ABSTRACT

Background: Lower lung field tuberculosis (LLF TB) is an atypical presentation of tuberculosis (TB). LLF TB is common, and a proportionate number of non-resolving pneumonia cases are diagnosed to have pulmonary TB. Materials and Methods: The prospective observational study was conducted during June 2013 to December 2015 in the Department of Pulmonary Medicine, MIMSR Medical College, Latur, India; the objective of the study is clinical, microbiological, and radiological presentation of LLF TB and the comparison of yield of conventional diagnostic techniques and bronchoscopy guided modalities in LLF TB. Additional important objective of the study is to find LLF TB in patients with nonresolving pneumonia (NRP). A total of 2,600 patients with pulmonary TB were included in the study after inclusion and exclusion criteria. Ethical clearance was taken from the ethical committee of the institutional review board. Consent was taken from the patients before inclusion in the study. Statistical analysis was done using chi-square test. Results: In the present study, 300 (11.53%) cases of LLF TB of total 2600 pulmonary tuberculosis were included, females constitutes 66.66% (200/300) with mean age of 58.4 ± 11.8 years and males constitutes 33.34% (100/300) with mean age of 56.8 ± 10.6 years. Constitutional symptoms were observed as cough in 93% cases, fever in 83% cases, shortness of breath in 72% cases, anorexia in 91% cases, and weight loss in 84% cases. Radiological assessment of study cases documented the involvement of right lower zone in 84% cases and left lower zone in only 16% cases. In the studied LLF TB cases, 57 cases (20.66%) were diagnosed by routine sputum microscopic examination for acid fast bacilli (AFB) and 80 cases (28%) were diagnosed by induced sputum microscopic examination for AFB. In the study of 170 LLF TB cases, head-to-head comparison between conventional diagnostic techniques (sputum microscopy and Induced sputum microscopy for AFB) made diagnosis in 60 cases, while bronchoscopy-guided sampling techniques (BAL for AFB and BAL for Gene Xpert MTB/RIF) made diagnosis in 155 cases (91.17%) (P < 0.00001). Comorbid conditions such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) coinfection in 36 cases (12.00%), Diabetes mellitus in 64 cases (21.33%), and chronic kidney disease (CKD) in 22 cases (7.33%) were observed. Comorbidities were observed in 41.67% of the studied cases and found very significant assessment to have successful treatment outcome (P < 0.00001). In the study of 300 LLF TB cases, 60 cases were having NRP pattern. In LLF TB cases with NRP pattern, bronchoscopy-guided bronchial wash microscopy for AFB made diagnosis in 18 cases (42%), while bronchoscopy-guided BAL for Gene Xpert MTB/RIF made diagnosis in 58 cases (96.66%) (P < 0.00001). Conclusion: LLF TB is usually underdiagnosed because of diverse clinical and radiological presentation, less diagnostic yield of conventional diagnostic modalities, and these modalities used routinely and universally. Bronchoscopy-guided diagnostic techniques are superior, sensitive, and reliable to confirm LLF TB. Gene Xpert MTB/ RIF in bronchial wash samples is found to be best diagnostic modality in evaluating LLF TB and should be used routinely to have successful treatment outcome. A proportionate number of NRP cases are having LLF TB and a high index of suspicion is a must while evaluating these cases.
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INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) is an ancient disease affecting mankind described as far back as 10,000 BC, and it is still the major health problem worldwide. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), 9 million people fell ill with TB in 2013, including 1.1 million cases among people living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). In India, 8.7 million new cases of TB (13% co-infected with HIV) and 1.4 million people died from TB, including almost 1 million deaths among HIV-negative individuals and 430,000 deaths among people who were HIV-positive, were estimated in the year 2011. In India, more than 40% is infected with TB and 1.9 million people developed TB every year. Though pulmonary TB commonly affects the upper lung fields, lower lung field tuberculosis (LLF TB) is also not uncommon. This often causes great confusion in the diagnosis. HIV/AIDS epidemic has considerably increased the incidence of middle and lower lung field tuberculosis (LLF TB) which is frequently associated with negative sputum smear because of lower bacillary load.

