n,k}=x(x+k)(x+2k)\dots(x+(n-1)k)$ is the Pochhammer k-symbol. The k-analogue also satisfies the identities:

$$\Gamma_k(x+k)=x\Gamma_k(x),\quad x\in R^+$$
$$\Gamma_k(k)=1$$

Similarly, the k-analogues of the Digamma and Polygamma functions are defined for $x>0$ as

$$\psi_k(x)=\frac{d}{dx}\ln\Gamma_k(x)=\frac{\ln k-\gamma}{k}-\frac{1}{x}+\sum_{n=1}^\infty\frac{x}{nk(nk+x)},$$
$$\psi_k^{(m)}(x)=\frac{d^m}{dx^m}\psi_k(x)=(-1)^{m+1}m!\sum_{n=0}^\infty\frac{1}{(nk+x)^{m+1}}$$

where $\psi_k^{(0)}(x)\equiv\psi_k(x)$.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new two-parameter deformation of the classical Gamma function, called a (p,k)-analogue of the Gamma function. In addition, we provide some identities and inequalities involving this function. We present our results in the following section.

2. Results and Discussion

Definition 2.1. *Let $p\in\mathbb{N}$ and $k>0$. Then the (p,k)-analogue (also called the (p,k)-deformation or (p,k)-generalization) of the Gamma function is defined as*

$$\Gamma_{p,k}(x)=\int_0^p t^{x-1}\left(1-\frac{t^k}{pk}\right)^p dt \tag{1}$$
$$=\frac{(p+1)!k^{p+1}(pk)^{\frac{x}{k}-1}}{x(x+k)(x+2k)\dots(x+pk)} \tag{2}$$

for $x\in\mathbb{R}^+$. It satisfies the identities:

$$\Gamma_{p,k}(x+k)=\frac{pkx}{x+pk+k}\Gamma_{p,k}(x), \tag{3}$$
$$\Gamma_{p,k}(ak)=\frac{p+1}{p}k^{a-1}\Gamma_p(a),\quad a\in\mathbb{R}^+ \tag{4}$$
$$\Gamma_{p,k}(k)=1. \tag{5}$$

Also, observe that $\Gamma_{p,k}(x)$ satisfies the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_{p,k}(x) & \xrightarrow{p\to\infty} & \Gamma_k(x) \\ {\scriptstyle k\to 1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle k\to 1} \\ \Gamma_p(x) & \xrightarrow[p\to\infty]{} & \Gamma(x) \end{array}$$

The (p,k)-analogue of the Digamma function is defined as the logarithmic derivative of $\Gamma_{p,k}(x)$. That is

$$\psi_{p,k}(x) = \frac{d}{dx}\ln \Gamma_{p,k}(x) = \frac{\Gamma'_{p,k}(x)}{\Gamma_{p,k}(x)}.$$

The function $\psi_{p,k}(x)$ satisfies the following series and integral representations.

$$\psi_{p,k}(x) = \frac{1}{k}\ln(pk) - \sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{1}{(nk+x)} \tag{6}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k}\ln(pk) - \int_0^\infty \frac{1-e^{-k(p+1)t}}{1-e^{-kt}} e^{-xt}\, dt \tag{7}$$

The (p,k)-analogue of the Polygamma functions are defined as

$$\psi^{(m)}_{p,k}(x) = \frac{d^m}{dx^m}\psi_{p,k}(x) = (-1)^{m+1} m! \sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{1}{(nk+x)^{m+1}} \tag{8}$$

$$= (-1)^{m+1} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1-e^{-k(p+1)t}}{1-e^{-kt}}\right) t^m e^{-xt}\, dt \tag{9}$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\psi^{(0)}_{p,k}(x) \equiv \psi_{p,k}(x)$. It follows easily from (8) that,

$$\psi^{(m)}_{p,k}(x) = \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ < 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

which means that the function $\psi'_{p,k}(x)$ is a completely monotonic function of x, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Remark 2.1. *From the identity (3), we obtain the relations*

$$\psi_{p,k}(x+k) - \psi_{p,k}(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x+pk+k}, \tag{11}$$

$$\psi^{(m)}_{p,k}(x+k) - \psi^{(m)}_{p,k}(x) = \frac{(-1)^m m!}{x^{m+1}} - \frac{(-1)^m m!}{(x+pk+k)^{m+1}}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{12}$$

