Implementation of Instrument Based on Eight Health Related Quality of Life Domains for Measuring of Willingness to Pay for Psoriasis Treatment
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Background: Psoriasis vulgaris (PsV) is a chronic skin condition that has a major impact on health-related quality of life (HRQOL).

Aim: To determine the individual burden of PsV on HRQOL using willingness to pay (WTP) instrument.

Materials and methods: Fifty-one consecutive PsV patients were asked to evaluate their overall health and psoriasis affected health by visual analogue scale (VAS), and interviewed on 8 domains (physical, emotional, sleep, work, social, self-care, intimacy, and concentration) of HRQOL and WTP for a hypothetical cure in each domain. Two additional questions proposing 6 alternatives for therapy were also asked. The analysis is performed with descriptive and frequency statistics, Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis tests.

Results: The domains ranked highly were: physical comfort (90%), social comfort (77%), emotional health (75%) and work (53%). The following tendencies concerning WTP for top four impacted domains were found: the median WTP were the highest in the top impacted domains; the younger patients were willing to pay more than the older ones; the highest median WTP amounts appear in the lowest income group; the highest median WTP is associated with smaller psoriasis affected health VAS scores. The largest proportion and number of patients (37.3%, n=19) stated preferences for the systemic therapy. The second preferred choice was the thalasstherapy (29.4%, n=15).

Conclusions: The utility and reliability of the instrument based on the assessment of WTP stated preferences for 8 domains of HRQOL for evaluation the individual burden of psoriasis were strongly supported.
viously available’, ‘able to estimate all existence
class benefits’. Arrow, Sollow, Portney et al. have
discussed some disadvantages of WTP elicitation
such as: obtaining results that differ from rational
choice, difficulties in identifying the boundaries of
the market and respondents succumbing to their
feelings and emotions about the social importance
of the program or goods.

Recently, the contingent valuation method has
found its applications in health research. Accord-
ing to Smith R. and Sach T. the annual number
of studies has increased from 3 in 1985 to 38 in
2005; the countries with the highest number of such
studies are the USA, UK and Canada. Out of 265
publications on WTP reviewed between 1958 and
2005, the majority were in the field of infectious
diseases (53), followed by cancer (23) and cardiac
disease (18). Our previous findings show that the
number of articles in PubMed that include the term
‘willingness to pay’ in the title or in the abstract
have increased rapidly since 2000 and reached its
maximum in 2012 (265).

The obtained WTP values accounting for patient
preferences and benefits could be used for assess-
ing the costs and for demand curve estimation in
cost-effectiveness analysis in health.

The aim of this study was to determine the indi-
vidual burden of psoriasis vulgaris on health-related
quality of life using willingness-to-pay instrument.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
PATIENTS
From October 2014 to June 2015, 51 consecutive
patients hospitalized for psoriasis vulgaris in the
Clinic of Dermatology and Venereology at St George
University Hospital in Plovdiv were recruited to be
interviewed. Every year between 80 and 100 patients
with psoriasis are admitted to this clinic. Eligible
patients were 18 years of age or older, diagnosed
with psoriasis for more than two years. Patients
were given a brief verbal explanation of the nature
of the study and, after obtaining their informed
consent, were interviewed by the first author. The
interviews lasted 30 minutes on average.

INSTRUMENT
We used the instrument and procedure designed by
Hu et al. that is based on a similar tool previously
used in a study by Delfino et al. The questionnaire
comprises 8 domains of health, affected by psoria-
sis: intimacy, physical comfort, self-care, ability to
work or volunteer, ability to concentrate, emotional
health, social comfort, ability to sleep ranked for
being affected by psoriasis and willingness to pay
any amount of money to eliminate all impairment
in the affected domains. A standard procedure
was followed to translate the English source ver-
sion of the questionnaire into Bulgarian including
forward and backward translations by independent
translators fluent in English and expert assessment
to reach an agreed version.

The tool also employs a visual analogue scale
(VAS) to assess overall health and psoriasis affected
health and open-ended questions to evaluate WTP
stated-preferences. In reference to localization of
the tool the answers relating to WTP and monthly
household income were given in the local currency
(BGN) but they are presented in the results and
further discussions in EUR (after conversion using
the fixed exchange rate of the Bulgarian currency
in Euro, 1 EUR = 1.95583 BGN) and rounded to
integer multiple by a hundred.

Two additional questions to those posed in the
previous studies were included. The first one pro-
posed six alternatives for a therapy: 2 for topical
therapy, 2 for systemic therapy, one for physical
therapy, and one for thalassotherapy as presented
in Table 1.

