FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Vol. 16, No. 3, Pages 231–245, 2008
DOI: 10.2478/v10037-008-0028-9

Model Checking. Part II

Kazuhisa Ishida
Shinshu University
Nagano, Japan

Summary. This article provides the definition of linear temporal logic (LTL) and its properties relevant to model checking based on [9]. Mizar formalization of LTL language and satisfiability is based on [2, 3].

MML identifier: MODELC_2, version: 7.9.01 4.101.1015

The articles [8], [11], [6], [5], [7], [1], [4], [12], and [10] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

Let x be a set. The functor CastNat x yielding a natural number is defined by:

(Def. 1) $\mathrm{CastNat}\, x = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \text{ is a natural number,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Let W_1 be a set. A sequence of W_1 is a function from $\mathbb{N}$ into W_1.

For simplicity, we adopt the following rules: k, n denote natural numbers, a denotes a set, D, S denote non empty sets, and p, q denote finite sequences of elements of $\mathbb{N}$.

Let us consider n. The functor atom. n yielding a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 2) $\mathrm{atom}.\, n = \langle 6 + n \rangle$.

Let us consider p. The functor $\neg p$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$ is defined by:

(Def. 3) $\neg p = \langle 0 \rangle \frown p$.

Let us consider q. The functor $p \wedge q$ yields a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$ and is defined by:

(Def. 4) $p \wedge q = \langle 1 \rangle \frown p \frown q$.

The functor $p \vee q$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$ is defined by:

ISSN 1426–2630(p), 1898-9934(e)

(Def. 5) $p \vee q = \langle 2 \rangle \frown p \frown q$.

Let us consider p. The functor $\mathcal{X}\, p$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 6) $\mathcal{X}\, p = \langle 3 \rangle \frown p$.

Let us consider q. The functor $p\, \mathcal{U}\, q$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$ is defined by:

(Def. 7) $p\, \mathcal{U}\, q = \langle 4 \rangle \frown p \frown q$.

The functor $p\, \mathcal{R}\, q$ yields a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 8) $p\, \mathcal{R}\, q = \langle 5 \rangle \frown p \frown q$.

The non empty set $\mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ is defined by the conditions (Def. 9).

(Def. 9) For every a such that $a \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ holds a is a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$ and for every n holds $\mathrm{atom}.\, n \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ and for every p such that $p \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ holds $\neg p \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ and for all p, q such that p, $q \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ holds $p \wedge q \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ and for all p, q such that p, $q \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ holds $p \vee q \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ and for every p such that $p \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ holds $\mathcal{X}\, p \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ and for all p, q such that p, $q \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ holds $p\, \mathcal{U}\, q \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ and for all p, q such that p, $q \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ holds $p\, \mathcal{R}\, q \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ and for every D such that for every a such that $a \in D$ holds a is a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$ and for every n holds $\mathrm{atom}.\, n \in D$ and for every p such that $p \in D$ holds $\neg p \in D$ and for all p, q such that p, $q \in D$ holds $p \wedge q \in D$ and for all p, q such that p, $q \in D$ holds $p \vee q \in D$ and for every p such that $p \in D$ holds $\mathcal{X}\, p \in D$ and for all p, q such that p, $q \in D$ holds $p\, \mathcal{U}\, q \in D$ and for all p, q such that p, $q \in D$ holds $p\, \mathcal{R}\, q \in D$ holds $\mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}} \subseteq D$.

Let I_1 be a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$. We say that I_1 is LTL-formula-like if and only if:

(Def. 10) I_1 is an element of $\mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$.

Let us observe that there exists a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$ which is LTL-formula-like.

An LTL-formula is a LTL-formula-like finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$.

Next we state the proposition

(1) a is an LTL-formula iff $a \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$.

In the sequel F, F_1, G, H, H_1, H_2 denote LTL-formulae.

Let us consider n. Observe that $\mathrm{atom}.\, n$ is LTL-formula-like.

Let us consider H. Note that $\neg H$ is LTL-formula-like and $\mathcal{X}\, H$ is LTL-formula-like. Let us consider G. One can check the following observations:

* $H \wedge G$ is LTL-formula-like,
* $H \vee G$ is LTL-formula-like,
* $H\, \mathcal{U}\, G$ is LTL-formula-like, and

∗ $H \mathcal{R} G$ is LTL-formula-like.

Let us consider H. We say that H is atomic if and only if:

(Def. 11) There exists n such that $H = \mathrm{atom}.\, n$.

We say that H is negative if and only if:

(Def. 12) There exists H_1 such that $H = \neg H_1$.

We say that H is conjunctive if and only if:

(Def. 13) There exist F, G such that $H = F \wedge G$.

We say that H is disjunctive if and only if:

(Def. 14) There exist F, G such that $H = F \vee G$.

We say that H has *next* operator if and only if:

(Def. 15) There exists H_1 such that $H = \mathcal{X} H_1$.

We say that H has *until* operator if and only if:

(Def. 16) There exist F, G such that $H = F \mathcal{U} G$.

We say that H has *release* operator if and only if:

(Def. 17) There exist F, G such that $H = F \mathcal{R} G$.

Next we state two propositions:

(2) H is either atomic, or negative, or conjunctive, or disjunctive, or has *next* operator, or *until* operator, or *release* operator.

