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#### Abstract

Summary. We prove weak (finite set of premises) completeness theorem for extended propositional linear time temporal logic with irreflexive version of until-operator. We base it on the proof of completeness for basic propositional linear time temporal logic given in [20] which roughly follows the idea of the Henkin-Hasenjaeger method for classical logic. We show that a temporal model exists for every formula which negation is not derivable (Satisfiability Theorem). The contrapositive of that theorem leads to derivability of every valid formula. We build a tree of consistent and complete PNPs which is used to construct the model.
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The papers [25], [14], [28], [21], [4], [1], [30], [11], [26], [31], [13], [24], [2], [3], [5], [6], [7], [12], [15], [9], [23], [8], [10], [19], [27], [29], [22], [16], [17], and [18] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.
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## 1. PRELIMINARIES

For simplicity, we use the following convention: $A, B, p, q$ denote elements of the LTLB-WFF, $M$ denotes a LTL Model, $j, k, n$ denote elements of $\mathbb{N}, i$ denotes a natural number, $X$ denotes a subset of the LTLB-WFF, $F$ denotes a finite subset of the LTLB-WFF, $f$ denotes a finite sequence of elements of the LTLB-WFF, and $P, Q, R$ denote positive-negative pairs.

Let $X$ be a finite set. We see that the enumeration of $X$ is a one-to-one finite sequence of elements of $X$.

Let $E$ be a set and let $F$ be a finite subset of $E$. We see that the enumeration of $F$ is a one-to-one finite sequence of elements of $E$.

Let $D$ be a set. One can verify that there exists a set of finite sequences of $D$ which is non empty and finite.

We now state the proposition
(1) Let $X$ be a set and $G$ be a non empty finite set of finite sequences of $X$. Then there exists a finite sequence $A$ such that $A \in G$ and for every finite sequence $B$ such that $B \in G$ holds len $B \leq \operatorname{len} A$.
Let $T$ be a decorated tree, let us consider $n$, and let $t$ be a node of $T$. Then $t \emptyset n$ is a node of $T$.

We now state the proposition
(2) $\quad p$ is a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$.

Let us consider $A$. We introduce $A$ is s-until as a synonym of $A$ is conjunctive.
Let us consider $A$. Let us assume that $A$ is s-until. The right argument of $A$ yields an element of the LTLB-WFF and is defined by:
(Def. 1) There exists $p$ such that $p \mathcal{U}$ the right argument of $A=A$.
Let us consider $A$. We say that $A$ is satisfiable if and only if:
(Def. 2) There exist $M, n$ such that $\operatorname{SAT}_{M}(\langle n, A\rangle)=1$.
We now state four propositions:
(3) $\emptyset_{\text {the LTLB-WFF }} \models A$ iff $\neg A$ is not satisfiable.
(4) If $\top_{t} \& \& A$ is satisfiable, then $A$ is satisfiable.
(5) Let $i$ be an element of $\mathbb{N}$. Then $\operatorname{SAT}_{M}(\langle i, p \mathcal{U} q\rangle)=1$ if and only if there exists $j$ such that $j>i$ and $\operatorname{SAT}_{M}(\langle j, q\rangle)=1$ and for every $k$ such that $i<k<j$ holds $\operatorname{SAT}_{M}(\langle k, p\rangle)=1$.
(6) $\operatorname{SAT}_{M}\left(\langle n \text {, (conjunction } f)_{\text {len conjunction } f\rangle)}=1\right.$ iff for every $i$ such that $i \in \operatorname{dom} f$ holds $\operatorname{SAT}_{M}\left(\left\langle n, f_{i}\right\rangle\right)=1$.
One can prove the following three propositions:
(7) $\widehat{W}=\top_{t} \& \& \neg A$, where $W=\left\langle\varepsilon_{(\text {the LTLB-WFF) }},\langle A\rangle\right\rangle$.
(8) For every complete positive-negative pair $P$ such that $\mathrm{UN}(A, B) \in \operatorname{rng} P$ holds $A, B, A \mathcal{U} B \in \operatorname{rng} P$.
(9) $\quad \operatorname{rng} P \subseteq \bigcup \sigma(\operatorname{rng} P)$.