LLF TB is defined as “tuberculosis disease found below an imaginary line traced across the hila and including the parahilar regions on a standard posterior–anterior chest roentgenogram.” Ossen subdivided his cases into pure and impure groups: the pure group has no visible lesions in the upper lung fields and the impure group has nodular or fibrotic infiltrations in one or both apices. Other terms used for the same entity are “basal, lower lobe, hilar, parahilar, and perihilar tuberculosis.”

The most likely explanation for the development of LLF TB is transbronchial perforation of a hilar lymph node, with spread to the adjacent lung. Thus, lower lung field disease occurs as a continuation of the primary TB infection or soon afterwards in the post-primary period. This explanation is consistent with the high incidence of endobronchial involvement and with reported clinical and radiologic observations. A diagnosis of endobronchial disease is made when bronchoscopic evidence of stenosis or severe tracheobronchitis is detected or when there is roentgenographic evidence of atelectasis or tension cavities. Other mechanisms postulated in the pathogenesis of LLF TB are restricted ventilation, costal breathing, and retrograde lymphatic flow from involved hilar nodes. It does not appear that patients with lower lung field disease have especially lowered resistance to TB. According to previous studies, the following conditions occur more frequently in patients with LLF TB than in general population with TB: diabetes mellitus, pregnancy, advanced age, malignancies, and advanced liver and renal diseases.

Culture is the “gold standard” for final determination, but it is slow and may take up to 2–8 weeks. Although smear microscopy for acid-fast bacilli (AFB) is rapid and inexpensive, it has poor sensitivity and a poor positive predictive value (PPV). Thus, rapid identification, which is essential for earlier treatment initiation, improved patient outcomes, and more effective public health interventions, relies on nucleic acid amplification techniques. The Gene Xpert MTB/RIF assay is a novel integrated diagnostic device that performs sample processing and heminested real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis in a single hands-free step for the diagnosis of TB and rapid detection of Rifampicin resistance in clinical specimens. The MTB/RIF assay detects Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Rifampicin resistance by PCR amplification of the 81-bp fragment of the rpoB gene of M. tuberculosis and subsequent probing of this region for mutations that are associated with rifampicin resistance. The assay can generally be completed in less than 2 h.

Richard Winterbauer et al. had empirically defined slowly resolving pneumonia in immune-competent patients as either less than 50% clearing at 2 weeks or less than complete clearance at 4 weeks in a patient who has defervesced and symptomatically improved with antibiotic therapy. Normal resolution of pneumonia is not easily defined. It can vary depending on the infecting organism and the host immune status. Patients typically note subjective improvement within 3–5 days of initiation of treatment. Nonresolving pneumonia (NRP) is defined as pneumonia with a slow resolution of radiographic infiltrates or clinical symptoms despite adequate antibiotic treatment (10–14 days). This can be due to defects in local or systemic immune defense mechanisms and due to the presence of unusual organism, resistant bacteria, or diseases that mimic pneumonia.

In this study, we evaluated the role of bronchoscopy in the diagnosis of LLF TB with special emphasis on Gene Xpert MTB/RIF. We also compared the conventional tests such as sputum microscopy and induced sputum microscopy for AFB with bronchoscopy-guided bronchial wash for AFB microscopy and rapid nucleic acid amplification test, that is, Gene Xpert MTB/RIF.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a prospective observational study conducted during June 2013 to December 2015 at the Department of Pulmonary Medicine, MIMSR Medical College, Latur, India, to study the clinical, microbiological, and radiological presentation of LLF TB and compare the yield of conventional diagnostic techniques and bronchoscopy-guided modalities in LLF TB. Additional important
Inclusion criteria
1. Case definitions for pulmonary TB\textsuperscript{(10)}:
   a. Pulmonary Tuberculosis, Smear-Positive—TB in a patient with at least one smear-positive for AFB out of the two initial sputum smear examination by direct microscopy.
   b. Pulmonary Tuberculosis, Smear-Negative—a patient with symptoms suggestive of TB with two smear examination negative for AFB, with evidence of pulmonary TB by microbiological methods (culture positive or by other approved molecular methods) or chest X-ray is classified as having smear-negative pulmonary TB.
2. Cases of diabetes mellitus, HIV, malignancies, and chronic kidney disease (CKD) with symptoms and radiological feature suggestive of TB are also included in study.
3. NRP cases after fulfilling definition.\textsuperscript{(13)}

