Experimental Study of the New Non-Opioid Analgesic Pyrodazol and Ketorolac in the Rats
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Abstract

Aim: To search the new analgesics we studied the antinociceptive activity of the new derivative 5H-pyrrolo [1,2-a]imidazole in comparison with this of the morphine and ketorolac.

Material and Methods: The analgesia was evaluated on hot plate and tail-flick thermal nociceptive stimulation models by intragastric administration of ketorolac and by intramuscular injection of morphine.

Results: On the hot plate model ED50 value was per body weight 0.34 (0.18 - 0.63) mg/kg of the pyrodazol i.g, 1.00 (0.59 - 1.70) mg/kg of the ketorolac i.g. in 90 minutes post administration. On the hot plate model in 0.3 - 1.3 mg/kg/doses i.m the pyrodazol is similar to morphine hydrochloride in dose 2.5 mg/kg i.m. On the tail-flick model ED50 values of the pyrodazol and ketorolac are 1.9 (1.12 - 3.23) mg/kg i.g and 3.0 (2.08 - 4.32) mg/kg i.g, respectively.

Conclusion: The obtained data may allow to suggest that on thermal nociceptive stimulation models the pyrodazol exceeds the ketorolac and on the hot plate models it is similar to the morphine hydrochloride.

Introduction

Pain syndromes are the most widespread and accompany many diseases [3, 11]. Therefore, the problem of pain and adequate pain relief is one of the most important tasks of clinical and experimental medicine being the subject of applied and basic research. Among the wide range of methods of analgesia (surgical, physical, psychological, etc.), drug therapy is in central place. Among the many pain relievers (opioids, non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), narcotic (NA) and adjuvant analgesics), there are no analgesics, which would better fit the clinic. The use of an opioid analgesics is limited despite its high efficiency because of severe side effects, among which should be marked the physical and psychical dependence, addiction [1]. Therefore, their use is limited and regulated. Non-narcotic analgesics and NSAIDs are usually effective for mild and moderate pain, however they could cause a number of serious side effects (hepato-nephrotoxicity haemotoxicity, etc.) [14]. The adjuvant analgesics (d2-adrenomimetics, m-anticholinergics(m-choline blockers), etc.) actually are not analgesics, they can’t solve fully this problem (may develop the significant efficacy in certain pain - neuropathic, spasmodic pain, etc.) [2]. Therefore it is important to find the new analgesics that exceed the existing analogues in efficacy and/or safety. The nitrogen heterocycles are interest of this aspect. For the last decades the number of analgesics containing nitric heterocyclic ring such as ketorolac, edotolak, likofelon and others are used in medical practice for pain

treatment [7, 15]. At present we are in active search of the new analgesics among various classes of nitrogen-containing heterocycles, including derivatives of pyrrole and imidazole [7, 10].

The aim of this study was to make a profound investigation of the antinociceptive activity of a novel 5H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]imidazole–pyrodazol-(1,3-di(4′-etoxiphenyl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]imidazole on thermal nociceptive stimulating models.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Female Wistar rats (150-200 g) and non-linear mice (18-22 g) were used. The animals were housed in a quarantine facility for 7 days before the experiment was started. Throughout the experiment, the animals were randomised in groups of four in cages with the bedding composed of wood shavings (exchanged daily). The animals had free access to a standard commercial diet and water. The animals were kept under a stable regimen of 12 h light/12 h darkness. All studies were performed under the requirements HEC of the Ministry of Health of Ukraine and the rules of the "European Convention for the protection of vertebrate animals are used with experimental and other scientific purpose" (Strasbourg, 1986).

Substances

Ketorolac tromethamine (ketorolac) substance (JSC "Lek-Chem» Ukraine), morphine hydrochloride (morphine) substance, produced by MAKFARLAN Ltd, United Kingdom, pyrodazol substance, (synthesized in the Department of Synthesis of biologically active substances at SE "Institute of pharmacology and toxicology for AMS of Ukraine ", Kiev). Pyrodazol was administered once orally (p. o.) or intramuscularly (i.m.), in a form of the aqueous-ethanol emulsion. Ketorolac was administered once p.o. in a form of aqueous solution. Morphine hydrochloride was administered i.m. in a form of aqueous solution.

