An assessment of crop water deficits of the plants growing on the Małopolska Upland (Poland)
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Abstract

The problem of water scarcity is unfavourable for the economy, with the most significant water deficits felt by agriculture. In Poland, water deficits in agriculture are occurring more frequently, causing losses in yield, not only in the Lowland areas but also in the Uplands. This paper presents an assessment of the water deficits at various exceedance probability levels for four varieties of field crop and for soil types with various water retention capacity, which occur in the Małopolska Upland. Calculations were performed by balancing the amount of available soil water in the root zone. The study was based on the meteorological data from the Institute of Meteorology and Water Management for the years 1971–2010. Daily precipitation data from six rainfall stations: Borsowa, Igolomia, Książ Wielki, Miechów, Olewin and Sielec was utilised as well as average decadal air temperature, water vapour pressure, wind speed and sunshine hours from the meteorological station at Kraków–Balice. The water deficits at an exceedance probability level of 20% fluctuated during the growing season from 5 mm (Phaeozems) to 190 mm (Leptosols). In the Małopolska Upland in soils with a medium capacity to retain water (110–160 mm), water deficits have occurred even in years of average rainfall (with probability 50%). This study confirms the considerable impact of the high variability of the soil and pluvial conditions in the region on the water deficits of the field crops.
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INTRODUCTION

According to Kondracki’s physical and geographical division [KONDRAKCI 2011] the Małopolska Upland is located in the central part of the Polish Highlands and is diverse in terms of terrain e.g., the Miechowska Upland and Proszowice Plateau (Fig. 1.).

The majority of the uplands reach an altitude of 200–300 m a.s.l. but in the area of the Holy Cross Mountains reach an elevation in excess of 600 m a.s.l. The Małopolska Upland is characterised by diverse climatic conditions. The weather for the greater part of the year is controlled by polar-marine and polar-continental air. The northern and central part of the region experiences moderate climate with a long growing season and the most intense solar radiation in Poland. The average annual air temperature in the region varies from 7 to 8°C and the average annual precipitation ranges from 550 to 650 mm [DYNOWSKA, MACIEJEWSKI 1991]. Precipitation amount determines the surplus or deficit of water for agricultural production. Although throughout the region in aver-
age years there are no long-term water deficits, in dry years crop losses have been recorded due to scarcity of water in the soil [KOWALCZYK et al. 2014]. Precipitation deficits are defined as the difference between crop demand for water and rainfall throughout the growing season or certain stages of growth and development [ŁABĘDZKI et al. 2008; RZEKANOWSKI et al. 2011; ŻARSKI, DUDEK 2009]. KACA et al. [2011] found that in Poland there was a clear documented trend of rising air temperatures and decreasing precipitation, which proves to be quite visible climate change. One of the negative effects of the observed changes in climate is ever more frequent water deficits and droughts. Concurrently, many decades of decreasing water balance are observed in the agricultural landscape in many European countries [KĘDZIORA et al. 2014]. According to FABER [2002] based on the monitoring of the Crop Growth Monitoring System CGMS (used in Europe for forecasting yields) and applying the WOFOST model (used to simulate the growth and development of the plants) the water deficit in Poland limits the yield potential of wheat cultivation by 46.9%, of spring barley by 42.9%, of winter rape by 60% and of potatoes by 58.9%. In Poland research in the field of crop water deficits are numerous, as evidenced by many publications [BINIAK et al. 2007; CHMURA 2001; DMOWSKI, DZIEŻYC 2009; DMOWSKI et al. 2002, 2008; DZIEŻYC 1988; DZIEŻYC et al. 1980; 1986; 1987a, b; DZIEŻYC, NOWAK 1992; NYC, POKLADEK 2009; PANASIEWICZ, KOZIARA 2007; PANEK 1993]. In the all above studies to assess the water needs of the plants, the method of optimal precipitation was used. Optimal precipitation is the amount of rain needed to grow plants from seed, or beginning of the growing season, until the end harvest of mature plants. CHMURA [2001] analysed indicators of optimal precipitation and water needs of selected crops (cereals, root crops and legumes) and found that both deficits and surpluses of rainfall had an adverse impact on the yield. Yield reductions (caused by deficits and surpluses respectively) were estimated to be: for cereals by 2–27% and 3–21%; for potatoes by 4–45% and 3–30%; for sugar beet of 2–43% and 14–19%, for fodder beet by 16–73 and 73% and 8–28%, for pulses of 5–42% and 21–40%.

