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Abstract

Sedation and analgesia may be needed for many interventional or diagnostic procedures, whose number has grown exponentially lately. The American Society of Anesthesiologists introduced the term “procedural sedation and analgesia” (PSA) and clarified the terminology, moderate sedation and Monitored Anesthesia Care. This review tries to present a nondissociative sedation classification, following ASA guidelines as well as pre-procedural assessment and preparation, in order to choose the appropriate type and level of sedation, patient monitoring and agents, which are most commonly used for sedation and/or analgesia, along with their possible side effects. The paper also lists the possible complications associated with PSA and a few specific particularities of procedural sedation.

Definition

Modern medicine often requires proce-
dures that can cause pain and anxiety. Procedural sedation and analgesia imply the administration of sedative medication, with or without analgesics, in order to improve patient comfort and facilitate a procedure’s performance in elective or emergency acute care medicine, for both in and out-patients, inside or outside the operating room [1].

The American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA, Schaumburg, IL, USA), published in 2002, “Practice Guidelines for Sedation and Analgesia by Non-Anesthesiologists,” where the oxymoronic expression “conscious sedation” has been replaced by “procedural sedation and analgesia” (PSA), because sedation is seen as a continuous state whose staging needs more than a responsiveness criterion [2,3]. Procedural sedation is called “appropriate” when airway control and spontaneous respiration are maintained, despite depressed levels of consciousness [1].

Changing terminology, between PSA and Monitored Anesthesia Care (MAC), created confusion. To clarify the definitions, the European Society of Anesthesiology (ESA, Brussels, Belgium) guidelines 2017 state that Monitored Anesthesia Care (MAC) is mainly PSA, when provided by an anesthesiologist.

The ASA’s statement, Distinguishing Monitored Anesthesia Care (“MAC”) from Moderate Sedation/Analgesia (Conscious Sedation), issued in August 2018, clarifies that MAC is “clearly distinct from Moderate Sedation due to the expectations and qualifications of the provider, who must be able to utilize all anesthesia resources to support life and to provide patient comfort and safety during a diagnostic or therapeutic procedure” [4].

Table 1. ASA classification of sedation levels [7].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsiveness</th>
<th>Minimal Sedation (Anxiolysis)</th>
<th>Moderate Sedation/ Analgesia</th>
<th>Deep Sedation/ Analgesia</th>
<th>General Anesthesia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness</td>
<td>Normal response to verbal stimulation</td>
<td>Purposeful response to verbal or tactile stimulation</td>
<td>Purposeful response after repeated or painful stimulation</td>
<td>Unresponsive, even with painful stimuli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway patency</td>
<td>Unaffected</td>
<td>No intervention needed</td>
<td>May require intervention</td>
<td>Intervention often required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous breathing</td>
<td>Unaffected</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>May be inadequate</td>
<td>Frequently inadequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular function</td>
<td>Unaffected</td>
<td>Usually maintained</td>
<td>Usually maintained</td>
<td>May be impaired</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reflex withdrawal from a painful stimulus does not represent a purposeful response.

In conclusion, MAC services are rendered by anesthesia providers, who are not involved in the diagnostic or procedural service and include the same care as any other anesthesia service: a pre-anesthesia assessment, vital signs monitoring during the procedure, and post anesthesia patient care [5].

The demand for sedation and analgesia has increased due to the use of invasive endoscopy and radiology, as a first line treatment for many life-threatening conditions, screening campaigns over the past decades, as well as patients’ rising expectations and the need to improve their compliance [6].

Classification

The 2002 ASA guidelines classify the level of non-dissociative sedation into 4 categories, taking into account the criteria of responsiveness, airway patency, and ability to maintain spontaneous ventilation and cardiovascular depression. The guidelines emphasize that sedation is a gradual, continuous state of central nervous system depression, from mere anxiolysis to general anesthesia [7].