(3) $1 \leq \mathrm{len}\, H$.

Let us consider H. Let us assume that H is either negative or has *next* operator. The functor $\mathrm{Arg}(H)$ yields an LTL-formula and is defined by:

(Def. 18)(i) $\neg \mathrm{Arg}(H) = H$ if H is negative,

(ii) $\mathcal{X} \mathrm{Arg}(H) = H$, otherwise.

Let us consider H. Let us assume that H is either conjunctive or disjunctive or has *until* operator or *release* operator. The functor $\mathrm{LeftArg}(H)$ yielding an LTL-formula is defined as follows:

(Def. 19)(i) There exists H_1 such that $\mathrm{LeftArg}(H) \wedge H_1 = H$ if H is conjunctive,

(ii) there exists H_1 such that $\mathrm{LeftArg}(H) \vee H_1 = H$ if H is disjunctive,

(iii) there exists H_1 such that $\mathrm{LeftArg}(H) \mathcal{U} H_1 = H$ if H has *until* operator,

(iv) there exists H_1 such that $\mathrm{LeftArg}(H) \mathcal{R} H_1 = H$, otherwise.

The functor $\mathrm{RightArg}(H)$ yields an LTL-formula and is defined by:

(Def. 20)(i) There exists H_1 such that $H_1 \wedge \mathrm{RightArg}(H) = H$ if H is conjunctive,

(ii) there exists H_1 such that $H_1 \vee \mathrm{RightArg}(H) = H$ if H is disjunctive,

(iii) there exists H_1 such that $H_1 \mathcal{U} \mathrm{RightArg}(H) = H$ if H has *until* operator,

(iv) there exists H_1 such that $H_1 \mathcal{R} \mathrm{RightArg}(H) = H$, otherwise.

The following propositions are true:

(4) If H is negative, then $H = \neg \mathrm{Arg}(H)$.

(5) If H has *next* operator, then $H = \mathcal{X}\,\mathrm{Arg}(H)$.

(6) If H is conjunctive, then $H = \mathrm{LeftArg}(H) \wedge \mathrm{RightArg}(H)$.

(7) If H is disjunctive, then $H = \mathrm{LeftArg}(H) \vee \mathrm{RightArg}(H)$.

(8) If H has *until* operator, then $H = \mathrm{LeftArg}(H)\,\mathcal{U}\,\mathrm{RightArg}(H)$.

(9) If H has *release* operator, then $H = \mathrm{LeftArg}(H)\,\mathcal{R}\,\mathrm{RightArg}(H)$.

(10) If H is either negative or has *next* operator, then $\mathrm{len}\,H = 1 + \mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{Arg}(H)$ and $\mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{Arg}(H) < \mathrm{len}\,H$.

(11) Suppose H is either conjunctive or disjunctive or has *until* operator or *release* operator. Then $\mathrm{len}\,H = 1 + \mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{LeftArg}(H) + \mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{RightArg}(H)$ and $\mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{LeftArg}(H) < \mathrm{len}\,H$ and $\mathrm{len}\,\mathrm{RightArg}(H) < \mathrm{len}\,H$.

Let us consider H, F. We say that H is an immediate constituent of F if and only if:

(Def. 21) $F = \neg H$ or $F = \mathcal{X}\,H$ or there exists H_1 such that $F = H \wedge H_1$ or $F = H_1 \wedge H$ or $F = H \vee H_1$ or $F = H_1 \vee H$ or $F = H\,\mathcal{U}\,H_1$ or $F = H_1\,\mathcal{U}\,H$ or $F = H\,\mathcal{R}\,H_1$ or $F = H_1\,\mathcal{R}\,H$.

We now state a number of propositions:

(12) For all F, G holds $(\neg F)(1) = 0$ and $(F \wedge G)(1) = 1$ and $(F \vee G)(1) = 2$ and $(\mathcal{X}\,F)(1) = 3$ and $(F\,\mathcal{U}\,G)(1) = 4$ and $(F\,\mathcal{R}\,G)(1) = 5$.

(13) H is an immediate constituent of $\neg F$ iff $H = F$.

(14) H is an immediate constituent of $\mathcal{X}\,F$ iff $H = F$.

(15) H is an immediate constituent of $F \wedge G$ iff $H = F$ or $H = G$.

(16) H is an immediate constituent of $F \vee G$ iff $H = F$ or $H = G$.

(17) H is an immediate constituent of $F\,\mathcal{U}\,G$ iff $H = F$ or $H = G$.

(18) H is an immediate constituent of $F\,\mathcal{R}\,G$ iff $H = F$ or $H = G$.

(19) If F is atomic, then H is not an immediate constituent of F.

(20) If F is negative, then H is an immediate constituent of F iff $H = \mathrm{Arg}(F)$.

(21) If F has *next* operator, then H is an immediate constituent of F iff $H = \mathrm{Arg}(F)$.

(22) If F is conjunctive, then H is an immediate constituent of F iff $H = \mathrm{LeftArg}(F)$ or $H = \mathrm{RightArg}(F)$.

(23) If F is disjunctive, then H is an immediate constituent of F iff $H = \mathrm{LeftArg}(F)$ or $H = \mathrm{RightArg}(F)$.

(24) If F has *until* operator, then H is an immediate constituent of F iff $H = \mathrm{LeftArg}(F)$ or $H = \mathrm{RightArg}(F)$.