## 2. Set of PNP-formulas. Completions of Formulas and PNPs

In the sequel $P$ is an element of (the LTLB-WFF) $)_{1-1}^{*} \times(\text { the LTLB-WFF })_{1-1}^{*}$.
Let $F$ be a subset of (the LTLB-WFF) ${ }_{1-1}^{*} \times(\text { the LTLB-WFF) })_{1-1}^{*}$. The functor $\widehat{F}$ yields a subset of the LTLB-WFF and is defined by:
(Def. 3) $\widehat{F}=\{\widehat{P}: P \in F\}$.
Let $F$ be a non empty subset of (the LTLB-WFF) ${ }_{1-1}^{*} \times(\text { the LTLB-WFF })_{1-1}^{*}$. Note that $\widehat{F}$ is non empty.

Let $F$ be a finite subset of (the LTLB-WFF) ${ }_{1-1}^{*} \times(\text { the LTLB-WFF) })_{1-1}^{*}$. Observe that $\widehat{F}$ is finite.

We now state the proposition
(10) For all subsets $F, G$ of (the LTLB-WFF) ${ }_{1-1}^{*} \times(\text { the LTLB-WFF) })_{1-1}^{*}$ holds $\widehat{F \cup G}=\widehat{F} \cup \widehat{G}$.

One can prove the following proposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{W}=\left\{\top_{t} \& \& \top_{t}\right\}, \text { where } W=\left\{\left\langle\varepsilon_{(\text {the LTLB-WFF })}, \varepsilon_{(\text {the LTLB-WFF })}\right\rangle\right\} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel $Q$ denotes a positive-negative pair.
Let $F$ be a finite subset of the LTLB-WFF. The functor $\operatorname{comp} F$ yielding a non empty finite subset of (the LTLB-WFF) ${ }_{1-1}^{*} \times(\text { the LTLB-WFF })_{1-1}^{*}$ is defined as follows:
(Def. 4) $\operatorname{comp} F=\left\{Q: \operatorname{rng} Q=\tau(F) \wedge \operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{\mathbf{1}}\right)\right.$ misses $\left.\operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\right\}$.
Let $F$ be a finite subset of the LTLB-WFF. Note that every element of $\operatorname{comp} F$ is complete.

One can prove the following proposition
(12) $\operatorname{comp}\left(\emptyset_{\text {the }}\right.$ LTLB-WFF $)=\left\{\left\langle\varepsilon_{(\text {the LTLB-WFF })}, \varepsilon_{(\text {the LTLB-WFF })}\right\rangle\right\}$.

Let us consider $P, Q$. We say that $Q$ is completion of $P$ if and only if:
(Def. 5) $\operatorname{rng}\left(P_{\mathbf{1}}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{rng}\left(P_{\mathbf{2}}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ and $\tau(\operatorname{rng} P)=\operatorname{rng} Q$.
We now state the proposition
(13) If $Q$ is completion of $P$, then $Q$ is complete.

In the sequel $Q$ is a consistent positive-negative pair.
Let us consider $P$. The functor comp $P$ yields a finite subset of $\left(\right.$ the LTLB-WFF) ${ }_{1-1}^{*} \times\left(\right.$ the LTLB-WFF) ${ }_{1-1}^{*}$ and is defined by:
(Def. 6) $\operatorname{comp} P=\{Q: Q$ is completion of $P\}$.
Let $P$ be a consistent positive-negative pair. One can check that comp $P$ is non empty. Observe that every element of comp $P$ is consistent.