Analgesia

Evaluation of analgesic activity in the experiment was carried out on thermal models nociceptive stimulating "hot plate" [5] and tail-flick [4]. The analgesic activity founded on the change of the latency of "paw licking" (hot plate) and of the flick of the tail (tail-flick) was evaluated. At the same time, we determined the percentage of change of the latent period of the reaction relative to the threshold of the reaction at the initial (point).

The hot-plate test was assessed on groups of 5 mice. The temperature of a metal surface was maintained at 55 ± 0.2°C. Latency to a discomfort reaction (licking paws or jumping) was determined before and after drug administration. The cut-off time was 20 s. The latency was recorded before and 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, and 24 hours following p.o. administration of the agents (ketorolac and pyrodazol). The prolongation of the latency times compared with the values of the initial was used for statistical comparison. Both the pyrodazol in doses 0.1, 0.5, 1, 1.5 or 3 mg/ kg and the ketorolac in doses 0.5, 1, 2.5 or 5 mg/kg once were p.o. administration to the appropriate animal groups. Comparative evaluation of analgesic effect of the pyrodazol and morphine was performed on a hot plate model after a single dose of i.m. injection in 0.3, 0.65, 1.3, or 2.5 mg/kg (pyrodazol) and 2.5 mg/kg (morphine). Latency was recorded before and 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2 hours following administration of the agents.

The tail-flick test was assessed on groups of 5 rats. The pyrodazol as the ketorolac were administered p.o. doses 1, 2.5 or 5 mg/kg. The tail flick latency was assessed by the analgesiometer (Ugo Baile, Italy). The strength of the current passing through the naked nicrome wire was kept constant at 6 Amps. The distance between the heat source and the tail skin was 1.5 cm. The site of application of the radiant heat in the tail was maintained at 2.5 cm, measured from the root of the tail. The cut-off reaction time was fixed at 15 sec to avoid tissue damage. Latency was recorded before and 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2.5 and 3 hours following administration of the agents.

The calculated pyrodazol and ketorolac ED50 values on the studied models using the Litchfil and Wilcoxon method [6].

The results were analyzed for statistical significance using variational statistics (t-test) OriginPro 8.0 (originLab Corporation, USA) [13].

Results

In the hot plate test the pyrodazol shows the significant analgesic effect (P>0.05) of 0.5 - 3 mg/kg doses (Fig. 1).

![Figure 1: Effect of the pyrodazol (p.o.) on pain threshold of mice in the hot-plate test. Each point represents the mean ± S.E.M. of reaction time for n = 5 experiments on mice. P<0.05, **P<0.01 - compared to initial, (t-test).](http://www.mjms.ukim.edu.mk)
The tendency for increase of latency response 57.16 - 78.95% (or 27.20 ± 8.42 to 27.20 ± 8.42 sec) in comparison with the baseline is recorded in 30 minutes post administration of the pyrodazol. The dose-dependent increase of the latency of reaction was recorded in the subsequent term (60-120 min) of monitoring. The analgesia peak was observed in 120 min after injection with pyrodazol. It is important that a validity of the increase in the latent period of the reaction (by 73.43 145.55 % or 28.10 ± 4.87 to 38.06 ± 5.69 sec as compared to the initial) is recoded in 24 hours after administration of the pyrodazol at doses of 1-3 mg/kg.

The validity of the increase in the latent period of the reaction by 48.95- 76.17% (or 16.95 ± 2.83 to 27.20 ± 3.50 sec) was observed in 30 min after ketorolac’s administration in the doses of 0.5 - 1 mg/kg (Fig. 2).