(25) If F has *release* operator, then H is an immediate constituent of F iff $H = \mathrm{LeftArg}(F)$ or $H = \mathrm{RightArg}(F)$.

(26) Suppose H is an immediate constituent of F. Then F is either negative, or conjunctive, or disjunctive, or has *next* operator, or *until* operator, or

release operator.

In the sequel L denotes a finite sequence.

Let us consider H, F. We say that H is a subformula of F if and only if the condition (Def. 22) is satisfied.

(Def. 22) There exist n, L such that

(i) $1 \leq n$,

(ii) $\operatorname{len} L = n$,

(iii) $L(1) = H$,

(iv) $L(n) = F$, and

(v) for every k such that $1 \leq k < n$ there exist H_1, F_1 such that $L(k) = H_1$ and $L(k+1) = F_1$ and H_1 is an immediate constituent of F_1.

We now state the proposition

(27) H is a subformula of H.

Let us consider H, F. We say that H is a proper subformula of F if and only if:

(Def. 23) H is a subformula of F and $H \neq F$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(28) If H is an immediate constituent of F, then $\operatorname{len} H < \operatorname{len} F$.

(29) If H is an immediate constituent of F, then H is a proper subformula of F.

(30) If G is either negative or has *next* operator, then $\operatorname{Arg}(G)$ is a subformula of G.

(31) Suppose G is either conjunctive or disjunctive or has *until* operator or *release* operator. Then $\operatorname{LeftArg}(G)$ is a subformula of G and $\operatorname{RightArg}(G)$ is a subformula of G.

(32) If H is a proper subformula of F, then $\operatorname{len} H < \operatorname{len} F$.

(33) If H is a proper subformula of F, then there exists G which is an immediate constituent of F.

(34) If F is a proper subformula of G and G is a proper subformula of H, then F is a proper subformula of H.

(35) If F is a subformula of G and G is a subformula of H, then F is a subformula of H.

(36) If G is a subformula of H and H is a subformula of G, then $G = H$.

(37) If G is either negative or has *next* operator and F is a proper subformula of G, then F is a subformula of $\operatorname{Arg}(G)$.

(38) Suppose that

(i) G is either conjunctive or disjunctive or has *until* operator or *release* operator, and

(ii) F is a proper subformula of G.

Then F is a subformula of $\mathrm{LeftArg}(G)$ or a subformula of $\mathrm{RightArg}(G)$.

(39) If F is a proper subformula of $\neg H$, then F is a subformula of H.

(40) If F is a proper subformula of $\mathcal{X}\, H$, then F is a subformula of H.

(41) If F is a proper subformula of $G \wedge H$, then F is a subformula of G or a subformula of H.

(42) If F is a proper subformula of $G \vee H$, then F is a subformula of G or a subformula of H.

(43) If F is a proper subformula of $G\, \mathcal{U}\, H$, then F is a subformula of G or a subformula of H.

(44) If F is a proper subformula of $G\, \mathcal{R}\, H$, then F is a subformula of G or a subformula of H.

Let us consider H. The functor $\mathrm{Subformulae}\, H$ yields a set and is defined by:

(Def. 24) $a \in \mathrm{Subformulae}\, H$ iff there exists F such that $F = a$ and F is a subformula of H.

One can prove the following proposition

(45) $G \in \mathrm{Subformulae}\, H$ iff G is a subformula of H.

Let us consider H. Observe that $\mathrm{Subformulae}\, H$ is non empty.

Next we state two propositions:

(46) If F is a subformula of H, then $\mathrm{Subformulae}\, F \subseteq \mathrm{Subformulae}\, H$.

(47) If a is a subset of $\mathrm{Subformulae}\, H$, then a is a subset of $\mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$.

In this article we present several logical schemes. The scheme *LTLInd* concerns a unary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:

For every H holds $\mathcal{P}[H]$

provided the following conditions are satisfied:

- For every H such that H is atomic holds $\mathcal{P}[H]$,
- For every H such that H is either negative or has *next* operator and $\mathcal{P}[\mathrm{Arg}(H)]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[H]$, and
- Let given H. Suppose H is either conjunctive or disjunctive or has *until* operator or *release* operator and $\mathcal{P}[\mathrm{LeftArg}(H)]$ and $\mathcal{P}[\mathrm{RightArg}(H)]$. Then $\mathcal{P}[H]$.

The scheme *LTLCompInd* concerns a unary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:

For every H holds $\mathcal{P}[H]$

provided the following condition is met:

- For every H such that for every F such that F is a proper subformula of H holds $\mathcal{P}[F]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[H]$.

Let x be a set. The functor $\mathrm{Cast}_{\mathrm{LTL}}\, x$ yielding an LTL-formula is defined by:

(Def. 25) $$\mathrm{Cast}_{\mathrm{LTL}}\, x = \begin{cases} x, \text{ if } x \in \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}, \\ \mathrm{atom}.\, 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We introduce LTL-model structures which are systems

⟨ assignations, basic assignations, a conjunction, a disjunction, a negation, a next-operation, an until-operation, a release-operation ⟩,

where the assignations constitute a non empty set, the basic assignations constitute a non empty subset of the assignations, the conjunction is a binary operation on the assignations, the disjunction is a binary operation on the assignations, the negation is a unary operation on the assignations, the next-operation is a unary operation on the assignations, the until-operation is a binary operation on the assignations, and the release-operation is a binary operation on the assignations.