Exclusion Criteria
1. Age less than 12 years
2. Extra pulmonary TB cases
3. Pulmonary TB cases with pleural effusion, bilateral lung involvement, and concomitant upper lung field involvement are also excluded from the study.

Methodology
All the patients attending outdoor unit and admitted in indoor unit with complaints of cough, weight loss, fever, hemoptysis, and anorexia and history of contact with TB were enrolled in the study. Enrolled patients were also investigated for any systemic illness such as diabetes mellitus, chronic liver diseases, asthma, CKD, and HIV. Any relevant past history and personal history including dietary habits, smoking, alcohol, and other addictions were also taken.

LLF TB on chest X-ray was defined as the area lying below the horizontal arbitrary line drawn across the hila on the chest X-ray (PA film). Parahilar region was considered in the lower lung fields.\textsuperscript{(10)} Whenever necessary, disease located in the lower lung fields with doubtful costophrenic sulcus collection we performed lateral films. Record of the radiological reading in terms of consolidation, nodular opacity, and cavitation was also noted.

Diagnostic modalities were categorized as conventional in which routine sputum microscopy and induced sputum microscopy for AFB was done. Bronchoscopy-guided techniques were used as bronchial wash sample for AFB microscopy and bronchial wash specimen for Gene Xpert MTB/RIF:

Diagnosis of TB was made by sputum examination for AFB by Ziehl–Neelsen technique, for which two sputum samples were collected, of which one sample was collected preferably in the morning. Those patients who fail to produce sputum sample are advised for “induced sputum” sample.

Procedure of induced sputum
A brief description of the procedure was given to each patient. To avoid contamination, the patients were asked for rinsing and repeated gargling with tap water until the returned fluid was free from debris. About 20 mL of 3% hypertonic saline was injected into the nebulizer reservoir device. The assembly was connected to the ultrasonic nebulizer. The procedure was carried out in a well-ventilated room with windows open and adequate staff protection measures were taken. The patients were instructed to inhale and exhale the mist of the nebulized solution through the mouth piece only. The inhalation of hypertonic saline was interrupted every 5 min, so that the patient could expectorate the sputum into a clean sterile sputum container. The procedure was continued until an adequate amount of sputum sample (3 mL or more) was obtained or for a maximum of 15 min has passed without success or the patients complained of shortness of breath or wheeze. The patient was closely monitored at all times during the procedure and 1 h after the procedure. The nebulizer equipment was decontaminated after each session by thorough washing and soaking in glutaraldehyde overnight. Diagnosis of TB was made by sputum examination for AFB by Ziehl–Neelsen technique.

Those cases negative for AFB after conventional sputum analysis and induced sputum examination were undergone bronchoscopy with Fujinon EPX-201H fiber-optic video bronchoscope. Bronchoscopy-guided bronchial wash collected with 60 mL saline instilled in middle and lower lobe segments, and two aliquots of bronchial wash samples were collected with suction during procedure. One bronchial wash sample is sent for AFB microscopy analysis and second sample for Gene Xpert MTB/RIF analysis. Bronchial wash specimens were processed, centrifuged, and the sediment were assessed for AFB microscopy. Bronchial wash second specimen was undergone cartridge-based test for Gene Xpert MTB/RIF analysis, in which first part is suggestive of MTB genome detection and second part is for \textit{rpoB} mutation. In single setting, Gene Xpert analysis made diagnosis of drug-sensitive or drug-resistant TB in 2 h.

