Humanistic Medicine, a Forgotten Concept? The Correct Approach in Palliative Care of Chronic Patients
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ABSTRACT

Palliative care in Romania is a relatively new emerging field. Challenges of this specialty, its uniqueness and the several problems posed by a particular category of patients, the chronically ill patients, make this medical specialty a particularly complex one in certain situations, requiring a multidisciplinary team well-prepared in terms of theory and practice. Unlike in the case of most medical specialties, particular emphasis is laid on the “human” side of medical care, this specialty introducing the concept of “total pain”.

Keywords: chronic patient, holistic care, palliative care

INTRODUCTION

According to the World Health Organization, palliative care (PC) is defined as follows: “Palliative care is an approach that improves the quality of life of patients and their families facing the problem associated with life-threatening illness, through the prevention and relief of suffering by means of early identification and impeccable assessment and treatment of pain and other problems, physical, psychosocial and spiritual.”¹

In patients with chronic diseases, the focus is on the comfort of the patient. This comfort also implies other specific aspects of a chronic patient and particularly an oncological patient: namely the physical, mental, social, and spiritual aspect. In PC as well, treating the symptoms of a chronic patient has great importance, but is not the only aspect to consider.

The Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) Performance Status describes the patients’ level of functioning in terms of their ability to care for themselves, daily activity, and physical ability, with the following classification: grade 0 – fully active patient, able to perform the same tasks as before the disease without restrictions; grade 1 – restrictions in carrying out strenuous activities but mobile patient, able to carry out light/sedentary/office work; grade 2 – mobile...
and capable of self-care but unable of any kind of work, active for over 50% of time; grade 3 – capable of limited self-care but unable of any kind of work, active for over 50% of time; grade 4 – completely bedridden, unable of self-care.2

The visual analog scale is a one-dimensional scale that measures the intensity of pain. The patient places a cursor on a line, which has marked absence of pain at the left end, and the worst pain imaginable at the right end, depending on how intense it is felt. The back of this scale is scored from 0 to 10, thus allowing quantification of the intensity of pain experienced by the patient.3,4

CASE PRESENTATION

Bioethical aspects of PC can be better understood by presenting the case of a 53-year-old patient, admitted to a palliative care ward for prostate carcinoma, multiple bone metastases, retroperitoneal lymph node metastasis, and liver metastasis.

The patient was diagnosed with prostate carcinoma and had received hormonal treatment a year before his admission to PC. About half a year after the diagnosis, multiple metastases were detected. On admission, the patient had a high intensity pain syndrome and edema of the left lower limb. The patient had an ECOG score of 3 on admission and 3 upon hospital discharge. The visual analog pain score was 9 on admission and 3 upon hospital discharge. During hospitalization, the patient has been treated with major analgesics, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication, carbamazepine, sulodexide, iron, dexamethasone and nylex.

The particularities of this case were that the patient had been informed about his diagnosis, had accepted it and had been compliant to the treatment. Furthermore, the patient had future plans to solve his business problems, to not get his family worried and also to go fishing. The patient had a good sense of humor, was of orthodox religion and had received spiritual care at home. We did not encounter any difficulties in communicating with the patient, but he had a family problem, namely that his son was abroad.

During the patient’s last 3–4 days at home, he could not self-administer morphine, and his spouse was afraid of morphine, thus only oral MST was administered, with poor analgesic control of symptoms. The patient was admitted to the hospital in the ante finem period and deceased hours after hospitalization.

DISCUSSIONS

The proper measures that should be taken by medical professionals in such cases of palliative care are listed in Table 1.

Mental problems, and especially depression in oncology patients, are one of the most common emotional disorders.5

There are two particular problems linked to depression in PC: 1) psychiatric signs and symptoms diagnosed as depression, and 2) disorders specific to depression defined by diagnostic criteria.6 Although the trend is that most doctors define depression according to the first criterion, psychiatrists define depression according to the second criterion.7 These two different approaches have implications for the treatment of depression. For example, antidepressant treatment is effective in patients suffering from depression, but it has no effect on patients whose symptoms suggest that the depression lies in their adaptation to the illness.8 The Expert Working Group (EWG) of the European Association for Palliative Care (EAPC) recommends to use the diagnostic criteria according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) of the American Psychiatric Association. Unfortunately, depression in PC is often underdiagnosed and undertreated.9

There is another, psychosocial aspect of PC, defined by the National Council for Hospice and Specialist Pal-
Palliative care is a complex specialty that requires a well-trained interdisciplinary team to face the specific challenges posed by a special category of patients, namely chronic patients. The concept of “total pain” includes the physical, mental, social, and spiritual side of the chronic patient, while the family of the patient is an important support element that also needs support from the PC team.
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