FEASIBILITY OF THE SAR TECHNIQUE ON QUARTZ SAND OF TERRACES OF NW HIMALAYA: A CASE STUDY FROM DEVPRAYAG

MANOJ KUMAR JAISWAL1, 2, PRADEEP SRIVASTAVA1, JAYANT KUMAR TRIPATHI1, 3 and RAFIQUE ISLAM1
1Wadia Institute of Himalayan Geology, 33, General Mahadev Singh road, Dehradun-248001, India
2Department of Geosciences, Choushan road, National Taiwan University, Taipei-10617, Taiwan (R.O.C.)
3Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Mehrauli road, New Delhi-110067, India

Abstract: Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL) dating technique based on the Single Aliquot Regenerative dose (SAR) protocol is being used increasingly as a means of establishing sediment burial age in the late Quaternary studies. Thermal transfer, low and changing luminescence sensitivity of quartz grains of young sedimentary belts of the New Zealand Alps and the north-east Himalaya poses problems in using SAR protocol. Records of active tectonics and signatures of palaeo-climate are preserved in the Quaternary – Holocene terrace sediments. Therefore, to unfold the history of successive tectonic and palaeo-climate events, robust chronological technique is needed. Palaeoflood deposits in NW Lesser Himalayan region receive quartz from the weathering of various rock types such as quartzite and phyllite in the Alaknanda Basin. A series of tests e.g. dose recovery, preheat plateau, thermal recuperation and change in sensitivity, were performed to check the suitability of quartz grains collected from the terrace sediment of Devprayag of the NW Himalaya, for OSL studies. Inferences were drawn regarding the source of the quartz grains on the basis of the geochemistry and luminescence intensity of the terrace sediment. The study shows that though quartz from the North West Himalaya are low in luminescence intensity but the reproducibility of De value makes the quartz sand suitable for SAR dating technique. Relation between luminescence intensity with CIA values help to predict the provenance of quartz sand. Tests show that the quartz from NW Himalaya is suitable for SAR protocol in OSL.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Himalaya is an active south propagating fold-thrust-belt as a result of collision between Indian and Asian plates and preserves signatures of past tectonic and climatic events in the form of various geomorphic archives (Bilham et al., 1997; Senthil et al., 2001; Hodges et al., 2004; Pratt et al., 2004; Burbank, 2005; Wobus et al., 2005). Most of the projects in the Indian Himalayas focused on Lake Sequences for palaeo-climate and neotectonic studies (Singhvi et al., 1994; Banerjee et al. 1999; Chamyal and Juyal, 2005), which can be dated by 14C and less attention was paid to fluvial sediments in the Himalayan river Valleys. Hence no significant OSL chronologies are available for the Quaternary sediments of the Indian Himalayas.

Recently, a lot of work has been done regarding the evolution of river terraces and their neo-tectonic and climatic implications (Burbank, 2005; Wobus et al., 2005). Due to lack of suitable organic material and limited upper bound (<50 ka) for 14C dating technique, OSL dating technique was explored due to ubiquitous dating material (Quartz and Feldspar) and expanded upper age limit up to 200-300 ka. Continuous advancement of Optically Stimulated Luminescence (OSL) dating techniques have made this method a robust and popular tool for dating Quaternary sediments from a variety of sedimentary environments e.g. fluvial, aeolian and colluvial. The OSL technique dates the last daylight exposure of the sediment grains before burial and has been widely used to reconstruct past climate and seismic history with their effect on evolution of early man (Srivastava et al., 2003;
Williams et al., 2006; Juyal et al., 2004; Mukul et al., 2007). Using multiple aliquot additive dose protocol (Aitken, 1998), a few luminescence dates on the fault gouges of Himalaya (Singhvi et al., 1994; Banerjee et al. 1999), lake sequences (Chamyal and Juyal, 2005) and fluvial terraces of Dun valley has been done (Suresh et al., 2002; Singh et al., 2003). However, for fluvial sediments, multiple aliquot protocol of luminescence dating can give rise to inaccurate ages due to partial and heterogeneous bleaching of the sediments before burial. Single aliquot/single grain protocol is the better proven technique for dating partially bleached water lain sediments as it provides the variability in bleaching and thus facilitates to choose the most bleached part of the sediment (Murray and Wintle, 2000).

