Hypertension (also known as arterial hypertension or high blood pressure) is currently the biggest single contributor to global mortality, and extensive randomized trial data are consistent in showing that blood pressure reduction substantially reduces cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [11]. Since it is very difficult to control blood pressure using a single antihypertensive agent, and an adequate blood pressure control is often made possible among the majority of patients only if combinations of ≥2 antihypertensive medications are used for treatment; attempts have been made in recent years to create a more ideal treatment for hypertension by combining complementary drugs in fixed dose combinations [10]. The rationale behind fixed dose combination therapy is that the use of two complementary agents in lower doses that are with fewer dose-dependent side effects, makes it possible to obtain potential benefits attributable to possible synergistic pharmacological and physiological actions, as well as to engender better patient compliance and adherence towards treatment [3].

The combinations of a renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system-targeting agents, such as angiotensin-converting-enzyme (ACE) inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARB), and diuretic or calcium channel blockers (CCB), appears to provide synergy with regard to blood pressure lowering [15].

One such combination is the one between ARB telmisartan (TEL) and CCB amlodipine (AML). This has demonstrated significantly greater blood pressure reductions compared with the utilization of each monotherapy component, in the overall population, and, in particular, in patients with moderate to severe hypertension, as well as high-risk patients [12].

Taking into consideration the great prevalence and importance of fixed dose combination in modern therapy, the elaboration of new methods of analysis for the simultaneous determination of combined substances represents a necessity and also a challenge for the analysts.

The large majority of methods, described in literature, for the simultaneous determination of antihypertensive drugs from fixed combinations, utilize high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC); but capillary electrophoresis (CE), due to its advantages related to short-analysis time, rapid method development and low consumption of analytes and reagents, has emerged in recent years as an alternative and also a complementary method to the more frequently employed chromatographic procedures.
Literature review reveals various methods for the determination of AML and TEL, individually or in combination, from pharmaceutical products, by RP-HPLC [9,13], HPTLC [2] and UV spectrophotometry [7,8,14].

To our knowledge, no CE method for the simultaneous determination of AML and TEL has been published so far. However, CE has been used for the simultaneous measurement of AML and atorvastatin [5] and of TEL and hydrochlorothiazide [6] from their combination drug formulations. Also a CE method was developed for the simultaneous determination of AML and another ARB, valsartan, in pharmaceuticals and human plasma [1], and, more recently, CE was applied for the simultaneous determination in tablets of the triple combination: AML, valsartan and hydrochlorothiazide [4].

Our aim was to bring forth the development of a simple, rapid and efficient CE method for the simultaneous determination of AML and TEL, as well as the optimization of analytical conditions, and to verify the applicability of the newly developed method for the determination of the two analytes from pharmaceutical products.

EXPERIMENTAL

Chemicals
Pharmaceutical grade samples of amlodipine besylate (Orex Pvt. Ltd, India) and telmisartan (RA ChemPharma Limited, Hyderabad, India) were employed in the study. The phosphoric acid, disodium hydrogenophosphate, sodium didydrogenophosphate were purchased from Merck (Germany), while sodium hydroxide and methanol were obtained from LachNer (Czech Republic). All reagents (Germany), while sodium hydroxide and methanol were obtained from LachNer (Czech Republic). All reagents were of analytical purity. Purified water was provided by a Milli-Q Plus water purification system (Millipore, USA). The pharmaceutical dosage forms investigated in this study were Twynsta (Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH, Germany), containing a TEL/AML besylate ratio of 40/5 and 80/10 mg, respectively.

Equipment
All measurements were performed on an Agilent 1600 CE system equipped with a photodiode array (DAD) detector, with Chemstation software used for data handling. Separations were performed on an uncoated fused-silica capillary with a total length of 48 cm (40 cm effective length), having an internal diameter of 50 µm (Agilent, Germany). Buffer pH was determined using a Terminal 740 pH-meter (Inolab, Germany).

Electrophoretic procedure
Conditioning of new capillaries was conducted by flushing with 0.1 M NaOH for 60 minutes, and then water for 30 minutes. Prior to all runs, the capillary was preconditioned by flushing with 0.1 M NaOH, water, and background electrolyte (BGE) – each for 2 minutes.

