A Comparative Preliminary Study on CT Contrast Attenuation Gradient Versus Invasive FFR in Patients with Unstable Angina
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this preliminary study was to assess the effectiveness of transluminal contrast attenuation gradient (TAG) determined by computed tomographic angiography (CTA), for the evaluation of the functional significance of coronary artery stenoses in patients with acute coronary syndromes produced by vulnerable coronary plaques, and to demonstrate the correlation between this new parameter and the vulnerability markers of the culprit lesions. Material and methods: This is a preliminary pilot study on 10 patients with acute coronary syndromes – unstable angina type, who underwent CTA for the assessment of coronary lesions, followed by invasive angiography and the determination of fractional flow reserve (FFR) prior to a revascularization procedure. Patients were divided into 2 groups, according to their FFR values: Group 1 consisted of 6 patients with an FFR value <0.8 (functionally significant lesion), and Group 2 consisted of 4 patients who presented an FFR value >0.8 (functionally non-significant lesion). Results: FFR values were 0.64 ± 0.07, 95% CI: 0.5–0.7 in Group 1, and 0.86 ± 0.05, 95% CI: 0.7–0.9 in Group 2. Plaques associated with an FFR<0.8 presented a higher amount of plaque volume (192.7 ± 199.7 mm³ vs. 42.1 ± 27.3 mm³, p = 0.1), necrotic core (66.7 ± 72.9 mm³ vs. 10.0 ± 9.3 mm³, p = 0.1), and fibro–fatty tissue (29.7 ± 37.4 mm³ vs. 6.2 ± 3.8 mm³, p = 0.2). At the same time, TAG significantly correlated with the presence of a functionally significant lesion. Coronary lesions associated with low FFR presented significantly higher values of TAG along the plaque as compared with lesions with FFR values >0.8 (TAG values 22.1 ± 5.8 HU vs. 11.7 ± 2.5 HU, p = 0.01). Linear regression identified a significant correlation between TAG and FFR values as a measure of functional significance of the lesion (r = 0.7, p = 0.01). Conclusions: Contrast attenuation gradient along the culprit lesion, determined by CTA, correlates with the FFR values and with CT markers of plaque vulnerability, indicating that the presence of vulnerability features inside a coronary plaque could increase the functional significance of a coronary lesion.

Keywords: contrast attenuation, vulnerable coronary plaque, computed tomographic angiography, fractional flow reserve

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: April 7, 2017
Accepted: May 19, 2017

CORRESPONDENCE

Mihaela Rațiu
Str. 22 Decembrie 1989 nr. 76
540124 Târgu Mureș, Romania
Tel: +40 265 217 333
E-mail: d_a_mihaela@yahoo.com
INTRODUCTION

Coronary atherosclerosis represents one of the most devastating diseases worldwide, and acute coronary syndromes (ACS) are the most severe form of this condition. The costs related to the complex investigations of ACS and the associated treatment are constantly increasing; however, the mortality of patients with acute myocardial infarction remains very high, reaching 20% in the absence of timely initiation of reperfusion treatment.1,2

New cardiac imaging technologies have been developed in recent years in an attempt to identify imaging markers associated with an increased vulnerability of the coronary plaques, hoping that prompt treatment of these lesions could prevent the progression of the disease towards the development of an acute coronary event. Such a technique is represented by computed tomography angiography (CTA), which is able not only to identify the presence of vulnerability markers inside the plaque, but also to quantify the amount of its components on the basis of CT density. However, the decision to stent or not a vulnerable plaque that is not hemodynamically significant remains challenging for the interventionist.3–5

Usually, the severity of a coronary artery stenosis is estimated on the basis of coronary angiography, by calculating the narrowing degree of the coronary lumen. However, intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) studies demonstrated that not all culprit lesions that have triggered an acute coronary syndrome produce a significant narrowing of the coronary lumen.6,7 At the same time, the PROSPECT trial demonstrated that the amount of plaque burden evaluated by IVUS was the strongest predictor of mortality in patients with vulnerable plaques.8 Also, the COURAGE trial demonstrated the non-superiority of visual cineangiography-guided revascularizations compared to the correct medication in regards to mortality, myocardial infarction, and other cardiovascular complications.9,10