All the possible measures were taken to confirm the diagnosis of tuberculosis, and in case with high index of
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suspicion of TB, we have started anti-tuberculosis therapy (ATT), although results are not favoring the diagnosis. Decision to start ATT in these cases was supported by clinical and radiological response after 4 weeks of therapy, and if the response is satisfactory, we continued the therapy for 6 months. Decision to start ATT in these cases were clinician decision to treat, is one of the criteria in case definition of tuberculosis. As India is high TB-burden country, we offered without any confusion in these cases with, although all possible measures fail to detect AFB or genome of MTB.

All the study cases were offered standard chemotherapy as per national guidelines for anti-tuberculosis regimen containing four drugs, isoniazid, rifampicin, and pyrazinamide, are given for 2 months as intensive phase and isoniazid with rifampicin for 4 months as continuation phase to complete total 6 months.

The statistical analysis was done using chi-squared test (three methods of chi-squared test such as independence, goodness of fit, and proportion test). Significant values of $\chi^2$ were seen from probability table for different degree of freedom required. $P$ value was considered significant if it was below 0.05 and highly significant in case if it was less than 0.001.

RESULTS

A total of 2,600 cases of pulmonary TB were initially screened and 300 (11.53%) cases of LLF TB were enrolled after assessing inclusion and exclusion criteria. In study cases, predominant female gender distribution with 66.66% (200/300) of total study cases and males 33.34% (100/300). Mean age in female group is 58.4 ± 11.8 years and male group is 56.8 ± 10.6 years. Constitutional symptoms in study cases were cough in 93% cases, fever in 83% cases, shortness of breath in 72% cases, anorexia in 91% cases, and weight loss in 84% cases. Radiological assessment in study cases was observed as right lower zone in 84% cases and left lower zone in only 16% cases.

We observed 100 cases below 50 years of age, of whom 60 cases were female (66.00%) and 40 were male (40.00%), and 200 cases were above 50 years of age, of whom 120 cases were female (60.00%) and 80 were male (40.00%) (Figure 1).

In all study cases of LLF TB, 57 cases (20.66%) were diagnosed by routine sputum microscopic examination for AFB done on three samples collected all on early morning preferably fasting and 80 cases (28%) were diagnosed by induced sputum microscopic examination for AFB. In the study of selected 170 LLF TB cases, bronchoscopy-guided samples made highly significant improvement ($P < 0.00001$) in diagnostic yield and made diagnosis in 155 (91.17%) cases (Table 1).

In study cases of LLF TB, comorbid conditions such as HIV co-infection was in 36 cases (12.00%), Diabetes mellitus in 64 cases (21.33%), and CKD in 22 cases (7.33%) were observed. Comorbidities were observed in 41.67% of study cases, while 59.33% cases were without any comorbidity and found very significant assessment to have successful treatment outcome. Pregnancy is an additional risk factor for LLF TB and documented in 9 cases. ($P < 0.00001$; Table 2)

In study of selected 170 LLF TB cases, head-to-head comparison between conventional diagnostic techniques (sputum microscopy and induced sputum microscopy for AFB) made diagnosis in 60 cases, while bronchoscopy-guided sampling techniques (BAL for AFB and BAL for Gene Xpert MTB/RIF) made diagnosis in 155 cases. Bronchoscopy-guided samples found to be highly

![Figure 1: Bar diagram showing age and gender distribution in lower lung field tuberculosis](image)

**Table 1: Yield of diagnostic procedures in LLF TB**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique used for diagnosis</th>
<th>Number of patients ($n = 300$)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sputum microscopy for AFB</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>20.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induced sputum microscopy for AFB</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchial wash for microscopy</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>32.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchial wash for Gene Xpert MTB/Rif (performed in 170 cases)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>91.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LLF TB: lower lung field tuberculosis. Chi-square goodness of fit: $\chi^2 = 53.87$, df = 3, $P < 0.00001$. 