In the sequel $P$ denotes an element of
$(\text { the LTLB-WFF) })_{1-1}^{*} \times\left(\right.$ the LTLB-WFF) ${ }_{1-1}^{*}$.
Let $X$ be a subset of (the LTLB-WFF) ${ }_{1-1}^{*} \times(\text { the LTLB-WFF) })_{1-1}^{*}$. The functor comp $X$ yields a subset of (the LTLB-WFF) ${ }_{1-1}^{*} \times\left(\right.$ the LTLB-WFF) ${ }_{1-1}^{*}$ and is defined by:
(Def. 7) $\quad \operatorname{comp} X=\bigcup\{\operatorname{comp} P: P \in X\}$.
Let $X$ be a finite subset of (the LTLB-WFF $)_{1-1}^{*} \times(\text { the LTLB-WFF })_{1-1}^{*}$. One can check that comp $X$ is finite.

We now state four propositions:
(14) For every non empty subset $X$ of $(\text { the LTLB-WFF })_{1-1}^{*} \times(\text { the LTLB-WFF) })_{1-1}^{*}$ such that $Q \in X$ holds $\operatorname{comp} Q \subseteq \operatorname{comp} X$.
(15) For every non empty finite subset $F$ of the LTLB-WFF there exists $p$ such that $p \in \tau(F)$ and $\tau(\tau(F) \backslash\{p\})=\tau(F) \backslash\{p\}$.
(16) Let $F$ be a finite subset of the LTLB-WFF and $f$ be a finite sequence of elements of the LTLB-WFF. If $\operatorname{rng} f=\widehat{\operatorname{comp} F}$, then $\emptyset_{\text {the LTLB-wFF }} \vdash$ $\neg\left((\text { conjunction negation } f)_{\text {len conjunction negation } f}\right)$.
(17) Let $P$ be a consistent positive-negative pair and $f$ be a finite sequence of elements of the LTLB-WFF. If $\operatorname{rng} f=\widehat{\operatorname{comp} P}$, then $\emptyset_{\text {the LTLB-wFF }} \vdash$ $\widehat{P} \Rightarrow \neg\left((\text { conjunction negation } f)_{\text {len conjunction negation } f}\right)$.

## 3. Set of Possible Next-State PNPs

In the sequel $A, B$ denote elements of the LTLB-WFF.
Let us consider $X$. The functor $\operatorname{UN}(X)$ yields a subset of the LTLB-WFF and is defined as follows:
(Def. 8) $\operatorname{UN}(X)=\{\mathrm{UN}(A, B): A \mathcal{U} B \in X\}$.
Let $X$ be a finite subset of the LTLB-WFF. One can check that $\operatorname{UN}(X)$ is finite.

Let us consider $P$. The functor $\mathrm{UN}(P)$ yielding a non empty finite subset of $(\text { the LTLB-WFF })_{1-1}^{*} \times(\text { the LTLB-WFF })_{1-1}^{*}$ is defined by:
(Def. 9) $\mathrm{UN}(P)=\left\{Q ; Q\right.$ ranges over positive-negative pairs: $\operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{\mathbf{1}}\right)=$ $\left.\mathrm{UN}\left(\operatorname{rng}\left(P_{\mathbf{1}}\right)\right) \wedge \operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{\mathbf{2}}\right)=\mathrm{UN}\left(\operatorname{rng}\left(P_{\mathbf{2}}\right)\right)\right\}$.
One can prove the following proposition
(18) For every element $Q$ of $\operatorname{UN}(P)$ holds $\emptyset_{\text {the LTLB-WFF }} \vdash \widehat{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{X} \widehat{Q}$.

Let $P$ be a consistent positive-negative pair. Note that every element of $\mathrm{UN}(P)$ is consistent. In the sequel $Q$ denotes an element of
(the LTLB-WFF) ${ }_{1-1}^{*} \times\left(\right.$ the LTLB-WFF) ${ }_{1-1}^{*}$.
Let us consider $P$. The next completion of $P$ yielding a finite subset of (the LTLB-WFF) $)_{1-1}^{*} \times(\text { the LTLB-WFF) })_{1-1}^{*}$ is defined by:
(Def. 10) The next completion of $P=\{Q: Q \in \operatorname{comp} \operatorname{UN}(P)\}$.
Let $P$ be a consistent positive-negative pair. One can verify that the next completion of $P$ is non empty.