Let V be an LTL-model structure. An assignation of V is an element of the assignations of V.

The subset $\mathrm{atomic}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ of $\mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ is defined by:

(Def. 26) $\mathrm{atomic}_{\mathrm{LTL}} = \{x; x \text{ ranges over LTL-formulae: } x \text{ is atomic}\}$.

Let V be an LTL-model structure, let K_1 be a function from $\mathrm{atomic}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ into the basic assignations of V, and let f be a function from $\mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ into the assignations of V. We say that f is an evaluation for K_1 if and only if the condition (Def. 27) is satisfied.

(Def. 27) Let H be an LTL-formula. Then

(i) if H is atomic, then $f(H) = K_1(H)$,

(ii) if H is negative, then $f(H) = (\text{the negation of } V)(f(\mathrm{Arg}(H)))$,

(iii) if H is conjunctive, then $f(H) = (\text{the conjunction of } V)(f(\mathrm{LeftArg}(H)), f(\mathrm{RightArg}(H)))$,

(iv) if H is disjunctive, then $f(H) = (\text{the disjunction of } V)(f(\mathrm{LeftArg}(H)), f(\mathrm{RightArg}(H)))$,

(v) if H has *next* operator, then $f(H) = (\text{the next-operation of } V)(f(\mathrm{Arg}(H)))$,

(vi) if H has *until* operator, then $f(H) = (\text{the until-operation of } V)(f(\mathrm{LeftArg}(H)), f(\mathrm{RightArg}(H)))$, and

(vii) if H has *release* operator, then $f(H) = (\text{the release-operation of } V)(f(\mathrm{LeftArg}(H)), f(\mathrm{RightArg}(H)))$.

Let V be an LTL-model structure, let K_1 be a function from $\mathrm{atomic}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ into the basic assignations of V, let f be a function from $\mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ into the assignations of V, and let n be a natural number. We say that f is a n-pre-evaluation for K_1 if and only if the condition (Def. 28) is satisfied.

(Def. 28) Let H be an LTL-formula such that $\mathrm{len}\, H \leq n$. Then

(i) if H is atomic, then $f(H) = K_1(H)$,

(ii) if H is negative, then $f(H) = (\text{the negation of } V)(f(\mathrm{Arg}(H)))$,

(iii) if H is conjunctive, then $f(H) = (\text{the conjunction of } V)(f(\mathrm{LeftArg}(H)), f(\mathrm{RightArg}(H)))$,

(iv) if H is disjunctive, then $f(H) =$ (the disjunction of V)(f(LeftArg(H)), f(RightArg(H))),

(v) if H has *next* operator, then $f(H) =$ (the next-operation of V)(f(Arg(H))),

(vi) if H has *until* operator, then $f(H) =$ (the until-operation of V)(f(LeftArg(H)), f(RightArg(H))), and

(vii) if H has *release* operator, then $f(H) =$ (the release-operation of V)(f(LeftArg(H)), f(RightArg(H))).

Let V be an LTL-model structure, let K_1 be a function from $\mathrm{atomic}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ into the basic assignations of V, let f, h be functions from $\mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ into the assignations of V, let n be a natural number, and let H be an LTL-formula. The functor GraftEval(V, K_1, f, h, n, H) yields a set and is defined by:

(Def. 29) $$\mathrm{GraftEval}(V, K_1, f, h, n, H) = \begin{cases} f(H), \text{ if } \operatorname{len} H > n+1, \\ K_1(H), \text{ if } \operatorname{len} H = n+1 \text{ and } H \text{ is atomic}, \\ (\text{the negation of } V)(h(\mathrm{Arg}(H))), \text{ if } \operatorname{len} H = n+1 \text{ and } H \text{ is negative}, \\ (\text{the conjunction of } V)(h(\mathrm{LeftArg}(H)), h(\mathrm{RightArg}(H))), \\ \quad \text{if } \operatorname{len} H = n+1 \text{ and } H \text{ is conjunctive}, \\ (\text{the disjunction of } V)(h(\mathrm{LeftArg}(H)), h(\mathrm{RightArg}(H))), \\ \quad \text{if } \operatorname{len} H = n+1 \text{ and } H \text{ is disjunctive}, \\ (\text{the next-operation of } V)(h(\mathrm{Arg}(H))), \\ \quad \text{if } \operatorname{len} H = n+1 \text{ and } H \text{ has } \textit{next} \text{ operator}, \\ (\text{the until-operation of } V)(h(\mathrm{LeftArg}(H)), h(\mathrm{RightArg}(H))), \\ \quad \text{if } \operatorname{len} H = n+1 \text{ and } H \text{ has } \textit{until} \text{ operator}, \\ (\text{the release-operation of } V)(h(\mathrm{LeftArg}(H)), h(\mathrm{RightArg}(H))), \\ \quad \text{if } \operatorname{len} H = n+1 \text{ and } H \text{ has } \textit{release} \text{ operator}, \\ h(H), \text{ if } \operatorname{len} H < n+1, \\ \emptyset, \text{ otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

We adopt the following convention: V denotes an LTL-model structure, K_1 denotes a function from $\mathrm{atomic}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ into the basic assignations of V, and f, f_1, f_2 denote functions from $\mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ into the assignations of V.