At the same time, a new technology represented by the estimation of fractional flow reserve (FFR) has been suggested and implemented in cath labs in recent years, in order to provide a robust tool for the assessment of the functional significance of a coronary stenosis. FFR, an invasive technique that measures the intraluminal pressure after stenosis compared to the pressure proximal to stenosis with the aid of a special transducer similar to a coronary guide, rapidly became a gold standard for assessing the functional significance of a coronary artery stenosis. FFR is defined as the ratio between the mean distal and proximal pressure to stenosis, and a rate of less than 0.8 is considered a strong indicator of a significant stenosis.11,12 The FAME and FAME II trials demonstrated that a percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) strategy based on the functional signification of the coronary stenosis is preferable to the classical strategy based on angiographic aspect.11,13 However, the exact relation between the functional significance of a coronary lesion and the vulnerability degree of this plaque is still under investigation.

Multiple CTA-derived markers have been proposed for plaque characterization, in stable or unstable plaques.14,15 The plaque burden, the amount of low-density plaque, or the remodeling index are vulnerability markers easily calculated on CTA images using modern post-processing software. At the same time, it has been proposed that the degree of attenuation of contrast material along the stenosis, determined by CTA, could serve as a functional parameter expressing the hemodynamic significance of a coronary artery stenosis.12,16,17

The aim of this preliminary study was to assess the effectiveness of transluminal contrast attenuation gradient (TAG) determined by CTA, for the evaluation of the functional significance of coronary artery stenoses in patients with acute coronary syndromes produced by vulnerable coronary plaques, and to demonstrate the correlation between this new parameter and the vulnerability markers of the culprit lesions.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This is a preliminary pilot study on 10 patients with ACS – unstable angina type, who underwent CTA for the assessment of coronary lesions, followed by invasive angiography and determination of FFR prior to a PCI procedure.

Patients with other forms of ACS (acute myocardial infarction, with or without ST-segment elevation), hemodynamically unstable patients, or patients with significant kidney dysfunction were excluded from the study.

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the institution where the procedures were performed, and written informed consent was obtained from each participant included in the study. All study procedures have been carried out according to the principles stipulated in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Prior to the CTA examination, all patients received beta-blockers, in order to obtain a heart rate below 60/min, and 1 mL/kg of ionic contrast media was administrated through an intravenous 18-gauge line at a flow rate of 6.1 mL/s, followed by a 150 mL saline chaser at the same flow rate.

Image acquisition was performed with a Siemens Somatom 128-slice CT equipment (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany), followed by automated and manual reconstruction.
and evaluation of the coronary artery. Post-processing and image analysis for the quantification of coronary plaque components have been carried out using the QANgioCT RE software (Medis, Leiden, The Netherlands), which allowed atherosclerotic plaque characterization and quantification of plaque components such as: plaque volume, lesion length, mean and maximum plaque burden, fibrous fatty volume, necrotic core volume, % stenosis, and TAG expressed in mean HU/mm.

TAG was calculated as the difference in the contrast density along the coronary stenosis, between the segment located 1 mm proximal to the stenosis and the segment located 1 mm distal to the lesion (Figure 1).

Following CTA examination, all patients underwent invasive angiography and FFR calculation using the Optis Integrated System (St Jude Medical, St Paul, Minnesota, USA), and Aeris Agile Tip pressure wire catheters (St Jude Medical, St Paul, Minnesota, USA) for invasive FFR determination.

Patients were divided into 2 groups, according to their FFR values: Group 1 consisted of 6 patients with an FFR value <0.8 (functionally significant lesion) and Group 2 consisted of 4 patients who presented an FFR value >0.8 (functionally non-significant lesion).