Also from (6), we obtain the relation

$$\psi_{p,k}(k) = \frac{1}{k}\left[\ln(pk) - H(p+1)\right]$$

where $H(n)$ is the nth harmonic number.

The (p,k)-analogue of the classical Beta function is defined as

$$B_{p,k}(x,y)=\frac{\Gamma_{p,k}(x)\Gamma_{p,k}(y)}{\Gamma_{p,k}(x+y)},\quad x>0, y>0.$$

Lemma 2.1. *The function $\psi_{p,k}(x)$ satisfies the following limit properties.*
(i) $\psi_{p,k}(x)\to\psi_k(x)$ as $p\to\infty$,
(ii) $\psi_{p,k}(x)\to\psi_p(x)$ as $k\to 1$,
(iii) $\psi_{p,k}(x)\to\psi(x)$ as $p\to\infty$ and $k\to 1$.

Proof. (i) By (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{p\to\infty}\psi_{p,k}(x)&=\lim_{p\to\infty}\left[\frac{1}{k}\ln(pk)-\frac{1}{x}-\sum_{n=1}^{p}\frac{1}{(nk+x)}-\sum_{n=1}^{p}\frac{1}{nk}+\sum_{n=1}^{p}\frac{1}{nk}\right]\\&=\lim_{p\to\infty}\left[\frac{1}{k}\ln(pk)-\sum_{n=1}^{p}\frac{1}{nk}-\frac{1}{x}+\sum_{n=1}^{p}\frac{1}{nk}-\sum_{n=1}^{p}\frac{1}{(nk+x)}\right]\\&=\lim_{p\to\infty}\left[\frac{1}{k}\ln k+\frac{1}{k}\ln p-\frac{1}{k}\sum_{n=1}^{p}\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{x}+\sum_{n=1}^{p}\frac{x}{nk(nk+x)}\right]\\&=\frac{1}{k}\ln k+\frac{1}{k}\lim_{p\to\infty}\left[\ln p-\sum_{n=1}^{p}\frac{1}{n}\right]-\frac{1}{x}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{x}{nk(nk+x)}\\&=\frac{1}{k}\ln k-\frac{\gamma}{k}-\frac{1}{x}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{x}{nk(nk+x)}\\&=\psi_k(x).\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Also by (6), we have

$$\lim_{k\to1}\psi_{p,k}(x)=\lim_{k\to1}\left[\frac{1}{k}\ln(pk)-\sum_{n=0}^{p}\frac{1}{(nk+x)}\right]=\ln p-\sum_{n=0}^{p}\frac{1}{(n+x)}=\psi_p(x).$$

(iii) This follows easily from (i) or (ii). That is,

$$\lim_{k\to1}\left(\lim_{p\to\infty}\psi_{p,k}(x)\right)=\lim_{k\to1}\psi_k(x)=\psi(x),\quad\text{or}$$
$$\lim_{p\to\infty}\left(\lim_{k\to1}\psi_{p,k}(x)\right)=\lim_{p\to\infty}\psi_p(x)=\psi(x).$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\gamma_{p,k}=-\psi_{p,k}(1)$ be the (p,k)-analogue of the Euler-Mascheroni's constant. Then $\gamma_{p,k}\to\gamma$ as $p\to\infty$ and $k\to1$.*

