INTRODUCTION

Cytoreductive surgery (CRS) and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC) have been a breakthrough in the management of peritoneal surface malignancies (PSM). These treatment modalities consist of highly extensive surgery followed by administration of cytotoxic drugs directly into the peritoneal cavity. The idea of the procedure is to remove all residual cancer cells which might be a future origin of relapse or new metastases. Additionally, intraperitoneal (IP) chemotherapy enables applying high doses of drugs while omitting the adverse effects of intravenous treatment which seems to have minimal effect due to the blood-peritoneal barrier and poor vascularisation of the tumour tissue [1-3].

The peritoneum might be affected by the neoplastic process either initially or as a destination of metastases from other intraabdominal cancers. Malignant peritoneal mesothelioma (MPM) and pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP) are primary peritoneal surface malignancies (PSM), whereas secondary PSM comprise peritoneal metastases (PM) from different carcinomas, most frequently from colorectal, ovarian and gastric cancers (CRC, OC, GC). Sometimes, the term ‘peritoneal carcinomatosis’ (PC) is used to highlight the massive extent of the peritoneal seeding. However, this term should be abandoned as in fact it indicates multiple, diffuse end-stage of PM.

Data on the quality of life after cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy for peritoneal malignancies: does it concern patients with gastric cancer?
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ABSTRACT

Introduction. So far there are no reports devoted exclusively to the quality of life after cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy in metastatic gastric cancer. Current literature concerning this issue was, thus, reviewed in order to: 1) search for such data concerning metastatic gastric cancer; 2) assess if the latest reviews evenly pertain to all peritoneal surface malignancies; and 3) conclude if they are a reliable source of data for patients with metastatic gastric cancer.

Materials and Methods. The electronic PubMed/MEDLINE and EMBASE databases were retrieved for studies concerning the influence of cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy on quality of life in patients with metastatic gastric cancer and regardless of initial diagnosis. The data on the number of patients with particular tumours were analysed and the results were presented in the form of a table.

Results. Approximately half of all patients encompassed by the reviews had a form of primary peritoneal surface malignancies. Within peritoneal metastases, the most numerous were colorectal (21-24%) and ovarian cancers (5-15%). Gastric cancers and sarcomas were the smallest defined subgroups (4% each).

Conclusions. The promising outcomes in quality of life after cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy in primary peritoneal surface malignancies might differ from rarely reported ones in metastatic gastric cancer. The problem needs further, gastric cancer-devoted investigations.
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Combined CRS and HIPEC, as an aggressive approach to PSM, generally contributes to overall survival [4]. However, the effects differ among the tumour types. The prognosis of patients with MPM and PMP after CRS and HIPEC has significantly improved, and this positive tendency is also observed in selected patients with limited PM. Nevertheless, the benefit comes at the expense of increased morbidity following such extensive surgeries. In experienced, tertiary centres, major postoperative morbidity rates reach 10-55%, but mortality does not exceed 10% [5]. Therefore, the risk of postoperative complications and perioperative mortality following combined CRS and HIPEC is comparable to other major surgical procedures, such as oesophagectomy or pancreatoduodenectomy [6,7].

The potential benefits of CRS and HIPEC in PSM should be carefully confronted with the influence on the quality of life (QoL), which is a subjective and multidimensional parameter that encompasses physical and occupational function, psychological state, social interaction and somatic sensation [8]. The instruments used in QoL assessment are adapted to study the performance of patients on different daily planes. The most common are FACT-G, EORTC-QLQ-C30 or EORTC-QLQ-CR38, SF-36 and ECOG. Others, such as ADL, BPI, CES-D and LAS are less popular [9,10].

So far there are no reports devoted exclusively to QoL after CRS and HIPEC in gastric PM, and only few papers discuss this subgroup separately. Nevertheless, it appears that since the first attempts of HIPEC in GC, median survival has not substantially changed. In one paper from 1991, the reported median survival was 14.6 months – and it was comparable to 14.3 months in a different paper from 2016 [11,12].