In the context of high energy fluvial sediment due to topographic control in Himalaya, the Single Aliquot Regeneration (SAR) should be the most preferred protocol of luminescence dating. Using SAR, Jaiswal (2005) explored the partial bleaching in the quartz from sediments of various geomorphic archives e.g. alluvial fan in central Himalaya, paleoflood from northern Ganga plain, flash flood in NW Himalayas and terraces in Darjeeling Himalayas. The work also highlights the problem of low luminescence counts and changing sensitivity in the Higher Himalayan sediments. Thomas et al., (2007) tested SAR on Quartz from NE Himalayas in Assam, India to bracket the prehistoric earthquakes. The Quartz from that area showed very poor sensitivity. Using SAR, Mukul et al. (2007) dated the Quartz from tectonically uplifted terraces in NE Himalayas in Darjeeling, India. In this study too, the quartz were of low sensitivity and thus single grain studies could not be performed even though the sediments showed poor bleaching history.

During a SAR protocol, an aliquot is measured with various cycles of OSL, irradiation and preheat, which changes the luminescence sensitivity that can produce inaccurate ages. Hence it is important to check that whether the test dose monitors the sensitivity changes or not. Recent studies by Preusser et al. (2006) on luminescence properties of quartz derived from the terraces of New Zealand Alps shows that this quartz show low photon counts and high recuperation effects and thus were not found suitable for optical dating. The low number of cycles of erosion and burial or young sedimentary history was suggested as the probable reason for such luminescence characteristics. Similarly Thomas et al. (2007) studied the luminescence characteristics of the quartz from North-east Himalaya, which has shown low sensitivity. Moska and Murray (2006) have shown weakly sensitized fast component in the quartz from Tatra Mountains from Poland. Therefore the low sensitivity is probably a common problem in the sediments originating in young mountain belts.

Bed loads of the Himalayan Rivers are largely composed of sediments i.e. generated from the weathering of phylites, granites and gneisses of the lesser and higher Himalayas. However, the relative proportions of the sediments either from granites or phylites from these rocks vary from place to place and depend upon the local geology, tectonic and climatic conditions. In the present study, geochemical study on the sediments was carried out to infer the source rock or provenance and their composition in the analyzed samples.

The study aims to find out the luminescence properties of quartz in sediment from a particular rock type and its suitability to apply SAR. Quartz was preferred due to fast bleaching rate and apparent absence of fading of luminescence signal. It is also hypothesized that the varying luminescence property should also be a property of mixing of sediments from different sources. The present study is a part of the work done to build up a chronology of tectonic and palaeo-climatic events in NW Himalayas, a major concern towards the understanding of evolution of Himalayas. In this process, the luminescence characteristics of quartz were studied and further evaluated the source of quartz received from different rocks on the basis of luminescence properties. Luminescence chronology and climatic implications has been discussed in detail by Srivastava et al. (2008).

2. STUDY AREA, SAMPLES AND METHODS

The Alaknanda River, a major tributary to the Ganga, originates from the Satopanth Glacier situated at the altitude of 3641 m a.s.l. and meets the Bhagirathi River at Devprayag (541 m a.s.l.). The total catchment area of the Bhagirathi River is 10236.7 km² and it crosses 229 km of length (Pal, 1986). The southerly flowing Alaknanda traverses transverse to the east-west tectonic fabric of the Himalayas shows major thrust boundaries and lithotectonic units traversed by the river (Fig. 1).