Proof. Proceed as follows

$$\lim_{k\to1}\psi_{p,k}(1)=\lim_{k\to1}\left[\ln(pk)-\sum_{n=0}^{p}\frac{1}{(nk+1)}\right]$$

$$= \ln p - \sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{p\to\infty}\left(\lim_{k\to 1}\gamma_{p,k}\right) &= \lim_{p\to\infty}\left(-\lim_{k\to 1}\psi_{p,k}(1)\right) \\
&= -\lim_{p\to\infty}\left[\ln p - \sum_{n=0}^{p}\frac{1}{n+1}\right] \\
&= -\lim_{p\to\infty}\left[\ln p - \sum_{n=1}^{p}\frac{1}{n} + \sum_{n=1}^{p}\frac{1}{n} - 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{p}\frac{1}{n+1}\right] \\
&= -\lim_{p\to\infty}\left[\ln p - \sum_{n=1}^{p}\frac{1}{n}\right] + 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right] \\
&= \gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 2.2. *A function f is said to be logarithmically convex if the following inequality holds for all $x, y > 0$.*

$$\log f(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \alpha \log f(x) + \beta \log f(y)$$

or equivalently

$$f(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq (f(x))^{\alpha}(f(y))^{\beta}$$

where $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$.

Theorem 2.1. *The function, $\Gamma_{p,k}(x)$ is logarithmically convex.*

Proof. We want to show that for $x, y > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$,

$$\Gamma_{p,k}(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq (\Gamma_{p,k}(x))^{\alpha}(\Gamma_{p,k}(y))^{\beta}. \tag{13}$$

Recall that the Young's inequality is given by

$$x^{\alpha}y^{\beta} \leq \alpha x + \beta y \tag{14}$$

where $x, y > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$. By this, we obtain

$$\left(k + \frac{x}{t}\right)^{\alpha}\left(k + \frac{y}{t}\right)^{\beta} \leq k + \frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{t}. \tag{15}$$

Next, taking $\prod_{t=1}^{p}$ on (15), we have

$$\prod_{t=1}^{p}\left(k + \frac{x}{t}\right)^{\alpha}\left(k + \frac{y}{t}\right)^{\beta} \leq \prod_{t=1}^{p}\left(k + \frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{t}\right)$$

which implies

$$\left(\frac{(x+k)(x+2k)\dots(x+pk)}{1\times 2\times\cdots\times p}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{(y+k)(y+2k)\dots(y+pk)}{1\times 2\times\cdots\times p}\right)^{\beta}$$

$$\leq \frac{(\alpha x+\beta y+k)(\alpha x+\beta y+2k)\dots(\alpha x+\beta y+pk)}{1\times 2\times\cdots\times p}$$

which further implies

$$\frac{p!}{(\alpha x+\beta y+k)(\alpha x+\beta y+2k)\dots(\alpha x+\beta y+pk)} \leq \left(\frac{p!}{(x+k)(x+2k)\dots(x+pk)}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{p!}{(y+k)(y+2k)\dots(y+pk)}\right)^{\beta}. \quad (16)$$

Then, by multiplying (16) by the identities:

$$\frac{1}{\alpha x+\beta y}\leq\frac{1}{x^{\alpha}y^{\beta}},$$
$$(p+1)=(p+1)^{\alpha+\beta},$$
$$k^{p+1}=(k^{p+1})^{\alpha+\beta},$$
$$(pk)^{\frac{\alpha x+\beta y}{k}-1}=(pk)^{\frac{\alpha x}{k}-\alpha}(pk)^{\frac{\beta y}{k}-\beta}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{(p+1)!k^{p+1}(pk)^{\frac{\alpha x+\beta y}{k}-1}}{(\alpha x+\beta y)(\alpha x+\beta y+k)(\alpha x+\beta y+2k)\dots(\alpha x+\beta y+pk)} \leq \left(\frac{(p+1)!k^{p+1}(pk)^{\frac{x}{k}-1}}{x(x+k)(x+2k)\dots(x+pk)}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{(p+1)!k^{p+1}(pk)^{\frac{y}{k}-1}}{y(y+k)(y+2k)\dots(y+pk)}\right)^{\beta}$$

which is (13). That completes the proof. □

Remark 2.2. *Alternatively, a compact proof of Theorem 2.1 could have been as follows. By using the defintion of $\psi_{p,k}(x)$ and the fact that $\psi'_{p,k}(x)>0$, it follows immediately that $\Gamma_{p,k}(x)$ is logarithmically convex. Then, from the definition 2.2 for $x,y>0$, $\alpha,\beta>0$ such that $\alpha+\beta=1$, we obtain the inequality (13).*