Let V be an LTL-model structure, let K_1 be a function from $\mathrm{atomic}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ into the basic assignations of V, and let n be a natural number. The functor EvalSet(V, K_1, n) yields a non empty set and is defined by:

(Def. 30) $\mathrm{EvalSet}(V, K_1, n) = \{h; h \text{ ranges over functions from } \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}} \text{ into the assignations of } V\colon h \text{ is a } n\text{-pre-evaluation for } K_1\}$.

Let V be an LTL-model structure, let v_0 be an element of the assignations of V, and let x be a set. The functor CastEval(V, x, v_0) yielding a function from $\mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ into the assignations of V is defined by:

(Def. 31) $$\mathrm{CastEval}(V, x, v_0) = \begin{cases} x, \text{ if } x \in (\text{the assignations of } V)^{\mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}}, \\ \mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}} \longmapsto v_0, \text{ otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Let V be an LTL-model structure and let K_1 be a function from $\mathrm{atomic}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ into the basic assignations of V. The functor EvalFamily(V, K_1) yielding a non empty set is defined by the condition (Def. 32).

(Def. 32) Let p be a set. Then $p \in \mathrm{EvalFamily}(V, K_1)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $p \in 2^{(\text{the assignations of } V)^{\mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}}}$, and

(ii) there exists a natural number n such that $p = \mathrm{EvalSet}(V, K_1, n)$.

We now state two propositions:

(48) There exists f which is an evaluation for K_1.

(49) If f_1 is an evaluation for K_1 and f_2 is an evaluation for K_1, then $f_1 = f_2$.

Let V be an LTL-model structure, let K_1 be a function from $\mathrm{atomic}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ into the basic assignations of V, and let H be an LTL-formula. The functor Evaluate(H, K_1) yields an assignation of V and is defined by:

(Def. 33) There exists a function f from $\mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$ into the assignations of V such that f is an evaluation for K_1 and $\mathrm{Evaluate}(H, K_1) = f(H)$.

Let V be an LTL-model structure and let f be an assignation of V. The functor $\neg f$ yielding an assignation of V is defined by:

(Def. 34) $\neg f = (\text{the negation of } V)(f)$.

Let V be an LTL-model structure and let f, g be assignations of V. The functor $f \wedge g$ yields an assignation of V and is defined by:

(Def. 35) $f \wedge g = (\text{the conjunction of } V)(f, g)$.

The functor $f \vee g$ yields an assignation of V and is defined as follows:

(Def. 36) $f \vee g = (\text{the disjunction of } V)(f, g)$.

Let V be an LTL-model structure and let f be an assignation of V. The functor $\mathcal{X} f$ yielding an assignation of V is defined by:

(Def. 37) $\mathcal{X} f = (\text{the next-operation of } V)(f)$.

Let V be an LTL-model structure and let f, g be assignations of V. The functor $f \,\mathcal{U}\, g$ yielding an assignation of V is defined by:

(Def. 38) $f \,\mathcal{U}\, g = (\text{the until-operation of } V)(f, g)$.

The functor $f \,\mathcal{R}\, g$ yields an assignation of V and is defined as follows:

(Def. 39) $f \,\mathcal{R}\, g = (\text{the release-operation of } V)(f, g)$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(50) $\mathrm{Evaluate}(\neg H, K_1) = \neg \mathrm{Evaluate}(H, K_1)$.

(51) $\mathrm{Evaluate}(H_1 \wedge H_2, K_1) = \mathrm{Evaluate}(H_1, K_1) \wedge \mathrm{Evaluate}(H_2, K_1)$.

(52) $\mathrm{Evaluate}(H_1 \vee H_2, K_1) = \mathrm{Evaluate}(H_1, K_1) \vee \mathrm{Evaluate}(H_2, K_1)$.

(53) $\mathrm{Evaluate}(\mathcal{X} H, K_1) = \mathcal{X}\, \mathrm{Evaluate}(H, K_1)$.

(54) $\mathrm{Evaluate}(H_1 \,\mathcal{U}\, H_2, K_1) = \mathrm{Evaluate}(H_1, K_1) \,\mathcal{U}\, \mathrm{Evaluate}(H_2, K_1)$.

(55) $\mathrm{Evaluate}(H_1 \,\mathcal{R}\, H_2, K_1) = \mathrm{Evaluate}(H_1, K_1) \,\mathcal{R}\, \mathrm{Evaluate}(H_2, K_1)$.

Let S be a non empty set. The infinite sequences of S yielding a non empty set is defined by:

(Def. 40) The infinite sequences of $S = S^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Let S be a non empty set and let t be a sequence of S. The functor CastSeq t yields an element of the infinite sequences of S and is defined by:

(Def. 41) CastSeq $t = t$.

Let S be a non empty set and let t be a set. Let us assume that t is an element of the infinite sequences of S. The functor CastSeq(t, S) yielding a sequence of S is defined by:

(Def. 42) CastSeq$(t, S) = t$.

Let S be a non empty set, let t be a sequence of S, and let k be a natural number. The functor Shift(t, k) yielding a sequence of S is defined as follows:

(Def. 43) For every natural number n holds (Shift(t, k))$(n) = t(n + k)$.