Let $P$ be a consistent positive-negative pair. One can check that every element of the next completion of $P$ is consistent.

Next we state two propositions:
(19) If $Q \in$ the next completion of $P$ and $R \in \mathrm{UN}(P)$, then $Q$ is completion of $R$.
(20) If $Q \in$ the next completion of $P$, then $Q$ is complete.

Let $P$ be a consistent positive-negative pair. One can verify that every element of the next completion of $P$ is complete.

Next we state several propositions:
(21) If $A \mathcal{U} B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(P_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ and $Q \in$ the next completion of $P$, then $\mathrm{UN}(A, B) \in$ $\operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$.
(22) If $A \mathcal{U} B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(P_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ and $Q \in$ the next completion of $P$, then $\mathrm{UN}(A, B) \in$ $\operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$.
(23) If $R \in$ the next completion of $Q$ and $\operatorname{rng} Q \subseteq \bigcup \sigma(\operatorname{rng} P)$, then $\operatorname{rng} R \subseteq$ $\bigcup \sigma(\operatorname{rng} P)$.
(24) Let $P$ be a consistent complete positive-negative pair and $Q$ be an element of the next completion of $P$. If $A \mathcal{U} B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(P_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$, then $B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ but $A \in \operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ or $A \mathcal{U} B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$.
(25) Let $P$ be a consistent complete positive-negative pair and $Q$ be an element of the next completion of $P$. If $A \mathcal{U} B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(P_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$, then $B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ or $A, A \mathcal{U} B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{1}\right)$.

## 4. A PNP-Tree and its Properties

Let us consider $P$. A finite-branching tree decorated with elements of $(\text { the LTLB-WFF })_{1-1}^{*} \times(\text { the LTLB-WFF })_{1-1}^{*}$ is said to be a tree of positivenegative pairs of $P$ if it satisfies the conditions (Def. 11).
(Def. 11)(i) $\quad \operatorname{It}(\emptyset)=P$, and
(ii) for every element $t$ of domit and for every element $w$ of $(\text { the LTLB-WFF })_{1-1}^{*} \times(\text { the LTLB-WFF })_{1-1}^{*}$ such that $w=\operatorname{it}(t)$ holds $\operatorname{succ}(\mathrm{it}, t)=$ the enumeration of the next completion of $w$.
In the sequel $T$ is a tree of positive-negative pairs of $P$ and $t$ is a node of $T$. Let us consider $P, T, t$. Then $T \upharpoonright t$ is a tree of positive-negative pairs of $T(t)$.
Next we state two propositions:
(26) For every natural number $n$ such that $t^{\frown}\langle n\rangle \in \operatorname{dom} T$ holds $T\left(t^{\frown}\langle n\rangle\right) \in$ the next completion of $T(t)$.
(27) If $Q \in \operatorname{rng} T$, then $\operatorname{rng} Q \subseteq \bigcup \sigma(\operatorname{rng} P)$.

Let us consider $P, T$. One can check that rng $T$ is non empty and finite.
Let $P$ be a consistent positive-negative pair and let $T$ be a tree of positivenegative pairs of $P$. One can check that every element of $\operatorname{rng} T$ is consistent.

Let $P$ be a consistent complete positive-negative pair and let $T$ be a tree of positive-negative pairs of $P$. One can verify that every element of $\operatorname{rng} T$ is complete.

Let $P$ be a consistent complete positive-negative pair, let $T$ be a tree of positive-negative pairs of $P$, and let $t$ be a node of $T$. Observe that $T(t)$ is consistent and complete as a positive-negative pair.

Let $P$ be a consistent positive-negative pair, let $T$ be a tree of positivenegative pairs of $P$, and let $t$ be an element of dom $T$. Observe that succ $t$ is non empty.