Statistical analysis was performed using the GraphPad InStat 3.0 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, USA). For comparing the characteristics of all patients between Group 1 and Group 2, Fisher’s exact test (or Student’s t-test for age) was used. Continuous values were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, and statistical significance was determined using the Mann-Whitney test. Linear regression was used for assessing the correlation between FFR values and TAG. Statistical significance was considered for p values <0.05 and all p values were two-sided.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences between the study groups in relation to age, gender, and cardiovascular risk factors (smoking status, hypertension, obesity, dyslipidemia) (Table 1).

**FIGURE 1.** Automated post-processing of a coronary vulnerable plaque. **A** – reference points for calculation of the attenuation gradient between the segment located proximal and distal to the plaque (arrows); **B** – color coded representation of plaque components based on contrast density and quantification of plaque burden, fibrofatty tissue and necrotic core; **C** – graphical representation of quantification of plaque components based on contrast density.
FFR values were 0.64 ± 0.07, 95% CI: 0.5–0.7 in Group 1, and 0.86 ± 0.05, 95% CI: 0.7–0.9 in Group 2.

The CT analysis of plaque characteristics revealed that lesion length and stenosis severity were statistically significantly higher in Group 1 as compared with Group 2 (p <0.0001). At the same time, functionally significant plaques presented an elevated expression of vulnerability markers inside the plaques as compared to functionally non-significant plaques (Figure 2). Plaques associated with an FFR<0.8 presented a larger plaque volume (192.7 ± 199.7 mm³ vs. 42.1 ± 27.3 mm³, p = 0.1), necrotic core (66.7 ± 72.9 mm³ vs. 10.0 ± 9.3 mm³, p = 0.1) and fibro-fatty tissue (29.7 ± 37.4 mm³ vs. 6.2 ± 3.8 mm³, p = 0.2) (Table 2). However, the differences were not sta-

**TABLE 1.** Patient characteristics in the study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 FFR &lt;0.8 n = 6</th>
<th>Group 2 FFR &gt;0.8 n = 4</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>68.5 ± 7.2</td>
<td>69.2 ± 9.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male</td>
<td>3 (50.0%)</td>
<td>3 (75.0%)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>3 (50.0%)</td>
<td>2 (50.0%)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>3 (50.0%)</td>
<td>2 (50.0%)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity (BMI &gt;25 km/m²)</td>
<td>2 (33.3%)</td>
<td>2 (50.0%)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker*</td>
<td>3 (50.0%)</td>
<td>1 (25.0%)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>1 (16.5%)</td>
<td>1 (25.0%)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*past or present

**FIGURE 2.** Plaque characteristics and TAG values in the culprit lesions of the group with FFR <0.8 vs. the group with FFR >0.8
statistically significant, probably due to the limited number of cases.

At the same time, TAG significantly correlated with the presence of a functionally significant lesion. Coronary lesions associated with low FFR presented significantly higher values of TAG along the plaque as compared with lesions with FFR values >0.8 (TAG values 22.1 ± 5.8 HU vs. 11.7 ± 2.5 HU, p = 0.01) (Figure 3).

Linear regression identified a significant correlation between TAG and FFR values as a measure of functional significance of the lesion (r = 0.7, p = 0.01), indicating that the decrease in FFR in functionally significant plaques is directly correlated with the decrease in contrast density along the plaque, as expressed by an increased contrast gradient along the lesion.

**DISCUSSION**

CTA has become one of the most extensively used and useful imaging techniques in cardiology, and its relevance in patients with vulnerable coronary plaques is nowadays widely accepted.18

Despite of a multitude of studies that assessed the role of CTA in evaluating plaque vulnerability, according to our knowledge there are no published data so far regarding the role of CTA in assessing vulnerability markers in relation to the functional significance of a coronary lesion.19–23

FFR remains the gold standard for assessing the hemodynamic significance of a coronary artery stenosis; however, this remains an invasive technique that could prove to be unnecessary in cases with no significant lesions. Therefore the validation of new imaging–derived markers able to assess the functional significance of a coronary lesion using a noninvasive route could play a significant role in the reduction of unnecessary invasive coronary interventions.