In addition to the method of optimal precipitation in determining crop water deficits the methodology of ROGUSKI et al. [1988] was applied, which takes into account the amount of easily available water in the soil. Soil water retention significantly affects the size of the estimated water deficits and the accuracy of forecasting the amount of water needed for irrigation. Following this methodology ŁABĘDZKI [1996] identified water deficits for the selected field crops and grasslands in 49 provinces in Poland to assess the likely water scarcity in crop production. The author relied on a balance sheet equation that takes into account: precipitation, crop potential evapotranspiration and the readily available soil water in the root zone. New opportunities to improve deficit forecasting for
particular arable crops were presented with the establishment of a soil-mapping database at the Institute for Land Reclamation and Grassland Farming [OSTROWSKI 1996] and the creation of a procedure for determining the isolines (isohyets) of the water deficits, along with advances in computer cartographic visualization. The implementation of this project, as part of a computerized system of spatial information, created opportunities for the development of a set of maps marking estimated water deficits for growing major crops at a given yield, taking into account the soils on which the cultivation of these plants have justification. OSTROWSKI et al. [2008] produced an atlas presenting spatial variability of the water deficits for selected crops and grasslands, in conjunction with soils occurring on the Polish territory and indicating the regions where there is a need for irrigation.

Taking into account soil water that can be useful for plants is indispensable to estimate water deficits of cropped plants. Soil water balance is a commonly accepted and widely used method. The FAO approach based on a simple soil water balance model CROPWAT accounts for soil moisture content and meteorological parameters [PEREIRA et al. 1995; 2003; SMITH 1992a; TEIXEIRA, PEREIRA 1992]. The mostly used standard approach is based on the FAO guidelines to estimate crop water requirement [ALLEN et al. 1998].

There are many papers devoted to water deficits of crop plants. CRAMER and PRENTICE [1988] developed a simple deterministic simulation model for landscape-scale soil water deficits. The simple soil water balance was used which estimates soil water deficit at a scale suitable for comparison with the distributions of plant species and soil types. KNOX et al. [1996], taking into account crop, climate, and soil factors, developed a procedure for mapping the spatial distribution of water demands for potatoes in England and Wales using a geographic information system (GIS).


The aim of the presented work was to evaluate the water deficits of varying exceedance probability levels for four crop species (sugar beet, grain maize, wheat and late grown potatoes) and for the five soil types, with different water retention capacity, that occur in the Małopolska Upland.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Research was carried out for the crop water deficits of four species of plants: sugar beet, late-grown potato, grain maize and winter wheat. The five soil types (IUSS working group WRB 2006) with varying water retention availability that occur in the Małopolska Upland were considered [IUSS Working Group WRB 2006; PTG 2011]. They were: Rendzicz Leptosols, Haplic Phaeozems – silt, Haplic Luvisols and Dystric Cambisols – light loamy sands, Haplic Luvisols and Eutric Cambisols – loess, Eutric Fluvisols – heavy loams and silts (Tab. 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>The WRB reference soil groups</th>
<th>Classification by the Polish Soil Society (PTG)</th>
<th>The total available soil water in the 1-m soil profile TASW acc. to WALCZAK et al. mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rendzicz Leptosols (pure)</td>
<td>rendzina</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Haplic Phaeozems – silt</td>
<td>black earths</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Haplic Luvisols and Dystric Cambisols – light loamy sands</td>
<td>brown and podsoilic soils originated from sands</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Haplic Luvisols and Eutric Cambisols – loess</td>
<td>brown and fawn made of loess</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Eutric Fluvisols – heavy loams and silts</td>
<td>alluvial soils, medium and heavy</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: own studies based on the data from IUSS Working Group WRB [2006], PTG [2011] and WALCZAK et al. [2002].