The aim of the study was: 1) to search for data on QoL after CRS and HIPEC in metastatic GC; 2) to analyse the latest reviews about QoL after CRS and HIPEC from an epidemiological point of view, so as to assess if their results evenly pertain to all PSM; and 3) to conclude if they are a reliable source of data for patients with PM from GC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The electronic PubMed/MEDLINE and EMBASE databases were retrieved for studies about the influence of CRS and HIPEC on QoL in patients with metastatic GC. The search was conducted in December 2016 and involved terms: ‘CRS’ + ‘HIPEC’ + ‘QoL’ + ‘metastatic gastric cancer’. There were no limitations regarding the type of the article, year of publication, text availability or language.

The consecutive search was aimed at the reviews that concern the influence of CRS and HIPEC on QoL regardless of initial diagnosis. The terms were ‘CRS’ + ‘HIPEC’ + ‘QoL’. The abstracts of 33 shortlisted papers were checked to decide if they were directly devoted to QoL after CRS and HIPEC, if they were not limited to only one specific tumour and if they based on data from primary studies. Finally, three reviews were found to meet all the conditions and were used in further analysis. These were: Lambert et al. [9], Seretis et al. [10] and Shan et al. [13].

The information about the quantity of subgroups with particular tumours in the original studies used in these three reviews has been assessed quantitatively in an Excel sheet. The data from 14 out of 15 original papers analysed by Shan et al. were registered, the remaining one did not provide the detailed number of subgroups. From Seretis et al. [10], the data from 18 out of 20 studies were used, and from Lambert et al. [9], we employed the data from 20 out of 22 original studies. In the both reviews, one remaining paper was inaccessible and the other did not provide the detailed number of subgroups (the same as in Shan et al. [13]).

In the case of only percentage data about the number of enrolled patients, the absolute value was calculated. In these cases, the calculated subgroups were controlled by adding them up. No discrepancy occurred. Due to the fact that certain patients were excluded from QoL analyses, the total number of patients in our analysis was, in some cases, not equal to the declared number of patients in the original studies.

All diagnoses mentioned in the original papers were included in the final sheet. These were: CRC, OC, GC, appendiceal cancer (AC); pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP); primary peritoneal neoplasms (PPN), MPM, sarcoma, unknown, others (undefined by authors). Additionally, AP, PMP, PPN and MPM were summed up, as they are forms of primary PSM. All the data are presented in Table 1 and the details of the studies comprised in the analysed reviews are enclosed in the supplementary materials.

RESULTS

In our initial search aimed at QoL after CRS and HIPEC in GC, the relevant information was found in 8 papers. Only one of these was devoted solely to GC, but because of the lack of objective QoL data, it was rejected as being an insufficient source of information [14].

From the conducted epidemiological analysis, it appeared that the numerical details of the three analysed reviews were almost the same, as they were based on nearly the same primary studies. Approximately half of the patients encompassed by these reviews had a form of PSM, with an outstanding majority of AC. Within the subgroups of PM, the most numerous were CRCs, which summed up to approximately 22-24%, whereas, OCs represented approximately 5-15% of all diagnoses. Sarcomas and GCs were the smallest defined subgroups, and these represented approximately 4% of all diagnoses.

DISCUSSION

In some subtypes of GC, the most frequent site of metastases is the peritoneum [15]. The stage of disease with peritoneal seeding has fatal, maximal 3-month long prognosis [16,17] and the standard palliative treatment is based on systemic chemotherapy. According to the REGATTA trial, primary gastrectomy added as the introduction to chemotherapy did not prolong the survival of patients with metastatic GC [18]. Subsequently, the recent GYMSSA trial compared the survival outcomes of D2 gastrectomy expanded by metastasectomy and systemic therapy with chemotherapy alone [19]. The REGATTA and GYMSSA trials, however, also differed in the characteristics of interventions, as the
CONCLUSIONS

The influence of CRS and HIPEC on QoL depends largely on the origin and histology of the tumour and the range of PSM. From our statistics, it appeared that gastric PM constituted only about 4% of all diagnoses comprised in the big reviews devoted to QoL after CRS and HIPEC (Table 1).

Giving the dramatically different prognoses in PSM and gastric PM, the tendency towards generalisation might cause misleading interpretations [4]. The promising outcomes in the QoL after CRS and HIPEC in the numerically superior cases of PSM cover miserable and rarely reported ones in gastric PM. To assess the value of the procedure in this case, separate studies need to be performed.
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