The second question we added asked about
the most important reasons for the choices of the
respondents. We proposed the following options:
place of therapy; therapeutic method; effi-
ciency of the therapy; adverse effects; payment; time to
wait until the start of the therapy (if any); others.

To compare results we also used two universally
recognized instruments particularly applied in the
field of skin decease - DLQI and EQ-5D-5L to
measure the HRQOL.

Patient demographics were also collected. It
should be noted that the questions relating to eth-
nicity, educational level, monthly household income
and health insurance coverage were adapted tak-
ing into consideration the national specifics. The
question on monthly household income was close-
ended using eight schedules, starting at EUR 100
and increasing by increments of EUR 150 to EUR
1000, the last level being left without upper limit.

Within the frame of this study respondents were
not asked about any medicinal products, devices
or equipment.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
The following statistical methods were used:
- frequencies and percentages were calculated for
categorical variables;
- Mann-Whitney (M-W) and Kruskal-Wallis (K-W) tests were used to assess differences in median WTP amounts across genders, ages and household income levels;
- χ² tests were used to assess relationships between participants’ demographics and whether they were affected by a domain or willing to pay for a cure in a domain;
- normality tests of observed variables were conducted with Shapiro-Wilk test (S-W);
- Spearman’s correlation coefficient (ρ) to assess correlations between variables with non-normal distribution.

All tests were considered significant at P≤0.05. The data are presented as a mean ± standard deviation, or a median and first and third quartile groups (Q₁; Q₃).

RESULTS
In our study we did not obtain extreme amounts for the WTP. Therefore, none of the 51 interviewees were excluded from the analysis. The major part of the study population was ethnic Bulgarians (74.5% with 95% CI [61.6%;87.4%]). The age of participants varied in quite a wide range from 18 to 78 years with a median of 57 years. The distribution of observed population by age was not normal (S-W Sig. < 0.05). The demographic characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 2.

The number of men was significantly greater than that of women (72.5% with 95% CI [59.3%;85.7%] and 27.5% with 95% CI [14.3%;40.7%], respectively). The prevailing part of our study population had very low income levels: 84.3% with 95% CI [73.3%;95.3%] of the interviewees fell within the first two quartiles. Most of the interviewees - 46 (90.2% with 95% CI [81.1%;99.3%]) had high-school or lower education. As expected, the lower education and the relatively high mean age were associated with lower income levels in the studied sample.

We also found no significant differences between the assessment of the overall health status and health effect of psoriasis alone. Median score and those of the first quartile group of VAS were identical. The same applies to VAS means concerning overall health status and health effect of psoriasis (5.33±1.95 with 95% CI [4.78;5.88] and 5.49±1.89 with 95% CI [4.96;6.02], respectively). Both the overall health VAS score and psoriasis VAS score had a distribution approximating the normal (S-W Sig. > 0.05).

There was a medium inverse correlation between DLQI and psoriasis VAS scores (ρ = -0.512; Sig. (2-tailed) = 0.000) as well as between EQ-5D-5L and psoriasis VAS scores (ρ = -0.45; Sig. (2-tailed) = 0.001).

Table 1. Proposed alternatives for a therapy of psoriasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>Topical therapy: once daily application of topical product at home for 30 days. The treatment is paid by the patient. The effect is slow and transitory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td>Topical therapy: twice daily application of topical product at hospital for 10 days followed by twice daily application of topical product at home for 10 days. Only the treatment at home is paid by the patient. The effect is slow but lasts longer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.</td>
<td>Systemic therapy: daily administration of oral tablets for 3 months at home. The therapy is somewhat expensive and is paid by the patient. The efficiency is 50%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.</td>
<td>Systemic therapy: once a week intramuscular administration of drugs for 2 weeks at hospital followed by administration of oral tablets for 6 months. Only the treatment at home is paid by the patient. It is not expensive and the efficiency is 90%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.</td>
<td>Physical therapy: administration of tablets and visit to a hospital 3 times a week for 1 hour over 4 weeks for radiation using a special lamp. The therapy is paid by the patient. The efficiency is 70%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.</td>
<td>Thalassotherapy: daily exposure to sun, sea water and marine mud at sanatorium for 3 weeks. The treatment is covered by the National Health Insurance Fund and the patient needs to wait for appointment. The efficiency is 70% patients.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The domains ranked highly (1 to 4) as affected HRQOL were: physical comfort (90% with 95% CI [80.8%;99.2%]), social comfort (77% with 95% CI [64.5%;89.5%]), emotional health (75% with 95% CI [62.1%;87.9%]) and work (53% with 95% CI [38.3%;67.7%]) (Table 3).