The study was based on meteorological data provided by the Institute of Meteorology and Water Management for the years 1971–2010. Data included daily rainfall data from six stations (Borusowa, Igołomia, Książ Wielki, Miechów, Olewin and Sielec) and decadal averages of air temperature, water vapour pressure, wind speed and sunshine hours taken from the meteorological station at Kraków-Balice. The Mann–Kendall test (MK) was used to test water deficits trends in the region [DRAPELA, DRAPELOVA 2011]. Software used for performing the statistical Mann–Kendall test is XLSTAT 2016.

Crop water deficits arise when water demand is not fully covered by rainfall and the available soil water in the root zone. Deficiencies may indicate a need for net irrigation to be applied to achieve high yields. The demand of crop water (water needs) is the amount of water needed to achieve a certain production level (of a specified final yield). A measure of demand for water by a particular crop, need to issue a specific crop, is the potential evapotranspiration of the plant ($E_{tr}$). Potential evapotranspiration is the actual evapotranspiration expected under conditions of sufficient water supply to the plant. This is the amount of water consumed by the plant to produce certain yields in a particular state of development, fertilized at a certain level, under the circumstances of climate, soil and habitat. It is assumed that the conditions of plant development and nutrient supply are good.
Crop water deficit is the amount of water that is needed to meet crop water demand \((ET_p)\) after deduction of precipitation and current water readily available soil water for plants [DOORENBOS, KASSAM 1979]. Crop water deficits were calculated using an available soil water balance computation for the root zone, according to the methodology presented by ALLEN et al. [1998]. The root zone is presented as a container in which the water content may change. For the criterion of the water deficit the exhaustion of readily available soil water was adopted, i.e., such conditions that there is inhibition of the growth of plants. Water deficit \((N)\) in each decade of the vegetation period from April 1, is calculated according to the equations: when \(ET_p \leq P\)

\[
N^t = 0
\]  

(1)

when \(ET_p > P\) and \(ASW_p^{t-1} > (1-p)TASW\)

\[
N^t = ET_p^t - P^t - \left[ASW_p^{t-1} - (1-p)TASW\right]
\]  

(2)

where \(N^t\) is the crop water deficit in the decade \(t\), \(ET_p^t\) is the potential evapotranspiration in the decade \(t\), \(P^t\) is the precipitation total in the decade \(t\), \(ASW_p^{t-1}\) is the available soil water in the root zone at the beginning of the decade \(t\), \(TASW\) is the total available soil water in the root zone, \(p\) is a coefficient of water availability (dimensionless). All variables, except \(p\), are expressed in millimetres.

Total available soil water \(TASW\) is calculated as:

\[
TASW = SWC_{PPW} - SWC_{WTW}
\]  

(4)

where \(SWC_{PPW}\) is the soil water content at the field water capacity \((pF = 2.2)\) and \(SWC_{WTW}\) is the soil water at the moisture state of permanent wilting \((pF = 4.2)\), both in millimetres. These soil water contents and \(TASW\) were estimated for the 10 cm layers of the 100 cm soil profile on the basis of data given by WALCZAK et al. [2002].

The coefficient of water availability \(p\) determines the fraction of \(TASW\) that a crop can extract from the root zone without suffering water stress. It depends on the growth phase of a plant and the depth of root penetration. For the performed computations, coefficient \(p\) for selected plants were taken from DOORENBOS, PRUITT [1977] and LABĘDZKI [2006].

Available soil water at the beginning of the decade is calculated using a water balance equation:

\[
ASW_p^{t-1} = ASW_p^{t-1} + P^{t-1} - ET_p^{t-1}
\]  

(5)

where \(ASW_p^{t-1}\) and \(ASW_p^{t-2}\) are available soil water in a root zone at the end and at the beginning of the decade \(t-1\), respectively, \(P^{t-1}\) is precipitation in the decade \(t-1\), \(ET_p^{t-1}\) is the potential evapotranspiration in the decade \(t-1\). All variables are measured in millimetres.