Corollary 2.1. *Let $p\in\mathbb{N}$ and $k>0$. Then the inequality*

$$\Gamma_{p,k}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\leq\sqrt{\Gamma_{p,k}(x)\Gamma_{p,k}(y)} \quad (17)$$

holds for $x,y>0$.

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 2.1 by letting $\alpha=\beta=\frac{1}{2}$. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let $p\in\mathbb{N}$ and $k>0$. Then the inequality*

$$\Gamma_{p,k}(nx)\leq(pk)^{\frac{x}{k}(n-1)}\Gamma_{p,k}(x) \quad (18)$$

holds for $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $x>0$.

Proof. It follows easily from (2) that

$$\frac{\Gamma_{p,k}(nx)}{\Gamma_{p,k}(x)}=(pk)^{\frac{x}{k}(n-1)}\frac{x(x+k)(x+2k)\dots(x+pk)}{nx(nx+k)(nx+2k)\dots(nx+pk)}$$

which completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k > 0$. Then the inequality*

$$\Gamma_{p,k}(x+y) \leq (pk)^{\frac{x+y}{2k}} \sqrt{\Gamma_{p,k}(x)\Gamma_{p,k}(y)} \tag{19}$$

holds for $x, y > 0$.

Proof. From (17), and by using (18) for $n = 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{p,k}(x+y) &\leq \sqrt{\Gamma_{p,k}(2x)\Gamma_{p,k}(2y)} \\ &\leq (pk)^{\frac{x+y}{2k}} \sqrt{\Gamma_{p,k}(x)\Gamma_{p,k}(y)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.3. *Results similar to (13), (17), (18) and (19) for the (q,k)-analogue of the Gamma function can also be found in [3].*

Lemma 2.3 ([9]). *Let $f : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be a differentiable, logarithmically convex function. Then the function*

$$g(x) = \frac{(f(x))^{\alpha}}{f(\alpha x)}, \quad \alpha \geq 1$$

is decreasing on its domain.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 0$ and $\alpha \geq 1$. Then the inequality*

$$\frac{[\Gamma_{p,k}(y)]^{\alpha}}{\Gamma_{p,k}(\alpha y)} \leq \frac{[\Gamma_{p,k}(x)]^{\alpha}}{\Gamma_{p,k}(\alpha x)} \leq \frac{p}{p+1} k^{1-\alpha} \frac{1}{\Gamma_p(\alpha)} \tag{20}$$

is valid for $k \leq x \leq y$.

Proof. Recall from Theorem 2.1 that $\Gamma_{p,k}(x)$ is logarithmically convex. Then by Lemma 2.3, the function $H(x) = \frac{[\Gamma_{p,k}(x)]^{\alpha}}{\Gamma_{p,k}(\alpha x)}$ is decreasing. Hence for $k \leq x \leq y$, we have $H(y) \leq H(x) \leq H(k)$ yielding the result (20). □