The classification does not apply to the dissociative state specific to ketamine, characterized by analgesia, amnesia and sedation, with the preservation of protective airway reflexes and spontaneous respiration. In ketamine sedation, once the dissociative effect is reached, the patient remains unresponsive to any stimulus, and the cardio-respiratory function is preserved, regardless of supplementary doses [8].
When is Patient Sedation and Analgesia Indicated

Procedural sedation can be employed for any prolonged or unpleasant procedure in order to alleviate the patient’s discomfort, pain or anxiety and perform under better conditions.

Patient—related factors: increased anxiety, unwillingness to cooperate and disabilities in understanding.

Procedure—related factors: painful maneuvers, interventions requiring a deep level of relaxation or a minimal amount of patient movement [9].

Pre-Procedural Patient Assessment

Each patient should be assessed thoroughly and prepared as if undergoing general anesthesia. The assessment choses the adequate level of sedation and evaluates the patient’s risk of complications and need for an anesthesiologist [10].

A. Pre-procedural patient evaluation should include a detailed medical history, along with a focused physical examination and laboratory testing. Together with vital signs measurement and baseline consciousness level assessment, airway anatomy evaluation, focusing on predictors for difficult bag-mask ventilation and laryngoscopy, must always be performed rigorously [11]. The best risk stratification tool is the ASA physical status classification. In gastrointestinal endoscopy, for example, patients with a higher ASA status class have a higher risk of unplanned cardiorespiratory complications during the procedure [12].

B. Pre-procedural patient preparation

According to the 2017 ESA guidelines, patients should be referred to an anesthesiologist for evaluation and intra-procedural management in case of severe cardiovascular disease, documented risk of obstructive sleep apnea, morbid obesity (BMI > 40 kg/m2), chronic liver failure (MELD score ≥10), chronic renal failure (glomerular filtration rate <60 mL/min/1.73 m2 for more than 3 months or stage 3A), elderly patients (>70 years) and ASA status class ≥III [1].

Increased risk of pulmonary aspiration and airway difficulties should also require the presence of an anesthesiologist [4,10].

Pre-procedural fasting. ASA guidelines recommend a fasting period of 2 h for clear liquids, 6 h for light solid meals and 8 h for fried/fatty foods or meat in adults undergoing procedural sedation to avoid gastric content aspiration [13]. However, current literature does not provide enough evidence that any specific period of fasting positively influences gastric volumes and pH [10]. In addition, studies from the literature revealed that the aspiration risk during emergent procedural sedation on non-fasted patients is very low, and pre-procedural fasting for any duration does not decrease the risk of emesis or aspiration [14–16]. A more liberalized pre-sedation fasting policy might be more suitable, observing the current ASA guidelines for patients with a higher risk of aspiration [15].

Limits and Precautions

There are no absolute contraindications to procedural sedation. However, precautions exist, and they require case management by an anesthesiologist:

significant comorbidities (ASA class status ≥ III)

• older age (>70–75 years)
• airway difficulties
• high risk of aspiration.

Recent food intake is not an absolute contraindication, and sedation should not be delayed in emergencies based only on fasting time, although the last food intake should be considered when choosing the timing and degree of sedation [13,16]. Conditions predisposing to pulmonary aspiration are: gastroesophageal reflux (hiatus hernia, bowel obstruction, pregnancy), ASA class status ≥III, older age (>70 years), airway difficulties, and depressed mental state [15].

Monitoring

Patient standard mandatory monitoring during procedural sedation outside the operation room is maintained for non-invasive arterial blood pressure, ECG monitoring, oxygen saturation and end-tidal carbon dioxide (EtCO2) [1,7].