Potential evapotranspiration \(ET_p\) is calculated using a crop-factor method as:

\[
ET_p = k_c ET_o \]  

(6)

where \(ET_o\) is the potential evapotranspiration in mm, \(ET_p\) is the reference evapotranspiration in mm and \(k_c\) is the crop factor. Reference evapotranspiration was calculated from the Penman–Monteith equation [ALLEN et al. 1998; ŁABĘDZKI et al. 2011; 2014]:

\[
ET_o = \frac{0.408 \Delta R_o + \gamma (T + 273)(e_a - e_s)}{\Delta + \gamma (1 + 0.34 \gamma)}
\]  

(7)

where \(ET_o\) is the reference evapotranspiration in mm·day\(^{-1}\), \(R_o\) is net radiation in MJ·m\(^{-2}\)·day\(^{-1}\), \(T\) is mean daily air temperature at 2 m height in °C, \(u\) is wind speed at 2 m height in m·s\(^{-1}\), \(\Delta\) is the slope of the vapour pressure curve in kPa·°C\(^{-1}\), \(\gamma\) is the psychrometric constant in kPa·°C\(^{-1}\), \(e_a\) is the actual vapour pressure in kPa and \(e_s\) is the saturation vapour pressure in kPa.

Crop factor \(k_c\) depends on the growth phase of a plant and on crop yield. In this study values of this coefficient, adjusted to reference evapotranspiration calculated by Penman–Monteith method, were taken from OSTROWSKI et al. [2008], which were estimated according to ALLEN et al. [1998].

Calculations of changes in available soil water according to equation (5) were performed for the decades (10-days periods) using the mean decadal values of meteorological parameters \(T\), \(R_o\), \(u\) and \(e_o\) and the decadal sum of precipitation \(P\). Changes in available soil water were calculated for temporally variable depth of the root zone. At present, detailed data on the growth of root system in various field crops are missing. Therefore, mean increment of the root zone depth equal 10 mm·d\(^{-1}\) up to the maximum depth in complete plant development was adopted in the computations. For deep-rooted plants (>100 cm) calculations were performed to the depth of active layer no deeper than 100 cm.

Calculations of crop water deficits were performed for ten-day periods between 1971 and 2010. Sums for both months and the whole vegetation period (April–September) were calculated as a sum of the ten-day values. Monthly and growing season water deficits of a given exceedance probability were determined as percentiles.

In the applied method and model there are several assumptions that are the limitations of the method. Making the balance of each year starts with the as...
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sumption that the soil water content is near field water capacity and is equal to the total available soil water \(TASW\). The simple procedure assumes that the infiltration of daily precipitation to the root zone is within the same day and that the time of deep percolation from the root zone when soil water content exceeds field capacity is also 1 day. In the water balance the root zone is presented by means of a container not supplied with water by capillary rise. Its share in covering the demands of evapotranspiration and in supplementing water reserves in the root zone depends on the soil type, the depth of the water table, the wetness of the root zone, the difference of water potential in particular soil layers and on conductive soil properties. The amount of water transported upwards by capillary rise from the water table to the root zone and the input of capillary water from deeper layers to the present root zone due to the difference in soil water potential are assumed to be zero. The assumption is made that the water table is more than 1 m below the bottom of the root zone. Moreover, the crop factor method used for calculate evapotranspiration also has certain limitations. Using crop factors \(k_c\) gives good estimation of evapotranspiration under average meteorological conditions. When weather conditions are extreme the evapotranspiration values can be less reliable and burdened with higher error.

For the above reasons the water deficits calculated with Eqs. (1)-(3) should be dealt with as the reference deficits pertaining to a soil profile not deeper than 100 cm and the assumed water conditions as well as to crop plants of high yield feasible at intensive fertilisation and unlimited access to other yield-forming factors. When plants may use water accumulated in soil layers deeper than 100 cm, the deficits might be smaller. This is also true for the period, when the root zone is shallower than 100 cm but fed with water inputs from deeper soil layers by capillary rise. The deficits will also be smaller for final crop yields smaller than assumed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Małopolska Upland is considered to be the land of Silesian-Cracow climate. According to the humidity criteria this plateau belongs to wet agroclimate (C) with a climatic water balance of \(-40\) to \(+60\) mm [BAC et al. 1993]. Average rainfall during the growing season for the years 1971–2010 (Sielec station) was 430.7 mm. A minimum amount of 282.5 mm was recorded in 1992 and a maximum of 695.2 mm in 2010 (Fig. 2). In this paper examples are given of the results for the five types of soils: a view as to the size of the crop water deficits the region.