Theorem 2.4. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 0$ and $\alpha \geq 1$. Then the inequality*

$$\frac{[\Gamma_{p,k}(1+k)]^{\alpha}}{\Gamma_{p,k}(\alpha+k)} \leq \frac{[\Gamma_{p,k}(x+k)]^{\alpha}}{\Gamma_{p,k}(\alpha x+k)} \leq 1 \tag{21}$$

is valid for $x \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Define Q by $Q(x) = \frac{[\Gamma_{p,k}(x+k)]^{\alpha}}{\Gamma_{p,k}(\alpha x+k)}$ for $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 0$ and $\alpha \geq 1$. Let $\lambda(x) = \ln Q(x)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda'(x) &= \alpha \frac{\Gamma'_{p,k}(x+k)}{\Gamma_{p,k}(x+k)} - \alpha \frac{\Gamma'_{p,k}(\alpha x+k)}{\Gamma_{p,k}(\alpha x+k)} \\ &= \alpha \left[\psi_{p,k}(x+k) - \psi_{p,k}(\alpha x+k)\right] \\ &\leq 0\end{aligned}$$

since $\psi_{p,k}(x)$ is increasing for $x > 0$. Hence $Q(x)$ is decreasing on $[0, \infty)$. Then for $x \in [0, 1]$, we obtain $Q(1) \leq Q(x) \leq Q(0)$ yielding the result (21). □

Remark 2.4. *By letting $p \to \infty$ as $k \to 1$ in (21), we recover the results of [10] as a special case.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 0$, $a > 1$, $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} = 1$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{m}{a} + \frac{n}{b} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the inequality*

$$\left|\psi_{p,k}^{(\frac{m}{a}+\frac{n}{b})}\left(\frac{x}{a}+\frac{y}{b}\right)\right| \leq \left|\psi_{p,k}^{(m)}(x)\right|^{\frac{1}{a}} \left|\psi_{p,k}^{(n)}(y)\right|^{\frac{1}{b}} \tag{22}$$

holds for $x > 0$ and $y > 0$.

Proof. From the integral representation (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi_{p,k}^{(\frac{m}{a}+\frac{n}{b})}\left(\frac{x}{a}+\frac{y}{b}\right)\right| &= \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1-e^{-k(p+1)t}}{1-e^{-kt}}\right) t^{\frac{m}{a}+\frac{n}{b}} e^{-\left(\frac{x}{a}+\frac{y}{b}\right)t}\, dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1-e^{-k(p+1)t}}{1-e^{-kt}}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}} t^{\frac{m}{a}+\frac{n}{b}} e^{-\left(\frac{x}{a}+\frac{y}{b}\right)t}\, dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1-e^{-k(p+1)t}}{1-e^{-kt}}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}} t^{\frac{m}{a}} e^{-\frac{xt}{a}} . \left(\frac{1-e^{-k(p+1)t}}{1-e^{-kt}}\right)^{\frac{1}{b}} t^{\frac{n}{b}} e^{-\frac{yt}{b}}\, dt \\
&\leq \left[\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1-e^{-k(p+1)t}}{1-e^{-kt}}\right) t^{m} e^{-xt}\, dt\right]^{\frac{1}{a}} \\
&\quad \times \left[\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1-e^{-k(p+1)t}}{1-e^{-kt}}\right) t^{n} e^{-yt}\, dt\right]^{\frac{1}{b}} \\
&= \left|\psi_{p,k}^{(m)}(x)\right|^{\frac{1}{a}} \left|\psi_{p,k}^{(n)}(y)\right|^{\frac{1}{b}}
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. □

Note: The absolute signs in (22) are not required if m and n are positive odd integers such that $\frac{m+1}{a}, \frac{n+1}{b} \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the inequality*

$$\left|\psi_{p,k}^{(m)}(x)\right| \left|\psi_{p,k}^{(m+2)}(x)\right| - \left|\psi_{p,k}^{(m+1)}(x)\right|^2 \geq 0$$

holds for $x > 0$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.5 by letting $x = y$, $a = b = 2$ and $n = m + 2$. □

Remark 2.5. *By letting $p \to \infty$ in Theorem 2.5, we obtain the k-analogue of (22). Also, by letting $k \to 1$ in Theorem 2.5, we obtain the p-analogue of (22) as presented in Theorem 2.1 of [5].*

Remark 2.6. *By letting $p \to \infty$ as $k \to 1$ in Theorem 2.5, we obtain Theorem 2.5 of [11] as a special case.*