Let S be a non empty set, let t be a set, and let k be a natural number. The functor Shift(t, k, S) yielding an element of the infinite sequences of S is defined as follows:

(Def. 44) Shift$(t, k, S) =$ CastSeq Shift(CastSeq$(t, S), k)$.

Let S be a non empty set, let t be an element of the infinite sequences of S, and let k be a natural number. The functor Shift(t, k) yielding an element of the infinite sequences of S is defined as follows:

(Def. 45) Shift$(t, k) =$ Shift(t, k, S).

Let S be a non empty set and let f be a set. The functor Not$_0(f, S)$ yields an element of ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) and is defined by the condition (Def. 46).

(Def. 46) Let t be a set. Suppose $t \in$ the infinite sequences of S. Then $\neg$ Castboolean(Fid$(f,$ the infinite sequences of $S))(t) = true$ if and only if (Fid(Not$_0(f, S),$ the infinite sequences of $S))(t) = true$.

Let S be a non empty set. The functor Not S yielding a unary operation on ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) is defined by:

(Def. 47) For every set f such that $f \in$ ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) holds (Not $S)(f) =$ Not$_0(f, S)$.

Let S be a non empty set, let f be a function from the infinite sequences of S into *Boolean*, and let t be a set. The functor Next-univ(t, f) yields an element of *Boolean* and is defined as follows:

(Def. 48) $$\text{Next-univ}(t, f) = \begin{cases} true, \text{ if } t \text{ is an element of the infinite sequences} \\ \quad \text{of } S \text{ and } f(\text{Shift}(t, 1, S)) = true, \\ false, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let S be a non empty set and let f be a set. The functor Next$_0(f, S)$ yielding an element of ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) is defined by the condition

(Def. 49).

(Def. 49) Let t be a set. Suppose $t \in$ the infinite sequences of S. Then Next-univ$(t, \mathrm{Fid}(f,$ the infinite sequences of $S)) = \mathit{true}$ if and only if $(\mathrm{Fid}(\mathrm{Next}_0(f, S),$ the infinite sequences of $S))(t) = \mathit{true}$.

Let S be a non empty set. The functor Next S yields a unary operation on ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) and is defined as follows:

(Def. 50) For every set f such that $f \in$ ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) holds $(\mathrm{Next}\, S)(f) = \mathrm{Next}_0(f, S)$.

Let S be a non empty set and let f, g be sets. The functor $\mathrm{And}_0(f, g, S)$ yields an element of ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) and is defined by the condition (Def. 51).

(Def. 51) Let t be a set. Suppose $t \in$ the infinite sequences of S. Then $\mathrm{Castboolean}(\mathrm{Fid}(f,$ the infinite sequences of $S))(t) \wedge \mathrm{Castboolean}(\mathrm{Fid}(g,$ the infinite sequences of $S))(t) = \mathit{true}$ if and only if $(\mathrm{Fid}(\mathrm{And}_0(f, g, S),$ the infinite sequences of $S))(t) = \mathit{true}$.

Let S be a non empty set. The functor And S yielding a binary operation on ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) is defined by the condition (Def. 52).

(Def. 52) Let f, g be sets. Suppose $f \in$ ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) and $g \in$ ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S). Then $(\mathrm{And}\, S)(f, g) = \mathrm{And}_0(f, g, S)$.

Let S be a non empty set, let f, g be functions from the infinite sequences of S into *Boolean*, and let t be a set. The functor Until-univ(t, f, g, S) yields an element of *Boolean* and is defined as follows:

(Def. 53) $\mathrm{Until\text{-}univ}(t, f, g, S) = \begin{cases} \mathit{true}, \text{ if } t \text{ is an element of the infinite sequences of } S \text{ and there exists a natural number } m \text{ such that for every natural number } j \text{ such that } j < m \text{ holds } f(\mathrm{Shift}(t, j, S)) = \mathit{true} \text{ and } g(\mathrm{Shift}(t, m, S)) = \mathit{true}, \\ \mathit{false}, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Let S be a non empty set and let f, g be sets. The functor $\mathrm{Until}_0(f, g, S)$ yields an element of ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) and is defined by the condition (Def. 54).

(Def. 54) Let t be a set. Suppose $t \in$ the infinite sequences of S. Then Until-univ$(t, \mathrm{Fid}(f,$ the infinite sequences of $S), \mathrm{Fid}(g,$ the infinite sequences of $S), S) = \mathit{true}$ if and only if $(\mathrm{Fid}(\mathrm{Until}_0(f, g, S),$ the infinite sequences of $S))(t) = \mathit{true}$.

Let S be a non empty set. The functor Until S yielding a binary operation on ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) is defined by the condition (Def. 55).

(Def. 55) Let f, g be sets. Suppose $f \in$ ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) and $g \in$ ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S). Then $(\mathrm{Until}\, S)(f, g) =$

$\mathrm{Until}_0(f, g, S)$.

Let S be a non empty set. The functor $\vee_S$ yields a binary operation on ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) and is defined by the condition (Def. 56).

(Def. 56) Let f, g be sets. Suppose $f \in$ ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) and $g \in$ ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S). Then $\vee_S(f, g) = (\mathrm{Not}\, S)((\mathrm{And}\, S)((\mathrm{Not}\, S)(f), (\mathrm{Not}\, S)(g)))$.