Sugar beet. The crop water deficits during the growing season (with a probability of 20%) ranged from 4.8 (Haplic Phaeozems – silt) to 149.0 mm (Rendzic Leptosols, pure) (Tab. 2, Fig. 2). The largest ten-day water deficit occurred in July 2006 and reached 59 mm (Haplic Luvisols and Dystric Cambisols – light loamy sands) (Fig. 3). For Haplic Phaeozems – silt the largest deficiency, of 39 mm, occurred in the first ten days of August 2003 (Fig. 3). According to OSTROWSKI et al. [2008], in this region sugar beet water deficits on the Haplic Phaeozems – silt soils are 0–40 mm at an exceedance probability of 20 and 50%. It follows that in this region, on this type of soil with a large storage of available soil water (270 mm), the probability of a water deficiency for sugar beet is low (<20%). The water deficits of sugar beets growing on the Haplic Luvisols and Dystric Cambisols tend to increase (Fig. 4).

There were significant relationships between the water deficits of sugar beet and rainfall during the growing season (April–September) for Eutric Fluvisols (Fig. 5). The high dependence was found not only from the weather conditions, but also from the soil conditions.

Fig. 2. Precipitation totals \(P\) (mm) during the growing seasons (April–September) and the crop water deficit \(N\) (mm) for the period 1971–2010 of sugar beet on the soils: Haplic Luvisols and Eutric Cambisols – loess and Haplic Phaeozems – silt (Sielec station); source: own study
Table 2. The crop water deficits of specific probabilities (50% and 20%) during the period 1971–2010 for selected plants grown on the typical soils in the Małopolska Upland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meteorological station</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>20%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rendzic Leptosols (pure)</td>
<td>149.0</td>
<td>134.3</td>
<td>89.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haplic Phaeozems – silt</td>
<td>113.5</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>62.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haplic Luvisols and Dystric Cambisols – loamy sands</td>
<td>131.4</td>
<td>111.9</td>
<td>87.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haplic Luvisols and Eutric Cambisols – loess</td>
<td>111.5</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutric Fluvisols – heavy loams and silts</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar beet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borusowa</td>
<td>149.0</td>
<td>134.3</td>
<td>89.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igołomia</td>
<td>113.5</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>62.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Książ Wielki</td>
<td>131.4</td>
<td>111.9</td>
<td>87.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olewin</td>
<td>111.5</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sielec</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late-grown potatoes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borusowa</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>111.8</td>
<td>97.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igołomia</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Książ Wielki</td>
<td>103.4</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>86.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olewin</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sielec</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain maize</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borusowa</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igołomia</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Książ Wielki</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olewin</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sielec</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>49.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter wheat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borusowa</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igołomia</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Książ Wielki</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olewin</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sielec</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Source: own study.

Results of the Mann–Kendall test indicate: H0—there is no trend in the series and hypothesis (Ha) follows a trend in the series. As the computed p-value is lower than the significance level alpha = 0.05, one should reject the null hypothesis H0, and accept the alternative hypothesis Ha. The risk to reject the null hypothesis H0 while it is true is lower than 1.58%.

For sugar beet grown on brown and podsolic soils (TASW = 111 mm) the largest water deficits (160–192 mm for probability 20%) were observed in the areas of the rainfall stations Borusowa and Książ Wielki (Fig. 6). The smallest water deficits for those probabilities are near Olewin (124 mm). On Haplic Luvisols and Eutric Cambisols (TASW = 255 mm), the largest water deficit of sugar beet (64 mm with a probability of 20%) occur in the vicinity of the Borusowa station and deficiencies of the 36–38 mm cover the central regions. The smallest values occur in areas around Olewin station (16 mm).