Chandpur phylite rocks host and also contribute to the terrace sediments on weathering i.e. mixed with the sediments transported from higher reaches in the river. Phyllite is a low-grade metamorphic rock formed intermediate between slate and schist. The details on the geomorphology, luminescence chronology and climatic interpretations are discussed in detail in Srivastava et al. (2008). In order to determine the source of sediments and the contribution of sediments from the host rock (phyllite) and rocks from the upper reaches (mainly gneisses and granites from Higher Himalayan crystalline rocks), geochemical analysis was carried out.

The Chemical Index of Alteration (CIA) of the sediments is a measure of the extent of chemical weathering and gives insight into the weathering trends (Nesbitt and Young, 1982; Fedo et al., 1995). Four kilograms of each sediment sample was collected, air-dried, homogenized. One kilogram of homogenized sample was crushed to 250 μm. Out of the 1 kg sample processed and crushed about 200 g of each sample was ground to 75 μm size for geochemical analysis. The major elements were analyzed by XRF (Siemens) on fused glass disc using the method by Norrish and Hutton (1969). The precision of analysis for major and trace elements were monitored using USGS rock standards (SGR and MAG-1) and were better than 1.5% and 5% respectively. Molar proportions of Al₂O₃ (A), CaO (C), Na₂O (N), K₂O (K) are used for the A-CN-K plots and Chemical Index of Alteration (CIA) (Nesbitt and Young, 1982; 1989), calculation was done on carbonate free basis (see Tripathi and Rajamani, 2003).

For luminescence analysis, unconsolidated sand samples were collected in opaque galvanized iron (GI pipes).
tubes. These samples were sequentially treated with 10% HCl and 30% H2O2 to remove carbonates and organic material, then sieved to obtain 90-150 µm size fraction. Density separation using Na-Polytungstate (ρ = 2.58 g/cm3) was carried out to separate quartz and feldspar minerals. The analysis was done on quartz extract of the sediment. These grains were mounted on stainless steel discs using silicone oil. All the samples were checked for any contamination of feldspar using Infrared Stimulated Luminescence (IRSL). Blue Green Stimulated Luminescence (BGSL) using halogen lamp for stimulation, was carried out on all the samples in Risö TL-DA-15 reader. β-irradiation was performed using a 90Sr/90Y source with a dose rate of 3.6 Gy/minute for coarse grain quartz on stainless steel disk. Luminescence was measured with a Risö TL-DA-15 reader. A total of seven sediment samples (DP-4, DP-7, DP-8, DP-9, DP-10, DP-11 and DP-13) from fluvial sediments at Devprayag were measured. These samples were sequentially treated with 10% HCl and 30% H2O2 to remove carbonates and organic material, then sieved to obtain 90-150 µm size fraction. Density separation using Na-Polytungstate (ρ = 2.58 g/cm3) was carried out to separate quartz and feldspar minerals. The analysis was done on quartz extract of the sediment. These grains were mounted on stainless steel discs using silicone oil. All the samples were checked for any contamination of feldspar using Infrared Stimulated Luminescence (IRSL). Blue Green Stimulated Luminescence (BGSL) using halogen lamp for stimulation, was carried out on all the samples in Risö TL-DA-15 reader. β-irradiation was performed using a 90Sr/90Y source with a dose rate of 3.6 Gy/minute for coarse grain quartz on stainless steel disk. Luminescence was measured with a Risö TL-DA-15 reader. A total of seven sediment samples (DP-4, DP-7, DP-8, DP-9, DP-10, DP-11 and DP-13) from fluvial sediments at Devprayag were measured.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Geochemical analysis

Here we have used the CIA values to characterize the local rocks and their contribution to the sediments comprising of a mixture of sediments from local rocks and the distally transported sediments from the upstream region. The key weathering process in the Himalaya is the physical weathering process due to the high relief, which does not affect the original CIA of the rocks. Phyllite/shales have high CIA and gneisses and granites have lower CIA (Taylor and McLennan, 1985), therefore, the two end members can be used to estimate the relative proportions of the source rocks in the terrace and palaeoflood deposits.