---

---
significant ($P < 0.00001$) and superior to conventional diagnostic techniques (Table 3).

Sensitivity of Gene Xpert MTB/RIF in sputum-negative LLF TB in our study is 91.17%. Culture of bronchoscopy sample for MTB was not done because of time factor, cumbersome, and cost effectiveness.

Analyzing Post hoc by odds ratio suggests that bronchoscopy-guided sampling techniques (bronchial wash for AFB and BAL Gene Xpert analysis) is 18.94 times superior to conventional investigation techniques (sputum smear microscopy and induced sputum microscopy for AFB) available to diagnose LLF TB (Table 4).

In the study of 300 LLF TB cases, 60 cases were having NRP pattern. In LLF TB cases with NRP pattern, bronchoscopy-guided bronchial wash microscopy for AFB made diagnosis in 18 cases (42%), while bronchoscopy-guided BAL for Gene Xpert MTB/RIF made diagnosis in 58 cases (96.66%). BAL Gene Xpert MTB/RIF analysis is highly significant ($P < 0.00001$) and superior to BAL microscopy for AFB (Table 4).

Analyzing post hoc by odds ratio suggests that bronchoscopy-guided sample techniques including BAL for Gene Xpert MTB/RIF is 49.71 times superior to bronchial wash and also conventional investigation methods available to diagnose LLF TB in cluster of NRP cases (Table 4).

### DISCUSSION

#### Prevalence of LLF TB in study cases

LLF TB was first reported by Kidd in 1886.[14] A total of 2,600 cases of pulmonary TB were initially screened and LLF TB was observed in 300 (11.53%) cases. A review of literature shows a great variation in the reported frequency. It varies from 0.63% (Mathur et al.)[15] to 6.4% (Vishwanathan).[16] Incidence of LLF TB was observed by Zubert et al.[17] as 10.6%, Berger et al.[18] as 7%, Parmer et al.[19] as 3.4%, Hamilton et al.[20] as 3%, Chang et al.[9] as 5.1%, and Ross et al.[21] as 18.3%.

The discrepancies in the reported incidences of LLF TB may be due to confusion in the terms and definitions used, such as basal, lower lobe, or LLF TB.[2] Also, the studies from TB sanatoria reported a lower percentage than general hospitals[9] that may lack the bronchoscopy facility or underutilize the bronchoscopy-guided techniques for the evaluation of these cases.[9]

Most unique aspect of our study is that we performed bronchoscopy and evaluated the role of Gene Xpert MTB/RIF in cases “suspected of LLF TB” and in cases with presentation such as NRP and observed excellent role of these modalities in LLF TB rather than to start empirical treatment by considering them sputum-negative case of TB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Comorbid conditions and LLF TB ($n = 300$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comorbid condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases without any comorbidity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LLF TB: lower lung field tuberculosis; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; DM: diabetes mellitus; CKD: chronic kidney disease. Chi-square goodness of fit. \( \chi^2 = 200.8, df = 3, P < 0.00001. \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Diagnostic role of bronchoscopy-guided techniques as compared to conventional sampling methods in LLF TB ($n = 170$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional diagnostic techniques (sputum smear microscopy and induced sputum microscopy for AFB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchoscopy-guided sampling (BAL and Gene expert MTB/RIF)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LLF TB: lower lung field tuberculosis. \( \chi^2 = 114.17, df = 1, P < 0.00001. \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4: LLF TB in nonresolving pneumonia in study cases diagnosed by bronchoscopic sampling techniques</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonresolving pneumonia cases suspicion of LLF TB ($n = 60$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchoscopy-guided bronchial wash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchoscopy-guided BAL for Gene Xpert</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LLF TB: lower lung field tuberculosis. \( \chi^2 = 57.41, df = 1, P < 0.00001. \)
Diagnostic yield of conventional techniques in LLF TB
In study cases of LLF TB, 57 cases (20.66%) were diagnosed by routine sputum microscopic examination for AFB and 80 cases (28%) were diagnosed by induced sputum microscopic examination for AFB. Our findings are in agreement with previous reports [25, 23, 24] that bacilli may be difficult to demonstrate on smear or culture and that multiple examinations are often necessary to secure bacteriological proof of TB. Although sputum examination is the simplest way to diagnose LLF TB, isolation of M. tuberculosis is difficult on smear or culture examination in no cavitary lesion. [9] Although sputum microscopy is the most appropriate, low cost, highly specific investigation to diagnose pulmonary TB, in 22–61% of the cases, sputum smear-negative and culture-positive status is observed. [27] Causes of smear negativity include low bacterial load (less than 10,000 bacilli/ml), poor quality of sputum sample (submitting saliva as sputum), improper preparation and examination of smears, people with late-stage HIV, and immune-suppressed patients and children. [26]