For consciousness assessment, the “gold standard” remains the communication between the anesthetist and the patient. If this is impossible (e.g., upper endoscopy), a way to check the state of consciousness must be established before the beginning of the procedure (e.g., shake hand or lift one finger when asked). Cerebral monitoring has been proven to have a limited utility in procedural sedations. The bispectral index (BIS) technology has been proven to be efficacious in reducing hypnotic doses and procedure duration, without influencing cardiopulmonary complications [17,18]. Gill et al. also demonstrated this device’s limitations in differentiating sedation levels [19]. There are
also other devices, such as Spectral Entropy and Narcotrend, that have recently begun to be evaluated in relation to procedural sedation.

Hemodynamic monitoring involves the non-invasive blood pressure and heart rate at regular intervals. While ASA guidelines 2018 indicate that continued ECG monitoring is mandatory only in cases of moderate sedation of patients with cardiovascular disease or when arrhythmias are anticipated, ESA guidelines 2017 recommend ECG monitoring for all procedural sedations [1,7].

The use of hypnotic drugs and opioids can be accompanied by respiratory depression. Pulse oximetry is mandatory, but this is a late indicator of respiratory depression, especially when supplemental oxygen is added. Oxygen supplementation to prevent hypoxemia is recommended, although this proves beneficial only when using a high flow (15 L/min) [20].

Campbell’s study demonstrated that using capnometry did not induce significant changes in clinical outcomes, although a meta-analysis published in 2017 by Sanders et al. concluded that including capnography in standard monitoring was associated with a decrease in moderate and severe desaturations [21,22]. However, end-tidal CO2 does not accurately reflect PaCO2 in non-intubated patients with various preexisting lung disorders [23].

As each current device used for monitoring ventilation is flawed, the research for an ideal one is ongoing. A new non-invasive respiratory monitoring device (impedance-based respiratory volume monitor-RVM), continuously recording a minute expiratory volume, tidal volume and respiratory rate, may prove valuable. RVM use has been shown to decrease the number of apnea and hypoventilation episodes [24]. A pilot study published in 2018 suggests monitoring ventilation using diaphragm ultrasonography [25].

For the time being, according to both ASA and ESA guidelines, the continual monitoring of ventilatory function with capnography, to supplement standard monitoring by observation and pulse oximetry, is mandatory [1,7].

**Medication**

At present, there are different ways to obtain the desired level of sedation and analgesia, although the most useful and efficient one remains the intravenous administration of a hypnotic drug, with the addition of an analgesic (usually opioid) in painful procedures. The “ideal” substance should have a rapid onset, a rapid recovery time, a known pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic profile and should not produce respiratory or hemodynamic depression [26]. The most commonly used substances are described in the table below (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Onset (minutes)</th>
<th>Duration (minutes)</th>
<th>Effects</th>
<th>Important Side Effects</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Propofol</td>
<td>0.5–1 mg/kg</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4–10</td>
<td>Amnestic Sedative</td>
<td>Injection site pain</td>
<td>The most common hypnotic agent used for procedural sedation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hypotension</td>
<td>Respiratory depression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midazolam</td>
<td>0.03 mg/kg</td>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>Anxiolytic Sedative</td>
<td>Respiratory depression</td>
<td>Decreased clearance in elderly, critically ill, hepatic dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dexmedetomidine</td>
<td>1 mcg/kg</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>30–45</td>
<td>Sedative Amnestic</td>
<td>Hypo-/hypertension</td>
<td>High cost Infusing the loading dose in 10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nausea Bradycardia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketamine</td>
<td>0.25–1 mg/kg</td>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>5–10</td>
<td>Analgesic Amnestic Dissociative sedative</td>
<td>Delirium Hallucinations Prolonged recovery</td>
<td>Minimal respiratory depression Airway reflexes preservation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The most commonly used drugs for procedural sedation [26,27] (* NR = not reported).
Complications