In 60 minutes after the administration in doses of 0.5 - 5 mg/kg, the effect of ketorolac achieved the analgesia peak (latency of reaction is increased by 91.70% - 167.84% (or 29.60 ± 3.36 to 30.48 ± 5.84 sec) in comparison with the initial dose-dependent latency reaction). At the appropriate doses it is comparable to this of the pyrodazol in the given period of observation. In the subsequent period of the observation, the analgetic effect of the ketorolac is rapidly reduced, unlike this of the pyrodazol.

The comparative antinociceptive study of the pyrodazol (i.m.) and of the morphine (i.m.) on this model showed that the morphine when was administrate to mice in conditionally therapeutic dose of 2.5 mg/kg decreased the pain threshold by 207.8% (46.42 ± 13.60 sec) in 30 min after the administration. In following observation period we registered the significant antinociceptive effect of the morphine hydrochloride (reduction of pain threshold by 103% - 178.9% (46.42 ± 13.60 sec), in 60 and 90 min of observation respectively) (Fig. 3). On the nociceptive stimulating tail-flick model the pyrodazol and the ketorolac showed a significant dose-dependent analgetic effect already in 30 minutes after the administration (Fig. 4, 5).

The rapidity of achievement of the analgesia peak is also dose-dependent. Thus as for the ketorolac the peak of the analgetic effect was registered after the administration of the drug in 30 minutes in dose 5 mg/kg (increase of latency reaction by 141.26% (9.94 ± 2.09 sec) as compared to initial) and in 2.5 mg/kg of the drug in 60 min following the drug administration (increase latency reaction by by 73.85% (7.58 ± 0.92 sec) as compared to initial).

In the following observation period (60 - 180 min after injection) the ketorolac analgetic effect decreased as described as: at dose 5 mg/kg the pain threshold decreased by 55.83% (6.42 ± 0.64 sec) as compared to initial at dose 2.5 mg/kg - by 43.81%. Reduction of the dose up to 1 mg/kg of the ketorolac discontinued the development of the analgesic effect and the state of hyperalgesia was observed (Fig. 5). The pyrodazol showed the significant analgesic effect (the validity of the increase in the latent period of the reaction is by 50.12% and 52.27% or 6.20 ± 0.97 and 5.63 ± 0.90 sec respectively) after 30 minutes following the administration in 2.5 - 5 mg/kg doses body weight, peak of the effect (the validity of the increase in the latent period of the reaction is 90.80% and 91.87 % or 7.88 ± 1.94 and 7.08 ± 1.46 respec-
Effect on CNS mechanisms of action of which is associated with an analgetic effect in this experiment. ED50 values of the morphine hydrochloride and ketorolac and their derivatives cause an inhibition of interneuronic excitation transmission at the level of posterior horns of the spinal cord. The morphine stimulation was applied to the distal part of the tail, as it is known this area is more sensitive in comparison with the proximal part. On this nociceptive stimulating model the ED50 values calculated for 60 min. after the administration of the pyrodazol and ketorolac were 1.9 (1.12 - 3.23) mg/kg and 3.0 (2.08 - 4.32) mg/kg in 90 min - 4.0 (2.1 - 7.6) mg/kg and 3.6 (2.9 - 4.43) mg/kg respectively. The obtained results show the higher activity of the pyrodazol in comparison with this of the ketorolac. It is known that a nociception is regulated by a number of control mechanisms, including a spinal (segmental or heterogeneous). Pyrodazol administration can provide the increase of the latency response on the tail-flick model due to its effect on posterior roots. [16] Spino-bulbo-spinal circuit could mediate it, for example [8].

It should be noted that the thermal nociceptive stimulating studies (hot plate, tail-flick) are characterized by the central and not peripheral analgetic level of action but they have disadvantage that sedatives, myorelaxants and psychotomimetics can cause a false antinociceptive effect. [18]. Therefore the further research studies on pyrodazol antinociceptive activity are required.

Conclusion: We detected on the models of thermal nociceptive stimuli (hot plate and tail-flick) in single introduction p.o. that pyrodazol exceeds the ketorolac in the antinociceptive activity. In intramuscular administration on the hot plate model, pyrodazol possess an analgesic potency equal to this of the morphine hydrochloride.
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