The functor Release S yields a binary operation on ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) and is defined by the condition (Def. 57).

(Def. 57) Let f, g be sets. Suppose $f \in$ ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S) and $g \in$ ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S). Then $(\mathrm{Release}\, S)(f, g) = (\mathrm{Not}\, S)((\mathrm{Until}\, S)((\mathrm{Not}\, S)(f), (\mathrm{Not}\, S)(g)))$.

Let S be a non empty set and let B_1 be a non empty subset of ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S). The functor $\mathrm{Model}_{\mathrm{LTL}}(S, B_1)$ yields an LTL-model structure and is defined as follows:

(Def. 58) $\mathrm{Model}_{\mathrm{LTL}}(S, B_1) = \langle$ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S), B_1, And S, $\vee_S$, Not S, Next S, Until S, Release $S\rangle$.

In the sequel B_1 denotes a non empty subset of ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S), t denotes an element of the infinite sequences of S, and f, g denote assignations of $\mathrm{Model}_{\mathrm{LTL}}(S, B_1)$.

Let S be a non empty set, let B_1 be a non empty subset of ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S), let t be an element of the infinite sequences of S, and let f be an assignation of $\mathrm{Model}_{\mathrm{LTL}}(S, B_1)$. The predicate $t \models f$ is defined by:

(Def. 59) $(\mathrm{Fid}(f, \text{the infinite sequences of } S))(t) = \mathit{true}$.

Let S be a non empty set, let B_1 be a non empty subset of ModelSP (the infinite sequences of S), let t be an element of the infinite sequences of S, and let f be an assignation of $\mathrm{Model}_{\mathrm{LTL}}(S, B_1)$. We introduce $t \not\models f$ as an antonym of $t \models f$.

The following propositions are true:

(56) $f \vee g = \neg(\neg f \wedge \neg g)$ and $f\, \mathcal{R}\, g = \neg(\neg f\, \mathcal{U}\, \neg g)$.

(57) $t \models \neg f$ iff $t \not\models f$.

(58) $t \models f \wedge g$ iff $t \models f$ and $t \models g$.

(59) $t \models \mathcal{X}\, f$ iff $\mathrm{Shift}(t, 1) \models f$.

(60) $t \models f\, \mathcal{U}\, g$ if and only if there exists a natural number m such that for every natural number j such that $j < m$ holds $\mathrm{Shift}(t, j) \models f$ and $\mathrm{Shift}(t, m) \models g$.

(61) $t \models f \vee g$ iff $t \models f$ or $t \models g$.

(62) $t \models f\, \mathcal{R}\, g$ if and only if for every natural number m such that for every natural number j such that $j < m$ holds $\mathrm{Shift}(t, j) \models \neg f$ holds $\mathrm{Shift}(t, m) \models g$.

The non empty set AtomicFamily is defined as follows:

(Def. 60) AtomicFamily $= 2^{\text{atomic}_{\text{LTL}}}$.

Let a, t be sets. The functor AtomicFunc(a, t) yielding an element of *Boolean* is defined as follows:

(Def. 61) $$\text{AtomicFunc}(a,t) = \begin{cases} \mathit{true}, & \text{if } t \in \text{the infinite sequences of AtomicFamily} \\ & \quad \text{and } a \in (\text{CastSeq}(t, \text{AtomicFamily}))(0), \\ \mathit{false}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let a be a set. The functor AtomicAsgn a yields an element of ModelSP (the infinite sequences of AtomicFamily) and is defined by:

(Def. 62) For every set t such that $t \in$ the infinite sequences of AtomicFamily holds $(\text{Fid}(\text{AtomicAsgn}\, a, \text{the infinite sequences of AtomicFamily}))(t) = \text{AtomicFunc}(a, t)$.

The non empty subset AtomicBasicAsgn of ModelSP (the infinite sequences of AtomicFamily) is defined by:

(Def. 63) AtomicBasicAsgn $= \{x \in \text{ModelSP}\,(\text{the infinite sequences of AtomicFamily}) : \bigvee_{a\,:\,\text{set}}\ x = \text{AtomicAsgn}\, a\}$.

The function AtomicKai from $\text{atomic}_{\text{LTL}}$ into the basic assignations of $\text{Model}_{\text{LTL}}(\text{AtomicFamily}, \text{AtomicBasicAsgn})$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 64) For every set a such that $a \in \text{atomic}_{\text{LTL}}$ holds $(\text{AtomicKai})(a) = \text{AtomicAsgn}\, a$.

Let r be an element of the infinite sequences of AtomicFamily and let H be an LTL-formula. The predicate $r \models H$ is defined by:

(Def. 65) $r \models \text{Evaluate}(H, \text{AtomicKai})$.

Let r be an element of the infinite sequences of AtomicFamily and let H be an LTL-formula. We introduce $r \not\models H$ as an antonym of $r \models H$.

Let r be an element of the infinite sequences of AtomicFamily and let W be a subset of WFF_{LTL}. The predicate $r \models W$ is defined by:

(Def. 66) For every LTL-formula H such that $H \in W$ holds $r \models H$.

Let r be an element of the infinite sequences of AtomicFamily and let W be a subset of WFF_{LTL}. We introduce $r \not\models W$ as an antonym of $r \models W$.