Remark 2.7. *Let $x = y$ and $a = b = 2$ in Theorem 2.5. Then, by letting $p \to \infty$ as $k \to 1$, we obtain Theorem 2.1 of [4].*

Theorem 2.6. *Let $m, n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k > 0$. Then, the inequality*

$$\left[\left|\psi_{p,k}^{(m)}(x)\right| + \left|\psi_{p,k}^{(n)}(y)\right|\right]^{\frac{1}{u}} \leq \left|\psi_{p,k}^{(m)}(x)\right|^{\frac{1}{u}} + \left|\psi_{p,k}^{(n)}(y)\right|^{\frac{1}{u}} \tag{23}$$

holds for $x > 0$ and $y > 0$, where u is a positive integer.

Proof. We employ the Minkowski's inequality for finite sums, and the fact that $a^u + b^u \leq (a+b)^u$, for $a, b \geq 0$ and u a positive integer. From (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\left|\psi_{p,k}^{(m)}(x)\right| + \left|\psi_{p,k}^{(n)}(y)\right|\right]^{\frac{1}{u}} &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{p} \frac{m!}{(ik+x)^{m+1}} + \sum_{i=0}^{p} \frac{n!}{(ik+y)^{n+1}}\right]^{\frac{1}{u}} \\
&= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{p} \left(\left(\frac{(m!)^{\frac{1}{u}}}{(ik+x)^{\frac{m+1}{u}}}\right)^{u} + \left(\frac{(n!)^{\frac{1}{u}}}{(ik+y)^{\frac{n+1}{u}}}\right)^{u}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{u}} \\
&\leq \left[\sum_{i=0}^{p} \left(\left(\frac{(m!)^{\frac{1}{u}}}{(ik+x)^{\frac{m+1}{u}}}\right) + \left(\frac{(n!)^{\frac{1}{u}}}{(ik+y)^{\frac{n+1}{u}}}\right)\right)^{u}\right]^{\frac{1}{u}} \\
&\leq \left[\sum_{i=0}^{p} \left(\frac{(m!)^{\frac{1}{u}}}{(ik+x)^{\frac{m+1}{u}}}\right)^{u}\right]^{\frac{1}{u}} + \left[\sum_{i=0}^{p} \left(\frac{(n!)^{\frac{1}{u}}}{(ik+y)^{\frac{n+1}{u}}}\right)^{u}\right]^{\frac{1}{u}} \\
&= \left|\psi_{p,k}^{(m)}(x)\right|^{\frac{1}{u}} + \left|\psi_{p,k}^{(n)}(y)\right|^{\frac{1}{u}}
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. □

Note: The absolute signs in (23) are not required if m and n are positive odd integers.

Remark 2.8. *By letting $p \to \infty$ in Theorems 2.5 and 2.6, we obtain the k-analogues of (22) and (23).*

Remark 2.9. *By letting $k \to 1$ in Theorems 2.5 and 2.6, we obtain the p-analogues of (22) and (23) as presented in [5].*

Remark 2.10. *The q-analogues, (p, q)-analogues and (q, k)-analogues of the inequalities (22) and (23) can be found in [12], [6] and [7] respectively.*

Theorem 2.7. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the inequalities*

$$\left(\exp \psi_{p,k}^{(m)}(x)\right)^2 \geq \exp \psi_{p,k}^{(m+1)}(x). \exp \psi_{p,k}^{(m-1)}(x), \quad \textit{if } m \textit{ is odd} \tag{24}$$

$$\left(\exp \psi_{p,k}^{(m)}(x)\right)^2 \leq \exp \psi_{p,k}^{(m+1)}(x). \exp \psi_{p,k}^{(m-1)}(x), \quad \textit{if } m \textit{ is even} \tag{25}$$

are satisfied for $x > 0$.

