Fracture zones detection for groundwater exploration integrating Resistivity Profiling and Very Low Frequency electromagnetic methods (Errachidia basin, Morocco)
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Abstract: Resistivity Profiling and Very Low Frequency (VLF) electromagnetic methods were introduced to study fracture zones detection in Zaouia Jdida locality, within the Errachidia basin. The Horizontal Profiling was conducted in Wenner-α array, with AB = 300 m and profile lines oriented NW–SE and NE–SW. The resistivity measurements were taken using MAE advanced geophysics instruments. The VLF profiles were implanted with the length reaches 1000 m and profile lines oriented in NE–SW direction. The VLF measurements were collected using T-VLF iris instrument and the data filtering was done using KHFFILT software. Two filters, Karous-Hjelt and Fraser, were applied to the real component of the secondary electromagnetic field. The qualitative interpretation of resistivity results, showed the presence of subsurface targets; fracture zones were detected at 70m, 240m and 450m positions along the profile P1, at 180m, 340m and 450m positions from the profile P2. The semi-quantitative interpretation of VLF results revealed the presence of two principal fracture zones at L3 and L5 locations, oriented NW–SE, at a depth range of 30m to 60m. The VLF anomaly observed at L3 location is confirmed by the resistivity measurements from the profile P1 (at 70m station). The identified fractures represent the potential zones for groundwater supply and then will have an implication on storage and movement of groundwater in the prospect area.
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1. Introduction

The region of Errachidia-Tafilalt, which includes the study area, faces various environmental difficulties, including the scarcity and salinization of groundwater (Ammary, 2007). In this arid to semi-arid zone, the overexploitation of groundwater, as a result of demographic pressure and agricultural activities, has contributed to the decline in water table levels (Ammary, 2007). Given the scarcity of geophysical studies undertaken in the study area and for the sustainable use of water, it is necessary to implement geophysical investigation methods to better recognize the aquifer systems in the region.

The problematic aimed by this work, is around the potential groundwater within the area of Ain El Atti, in Zaouia Jdida locality. The present paper contributes to evaluate this potential by detecting fracture zones. The fracture zones represent a special target for hydrogeological exploration and can play an important role for groundwater supply and hydrogeological practice (Kirsch, 2006). Generally, fracture zones are considered hydraulic conductors (Kirsch, 2006). To achieve the purpose of this paper, on one hand, the working procedure will be based on the Resistivity Profiling, in order to determine the horizontal variation of resistivity. On the other hand, it will be based on the Very Low Frequency electromagnetic (VLF-EM) technique with the aim of measuring the parameters of the secondary electromagnetic field.

The Horizontal Profiling method was chosen for this study, because it is a common method to measure horizontal variation of electrical resistivity. It has been recognized to be more suitable for hydrogeological exploration of sedimentary basin. Generally, the resistivity method reveals an important result during the detection of fractured zones and metalliferous veins (Dakir et al., 2019). The VLF-EM method is widely used for the detection of buried conductive targets, including aquiferous faulting systems (Ogilvy and Lee, 1991). It offers relatively a fast approach to delineate the fractures (Benson et al., 1997). The advantage of this technique is that the measurements are easy to be interpreted in a qualitative manner (Vargemezis, 2007).
2. Geography and geological setting

The study area is located about 50 km south of Errachidia and 20 km north of Erfoud (Fig. 1). It is a part of Cretaceous basin of Errachidia (Southeastern Morocco). This basin is characterized by a stratigraphic series that ranges from Paleozoic to Quaternary. In the outcrop (Fig. 1), the basin is generally composed of carbonate deposits of Turonian (Choubert and Faure-Muret, 1962), sandstone and sand with gypsum intercalation attributed to Infracenomanian and sand clay with gypsum and anhydrite of Senonian (Choubert, 1948). Locally, from drilling data, the Infracenomanian deposits overlie the Paleozoic (angular discordance). The Quaternary is presented by alluvium and conglomerates. It shows varying thickness layers between 5 and 40 m (Amharref, 1991).

Fig. 1. Geographic situation and regional geology of the study area (DRH-GRZ, 2007) modified.

3. Hydrogeological data

The Errachidia basin consists of a multilayer aquifer system consisting of four main aquifer levels (Margat, 1977). The quaternary aquifer, in the south part of the Errachidia basin, is formed by alluvial deposits, which
contain the essential reserves of the tablecloth. The senonian aquifer, in the north section of the basin, consists of detritic sediments with some sandstone, sand, red marl, gypsum, sodium chloride and limestone. The turonian aquifer consists of fractured limestone and marine origin dolomite as karst facies. Concerning the infracenomanian artesian aquifer, it is made by continental deposits (sandstones and conglomerates) and lagoon sediments (sand, clay and marl). Its water quality varies from one sector to another (Aoubouazza et al., 2013); good quality at the NW of the Ain Al Atti, brackish at Aoufous and highly mineralized between Douira and foundation raft Erfoud (Ammary, 2007) where the residue reaches 16 gL\(^{-1}\).