A graph was plotted for present-day channel sediment derived from the hinterland (gneisses and granites), and phyllite bedrock samples in the A-CN-K diagram (Fig. 2). Terraces sediments were plot on the tie line in between. The high CIA value (75) for phyllite and its plot on the A-CN-K space is considered as its original CIA. The mixing line, including all the terrace and palaeoflood sediments, the present day channel sediments and the phyllite bedrock do not follow the normal weathering trend parallel to the A-CN tie line but it intersects A-CN line when extended. This suggests a variable phyllic contribution to the sediments (Table 1). The chemistry of channel sediments mainly represents the coarse-grained distal sourced sediment component transported as channel sands. Petrographic analysis of sediments indicates that their source area is the Higher Himalayan Crystallines. A chemical mass balance of distal High Himalayas Crystalline and local phyllites at the Deoprayag is made to understand the climato-tectonic conditions in the
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**Fig. 1.** (a) Location and geological settings of the study site at Deoprayag in NW Himalayas. MBT – Main Boundary thrust, RT – Ramgarh thrust, TT – Tons thrust, VT – Vaikrita thrust and STD – South Tibetan Detachment systems. (b) The sample collection points and their sequences in a geological setting. The site was explored to study the palaeoflood record of the region and to assess the provenance (for details, please see Ahmad et al., 2000).

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 2.** A-CN-K Plot of the geochemical data of bedrock, present day channel sediment and terrace sediment. Note that the samples plot on the sediment mixing line between present day channel sediment representing upper catchment and bedrock phyllites away from weathering trend. (Modified from Srivastava et al., accepted in Quaternary Research).
Due to poor luminescence counts, large disks (7 mm) have a detectable luminescence signal from the sample. Luminescence intensity then a laboratory dose of 54 Gy was administered to each temperature to remove any natural signals present and four aliquots from each sample were bleached at room temperature to characterize the luminescence properties from New Alakananda River catchment and has been discussed in detail by Srivastava et al. (2008). CIA values suggest that DP-8 has around 62% of phyllite, DP-4 and DP-11 have 33% and 40% phyllite respectively and DP-7, DP-9, DP-10, and DP-13 have phyllitic contribution of around 50%. Any luminescence property of analyzed sediments should reflect the effect of individual contribution of sediments from granitic/gneissic and phyllitic rocks, if they possess different properties.

**Luminescence characteristics**

In the standard SAR protocol, the natural luminescence is measured followed by a test dose measurement. A cycle of laboratory doses and their luminescence measurements is made with increasing the dose in each step (Murray and Wintle, 2000). The luminescence yield at each dose point is corrected for sensitivity change by measuring the OSL yield for a test dose at the end of each cycle. A plot of the sensitivity corrected regenerated OSL signal with dose enables the construction of a dose growth curve. Sensitivity corrected natural luminescence intensity is interpolated onto the growth curve to obtain the equivalent dose or palaeodose. The age of the sample is calculated by the simple age formula (Aitken, 1998):

\[
\text{Age (ka)} = \frac{\text{paleodose (Gy)}}{\text{dose accumulated (Gy/ka)}} \tag{3.1}
\]

