Clinical value of ultrasonography in diagnosis of pulmonary embolism in critically ill patients
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ABSTRACT
Pulmonary embolism (PE) is a clinical emergency that will increase the mortality if complicated with unstable hemodynamics. Because of its nonspecific clinical symptoms, it’s a great challenge to make a PE diagnosis. The golden standard to diagnose PE is computed tomography of pulmonary artery (CTPA), but a diagnosis of PE also composed of evaluation of PE risk factors, possibilities, and risk stratification. Ultrasonography may detect right ventricle strain related to hemodynamic change, intravascular thrombosis, thrombosis in right heart or pulmonary arteries, pulmonary infarction, and local pleural effusion. Combination of ultrasound and traditional PE possibility evaluation score may further improve the pretest probability of CTPA. A comprehensive ultrasonography may sometimes rule out PE and may disclose other causes for the clinical situations. A heart-lung-vessel-integrated multiorgan ultrasonography can help with the diagnosis of PE and so should be a necessary weapon for the physicians.
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INTRODUCTION
Pulmonary embolism (PE) can cause a series of clinical manifestation. It could occur in patients from different departments. With its acute onset and quick progress, PE is one of the most lethal diseases. However, the diagnosis of PE is still a great challenge for the clinicians. All suspected patients need to be ruled in or ruled out PE in order to accept definite treatments, no matter they are critically ill patients complicated with a newly onset PE, or becoming critically ill because of respiratory and circulatory failure caused by PE. The 2014 ESC Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Management of Acute Pulmonary Embolism already included cardiac ultrasonography in the diagnosis and treatment procedures of high-risk patients[1]; however, PE can be diagnosed or ruled out easily if we adopt critical care ultrasonography strategy to perform a goal-oriented, multiorgan, point of care (POC) ultrasonography on probable PE patients rather than limited to cardiac ultrasonography. PE can also be treated under the guidance of ultrasonography. This paper is intended to describe the ultrasound images of PE and the ultrasound diagnosis strategy of PE.

GOAL-ORIENTED, MULTIORGAN, POC ULTRASONOGRAPHY

Indirect ultrasound signs of PE in heart and great blood vessel
The pathophysiology of circulatory failure in patients with high-risk PE is the increased pulmonary vascular resistance due to pulmonary vascular obstruction and vasospasm, causing right ventricular pressure overload and dysfunctioned, which may further reduce left ventricular preload and output; pressure overload in right ventricle may increase the ventricular wall tension, causing abnormal coronary blood flow, and further deteriorate the right ventricular function; right ventricle dysfunction may restrict the filling of left
ventricle through interventricular septum and pericardium, and then further decrease the left ventricular output. In clinical practice, fluid resuscitation shall be initiated according to different types of shock, otherwise the volumetric load of right ventricle will further deteriorate right ventricle and left ventricle.[19] On the basis of these pathophysiological changes, for patients with probable PE complicated with unstable hemodynamics, the ultrasonic examination shall be aimed at finding signs of increased right ventricular afterload, for example, right ventricular enlargement, “D” sign and abnormal motion in interventricular septum, and restricted left ventricular filling; meanwhile, the inferior vena cava should be fixed and expanded because of restricted venous return to the right ventricle.[2]

Other than the static ultrasound signs mentioned above, the right ventricle may show signs of abnormal systolic function when the right ventricular pressure overload is not relieved within a short time, such as reduced tricuspid annular motion and reduced ejection fraction of the right ventricle. A special ultrasound signs of right ventricular abnormal systolic function, named “McConnell’s sign,” was proposed in 1996, characterized by reduced right ventricular free wall motion but reserved apical motion. After its proposal, the McConnell’s sign was believed to be the specific sign of acute PE for a time, which shall be able to distinguish acute right ventricular strain caused by PE from chronic right ventricular strain caused by pulmonary hypertension (PAH). Some retrospective or prospective studies even confirmed a 100% specificity of PE diagnosis using McConnell’s sign.[9] However, a later study compared the imaging of patients with PE and patients with right ventricular infarction only to find that there was no statistical significance in the incidence of McConnell’s sign between those two groups.[4] Another retrospective study showed that all of the patients showing McConnell’s sign, only half of them were proved to have PE at last.[9] In addition to the right ventricular shape and motion abnormality, because of the changes in the pressure between chambers, patients with PE can also show signs of tricuspid regurgitation under Doppler ultrasound examination; tricuspid regurgitation can be used to estimate pulmonary artery systolic pressure and pulmonary vascular resistance.[9]

Before looking for those acute and prominent ultrasound signs, any chronic heart abnormality must be first ruled out. For instance, chronic cor pulmonale, often complicated with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and chronic pulmonary thromboembolism (CPTE) caused by gradual increase in right ventricle loading, may show similar ultrasound signs, such as right ventricular enlargement, interventricular septum shift to the left, restricted left ventricular filling, right ventricular motion abnormalities, and inferior vena cava expanded and fixed; However, the chronic change may lead to the right ventricular wall thickening and right atrial enlargement.[2] We should learn to distinguish PE ultrasound signs from chronic right heart diseases, otherwise the ultrasonic diagnosis of PE may be misleading.