Late-grown potatoes. The water deficits (with a probability of 20%) of late-grown potatoes in the growing season ranged from 79 mm (Haplic Phaeozems) to 156 mm (Haplic Luvisols and Eutric Cambisols) (Tab. 1). According to OŚRÓWSKI et al. [2008] the Małopolska Upland soils are not suited to the cultivation of potatoes but late-grown potatoes are cultivated there. OŚRÓWSKI et al. [2008] show that in the analysed region the water deficit, with a probability of 50% (corresponding to average rainfall for the study area) amount to 80–120 mm in the Haplic Luvisols and Eutric Cambisols and on Haplic Luvisols and Dystric Cambisols.

Late-grown potatoes grown on Haplic Luvisols and Dystric Cambisols and soil formed from poorly loamy and loamy sands (TASW = 111 mm) display the largest water deficits (148 mm with a probability of 20%) in the vicinity of the Borusowa station, while the water deficit in the order of 130 mm occur in the...
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Fig. 3. Ten-day water deficits (mm) for sugar beet for the period 2002–2010 (during June–August) on the soils: a) Haplic Luvisols and Dystric Cambisols (total available soil water – \(TASW = 111\) mm), b) Haplic Phaeozems (\(TASW = 270\) mm), c) Haplic Luvisols and Eutric Cambisols (\(TASW = 255\) mm) (Sielec station); source: own study

Mann–Kendall trend test / Two-tailed test:
Kendall’s tau 0.268
\(S\) 208.000
\(Var(S)\) 7 358.000
\(p\)-value (two-tailed) 0.016
\(\alpha\) 0.050

Fig. 4. Results of the Mann–Kendall test, the water deficits for sugar beet for the period 1971–2010 on Haplic Luvisols and Dystric Cambisols (Sielec station); source: own study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>Observations with missing data</th>
<th>Observations without missing data</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water deficits</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>326.210</td>
<td>112.969</td>
<td>78.815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

© PAN in Warsaw, 2016; © ITP in Falenty, 2016; Journal of Water and Land Development. No. 29 (IV–VI)
Fig. 5. Relationship between the crop water deficits of sugar beet and precipitation during the growing season (April–September) for the period 1971–2010 for the soils: a) Eutric Fluvisols, b) Haplic Luvisols and Dystric Cambisols, c) Haplic Luvisols and Eutric Cambisols (Sielec station); source: own study

Fig. 6. The isolines of water deficits for sugar beet (mm) at the 20% probability level on the soils a) Haplic Luvisols and Dystric Cambisols (total available soil water – \(TASW = 111\) mm), b) Haplic Luvisols and Eutric Cambisols \(TASW = 255\) mm); source: own study
central regions. Slightly smaller values occur in the areas around Olewin (Fig. 7). On Haplic Luvisols and Eutric Cambisols (TASW = 255 mm) diversity of the water deficits of late-grown potatoes, for the probability of 20%, is low: the 100–105 mm values include the northern part of the upland (Książ–Miechów) and 87–90 mm values are located in the western region. The smallest deficits, with a probability of 20%, occur in the western part of the region (Fig. 7).

Grain maize. The water deficits (with a probability of 20%) almost did not occur on the Haplic Phaeozems (TASW = 270 mm) and on the Haplic Luvisols and Eutric Cambisols (TASW = 255 mm). The largest deficits occurred in the Rendzic Leptosols (pure) of the smallest water capacity (TASW = 72 mm) and amounted to about 146 mm. These results are confirmed on maps provided by OSTROWSKI et al. [2008]. These maps show that in the analysed area the water deficits, with a probability of 50% (corresponding to average rainfall for the study period), do not exceed 40 mm.

Winter wheat. In the analysed region no deficit of water was identified for the winter wheat grown on the Haplic Phaeozems. On the alluvial soils deficiencies (20% probabilities) ranged from 9.4 to 71 mm. On the Rendzic Leptosols (pure) such deficiencies ranged up to 108 mm (Tab. 1). According to OSTROWSKI et al. [2008] in this region the water deficit, with a probability of 50% (corresponding periods average in terms of rainfall), are about 40 mm on Rendzic Leptosols (pure) but do not occur on other analysed soil types. The water deficits were not identified in terms of average rainfall years – as suggested in previous studies – which suggests that, in years of typical rainfall, irrigation of winter wheat is not necessary on the light and medium soils in the this region [ŻARSKI 2006].