Proof. By relation (8), we obtain

$$\psi_{p,k}^{(m)}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\left[\psi_{p,k}^{(m+1)}(x) + \psi_{p,k}^{(m-1)}(x)\right]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{m+1} m!}{(nk+x)^{m+1}} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{m+2}(m+1)!}{(nk+x)^{m+2}} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{m}(m-1)!}{(nk+x)^{m}} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^m}{2}\left[2\sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{-m!}{(nk+x)^{m+1}} - \sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{(m+1)!}{(nk+x)^{m+2}} - \sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{(m-1)!}{(nk+x)^{m}}\right] \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{2}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{2m!}{(nk+x)^{m+1}} + \sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{(m+1)!}{(nk+x)^{m+2}} + \sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{(m-1)!}{(nk+x)^{m}}\right] \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{(m-1)!}{(nk+x)^{m}}\left[\frac{2m}{nk+x} + \frac{(m+1)m}{(nk+x)^2} + 1\right] \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{p} \frac{(m-1)!}{(nk+x)^{m+2}}\left[(m+nk+x)^2 + m\right] \\
&= \begin{cases} \geq 0, & m \text{ odd} \\ \leq 0, & m \text{ even.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

That implies,

$$
2\psi_{p,k}^{(m)}(x) \geq \psi_{p,k}^{(m+1)}(x) + \psi_{p,k}^{(m-1)}(x) \tag{26}
$$

and

$$
2\psi_{p,k}^{(m)}(x) \leq \psi_{p,k}^{(m+1)}(x) + \psi_{p,k}^{(m-1)}(x) \tag{27}
$$

respectively for odd m and even m. Then, by exponentiating the inequalities (26) and (27), we obtain the desired results. □

Remark 2.11. *By letting $p \to \infty$ in Theorem 2.7, we obtain the k-analogues of (24) and (25).*

Remark 2.12. *By letting $k \to 1$ in Theorem 2.7, we obtain the p-analogues of (24) and (25) as presented in Theorem 2.5 of* [5] *as a special case.*

Remark 2.13. *By letting $p \to \infty$ as $k \to 1$ in Theorem 2.7, we obtain Theorem 3.2 of* [8] *as a special case.*

3. Conclusion

We have introduced a new two-parameter deformation of the classical Gamma function, called the (p,k)-analogue. In addition, we have established some identities and inequalities involving this new function. The established results provide generalizations of some known results in the literature.

Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referees for their useful comments and suggestions, which helped in improving the quality of this paper.

References

[1] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.

[2] R. Díaz and E. Pariguan, *On hypergeometric functions and Pachhammer k-symbol*, Divulgaciones Matemtícas, 15(2)(2007), 179-192.

[3] C. G. Kokologiannaki, *Some Properties of* $\Gamma_{q,k}(t)$ *and Related Functions*, International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences, 11(1)(2016), 1-8.

[4] A. Laforgia and P. Natalini, *Turan type inequalities for some special functions*, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math., 7(1)(2006), Art. 22, 1-5.

[5] F. Merovci, *Turan type inequalities for p-polygamma functions*, Le Matematiche, Fasc II (2013), 99-106.

[6] F. Merovci, *Turan type inequalities for* (p, q)*-Gamma function*, Scientia Magna, 9(1) (2013), 25-30.

[7] F. Merovci, *Turan type inequalities for some* (q, k)*-Special functions*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, 34 (2013), 69-76.

[8] C. Mortici, *Turan type inequalities for the Gamma and Polygamma functions*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, 23 (2010), 117-121.

[9] E. Neuman, *Inequalities involving a logarithmically convex function and their applications to special functions*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 7(1)(2006) Art. 16.

[10] J. Sándor, *A note on certain inequalities for the gamma function*, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math., 6(3)(2005), Art. 61.

[11] W. T. Sulaiman, *Turan inequalities for the digamma and polygamma functions*, South Asian Journal of Mathematics, 1(2)(2011), 49-55.

[12] W. T. Sulaiman, *Turan type inequalities for some special functions*, The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 9(1)(2012), 1-7.