4. Materials and methods

4.1. Resistivity profiling survey

In Wenner array (Fig. 2), the four electrodes with a definite array spacing “a” are moving after each measurement. In each station, the value of resistivity is affected to the center of the array (Kunetz, 1966). The resistivity of the ground is measured by injected currents and the resulting potential differences at the surface. Two pairs of electrodes are required: electrodes \(A\) and \(B\) are used for current injections, while electrodes \(M\) and \(N\) are for potential difference measurements. The apparent resistivity \(\rho_a\) is calculated from the current \(I\) and the potential difference \(\Delta V\) (Eq. 1). The coefficient \(K\) is called geometric factor. For Wenner configuration, the factor \(K\) can be calculated from the electrode spacing Eq. (2):

\[
\rho_a = K \frac{\Delta V_{MN}}{I_{AB}},
\]

\[
K = 2\pi a.
\]

![Fig. 2. Wenner configuration used in Resistivity Profiling.](image)
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The instrument used in this research work is MAE advanced geophysics instruments. The measure of the filed resistivity is done by a digital Georesistivimeter (4 iterations). The profiles, oriented in NW–SE and NE–SW directions, were conducted using Wenner-α configuration, where the voltage and the current electrodes are closely spaced and fixed to the center of the array. On the field, Four electric horizontal profiles (P1, P2, P3 and P4) with maximum electrode separation $AB = 300 \text{ m}$ ($a = 100 \text{ m}$), were carried out. For each profile, 50 measures were taken with a spacing of 10 m.

4.2. VLF electromagnetic survey

4.2.1. Principle and data acquisition

The Very Low Frequency electromagnetic (VLF-EM) is based on the use of radio waves in the range of 15 to 30 kHz (Müller et al., 1984). The signal (primary magnetic field $H_p$) emitted by the VLF stations, can be captured in the field by the VLF instruments. When a conductor (e.g. a fracture zone) is crossed by the $H_p$ electromagnetic field, an induced current (Current of Foucault) flows through it and produces a secondary magnetic field $H_s$ out-of-phase with $H_p$, oriented in any direction (McNeill and Labson, 1991). In this case, the conductive body acts as a second source (Kaya et al., 2007). The resulting field from the sum of $H_p$ and $H_s$ is elliptically polarized. This ellipse of polarisation has two components with the same frequency, but different amplitude and phase (Eze et al., 2004). The in-phase $H_p$ is the real component ($R_e$) proportional to the tilt $\tau$ (inclination of the major axis of the ellipse), while the out of phase $H_p$ is the imaginary component ($I_m$) proportional to the ellipticity $\varepsilon$ (the ratio between the minor axis and the major axis $b/a$). These two components $\tau$ and $\varepsilon$ are described by the equations (3 and 4) below (Saydam, 1981):

$$\tau = \frac{R_e}{H_p},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\varepsilon = \frac{I_m}{H_p}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

During our study, the survey was carried out using the Receiver T-VLF Iris Instruments, operating in tilt angle mode, in order to measure the parameters of the ellipse of polarization, which are the tilt $\tau$ and the ellipticity $\varepsilon$ (Fig. 3). In this mode, it is convenient to operate with a transmitter (VLF...
Fig. 3. Principle of induction in a subsurface conductive target and parameters of the ellipse of polarisation in tilt angle mode.

station) which is located in the supposed strike (±45°) of the prospected target for a maximum coupling. For detecting the supposed fractures in the study area, the GBR station located in Rugby (England) has been chosen, with a power of 750 kW, which emits a signal with a frequency of 16 kHz. On the fieldwork, five VLF-EM profiles were conducted, with profile length reaches 1000 m. Readings were taken respecting a spacing of 20 m. The profile lines were oriented in NE-SW direction and denoted as L1 to L5.

4.2.2. Data filtering and semi-quantitative interpretation

For our measurements, on one hand, the Karous and Hjelt (KH) filter has been applied to the real component. This filter permits the draw of apparent current density cross-sections, which show the response of the conductor in depth (Karous and Hjelt, 1983). Qualitatively, it is possible to discriminate between conductive and resistive structures using apparent current density cross-section (Karous and Hjelt, 1977), where a high positive value corresponds to conductive structure and low negative values are related to resistive one (Benson et al., 1997; Sharma and Baranwal, 2005). On the other hand, the Fraser filter has been applied to the real component too, and the filtered data were presented in the form of a contour map. Therefore, the filtered real component will always show a positive peak above
an anomalous zone (Fraser, 1969). In order to perform Karous-Hjelt and Fraser filtering on VLF EM data, the software KHFFILT is used in the interpretation of the measurements along VLF traverses.

To better visualize the location of resistivity profiles and VLF lines, the Fig. 4 represents the MNT of the prospect area and the study location.