The least impacted domains: ‘concentration’, ‘intimacy’ and ‘self-care’ are also shown in Table 3.

Median and mean amounts of WTP were the highest in the top four impacted domains and drop down in the other (Table 3).

However, the WTP amounts grouped by domains were not normally distributed, except for the reports of the smallest group of patients willing to pay for recovery of ‘self-care’. The share of patients willing to pay for the cure of ‘work’ domain was considerable as it was ranked as the fourth affected domain.

We established a significant variation of WTP amounts – the minimum was reported for cure of ‘intimacy’ (EUR 5) and the maximum – for ‘physical comfort’ (EUR 2500). WTP amounts for some domains were significantly correlated. The WTP for ‘intimacy’ and ‘self-care’ have got Spearman’s correlation coefficient close to 1. The WTP for ‘sleep’ was highly correlated with ‘self-care’ (\( \rho = 0.883 \)), ‘work’ (\( \rho = 0.852 \)) with ‘social comfort’ (\( \rho = 0.667 \)) (Table 4). There was a strong

### Table 2. Demographic characteristics of study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n (%) or Median (Q₁; Q₃)</th>
<th>Our contingent</th>
<th>Hu SW et al. study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 years</td>
<td>12 (23.5)</td>
<td>18 (30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 45 years</td>
<td>39 (76.5)</td>
<td>41 (70)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>37 (72.5)</td>
<td>33 (56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14 (27.5)</td>
<td>26 (44)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgarian</td>
<td>38 (74.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkish</td>
<td>8 (15.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roma</td>
<td>5 (9.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly household income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 225 €</td>
<td>22 (43.1)</td>
<td>9 (15)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225.01 € to 550 €</td>
<td>21 (41.2)</td>
<td>11 (19)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550.01 € to 850 €</td>
<td>5 (9.8)</td>
<td>10 (17)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850.01 €</td>
<td>3 (5.9)</td>
<td>29 (49)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educational attainment level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or lower</td>
<td>46 (90.2)</td>
<td>9 (15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher education</td>
<td>5 (9.8)</td>
<td>50 (85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health insurance coverage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51 (100)</td>
<td>58 (98)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>11 (21.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed / retired</td>
<td>40 (78.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other diseases reported</td>
<td>36 (70.4)</td>
<td>46 (78)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Health VAS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 (4; 7)</td>
<td>7 (5; 8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Psoriasis VAS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 (4; 7)</td>
<td>7 (5; 8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DLQI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14 (8; 17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EQ-5D-5L</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 (17;26)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Number and relative share of patients by their annual household income in each quartile group.
The correlation between WTP for ‘social comfort’ and ‘emotional health’ ($\rho = 0.712$). For the most affected domain ‘physical comfort’ participants reported WTP that was well correlated with ‘emotional health’ ($\rho = 0.583$), ‘social comfort’ ($\rho = 0.53$), ‘intimacy’ ($\rho = 0.514$) and ‘work’ ($\rho = 0.431$).

WTP preferences can be affected by demographics but we found no significant differences across genders (M-W Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) > 0.05 for all 8 domains’ WTP), household income groups (K-W Asymp. Sig. > 0.05 for all 8 schedules) and patients with different health status in each of the 10 groups by psoriasis VAS score (K-W Asymp. Sig. > 0.05). It also refers to the level of education. We didn’t find statistically significant differences between WTP reported from more or less educated patients (K-W Asymp. Sig. > 0.05 for all 8 domains’ WTP). These results contrast to the WTP among three age groups (below 40; 40 to 60; above 60). We found significant difference among age groups’ WTP reported for following domains: ‘physical comfort’, ‘emotional health’, ‘social comfort’ and ‘sleep’ (K-W Asymp. Sig. < 0.05).

The following tendencies concerning WTP for the most affected domains should be noted: younger patients median WTP was bigger than in the older ones (Fig. 1); the highest median WTP amounts appear in the lowest income groups (Fig. 2); the highest median WTP amounts are associated with worsening the health condition affected by the psoriasis.