**Luminescence Intensity**

In luminescence dating application, it is important to have a detectable luminescence signal from the sample. Due to poor luminescence counts, large disks (7 mm) containing up to ~1000 grains were used for this work. Four aliquots from each sample were bleached at room temperature to remove any natural signals present and then a laboratory dose of 54 Gy was administered to each aliquot. Luminescence measurement was made for 100 seconds at 125°C after preheat of 240°C for 10 seconds. As shown by the shine down curve, the photon counts were well above the detection limit (Fig. 3). Preusser et al. (2006) used the first 20 seconds integral for comparison to characterize the luminescence properties from New Zealand Alps. Following that, a comparison has been made taking first 20 seconds integral from the shine down after stimulating for 100 seconds. The luminescence from 90-100 seconds integral was used for background subtraction. The net luminescence signal varies from 7000 to 30,000 (Fig. 3). If integration interval was reduced to the first 2 seconds of illumination time, luminescence signal varies from 1500 to 8000. However luminescence from the first channel (0.4 seconds of illumination time) varies from 300 to 2000. Sample DP-8 which has a higher ratio of sediments from the host rock showed the lowest number of photon counts (~7000).

Comparing the luminescence counts and their sediment sources, DP-8 sediment has shown lowest number of photon counts (~8000 in first 20 seconds integral) which is explained by the maximum contribution of sediments (62%) from the local rocks phyllite. As stated by Preusser et al., (2006), the low number of cycles of burial and erosion probably leads to weakly sensitized quartz, and this can be the reason of the low sensitivity of the sediments studied here as the sediments having maximum contribution from local rocks, have least photon counts.

A plot has been constructed between local host-rock sediment contributions against average photon yield for 20 seconds integral after giving 54 Gy laboratory dose (Fig. 3b). Luminescence photon counts are inversely proportional to the phyllitic contribution. The average photon count is small for DP-8 (maximum contribution from local rock phyllite) and large for DP-4 and DP-11 (having least contribution from local rocks). It indicates that luminescence properties are affected by mixing of local sediment contribution and from far upstream region. It will be a point of further research that how precisely, luminescence properties can be used as an indicator for sediment contribution from local rocks and the distal rocks.

**OSL thermal transfer**

A significant amount of transfer of charge may occur from deeper to shallower traps due to pre-heating of the natural OSL, which can cause overestimation of ages. OSL is measured while the aliquot is held at 125°C to minimize charge cycling through the 110°C TL trap and so increase the rate of decay of OSL signal. There are several examples of preheat plateau showing that the equivalent dose is insensitive to the preheat temperature in the range of 160-300°C (Murray and Olley, 1999; Murray and Wintle, 2000 and Roberts et al., 1999). Rhodes and Bailey (1997) determined a significant overestimation in equivalent dose (D_e) in zero age glacio-fluvial samples from Greenland and attributed it to the thermal transfer of the OSL signals. Various authors have shown an increase in luminescence signal at higher temperature due to significant contribution due to thermal transfer (Banerjee, 2000; Wallinga et al., 2001).

The effect of thermal transfer was investigated in the samples. All aliquots were bleached using halogen lamp for 200 seconds at room temperature to remove any natural signal present in the sample. The equivalent dose (D_e) was measured using SAR as suggested by Murray and Wintle (2000) with varying preheat temperature ranging from 180°C to 280°C with steps of 20°C (Murray and Wintle, 2000). Preheat time was 10 seconds for each temperature. For each sample, 2 aliquots were prepared for each set of temperature. The linear fitting was used for dose growth curve. The results indicated that the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Phyllitic composition (%)</th>
<th>Luminescence counts/20 seconds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phyllite rock</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP-4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP-7</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>13968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP-8</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>8134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP-9</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>16000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP-10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP-11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP-13</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>14639</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alakananda River catchment and has been discussed in detail by Srivastava et al. (2008). CIA values suggest that DP-8 has around 62% of phyllite, DP-4 and DP-11 have 33% and 40% phyllite respectively and DP-7, DP-9, DP-10, and DP-13 have phyllitic contribution of around 50%.
The amount of thermal transfer varies from ~0 Gy to 7 Gy (average ~1-2 Gy) (Fig. 4) and the value is high (>5-15 Gy) for a temperature of 260°C and higher. For sample DP-10, the thermal transfer is insignificant for a temperature range of 180-280°C (Fig. 4). However, for the samples DP-4, 9, and 10, the thermal transfer value is high for temperature 280°C. The thermal transfer effect was found to be small up to the temperature of 240°C. It is likely that the samples received insufficient light exposure to empty the traps that are less light-sensitive and thermally shallow such as TL traps of 160°C, 240°C and 280°C (Murray and Olley, 2002). These samples when heated up to or close to these temperatures (160°C, 240°C and 280°C) to release the trapped charge, a fraction of the released charge is re-trapped by the OSL traps; this gives rise to a low but finite amount of OSL signal, even though the sample was well bleached at the time of deposition. In the studied sample DP-4, the thermal transfer is present at 180°C, which is probably coming as charge transfer from 160°C TL traps. However, in samples DP-9 and DP-10, this thermal transfer at low temperature too is negligible. The higher temperature is probably exciting the charges from deeper traps to the shallower traps causing detectable luminescence signal as discussed by various authors (Banerjee, 2000; Wallinga et al., 2001; Bailey et al., 2001). These experiments allowed us to choose the appropriate preheat temperature when applied for routine dating.