The CT–based calculation of FFR (CT–FFR) has been also introduced in recent years as a new parameter for the estimation of lesion severity on a noninvasive route, using computational fluid dynamics and the simulation of

---

**TABLE 2.** Plaque characteristics in the study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 FFR &lt;0.8 n = 6</th>
<th>Group 2 FFR &gt;0.8 n = 4</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lesion length (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>9.9 ± 3.6</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
<td>6.1–13.7</td>
<td>0.4–6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% stenosis (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>82.7 ± 8.3</td>
<td>40.1 ± 5.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
<td>74.0–91.5</td>
<td>32.0–48.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque burden (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>76.7 ± 8.2</td>
<td>72.3 ± 6.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
<td>68.1–85.3</td>
<td>61.7–82.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque volume (mm³)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>192.7 ± 199.7</td>
<td>421.4 ± 27.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
<td>−16.8–402.3</td>
<td>−13–85.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque thickness (µm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.6</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
<td>1.5–5.1</td>
<td>1.2–4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG (HU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>22.1 ± 5.8</td>
<td>11.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
<td>16.0–28.2</td>
<td>7.7–15.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibro-fatty tissue (mm³)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>29.7 ± 37.4</td>
<td>6.2 ± 3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
<td>−9.5–69.0</td>
<td>0.1–12.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrotic core tissue (mm³)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>66.7 ± 72.9</td>
<td>10.0 ± 9.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
<td>−9.8–143.2</td>
<td>−4.7–24.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TAG – trans-stenotic attenuation gradient
coronary flow. Also, coronary shear stress, calculated using computational fluid dynamics, has been proposed to be associated with plaque vulnerability in unstable coronary lesions. Several recent studies proved that unstable plaques exhibit reduced shear stress in the region located immediately distal to the vulnerable coronary plaque. However, all these techniques based on coronary flow simulation and computational post-processing are time-consuming and require significant skills and experienced operators, being difficult to be performed in a timely fashion, as requested in many cardiovascular emergencies.

In recent studies, TAG and CT-FFR have shown their superiority as compared with invasive FFR in intermediate lesions with a stenosis degree between 30% and 70%, showing good sensitivity and specificity for discriminating between significant and non-significant lesions. As compared with the gold standard (FFR), TAG presents the advantage that it does not require the administration of vasodilators drugs, while FFR requires adenosine administration. It is also important to mention that the presence of coronary calcification could impact the quality of TAG measurement, an impediment that is not encountered when using the invasive FFR approach. Corrective applications of CT post-processing techniques have been proposed to overcome this disadvantage, such as TAG with corrected contrast opacification (TAG-CCO) and TAG with the exclusion of calcified coronary segments (TAG-ExC). Some studies have shown that in comparison to classical coronary angiography, TAG-ExC can improve the coronary CT angiography specificity, while TAG-CCO has a limited contribution in addition of the information of CTA alone.

In this pilot study, we demonstrated that TAG could be considered a new imaging-derived parameter able to estimate the functional significance of coronary lesions, being significantly increased in coronary lesions classified as significant based on FFR assessment, the currently validated gold standard. At the same time, TAG was associated with CT markers of plaque vulnerability, such as necrotic core or plaque volume, indicating that TAG can be considered a marker indirectly associated with plaque vulnerability. This could also open the hypothesis that vulnerable coronary plaques have a higher impact on the functional significance of a coronary lesion, probably via complex mechanisms related to the alteration of the local dynamic forces in the coronary flow, a process in which local inflammation could play a certain role.

**LIMITATION OF THE STUDY**

One major limitation of this study is that the TAG and FFR evaluations were made in different moments; therefore, the information provided by the two imaging tools could not be entirely superposable.

On the other hand, the small number of patients included in this pilot study makes it hard to generalize the conclusions. However, this study opens new perspectives for challenging a hypothesis that could be further explored in larger scale studies.

**CONCLUSION**

In patients with unstable angina, contrast attenuation gradient along the culprit lesion, determined by CTA, could serve as a promising tool for the estimation of the functional significance of the lesion, being highly correlated with FFR values. At the same time, TAG correlates with CT markers of plaque vulnerability, indicating that the presence of vulnerability features inside a coronary plaque could increase the functional significance of a coronary lesion.
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