**Table 3. Health domains affected by psoriasis and WTP to cure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Number and share of participants believing domain to be affected n, (%)</th>
<th>Number and share of participants WTP, n, (%)</th>
<th>Domains ranked in top 4 as affected by psoriasis, n, (%)</th>
<th>WTP Median Amount in EUR ($Q_1; Q_3$)</th>
<th>Mean WTP±SD; 95% CI of Mean in EUR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical comfort</td>
<td>46 (90)</td>
<td>46 (90)</td>
<td>43 (84)</td>
<td>200 (100; 500)</td>
<td>359.24±450.88; [225.35;493.13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social comfort</td>
<td>39 (77)</td>
<td>37 (73)</td>
<td>33 (65)</td>
<td>150 (43; 300)</td>
<td>207.96±222.09; [134.96;280.96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional health</td>
<td>38 (75)</td>
<td>37 (73)</td>
<td>32 (63)</td>
<td>250 (75; 250)</td>
<td>272.64±306.27; [170.52;374.75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>27 (53)</td>
<td>26 (51)</td>
<td>29 (57)</td>
<td>300 (150; 500)</td>
<td>345.74±279.49; [235.18;456.30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>28 (55)</td>
<td>27 (53)</td>
<td>23 (45)</td>
<td>100 (50; 400)</td>
<td>230.56±249.07; [132.03;329.08]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>22 (43)</td>
<td>20 (39)</td>
<td>23 (45)</td>
<td>100 (50; 200)</td>
<td>151.90±146.76; [85.10;218.71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intimacy</td>
<td>22 (43)</td>
<td>21 (41)</td>
<td>18 (35)</td>
<td>125 (50; 300)</td>
<td>217.38±239.69; [108.28;326.49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-care</td>
<td>10 (20)</td>
<td>9 (18)</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
<td>125 (27,50; 225)</td>
<td>137.78±121.27; [44.56;240]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1. Median WTP by age in top affected domains**

(Show the figure here with vertical lines present 95% confidence intervals).
The psoriasis VAS scores and income groups were not related (Pearson $c^2 = 33.44; \text{Sig.} > 0.05$). The distribution of VAS scores by the income groups is shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the patients with worst health conditions fall in the lowest income groups.

The patients’ choice of method of treatment of psoriasis is worth noting. The largest proportion and number of participants (37.3% with 95% CI [23.0%;51.6%], n=19) stated preferences for the second option of systemic therapy (choice ‘D’, Table 1). The second preferred choice was the thalassotherapy (29.4% with 95% CI [15.9%;42.9%], n=15).

The first reason for the most frequent choice was the place of treatment (54.9% with 95% CI [40.3%;69.5%]) and the second cause was the effectiveness of treatment (43.1% with 95% CI [28.5%;57.7%]).

Among those who chose medication prevailed those who ranked ‘physical comfort’ (n=7) as the most impacted domain followed by the ‘ability to

---

Table 4. Spearman correlation coefficients between reported domains’ WTP, DLQI and Euro Qol scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intimacy</th>
<th>Physical comfort</th>
<th>Self-care</th>
<th>Work</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Emotional health</th>
<th>Social comfort</th>
<th>Sleep</th>
<th>DLQI</th>
<th>Euro Qol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intimacy</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.514*</td>
<td>1.000**</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>-0.590</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>-0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.431*</td>
<td>0.511*</td>
<td>0.583*</td>
<td>0.530**</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-care</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.883*</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>-0.034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.563*</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.852**</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>-0.123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.622**</td>
<td>0.610**</td>
<td>0.602*</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.712**</td>
<td>0.585**</td>
<td>-0.095</td>
<td>-0.074</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.667**</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-0.235</td>
<td>-0.296</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.783**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro Qol</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.
Table 5. Ranking of domains among three studies by their affection from the disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delfino et al.</th>
<th>Hu et al.</th>
<th>This study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical comfort</td>
<td>Physical comfort</td>
<td>Physical comfort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social comfort</td>
<td>Emotional health</td>
<td>Social comfort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional health</td>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>Emotional health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-care</td>
<td>Work</td>
<td>Work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intimacy</td>
<td>Social comfort</td>
<td>Sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>Self-care</td>
<td>Concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>Intimacy</td>
<td>Intimacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>Self-care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The studied population in our survey differed significantly from those studied by Hu et al.\textsuperscript{13} and Delfino et al.\textsuperscript{14} by socio-demographic characteristics as age structure, education and income distribution. Our patient population was older with 37.3% people aged above 60 and 17.6% younger than 40. This fact causes the income level differences among patient contingents. There is an expressive contrast of patient’s income distribution. In the higher two levels of the income in the presented study felt only 15.7% of the patients while the previous studies these weights were respectively 55% and 65%.\textsuperscript{13,14}

However our results support the findings of the other pilot studies about the top four domains impacted by the disease (Table 5).

Our results related to the effect on and ranking of domains are similar to those reported by Delfino et al. It might be due to the severity of the psoriasis in our and Delfino’s population. We have to take in consideration that the Hu’s sample includes patient with arthritis complication with higher VAS measures (Table 2). The three least affected domains were also ranked similarly, suggesting that HRQOL domains used were reliable measures in patients with psoriasis regardless of other characteristics.