**OSL Sensitivity changes**

**Dose recovery test**

In SAR, several cycles (6-7 cycles) of irradiation, preheat and luminescence measurement are repeated. In this process, the luminescence sensitivity changes are monitored using test doses and a recycled point equal to the first regeneration point is made to make sure that the sensitivity changes were monitored and remained within the accepted value of 10% (Murray and Wintle, 2000). Dose recovery is very important and reliable test required for determining the palaeodose using SAR. This test was applied on 8 aliquots of each sample. The aliquots were bleached for 200 seconds using halogen lamp to remove the natural OSL. A dose of 42 Gy was given to observe the variation in the precision of recovered dose. The results show that photon counts are inversely proportional to phyllitic contribution.
The average $D_e$ was computed for all the recovered palaeodoses. The recovered doses are 40.8 Gy, 41.2 Gy, 41.3 Gy, 41.5 Gy and 39.4 Gy that is within 5% of the given laboratory dose (42 Gy, considered as natural in this case) for the samples DP-7, DP-8, DP-10 and DP-11 respectively. For samples DP-4 and DP-13, the averaged recovered doses are 37.1 Gy and 36.8 Gy, still within 12% of the given laboratory dose. Some aliquots of DP-7 and DP-8 have shown >20% higher values as compared to the given dose, the average have shown good recovered doses (Fig. 5). The preheat temperature can cause recuperation of the OSL signal (i.e. heating after OSL measurement can give rise to a new OSL signal, even in the absence of an ionizing radiation dose). It should be zero in the ideal case. This is tested by measuring the OSL without any regeneration dose. Then the OSL counts in this are compared with natural signal. In our samples, the amount of recuperation was <2% of the sensitivity corrected natural luminescence (in this case, given laboratory dose of 42 Gy). Thus recuperation is not a problem in the samples from the area of interest. All the

generation doses were 30 Gy, 42 Gy, 54 Gy, 0 Gy and 30 Gy again for the recycling point to check the correctness of sensitivity change monitoring.

The preheat temperature can cause recuperation of the OSL signal (i.e. heating after OSL measurement can give rise to a new OSL signal, even in the absence of an ionizing radiation dose). It should be zero in the ideal case. This is tested by measuring the OSL without any regeneration dose. Then the OSL counts in this are compared with natural signal. In our samples, the amount of recuperation was <2% of the sensitivity corrected natural luminescence (in this case, given laboratory dose of 42 Gy). Thus recuperation is not a problem in the samples from the area of interest. All the

samples showed good recycling ratio (within 0.90-1.10) with very few exceptions of a range up to 0.85-1.15.
Simulation of effect of repeated cycles of erosion and burial of sediments on OSL