Let W be a subset of WFF_{LTL}. The functor $\mathcal{X}\, W$ yielding a subset of WFF_{LTL} is defined as follows:

(Def. 67) $\mathcal{X}\, W = \{x; x \text{ ranges over LTL-formulae} : \bigvee_{u\,:\,\text{LTL-formula}}\ (u \in W\ \wedge\ x = \mathcal{X}\, u)\}$.

In the sequel r denotes an element of the infinite sequences of AtomicFamily.

We now state a number of propositions:

(63) If H is atomic, then $r \models H$ iff $H \in (\text{CastSeq}(r, \text{AtomicFamily}))(0)$.

(64) $r \models \neg H$ iff $r \not\models H$.

(65) $r \models H_1 \wedge H_2$ iff $r \models H_1$ and $r \models H_2$.

(66) $r \models H_1 \vee H_2$ iff $r \models H_1$ or $r \models H_2$.

(67) $r \models \mathcal{X}\, H$ iff $\mathrm{Shift}(r, 1) \models H$.

(68) $r \models H_1\, \mathcal{U}\, H_2$ if and only if there exists a natural number m such that for every natural number j such that $j < m$ holds $\mathrm{Shift}(r, j) \models H_1$ and $\mathrm{Shift}(r, m) \models H_2$.

(69) $r \models H_1\, \mathcal{R}\, H_2$ if and only if for every natural number m such that for every natural number j such that $j < m$ holds $\mathrm{Shift}(r, j) \models \neg H_1$ holds $\mathrm{Shift}(r, m) \models H_2$.

(70) $r \models \neg(H_1 \vee H_2)$ iff $r \models \neg H_1 \wedge \neg H_2$.

(71) $r \models \neg(H_1 \wedge H_2)$ iff $r \models \neg H_1 \vee \neg H_2$.

(72) $r \models H_1\, \mathcal{R}\, H_2$ iff $r \models \neg(\neg H_1\, \mathcal{U}\, \neg H_2)$.

(73) $r \not\models \neg H$ iff $r \models H$.

(74) $r \models \mathcal{X}\, \neg H$ iff $r \models \neg\, \mathcal{X}\, H$.

(75) $r \models H_1\, \mathcal{U}\, H_2$ iff $r \models H_2 \vee H_1 \wedge \mathcal{X}(H_1\, \mathcal{U}\, H_2)$.

(76) $r \models H_1\, \mathcal{R}\, H_2$ iff $r \models H_1 \wedge H_2 \vee H_2 \wedge \mathcal{X}(H_1\, \mathcal{R}\, H_2)$.

In the sequel W is a subset of $\mathrm{WFF}_{\mathrm{LTL}}$.

One can prove the following propositions:

(77) $r \models \mathcal{X}\, W$ iff $\mathrm{Shift}(r, 1) \models W$.

(78)(i) If H is atomic, then H is not negative and H is not conjunctive and H is not disjunctive and H does not have *next* operator and H does not have *until* operator and H does not have *release* operator,

(ii) if H is negative, then H is not atomic and H is not conjunctive and H is not disjunctive and H does not have *next* operator and H does not have *until* operator and H does not have *release* operator,

(iii) if H is conjunctive, then H is not atomic and H is not negative and H is not disjunctive and H does not have *next* operator and H does not have *until* operator and H does not have *release* operator,

(iv) if H is disjunctive, then H is not atomic and H is not negative and H is not conjunctive and H does not have *next* operator and H does not have *until* operator and H does not have *release* operator,

(v) if H has *next* operator, then H is not atomic and H is not negative and H is not conjunctive and H is not disjunctive and H does not have *until* operator and H does not have *release* operator,

(vi) if H has *until* operator, then H is not atomic and H is not negative and H is not conjunctive and H is not disjunctive and H does not have *next* operator and H does not have *release* operator, and

(vii) if H has *release* operator, then H is not atomic and H is not negative and H is not conjunctive and H is not disjunctive and H does not have *next* operator and H does not have *until* operator.

(79) For every element t of the infinite sequences of S holds $\mathrm{Shift}(t, 0) = t$.

(80) For every element s_1 of the infinite sequences of S holds $\mathrm{Shift}(\mathrm{Shift}(s_1, k), n) = \mathrm{Shift}(s_1, n + k)$.

(81) For every sequence s_1 of S holds $\mathrm{CastSeq}(\mathrm{CastSeq}\, s_1, S) = s_1$.

(82) For every element s_1 of the infinite sequences of S holds $\mathrm{CastSeq}\,\mathrm{CastSeq}(s_1, S) = s_1$.

(83) If H, $\neg H \in W$, then $r \not\models W$.

REFERENCES

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):41–46, 1990.
[2] Grzegorz Bancerek. A model of ZF set theory language. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):131–145, 1990.
[3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Models and satisfiability. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):191–199, 1990.
[4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):107–114, 1990.
[5] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):175–180, 1990.
[6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):55–65, 1990.
[7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):153–164, 1990.
[8] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):47–53, 1990.
[9] E. M. Clarke, O. Grumberg, and D. Peled. *Model Checking*. MIT Press, 2000.
[10] Kazuhisa Ishida. Model checking. Part I. *Formalized Mathematics*, 14(**4**):171–186, 2006.
[11] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):67–71, 1990.
[12] Edmund Woronowicz. Many–argument relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**4**):733–737, 1990.

Received April 21, 2008