Different phosphate and borate buffers were tested in a pH range between 2-11. BGE solutions were prepared by dissolving the appropriate amount of buffer constituents in ultrapure water and adjusting the pH (if necessary) with 1 M H3PO4 or 1 M NaOH solutions. Stock solutions containing 1 mg mL-1 of each analyte were prepared in methanol and diluted prior to use with the same solvent, to the appropriate concentration. Both BGE and sample solutions were filtered through a 0.45 µm pore size membrane filter and sonicated in an ultrasonic bath for 5 minutes prior to use.

In the preliminary analysis, we applied some “standard” electrophoretic conditions for a CE analysis: temperature 20°C, applied voltage +20 kV, injection pressure x time 50 mbar x 3 second, sample concentration 25 µg mL-1. The samples were introduced in the system at the anodic end of the capillary by hydrodynamic injection. Detection was performed at 210, 230 and 250 nm, and full spectra of the analytes were also stored to facilitate peak identification.

When preparing samples drawn from commercial formulations, their average weight was first calculated by weighing 20 tablets. These were then crushed, mixed and powdered in a mortar into a homogenous powder. Subsequently, an amount of powder equivalent to the weight of one tablet was dissolved in 100 mL methanol by sonication for 5 minutes, with intermittent shaking. Following this, the solution was filtered through a 0.45 µm syringe, centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 10 minutes and diluted with methanol to the appropriate concentration. The same procedure was applied as in the separation from standard solutions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Optimization of the analytical conditions
The chemical structure of the two analytes: AML(RS)-3-ethyl 5-methyl 2-[(2-aminoethoxy)methyl]-4-(2-chlorophenyl)-6-methyl-1,4-dihydropyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate and TEL (2-[(4-Methyl-6-(1-methyl-1H-1,3-benzodiazol-2-yl)-2-propyl-1H-1,3-benzodiazol-1-yl)methyl] phenyl)benzoic acid) are presented in Figure 1.

![Chemical structure of the studied analytes](image)

The pH value is always a critical selectivity parameter for the determination of acidic and basic compounds. This because it determines the degree of the analytes ionization, their electroforetic mobility and the magnitude of the electro-osmotic flow (EOF). In our case, AML is a weak basic compound with a pKa value of 8.7, being characterized by the presence of a ionizable amino (-NH2) group, while TEL, with pKa values of 3.5, has a ionizable carboxylic (-COOH) group with pKa values of 3.5 and 6.0 [1,13]. Under acidic conditions, both AML and TEL are positively charged, but as the pH becomes more alkaline, their effective mobility decreases. Herein, AML starting to migrate very close to the EOF. Both analytes can be detected simultaneously over a large pH range (3.5-8.0), but the best correlation between...
migration times, resolution and peak shapes was obtained when using a phosphate buffer at pH 4.50.

Buffer concentrations between 25 and 100 mM were studied at a constant pH of 4.50. In our work, a 50 mM phosphate buffer was chosen because it generated good peak shapes, short migration times and stable baseline current, while greater buffer concentrations brought about longer migration times and high currents which generated electrophoretic system instability.

In order to determine the optimal voltage to be applied, the influence of voltage (10-30 kV) on migration times and resolution was investigated under the optimized BGE conditions; a voltage of +25 kV was selected as the optimum. Application of high voltages is limited by the Joule effect, and, consequently, by the electrophoretic system capacity to dissipate generated heat.

The influence of capillary temperature (15-25°C) was evaluated under the chosen BGE conditions; when temperature increased, migration times decreased; a temperature of 25°C was chosen as working temperature for the analysis.

In order to determine the optimal injection parameters, the influences of injection time (1-5 s) and injection pressure (25-50 mbar) were studied in order to attain lower detection limits, while not affecting the peak shape, migration time and resolution. An injection pressure of 50 mbar and an injection time of 2 seconds were selected.