CONCLUSIONS

On the Małopolska Upland soils, which are characterised by a medium retention capacity, the water deficits of sugar beets and potato crops occurred even in years of average rainfall (with probability 50%). The water deficit of sugar beet during the growing season ranged from 48 mm (black earth soils) to 148 mm (Rendzic Leptosols (pure)). The largest deficit throughout the analysed period (232.8 mm), which occurred while rainfall was lowest, was recorded in Rendzic Leptosols in 1992 around the Sielec station. The largest water deficits, in the analysed upland, were identified in crops of sugar beet and late-grown potatoes. For the same crop seasonal water deficits on the lighter soils (Rendzic Leptosols) are considerably larger compared to Haplic Luvisols and Eutric Cambisols. These results confirm those of earlier studies that identified no need for irrigation in the region, on both heavy and light soils.

Maps of the water deficit isoclines may be useful in determining the water needs of field crops in conditions that ensure, in term of water availability, the achievement of maximum yields. It also permits the determination of the water deficits for irrigation planning with reliability (20%, 50%) for each soil type.

The water deficits of the field crops specified in this large-scale study can be used as a comprehensive indicator of soil-plant-climate for planning water and economic development in the Małopolska Upland.
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STRESZCZENIE

Ocena niedoborów wodnych roślin uprawianych na Wyżynie Małopolskiej

STRESZCZENIE

Słowa kluczowe: niedobory wodne, retencja gleby, rośliny uprawne, Wyżyna Małopolska

Problem niedoboru wody jest niekorzystny dla całej gospodarki, jednak najbardziej w najszybciej niedobory wody odzuwane są w rolnictwie. Na terenie Polski coraz częściej występują braki wod w rolnictwie, a nie tylko na obszarach położonych na Niżu Polskim, powodując straty w płonach. W pracy przedstawiono ocenę niedoborów wodnych o różnym prawdopodobieństwie przewyższenia dla czterech gatunków roślin: buraka cukrowego, kukurydzy na ziarno, pszenicy oziomej, ziemniaka północiowego oraz na glebach o zróżnicowanych zdołon-
ściach retenckowych występujących na Wyżynie Małopolskiej. Obliczenia przeprowadzono metodą bilansowania zapasu wody użytecznej w warstwie korzeniowej gleby.

W pracy wykorzystano dane meteorologiczne Instytutu Meteorologii i Gospodarki Wodnej z lat 1971–2010 – dobowe sumy opadów z sześciu stacji (Borusowa, Igołomia, Książ Wielki, Miechów, Olewin i Sielec) oraz średnie dekadowe wartości temperatury powietrza, ciśnienia pary wodnej, prędkości wiatru i usłonecznienia ze stacji meteorologicznej Kraków-Balice.

Niedobory wody obliczono metodą bilansowania zapasu wody użytecznej w warstwie korzeniowej gleby za pomocą metody Penmana–Monteitha (ewapotranspiracja wskaźnikowa) [ALLEN et al. 1998; ŁABĘDZKI et al. 2011; 2014].

Badania potwierdziły dużą zmienną warunków gleboowych i pluownych w tym regionie oraz ich wpływ na niedobory wodne upraw polowych. Niedobory o prawdopodobieństwie przewyższenia 20% wynosiły w okresie wegetacji od 5 mm (na czarnoziemach – Phaeozems) do 190 mm (na rędzinach – Leptosols). Na glebach Wyżyny Małopolskiej o średnich zdolnościach do retencjonowania wody (110–160 mm) niedobory wodne wystąpiły nawet w latach przeciętnych pod względem ilości opadów (o prawdopodobieństwie 50%). W uprawie pszenicy oziomej na czarnoziemach, glebach brunatnych i madach na Wysoczyźnie Proszowickiej niedobory wody nie wystąpiły. Jednocześnie niedobory wody (o prawdopodobieństwie 20%) w uprawie ziemniaka późnego w okresie wegetacyjnym wynoszą od 106 mm (czarnoziemy) do 156 mm (rędziny).