### Direct ultrasound signs of PE in heart and great blood vessel

A few patients with PE may show signs of embolus under ultrasound examination, such as straddling thrombus at pulmonary artery bifurcation,[6] or floating embolus in the right heart system (e.g., within inferior vena cava, right atrium, right ventricle, and pulmonary artery). Although these signs are helpful for the diagnosis of PE, they are not very common. And sometimes it is hard to display the pulmonary artery clearly because of the limitations of ultrasound. Signs of thrombus in the right heart system or pulmonary artery do not correlate with hemodynamic instability. And this kind of direct but less common sign is not the main target when diagnosing probable PE in patients using ultrasound.

### Indirect ultrasound signs of PE in lungs and pleura

Ultrasound examination of both lungs and pleura may show pulmonary consolidation caused by peripheral PE, which typically shows as a wedge-shape or round-shape hypo-echo subpleural image, sometimes accompanied with local pleural effusion. A study of transthoracic ultrasonography in patients with acute probable PE made a semiquantitative statistical analysis on the ultrasonic images of lungs and pleura. The results showed that when the patients had high WELLS scores (i.e., high PE probability), the specificity of the diagnosis is extremely high if the transthoracic ultrasonography found typical signs of PE; when the patients had low WELLS scores (i.e., low PE probability), the negative predictive value is extremely high if the transthoracic ultrasonography showed a negative result or only a small amount of typical PE signs.[7] Another study performed contrast-enhanced ultrasonography on the infarcted lung tissues of patients with confirmed PE. The results turned out that about 40% of patients showed no enhancement in lesion area, while 40% of patients showed heterogeneous enhancement in lesion area. These results indicate that contrast-enhanced ultrasonography on lungs may improve the diagnostic specificity of PE.[8] If the diagnosis of PE is made solely under the guidance of lung and pleural ultrasonography, there are a few things to be noted: susceptible lobe or segment of pulmonary infarction; effects of ribs on transthoracic ultrasonography implementation; without consideration of central PE; spontaneous thrombolysis,
and revascularization, which may change transthoracic ultrasonography images.

Direct ultrasound signs of PE in proximal deep vein
The formation of proximal deep venous thrombosis (DVT) is closely related to the development of PE. Therefore, a DVT history, WELLS score, or proximal DVT examination can improve the diagnostic efficiency of PE. A recent study showed that for patients with probable DVT, the diagnostic sensitivity and specificity can be up to 77.8% and 91.4%, respectively, if a 3-point venous compression ultrasound examination (common femoral vein, proximal great saphenous vein, and popliteal vein) was performed by trained emergency physicians.[9] Obviously, ultrasound is very helpful for DVT assessment. However, any venous compression may increase the risk of thrombus falling off,[10] especially for the newly formed free-floating thrombus. We must keep this in mind in the process of examination.

Goal-oriented multiorgan POC
Ultrasound signs of PE include direct and indirect signs. Direct signs, such as thrombus in the right heart and pulmonary artery, are of extremely high specificity but could not be the major targets because of its low detection rate. Indirect signs with high detection rate are the main screening targets for patients presenting with high risk PE, such as signs of changes in right ventricle and interventricular septum. However, we should distinguish these signs from signs of chronic pulmonary heart disease. Wedge-shape or round-shape hypo-echo subpleural image and signs of local pleural effusion only exists in patients with peripheral PE. In order to find these signs, we need to search each intercostal space carefully. As mentioned in China Expert Consensus on Critical Ultrasound, a heart-lung-vessel-integrated ultrasonography can increase the diagnosis accuracy of PE.[11] Therefore, during the diagnosis of PE, a bedside goal-oriented “heart-lung-vessel” multiorgan ultrasound examination can increase the detection rate of PE-related signs and increase the diagnostic sensitivity up to 90%. On the other hand, if the multiorgan ultrasound examination shows no signs of abnormality, the negative predictive value to rule out PE can be up to 95%.

PE POSSIBILITY EVALUATION COMBINED WITH ULTRASONOGRAPHY
In order to increase the diagnostic specificity and accuracy, we recommend to take assistant measures to access the prior probability of PE before implementing computed tomography pulmonary angiography (CTPA), such as screening for risk factors and clinical indicators. WELLS Scoring System and Geneva Scoring System are the two common possibility evaluation systems for PE, including two aspects: assessment of signs and symptoms related to DVT; possibilities of any other diagnosis besides PE. Previous research has shown that WELLS score combined with lung and venous ultrasound increase the sensitivity and specificity of PE probability score by 12% and 22%, respectively; and the need for CTPA in the diagnosis process is significantly reduced if further combined with D-Dimer.[12] In addition to an early and rapid diagnosis of PE, a quick differentiation of types of shock is also crucial for ICU physicians. For patients with probable high-risk PE (with shock or unstable hemodynamics), PE-induced obstructive shock can be ruled out immediately if ultrasound shows no signs of right ventricular strain abnormality, interventricular septum flattening, or right ventricular systolic abnormality.