This test was performed to simulate the repeated reworking cycles of daylight exposure and irradiation of the sediment to see the effect of multiple cycles of erosion and sediments deposition on the sensitivity changes. Two sets of measurement were performed. In one set of experiments, first the aliquots were bleached using halogen lamp for 200 seconds at room temperature followed by irradiation of 84 Gy beta dose to 2 aliquots from each sample. The OSL measurement was done after ~3 hours without preheating to avoid any influence of thermal activation and incorporation of OSL signal from unstable 110°C OSL traps. This cycle was repeated for 5 times. In the other set of experiments, a second batch of aliquots were taken and bleached at room temperature. Two aliquots from each sample were irradiated for 84 Gy and OSL was measured similar to first set of experiments except that after irradiation, the aliquots were annealed at 450°C, which helped to find out the effect of annealing on the sensitivity.

The results from first experiments shows a small but significant increase in the sensitivity (up to 25% in final stage after 4th cycle, Fig. 6), which tells that the quartz have not reached a mature state of sensitivity indicating having probably young sedimentary history. However, even though the sensitivity is changing, it is well monitored by test doses in SAR (recycling ratio is within 10% of unity) and found to be suitable for luminescence dating using SAR. Detectable luminescence counts, dose recovery and thermal transfer tests also support this.

Fig. 6 shows the effect of annealing (temp. up to 450°C) on the sensitivity of the sample. The sensitivity increased by a factor of 4-5 in the samples DP-8, DP-9 and DP-13 (Fig. 7) during the first cycle of heating. Subsequent cycles of annealing increased the sensitivity by 20-25% in each step of its previous sensitivity. Similar results were found for rest of the samples. The results match well with the nature of the source rock because the phyllite are weak metamorphosed rock (metamorphosed on low temperature and pressure). It also predicts that the quartz from the sediments coming from a high metamorphosed (high temperature and pressure) source rock (higher than phyllite) will be better sensitized. Based on the above studies a SAR protocol was designed to analyze the luminescence samples and thus establish chronology of the studied section after computing dose rates. The OSL-SAR dates agree well with the geologic setting and climatic history; however, these were discussed in detail by Srivastava et al. (2008).

4. CONCLUSIONS

The present study shows that even BGS luminescence of quartz is of low intensity, it is sufficiently above detection limit and it allows the application of optical dating. The various tests discussed above allow to assess the OSL dating of such low intensity quartz. The present work concludes:

1) Quartz from phyllitic rocks is of low sensitivity. Luminescence counts per unit dose are inversely proportional to the percentage contribution of phyllite, which indicate that the luminescence characteristics represent the source rock characteristics.

2) Dose recovery tests hold good results and favor the application of SAR to these sediments.

3) Chandpur phyllite is exposed extensively in Kumaun Lesser Himalaya. Most of the samples dominate the quartz from phyllite rocks and thus it suggests that phyllite can be considered a source of quartz, which is suitable for optical dating.

4) Increase in OSL sensitivity (up to 25%) on OSL measurement indicates a young sedimentary history; however, it does not restrict the applicability of optical dating techniques. The increased OSL sensitivity by a factor of 4-5 in the first annealing cycle indicates a low grade metamorphic history of the source rock, and supported by the nature of the source rock.

![Fig. 6. Effect of OSL measurement on the sensitivity of the sample. Two bleached aliquots from each sample were irradiated with 84 Gy followed by OSL measurement. This experiment was performed to see the effect of number of cycle of deposition and erosion on the quartz grains. The data shows a small but certain increase in the photon counts (more clearly in sample DP-10), which indicates that the sample are not reached to a mature state of sensitivity.](image)

![Fig. 7. Effect of annealing of the aliquots up to a temperature of 450°C on OS. In the first cycle, one aliquot from each sample was irradiated with 84 Gy followed by OSL measurement. In the consecutive cycles, aliquots were heated up to 450°C followed by irradiation and OSL measurement in the same manner. The data suggests a steep rise in sensitivity during first cycle by a factor of 4-5 and then slowed down the increase in sensitivity.](image)
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