Contradictory to our observation, Pandya et al. [23] reported that the incidence of sputum positivity was higher in LLF TB compared to all cases of pulmonary TB. Zubair Ahmed et al. [28] also observed significantly higher sputum positivity (65.38%) in LLF TB than in classical upper lung field TB (48.02%). Higher bacillary load because of pooling of mucous in lower lung field because of less-efficient expectoration may attribute to higher AFB positivity. [25]

Induced sputum examination yields diagnosis in 28% cases (80/300). In the present study, induced sputum smear positivity is lower compared to previous studies by Saglam et al. [29] with 47% positivity and Hartung et al. [29] study with 42% positivity. In another large study of 129 subjects by Mc Williams et al., [30] induced sputum AFB sensitivity was found to be very high, 96%.

Diagnostic yield of bronchoscopy guided techniques in LLF TB
In study cases of LLF TB, 57 cases (20.66%) were diagnosed by routine sputum microscopic examination for AFB done on three samples collected on early morning preferably fasting and 80 cases (28%) were diagnosed by induced sputum microscopic examination for AFB. In study of 170 LLF TB cases, bronchoscopy-guided samples made highly significant improvement (P < 0.00001) in diagnostic yield and made diagnosis in 155 (91.17%) cases.

In the present study, bronchoscopy-guided BAL for AFB yields diagnosis in 32.66% cases (98/300 cases). Similar yields were documented in studies by Pierrae et al. [31] as 25%, Bernard DA et al. [32] as 41%, and Khalil KF et al. [33] as 39.53%.

In the present study, sensitivity of Gene Xpert MTB/RIF in BAL specimens in sputum smear-negative LLF TB is 91.17%. Culture of bronchoscopy sample for MTB was not done because of time factor, cumbersome, and cost effectiveness. Similar yields were documented in studies by Pierrae et al. [31] as 80%, Bernard et al. [23] as 92.3%, Khalil et al. [33] as 91.86%, Lee et al. [34] as 81.6%, and Surendra et al. [35] as 90%, and few other studies [36–39] from various authors documented sensitivity between 57% and 75%.

We offered anti-tuberculosis treatment to all BAL Gene Xpert MTB/RIF negative cases also in “high index of suspicion” scenario, and final treatment outcome as “cure” was considered as confirmatory to document “case of pulmonary TB” not diagnosed by rapid nucleic acid amplification test.

In study of 170 LLF TB cases, head-to-head comparison between conventional diagnostic techniques (sputum microscopy and induced sputum microscopy for AFB) made diagnosis in 60 cases, while bronchoscopy-guided sampling techniques (BAL for AFB and BAL for Gene Xpert MTB/RIF) made diagnosis in 155 cases. Bronchoscopy-guided samples are found to be highly significant (P < 0.00001) and superior to conventional diagnostic techniques. This is our first study to document comparison of conventional and interventional endoscopy-guided newer tests to diagnose LLF TB.