DIAGNOSTIC PROTOCOL OF PE COMBINED WITH ULTRASONOGRAPHY

The adoption of a diagnostic protocol can often minimize missed diagnosis and narrow down the etiology, such as the diagnosis protocol for shock or sudden cardiac arrest. There are two types of PE diagnostic protocol combined with ultrasound, one is in search for the probability of PE and the other is in search for the etiology of dyspnea. PE Diagnostic Protocol in search for PE Probability: For patients with probable PE and unstable hemodynamics (complicated with shock or hypotension, i.e., high mortality risk), as mentioned in the 2014 ESC Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Management of Acute Pulmonary Embolism, if CTPA is not available, cardiac ultrasound assessment shall be implemented to seek for signs of increase in right ventricular strain. A negative ultrasound in these patients could rule out PE but there must be other reasons for the unstable hemodynamics which we need to keep looking for. If with a positive ultrasound finding, CTPA examination shall be arranged or PE-specific revascularization shall be considered. The 2014 ESC Guidelines did not mention ultrasound use in the diagnostic protocol for patients without unstable hemodynamics (not complicated with shock or hypotension, i.e., low and medium mortality risk). However, ultrasonography is still helpful in this circumstance. Vascular and pleural ultrasound could increase the negative predictive value of PE probability scoring. And cardiac ultrasound examination may show direct signs of thrombus in the right heart system.
or signs of right ventricular involvement but within its compensation range.

PE Diagnostic Protocol in search for the etiology of dyspnea, “BLUE” Protocol, as a well-established fast lung ultrasound protocol, allows a quick diagnosis for respiratory failure (pneumonia, pulmonary edema, PE, pneumothorax, COPD/bronchial asthma). Both its diagnostic sensitivity and specificity for respiratory failure can almost be as high as 100%. The diagnostic strategy of BLUE protocol is as follows: first, rule out the ventilation and gas exchange dysfunction in pulmonary alveoli; then rule out the vascular factors; and then COPD or bronchial asthma shall be taken into consideration. Therefore, if ultrasound show signs of intravascular thrombosis in 5 min.

Multiorgan POC ultrasonography can confirm or rule out the diagnosis of probable PE in patients and helps to identify other diseases with similar clinical manifestation, such as cardiac tamponade. In conclusion, the goal-oriented multiorgan POC ultrasonography may help to improve the prior probability of CTPA or even decrease the demand for PE diagnosis under abnormal circumstances.

Although transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) is not the preferred ultrasonic examination for PE, it’s been used more and more as an intraoperative monitoring method in some high-risk surgeries. Some case reports showed accidental detection of thrombus in the right heart system during intraoperative monitoring by TEE. Under these circumstances, a complete assessment for PE can also be conducted by TEE and a final diagnosis can be made based on that.

In 2015 and 2016, there were 2 cases (not reported) of non-cardiac surgery patients in our hospital who were accidentally detected with thrombus in the right heart and pulmonary artery when using intraoperative monitoring by TEE but with stable hemodynamics.

**CONCLUSION**

PE is a clinical emergency in need of rapid identification. But without specific clinical symptoms and in need of CTPA as a golden diagnostic tool, PE diagnosis becomes a great challenge for ICU or respiratory physicians. Bedside critical care ultrasound is a real-time POC examination with many advantages, such as rapidity and noninvasion. When combined with traditional WELLS scoring system, critical care ultrasound may help to improve the prior probability of CTPA or even decrease the demand for CTPA in unstable patients. A heart-lung-vessel-integrated multiorgan POC ultrasonography can confirm or rule out the diagnosis of probable PE in patients and helps to identify other diseases with similar clinical manifestation, such as cardiac tamponade. In conclusion, the goal-oriented multiorgan POC ultrasonic protocol should become an essential skill for clinicians during PE diagnosis.

**ENDOBRONCHIAL ULTRASONOGRAPHY AND TRANSESOPHAGEAL ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY**

Endobronchial ultrasonography (EBUS) is mainly used for minimal invasive biopsy of bronchoscopical mediastinal lymph nodes and masses. Several cases reported accidental detection of thrombus in the main pulmonary artery or its main branches of patients with non-suspect PE during EBUS examination.

One case even reported that when implementing EBUS on patient with probable PE seeking for signs of central PE, signs of sub-segmental PE in posterior basal segment of bilateral lower lungs were accidentally detected; the diagnosis of PE was confirmed by CTPA. These case reports indicate that EBUS may have potential value for the diagnosis of PE under abnormal circumstances.

Although transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) is not the preferred ultrasonic examination for PE, it’s been used more and more as an intraoperative monitoring method in some high-risk surgeries. Some case reports showed accidental detection of thrombus in the right heart system during intraoperative monitoring by TEE. Under these circumstances, a complete assessment for PE can also be conducted by TEE and a final diagnosis can be made based on that.

In 2015 and 2016, there were 2 cases (not reported) of non-cardiac surgery patients in our hospital who were accidentally detected with thrombus in the right heart and pulmonary artery when using intraoperative monitoring by TEE but with stable hemodynamics.
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