Let us consider $P, T$. The range of $T$ except the root node yields a finite subset of (the LTLB-WFF) ${ }_{1-1}^{*} \times(\text { the LTLB-WFF })_{1-1}^{*}$ and is defined as follows: (Def. 12) The range of $T$ except the root node $=\{T(t) ; t$ ranges over nodes of $T$ : $t \neq \emptyset\}$.
Let $P$ be a consistent positive-negative pair and let $T$ be a tree of positivenegative pairs of $P$. One can verify that the range of $T$ except the root node is non empty.

One can prove the following proposition
(28) If $R \in \operatorname{rng} T$ and $Q \in \mathrm{UN}(R)$, then $\operatorname{comp} Q \subseteq$ the range of $T$ except the root node.
One can prove the following proposition
(29) Let $P$ be a consistent complete positive-negative pair, $T$ be a tree of positive-negative pairs of $P$, and $f$ be a finite sequence of elements of the LTLB-WFF. If $\operatorname{rng} f=\widehat{J}$, then $\emptyset_{\text {the LTLB-WFF }} \quad \vdash \quad \neg\left((\text { conjunction negation } f)_{\text {len conjunction negation } f}\right) \quad \Rightarrow$ $\mathcal{X} \neg\left((\text { conjunction negation } f)_{\text {len conjunction negation } f}\right)$, where $J=$ the range of $T$ except the root node.

## 5. A Path in PNP-Tree and its Properties. Existence of Temporal Model for a Consistent PNP. Weak Completeness Theorem

Let $P$ be a consistent positive-negative pair and let $T$ be a tree of positivenegative pairs of $P$. A sequence of $\operatorname{dom} T$ is called a path of $T$ if:
(Def. 13) $\operatorname{It}(0)=\emptyset$ and for every natural number $k$ holds $\operatorname{it}(k+1) \in \operatorname{succ} \operatorname{it}(k)$.
Let $P$ be a consistent complete positive-negative pair, let $T$ be a tree of positive-negative pairs of $P$, let $t$ be a path of $T$, and let us consider $i$. Then $t(i)$ is a node of $T$.

Next we state three propositions:
(30) Let $P$ be a consistent complete positive-negative pair, $T$ be a tree of positive-negative pairs of $P$, and $t$ be a path of $T$. Suppose $A \mathcal{U} B \in$ $\operatorname{rng}\left(T(t(i))_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$. Let given $j$. If $j>i$, then $B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(T(t(j))_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ or there exists $k$ such that $i<k<j$ and $A \in \operatorname{rng}\left(T(t(k))_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$.
(31) Let $P$ be a consistent complete positive-negative pair and $T$ be a tree of positive-negative pairs of $P$. Suppose $A \mathcal{U} B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(P_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ and for every element $Q$ of the range of $T$ except the root node holds $B \notin \operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$. Let $Q$ be an element of the range of $T$ except the root node. Then $B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ and $A \mathcal{U} B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(Q_{1}\right)$.
(32) Let $P$ be a consistent complete positive-negative pair and $T$ be a tree of positive-negative pairs of $P$. Suppose $A \mathcal{U} B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(P_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$. Then there exists an element $R$ of the range of $T$ except the root node such that $B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(R_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$.
Let $P$ be a consistent positive-negative pair, let $T$ be a tree of positivenegative pairs of $P$, and let $t$ be a path of $T$. We say that $t$ is complete if and only if the condition (Def. 14) is satisfied.
(Def. 14) Let given $i$. Suppose $A \mathcal{U} B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(T(t(i))_{1}\right)$. Then there exists $j$ such that $j>i$ and $B \in \operatorname{rng}\left(T(t(j))_{1}\right)$ and for every $k$ such that $i<k<j$ holds $A \in \operatorname{rng}\left(T(t(k))_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$.
Let $P$ be a consistent complete positive-negative pair and let $T$ be a tree of positive-negative pairs of $P$. Note that there exists a path of $T$ which is complete.

Let $P$ be a consistent positive-negative pair. Observe that $\widehat{P}$ is satisfiable. One can prove the following proposition
$(33)^{3}$ If $F \models A$, then $F \vdash A$.
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