5. Results and discussion

5.1. Resistivity profiling results

For resistivity data, the measurements were plotted against the distance (Fig. 5). For all electrical profiles, there are fluctuations of apparent resistivity curves, covering a distance of 500 m. From the profile P1 (Fig. 5a), a low apparent resistivity (46 Ωm) occurs at the beginning of the profile up to a distance of 70 m. Towards NE, two anomalous values of resistivity are observed; the first one (41 Ωm) is crossed at 240 m and the second (45 Ωm) is situated at 450 m. Along the profile P2 (Fig. 5b), from a distance of
Fig. 5a. The apparent resistivity response against the distance along the profile P1 (NE–SW) with $AB = 300$ m.

Fig. 5b. The apparent resistivity response against the distance along the profile P2 (NW–SE) with $AB = 300$ m.

Fig. 5c. The apparent resistivity response against the distance along the profile P3 (NW–SE) with $AB = 300$ m.

Fig. 5d. The apparent resistivity response against the distance along the profile P4 (NW–SE) with $AB = 300$ m.
60 m and towards SE, the resistivity decreases from 62 Ωm to a very low value of 30 Ωm up to a distance of 450 m. One prominent low resistivity is observed at position 180 m (30.6 Ωm), and two other anomalous zones are revealed at 290 m and 450 m positions. The profile P3 (Fig. 5c) shows that the resistivity increases from the beginning of the profile and reaches a maximum value of 61 Ωm at 100 m position. Towards SE and for the rest of the profile, the resistivity decreases. One anomalous resistivity value (46 Ωm) is observed at 180 m station. From the profile P4 (Fig. 5d), a low apparent resistivity (49 Ωm) appears at the beginning of the profile up to a distance of 50 m. At range distance between 60 m and 150 m, the resistivity increases and reaches 67 Ωm at 150 m position. From this station (150 m), the resistivity decreases for the rest of the profile.

The qualitative interpretation of these curves suggests that each low anomalous value of apparent resistivity observed along each profile, indicate the presence of a conductive zone, probably attributed to a fracture zone. Here we evidenced the presence of conductive zones at positions 70 m, 240 m and 450 m from P1, at positions 180 m, 340 m and 450 m from P2, at position 180 m on P3 and at position 50 m from P4. Along the profiles P1 and P2, these conductive zones were easily interpreted as fracture zones.

5.2. VLF results

For semi-quantitative interpretation and target visualisation, we focus the analysis on positive Karous-Hjelt and Fraser anomalies. Concerning KH filtered data, the apparent current density cross sections plots were produced. Here, the KH plots are represented for two VLF lines type; L3 and L5. The L3 plot (Fig. 6a) shows prominent positive response between 100–125 m, from the beginning of the profile, corresponding to a conductive axis, resulting in a fracture zone, located between 100–125 m, at a depth extending from 30 m to 60 m. The L5 plot (Fig. 6b) reveals a positive anomalous related to the presence of a conductive target between 90–120 m, from the beginning of the profile. It is interpreted as a fracture zone at a deep ranging from 20 m to 60 m. The KH data filtering from the rest of these lines show no pronounced anomalies. The VLF response is more prominent in L5 location. So, the subsurface target could be more interested in this location.
Fig. 6a. Apparent Current density cross section plot of real component data against distance from VLF lines L3 (NE–SW).

Fig. 6b. Apparent Current density cross section plot of real component data against distance from VLF lines L5 (NE–SW).

The Fraser filtered data are transformed into a contour map (Fig. 7). The Fraser filtered map reveals the presence of anomalies. Two several positive anomalies were distinguished, where the top of the anomalies was observed at L3 and L5 locations. These are related to the presence of conductive structures, interpreted as fracture zones. The low positive Fraser values represent other anomalies, particularly detected at L1 and L5 locations. These are related to other conductive targets, but the VLF response was moderate. Thus, two principal conductive axes oriented NW–SE were identified, which could be the principal fractures in the study area. The rest of anomalies may be associated to secondary conductive axes.
Fig. 7. Interpreted Fraser filtered contour map of real component from five VLF lines.

Taken into account both KH and Fraser filtered data, a 3D model was elaborated (Fig. 8). The model shows two several anomalies, related to the principal fractures zones oriented in NW–SE direction, at L3 and L5 location. The anomaly observed at L3 location is confirmed by the resistivity

Fig. 8. Interpreted 3D model integrating both Fraser and Karous-Hjelt data filtering.
measurements along the profile P1 (at 70 m station). Integrated resistivity and VLF data confirm that the north part of the prospect area is more fractured, because the resistivity response and the VLF anomalies are more pronounced.

6. Conclusions

In this study, we contributed to the evaluation of the groundwater potential of Zaouia Jdida locality, by detecting fracture zones. Integrated resistivity results and VLF data confirm the presence of subsurface targets. The resistivity measurements revealed the presence of fracture zones at 70 m, 240 m and 450 m positions from the profile P1, at 180 m, 340 m and 450 m positions along the profile P2. The VLF filtered data showed two several anomalies at L3 and L5 locations, resulting in two principal fracture zones, oriented NW–SE, at a deep ranging from 30 m to 60 m. The identified fracture zones constitute the potential zones for hydrogeological practice. Thus, they will have an implication on the movement of groundwater and on its storage in the surveyed area.
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