In 2016, Bellolio et al. published two meta-analyses of complications in adults and children relating to procedural sedation in emergency departments. No deaths were recorded. The most frequent major complications in adults were laryngospasm (4.2/1000 cases), followed by the need to intubate (1.6/1000 cases), and pulmonary aspiration (1.2/1000 cases), while the most common minor complications were transient hypoxia (40/1000 cases), vomiting, arterial hypotension and transient apnea [28,29] (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Cause and Risk Factors</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory Complications</td>
<td>Use of sedative (especially propofol, benzodiazepines and etomidate) and opioid medication</td>
<td>Dose-dependent decrease of central and peripheral chemoreceptors sensitivity and direct respiratory centers depression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory depression (hypoventilation/apnea) [30,31]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airways Obstruction:</td>
<td>Obesity Sleep apnea syndrome</td>
<td>Posterior collapse of the pharyngeal structures and epiglottis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway collapse [32,33]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laryngospasm [30,32,34]</td>
<td>Children Smokers ENT and dental procedures</td>
<td>Irritation produced by secretions or blood, followed by reflex closure of the striate muscles of the glottis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glottis edema [30]</td>
<td>History of allergic episodes</td>
<td>Anaphylactic reaction, leading to swelling of the glottis mucosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchospasm [30,32]</td>
<td>History of allergic episodes Bronchial hyper reactivity (including asthma) Aspiration of gastric content or secretions Smoking Use of histamine-triggering medication</td>
<td>Bronchial smooth muscle contraction, as a response to direct irritation or triggered by an anaphylactic reaction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Most important complications of procedural sedation and analgesia.
### Pulmonary aspiration [30,35]

Obesity  
Hiatal hernia  
Pregnancy  
Sedation for endoscopy  
Full stomach **)

Loss of protective reflexes can lead to aspiration of gastric content, blood, secretions, and teeth. This can lead to airway obstruction and/or pneumonia, with pulmonary abscesses or acute respiratory distress syndrome.

### Cardiovascular Complications

#### Arterial hypotension [30]

Hypovolemia  
Shock states

Some sedatives (propofol, benzodiazepines) reduce cardiac frequency, stroke volume and vascular resistance.

#### Bradycardia [32]

Beta-blocker treatment  
Previous cardiac blocks

Can be induced by cardiac depressant effect of sedative medication and/or by vasovagal reflexes.

#### Anaphylactic shock [36]

Atopy with or without history of allergic episodes

Massive histamine and other mediators release with vasodilation and increased vascular permeability, with loss of intravascular volume leading to distributive shock.

#### Hypertension and tachycardia/Onset of new tachyarythmia

Pain  
Use of ketamine

Related to the increased tone of the sympathetic nervous system.

#### Acute coronary syndrome

Previous history of myocardial ischemia/infarction  
Pain  
Prolonged hypotension/hypoxia

Imbalance between myocardial oxygen consumption (tachycardia) and oxygen delivery (existing coronary lesions, hypotension, hypoxia).

#### Cardiac arrest [37]

Prolonged hypoxia  
Preexisting heart disease  
Acute coronary syndrome

All complications listed above can lead to cardiac arrest, if severe enough or insufficiently treated.

### Neuro-Psychiatric Complication

#### Postoperative delirium [38]

Elderly  
Preexistent cognitive impairment  
Use of benzodiazepines  
Profound sedation

Acute confusional state, with fluctuating changes in consciousness and attention.

#### Emergence delirium [39,40]

Use of ketamine (in adults) or volatile agents (in children)  
Male sex  
Postoperative pain

Agitation, confusion, disorientation, sometimes violent behavior in early post-sedation recovery.

#### Brain injuries [41]

Stroke  
Prolonged hypoxia

Incomplete or no recovery of pre-procedural neurological status when sedative medication was antagonized/metabolized, after ruling out metabolic causes (hypoglycemia, hypercapnia).

### Thermoregulation disorders

#### Hypothermia [42,43]

Low environmental temperature  
Pediatric population  
BMI < 25 kg/m2  
Prolonged procedures

Loss of heat because of vasodilation, induced by sedatives, and/or impaired thermoregulation, induced by opioids.
Nosed or insufficiently treated, they will progress to tissue hypoxemia and mixed acidosis, with serious consequences (myocardial depression or ischemia, brain hypoxic injuries and cardiac arrest). Being an objective parameter evaluated by pulse oximetry, hypoxemia or the duration of desaturation is considered by some studies as a respiratory complication. The need to intubate implies the existence of a severe respiratory complication or the failure of other treatment options, being counted as a complication itself [30,32].