Analyzing post hoc by odds ratio suggests that bronchoscopy-guided sampling techniques (bronchial wash for AFB and BAL for gene Xpert MTB/RIF analysis) is 18.94 times superior to conventional investigation techniques (sputum smear microscopy and induced sputum microscopy for AFB) available to diagnose LLF TB.

LLF TB in non-resolving pneumonia, and role of bronchoscopy in these cases
In study of 300 LLF TB cases, 60 cases (20%) were having NRP pattern. In LLF TB cases with NRP pattern, bronchoscopy-guided bronchial wash microscopy for AFB made diagnosis in 18 cases (42%), while bronchoscopy-guided BAL for Gene Xpert MTB/RIF made diagnosis in 58 cases (96.66%). BAL Gene Xpert MT/RIF analysis is highly significant (P < 0.00001) and superior to BAL microscopy for AFB. Choudhury et al. [44] observed TB as etiological factor in 16.67% cases (10/60) and all those were diagnosed by bronchoscopy-guided techniques. Silver et al. [41] found tuberculosis in 5.7% cases from culture of BAL fluid as a cause of NRP.
Prevalence of comorbidities in LLF TB
In study cases of LLF TB, co-morbid conditions such as HIV coinfection in 36 cases (12.00%), diabetes mellitus in 64 cases (21.33%), and CKD in 22 cases (7.33%) were observed (P < 0.00001). Studies\textsuperscript{3,25,42} have shown higher incidence of LLF TB in diabetics.

Other Important observations
Gene Xpert in LLF TB
Analyzing post hoc by odds ratio suggests that analysis using bronchoscopy-guided sample techniques including BAL for Gene Xpert MTB/RIF is 49.71 times superior to bronchial wash and also conventional investigation methods available to diagnose LLF TB in cluster of NRP cases.

Culture methods are not used for the diagnosis of LLF TB
Rapid culture methods for MTB were not available in our hospital. Conventional or LJ media was available, but because of more cumbersome and time-consuming results of solid media, we avoided to use and enroll in our study. As yield of nucleic acid amplification tests such as gene Xpert MTB/RIF is satisfactory and comparable with culture methods in all sputum-negative cases and excellent in sputum smear-negative bronchial wash specimens, we recommend BAL Gene Xpert as “gold standard” for lower lung field smear-negative TB. These tests are rapid and sensitive even in BAL specimens in LLF TB cases.

Pregnancy and LLF TB
Pregnancy is an additional risk factor for LLF TB and documented in 9 cases in our study. Although pregnancy increases the risk of acquiring TB during the course of gestation, LLF TB is common as compared to “classical upper lung field” TB. Although exact prevalence and incidence is not known, few studies\textsuperscript{6,15,42} also show increased risk of LLF TB in pregnant females.

Empirical ATT in LLF TB in high index of suspicion scenario
TB should be considered a diagnostic possibility in patients with “lower lung field pneumonia” positive for constitutional symptoms and negative for Gene Xpert MTB/RIF. The response to the treatment with anti-tuberculosis drugs was excellent with complete resolution of radiological opacities and clinical recovery in our study. All study cases were declared as “cured and treatment completed.”

CONCLUSIONS
LLF TB is usually underdiagnosed because of the diverse clinical and radiological presentation. LLF TB is missed routinely due to less diagnostic yield of conventional diagnostic modalities, and these modalities are used routinely and universally.

Bronchoscopy-guided diagnostic techniques are superior, sensitive, and reliable to confirm LLF TB. “Gene Xpert MTB/RIF in bronchial wash samples” is found to be the best diagnostic modality in evaluating LLF TB and should be used routinely as “current gold standard.”

A proportionate number of NRP cases are having LLF TB and a high index of suspicion is a must while evaluating these cases to have successful treatment outcome.
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