The reported median WTP is higher in top affected domains. This trend is expressed in present study similarly to the previous findings.\textsuperscript{13,14} We found some differences in correlations across WTP reported in each domain between the referent studies. In the population of Hu et al. the Spearman’s correlation coefficients of WTP across domains were greater than 0.5 and were statistically significant.\textsuperscript{13} In our correlation matrix we had 12 statistically insignificant associations with values up to 0.5. However the empirical relations between the ‘sleep’, ‘self-care’, ‘work’ and ‘social comfort’ domains were confirmed. Another fact which is discussible is the correlation between DLQI, Euro Qol scores and WTP domains. From this point of view the results from the present study contrast to the other which confirmed close relation between DLQI indices and WTP for cure of skin diseases.\textsuperscript{15,16}

DISCUSSION

We consider a focus of the discussion whether and how the results of this particular study relate to other studies in psoriasis to help us draw some conclusions about the utility of tools measuring HRQOL, stated preferences and WTP in Bulgaria.

Figure 2. Median WTP by income in top affected domains (the vertical lines present 95% confidence intervals).
lower five VAS levels fall 29% of the interviewees with monthly income less than 250 EUR and 20% with income less than 550 EUR. If we take into consideration that for some of the most affected domains the median WTP achieves its maximum in the lower income groups then we could suppose that the patients with less income have worse health conditions and in the same time they report some of the highest WTP for cure. This trend causes noticeable differences of financial burden assessment of psoriasis among patients from different income groups and health state and this is similar to the findings of previously conducted studies.\textsuperscript{14,17}

CONCLUSIONS
The results from the present study are quite similar to the previously presented by Delfino et al. and Hu et al. However, there are some differences concerning the correlation between household income levels and stated WTP. The absence of statistically confirmed positive correlation between income levels and WTP in our study was most probably due to the chronic nature of psoriasis and its strong impact on patients’ quality of life or to the small sample. Our findings strongly support the data from other studies that the instrument based on the assessment of WTP stated preferences for eight HRQOL domains is a good and reliable measure for evaluation of the individual burden of psoriasis. This could encourage further studies of the instrument in the purpose of modelling the stated preferences, the demand and the WTP of patients with psoriasis.
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LIMITATIONS: The results of this study are affected by factors with restrictive sense like small sample and relatively high proportion of old patients with low household income.
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Введение: Псориаз обыкновенный (ОП) - хроническое состояние кожи, которое оказывает большое влияние на качество жизни, связанное со здоровьем (КЖсЗ).

Цель: Целью настоящего исследования является определение влияния индивидуального бремени обыкновенного псориаза на качество жизни, связанного с здоровьем с использованием инструмента, измеряющего готовность к участию в оплате (ГкУО).

Методы: Пятьдесят одному последовательно поступившему пациенту с ОП было предложено определить при помощи визуальной аналоговой шкалы (ВАШ) состояние своего общего здоровья и здоровья, затронутого псориазом и было проведено собеседование касательно 8 доменов (физический, эмоциональный, сон, работа, социальный, забота о себе, близость и концентрация) КЖсЗ и ГкУО возможного лечения по каждому домену. Были заданы также два дополнительных вопроса, предлагающих 6 альтернативных возможностей терапии. Анализ проведен с использованием описательной и частотной статистики, тестов Манна-Уитни и Крускал-Уоллиса.

Результаты: Приоритет доменов был установлен в следующей последовательности: физический комфорт (90%), социальный комфорт (77%), эмоциональное здоровье (75%) и работа (53%). Были установлены следующие тенденции, связанные с ГкУО в отношении первых четырёх доменов, в среднем ГкУО оказалось наиболее высоким в отношении доменов на первых местах; пациенты молодого возраста были готовы к участию в оплате в большей степени по сравнению с пациентами пожилого возраста; наиболее высокое среднее значение ГкУО было установлено в группе с наиболее низкими доходами; наиболее высокое среднее значение ГкУО связывается с более низким результатом по ВАШ в отношении здоровья, затронутого псориазом. Наибольшая часть и количество пациентов (37.3%, n=19) заявили свои предпочтения в пользу системного лечения. На втором месте среди предпочитаемых методов лечения была поставлена талассотерапия (29.4%, n=15).

Заключение: Настоятельно рекомендуем полезность и надежность инструмента, основанного на оценке ГкУО в отношении восьми доменов КЖсЗ для оценки индивидуального бремени псориаза.