Traditionally, the lack of preoperative fasting is thought to be a risk factor for aspiration, although multiple studies failed to find a correlation. Bach et al. studied 100,000 cases of procedural sedation, with a known fasting status, and did not find any correlation between fasting and the 8 cases of gastric aspiration [47]. A systematic review, published in 2017 by Green et al., trying to catalogue instances of aspiration involving procedural sedation, identified few occurrences, outside of gastrointestinal endoscopy, where a full recovery was typical. The authors’ conclusion was that aspiration during procedural sedation appears to be rare, idiosyncratic, and typically benign [48].

Discharge Criteria

 ESA guidelines recommend several criteria for patient discharge:
- The risk of post-procedural complications must be low (e.g., hemorrhage).
- Mental and biological status should be close to the pre-procedural parameters, with stable vital signs (arterial pressure, cardiac frequency, oxygen saturation).
- Symptoms, such as vomiting, pain, and dizziness, should be controlled.
- The patient should be accompanied by a reliable person for the following hours [1].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malignant hyperthermia [43,44]</th>
<th>Genetic background</th>
<th>Calcium channel genetic disorder, triggered by some medication, rhabdomyolysis, hypercapnia, and increased heat production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other complications</td>
<td>Use of volatile agents and/or opioids</td>
<td>Activation of μ opioid receptors and 5-HT3 receptor (enhanced by volatile agents) leads to stimulation of the emesis center.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) [45]</td>
<td>Non-smokers</td>
<td>Opioid related inhibition of acetylcholine release from the parasympathetic sacral neurons results in urinary retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary retention [46]</td>
<td>Use of opioids</td>
<td>Genetic background Use of volatile agents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respiratory complications, regardless of the cause, lead to hypoxemia and hypercapnia. If undiagnosed or insufficiently treated, they will progress to tissue hypoxemia and mixed acidosis, with serious consequences (myocardial depression or ischemia, brain hypoxic injuries and cardiac arrest). Being an objective parameter evaluated by pulse oximetry, hypoxemia or the duration of desaturation is considered by some studies as a respiratory complication. The need to intubate implies the existence of a severe respiratory complication or the failure of other treatment options, being counted as a complication itself [30,32].

There are scores, developed to assess patients’ suitability for discharge, such as Aldrete and Modified PADSS (Post-Anesthetic Discharge Scoring System), both of which are safe to perform [49,50].

A minimum of 30 min of recovery time in a monitored area, in the presence of a trained nurse, with continuous oxygen saturation and intermittent ECG and noninvasive invasive blood pressure, is recommended before discharge [1,7]. Studying the incidence and timing of complications, Newman et al. found that, after 25 min from the last sedative administration, their occurrence was rare, and no side effects related to sedation occurred after 25 min [51].

Specific Procedural Sedation Particularities

Sedation for Gastroenterological Procedures

The challenges related to endoscopic procedures, although not highly invasive nor painful, are associated with difficult airway access, higher risk of aspiration due to gastric bleeding or hemodynamic instability, caused either by hypovolemia (following massive bleeding or bowel preparation) or by a vagal response after digestive tract distention [4].

Choosing the sedation target in endoscopy procedures depends on:
- Patient-associated factors: older non-anxious males, without a history of abdominal pain, tend to tolerate upper endoscopy or colonoscopy, with minimal or no sedation. Prior difficulties during procedural sedation, benzodiazepine, opioid or alcohol use predict poor procedural tolerance [9,52].
- Procedure-associated factors: long duration, high invasiveness, increased level of dis-
comfort induced and a need to reduce patient movements (ERCP or EUS-FNA) require deeper levels of sedation [52,53].