The best results for the simultaneous determination of AML and TEL were obtained when using 50 mM phosphate buffer at pH 4.50, +25 kV applied voltage, 25°C system temperature, injection pressure 50 mbar, injection time 2 seconds, UV detection at 210 nm. The two analytes migrated in less than 3 minutes, the order of migration was AML, followed by TEL; the resolution of the separation was 4.90 – with a selectivity factor of 1.09 (Fig. 2).

An approach based on the standard deviation of the response and the slope of the calibration plots was used to determine detection (LOD) and quantification limits (LOQ). LOD and LOQ values were estimated as [(standard deviation of repeatability)/(slope of the regression equation)] by multiplying with 3.3 and 10, respectively. The values obtained are given in Table 1.

Intra-day precision was assessed by obtaining the relative standard deviation (RSD) of five repeated measurements of samples at the three concentration levels. Inter-day precision was determined by analyzing the same set of samples for three different days. The RSD values indicate good precision of the method (Table 2).

To demonstrate the robustness of the method, minor changes in the experimental conditions were made. Here, the pH of the buffer was varied in the range ± 0.5 pH unit, separation temperature was altered in the range ± 2°C, while applied voltage was modified in the range ± 2 kV. None of the modifications caused significant changes in the resolution between the drugs. In this work, RSD for migration times and peak areas was under 2%.

The solution stability of AML and TEL was carried out by leaving the test solution in tightly capped volumetric flask at room temperature for 24 hours. The sample solution was then assayed against freshly prepared standard solutions. In our case, the RSD of the assay of AML and TEL were within 5%. This indicates that both standard and test preparation were stable for 24 hours, while standing upon bench top at room temperature.

Accuracy studies were performed by weighing an appropriate amount of Twynsta tablet powder and spiking it with known amount of the standard compounds, the resulting mixtures were analyzed in triplicate. Accuracy values ranged above 0.99. The regression equation and correlation coefficients are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Analytical parameters for the simultaneous determination of AML and TEL (n = 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Concentration range (μg mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>Regression equation</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>LOD (μg mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>LOQ (μg mL⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AML</td>
<td>1-25</td>
<td>y = 0.318x + 10.39</td>
<td>0.9916</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEL</td>
<td>5-100</td>
<td>y = 0.166x + 4.714</td>
<td>0.9907</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>3.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Intra and inter-day precision for the simultaneous determination of AML and TEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte concentration (μg mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>RSD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Migration time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-day precision (n=5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-day precision (n = 15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Analytical performance**

Linearity solutions were prepared from stock solution at six concentration levels and three replicates per concentration. The linear regression analysis of AML and TEL were constructed by plotting the peak area of the analytes (y) versus analyte concentrations (x). The calibration curves were linear in the studied range (5-100 μg mL⁻¹ for TEL, 1-25 μg mL⁻¹ for AML), with correlation coefficients above 0.99.
from 98.35 to 101.84 % for AML and from 100.25% to 103.08% for TEL, the recoveries demonstrating the good accuracy of the proposed method.

The electrophoregram obtained from the sampled tablets were similar to those obtained from standard solutions. Moreover, there was no interference from drug formulation excipients. Furthermore, the % recovery was found to be between the range 98-102% – indicating high degrees of accuracy being made possible through utilizing the proposed method (Table 3).

### Table 3. Assay results of AML and TEL simultaneous determination in pharmaceutical formulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmaceutical preparation</th>
<th>Declared amount (mg)</th>
<th>Found amount (mg)</th>
<th>RSD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AML</td>
<td>TEL</td>
<td>AML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twynsta 40/5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twynsta 80/10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>9.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSIONS**

A CZE method for the simultaneous determination of AML and TEL was successfully developed. Under the optimized conditions, baseline separation of the two analytes was obtained in approximately 3 minutes. Good analytical performance with regards to linearity, precision, reproducibility and robustness was also achieved. What is more, the proposed method was successfully applied for the determination of the analytes in their co-formulated tablets. When compared to previously developed HPLC methods [9,13], the developed method exhibits (as expected) less sensitivity, but, nevertheless, provides shorter migration times and higher separation efficiency. The developed method can, therefore, be used as quality control protocols in pharmaceutical industries.
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