At present, most endoscopists prefer midazolam, alone or in combination with an opioid, for procedural sedation [53,54]. Due to its short induction and recovery times and improved patient and endoscopist satisfaction, propofol is slowly becoming the best sedation agent for endoscopy, especially during prolonged or complex therapeutic procedures (EUS, ERCP, PEG) [54]. However, because of its narrow therapeutic window and lack of specific antidote, procedural sedation with propofol remains tightly regulated, and many experts recommend that it be performed only by clinicians trained in general anesthesia. In some countries, such as the US, Germany and Switzerland, propofol can be administered by registered nurses or gastroenterologists in low-risk patients, targeting a lower level of sedation [55].

In emergency endoscopy for upper intestinal bleeding, the best anesthetic management is still controversial. Besides clear indications for endotracheal intubation, such as altered mental status and hemodynamic instability, the current literature does not provide any evidence that routinely prophylactic intubation results in a better outcome, compared to minimal or moderate sedation. On the contrary, prophylactic intubation might be associated with higher rates of aspiration [56].

Sedation for Gynecological Procedures

Paracervical block can be an efficient analgesic technique during diagnostic and less painful therapeutic procedures. In more invasive procedures (therapeutic hysteroscopy), conscious sedation can be used in combination with a paracervical block, providing better post-procedural analgesia and a shorter recovery time than general anesthesia. Regional and general anesthesia should be reserved for interventions with extensive intrauterine manipulation [57–59].

Procedural sedation can also be employed for in vitro fertilization maneuvers. Moderate sedation is generally effective during oocyte retrieval, especially when combined with paracervical block or acupuncture [60], but it might need to be deepened during more painful moments (needle penetration of the cul-de-sac and each ovary) to prevent patient movement. Thus, the most satisfactory technique for both the patient and gynecologist remains total intravenous anesthesia with propofol and an opioid [61]. Transabdominal gamete or embryo transfer procedures are more invasive, requiring local, neuraxial or general anesthesia.

Sedation for Cardiologic Procedures

Providing sedation for cardiologic procedures is challenging due to the unfamiliar remote location, limited help from fellow anesthesiologists, limited equipment, radiation exposure, limited access to the patient and a higher risk patient population with severe cardiovascular or pulmonary disease [62,63]. Regardless of the technique used, it is important to minimize the effects of anesthetic drugs on the cardiovascular system [64]. Short procedures without hemodynamic instability are manageable under minimal or moderate sedation performed by registered nurses or cardiologists. Anesthetic management is mandatory in patients with severe anxiety, an inability to lay down in supine position, morbid obesity, airway difficulties or significant comorbidities, as well as procedures requiring minimal patient movement or endotracheal intubation [63].

During electrophysiological studies, minimal patient movements, preventing catheter dislodgement and minimal cardiac rhythm depression by anesthetic drugs, in order to be able to reproduce arrhythmias, are compulsory. Most cases are performed under moderate or deep sedation induced by a propofol and remifentanil infusion [63,65].

Some cardiac catheterization procedures, such as percutaneous coronary intervention, implantable cardioverter defibrillator or cardiac pacing device implantation, can be performed under local anesthesia and moderate sedation, administered by the interventional cardiologist. Electrical cardioversion requires a short period of deep sedation, usually acquired by small bolus doses of propofol. Transesophageal echocardiography is also usually performed under procedural sedation (induced by propofol or midazolam), along with pharyngeal anesthesia [63].

Conclusions

In relation to patient- and procedure-related factors, there is a choice between procedural sedation, analgesia and monitored anesthesia care. In order to support life and provide patient comfort and safety during a diagnostic or therapeutic procedure, the procedural sedation provider must be familiar with the pharmacodynamics of the drug and its possible side effects.
and has to know when patients should be referred to an anesthesiologist for evaluation and intra-procedural management.
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