Simple diagnostic technique of a single IGBT open-circuit faults for a SVM-VSI vector controlled induction motor drive
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Abstract. In this paper a simple diagnostic system for a single IGBT open-circuit faults for a two level voltage inverter-fed field oriented controlled induction motor drive was presented. A fault diagnostic procedure is carried out by utilizing an analysis of a stator current vector trajectory in α-β coordinates. An extraction of the failure information is based on monitoring of an angle between the stator current space vector and the α axis. Thanks to a diagnostic signal normalization, high robustness to false diagnosis alarms is guaranteed. To confirm the proposed method, simulation results under a wide range working condition of the induction motor drive were presented.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays failures of the converter-fed electric motor drives constitute an actual problem. As the faults consequence, a quality of the whole industrial processes is decreased therefore in many cases it is necessary to interrupt a work of the electric drives for their repair time duration. Unplanned drive stops could lead to high financial losses, so since many years various monitoring systems, which allow an automatic failures localization have been developed and widely applied in an industry [1, 2]. Among various kinds of faults, power converter faults, related to semiconductor or control circuit damages, are the most frequent and are estimated up to 60% of the power devices failures [3]. Thus recently quite a lot different fault detection and localization methods and techniques have been reported in technical literature.

In papers [4–12] open-switch fault diagnostic methods based on the analysis of the current vector hodograph function in the α-β complex plane were presented. In case of the first technique [4], a failure detection and a localization is achieved if an absolute value of the current vector exceeds some threshold at the time, when it takes characteristic position in the α-β coordinate system. According to a diagnostic technique introduced in [5], localization of a faulty inverter phase is realized by an analysis of the current vector hodograph also, but a faulty switch can be recognized thanks to the knowledge of phase currents polarity. In the papers [6, 7], an expansion of the previously described diagnostic methods was presented. Another approach for the open-switch fault diagnosis is based on measurements of the stator current data with clustering and the simple pre-processing algorithms [8, 9]. An identification of the data cluster which indicates the IGBT switch failure is achieved by utilizing uncomplicated calculations [8] or on the contrary, more sophisticated techniques like artificial intelligence methods [9]. Similar methods consist in the centroid calculation of the current vector trajectory in the α-β coordinate system [10, 11] or in an a-b-c phase system [12]. For increasing the robustness to false diagnosis alarms under wide range load condition, a fuzzy logic based approach can be applied [11].

In this paper an implementation of a simple open-switch fault diagnostic method for two level voltage inverter-fed field-oriented-controlled induction motor drive was proposed. Extraction of the transistors faults symptoms is only based on tracking the angle between the stator current space vector and the α axis of the complex α-β coordinate system and the motor speed measurement. In comparison to previously described stator current-based diagnostic methods [4, 5], in the proposed technique a new failure localization procedure was carried on.

The considered fault diagnosis method is dedicated to fault-tolerant electric motor drive systems (FTEMDS) that require to identify a faulty transistor in order to perform a remedial action which ensures an uninterrupted motor drive maintenance [13]. In most cases, an essence of the FTEMDS concept is to utilize a redundant power converter, which topology allows to swap a faulty switch out with a redundant transistor or a power module. A survey of fault-tolerant inverter schemes was presented in paper [14].

2. Description of the open-switch fault diagnostic method

The basic scheme of the two-level voltage source inverter topology, which faults are considered in this paper, is shown in Fig. 1.

Figure 2 shows the ideal shapes of the stator current vector hodographs under different faulty modes of this inverter [6].
As previously mentioned, the extraction of the transistor faults symptoms can be realized only by tracking the angle between the stator current space vector and the \( \alpha \) axis of the complex \( \alpha - \beta \) coordinates:

\[
\Theta = \arctan\left(\frac{i_{s\beta}}{i_{s\alpha}}\right), \quad (1)
\]

where \( i_{s\alpha}, i_{s\beta} \) are the stator currents calculated according to the Park’s vector transformation (2):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    i_{s\alpha} \\
    i_{s\beta}
\end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{3} \begin{bmatrix}
    1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
    \sqrt{3}/2 & \sqrt{3} & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    i_{sA} \\
    i_{sB} \\
    i_{sC}
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)
\]

Figures 3 and 4 present ideal transients of the angle, \( \Theta \), during the open-switch faults of the inverter, depending on the angular motor speed direction.

The faulty phase is localized if the rotating current vector stops and takes a characteristic position in the \( \alpha - \beta \) plane for the time \( t_{d1} \) greater than under healthy inverter mode. The faulty switch localization is achieved only by analysis of the \( \Theta \) angle dynamics during the time \( t_{d2} \) between current vector stoppage. In Fig. 5, the typical parts of the \( \Theta \) transients for the inverter open-switch faults were marked, as numbers 1–12.
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Fig. 5. The typical parts of the angle $\Theta$ transients for the inverter open-switch fault: a) sequence 1, b) sequence 2, c) sequence 3, d) sequence 4

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faulty transistor</th>
<th>Motor drive speed $\omega$</th>
<th>Sequence of the $\Theta$ transient, which indicates the transistor fault</th>
<th>Characteristic positions of the stopped stator current vector defined by $\Theta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>$\omega &gt; 0$</td>
<td>Sequence 1</td>
<td>$-\pi/2$, $\pi/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\omega &lt; 0$</td>
<td>Sequence 2</td>
<td>$-\pi/6$, $5\pi/6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>$\omega &gt; 0$</td>
<td>Sequence 1</td>
<td>$-5\pi/6$, $\pi/6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\omega &lt; 0$</td>
<td>Sequence 2</td>
<td>$-\pi/2$, $\pi/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>$\omega &gt; 0$</td>
<td>Sequence 3</td>
<td>$-\pi/2$, $\pi/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\omega &lt; 0$</td>
<td>Sequence 4</td>
<td>$-5\pi/6$, $\pi/6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄</td>
<td>$\omega &gt; 0$</td>
<td>Sequence 3</td>
<td>$-\pi/6$, $5\pi/6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\omega &lt; 0$</td>
<td>Sequence 4</td>
<td>$-\pi/2$, $\pi/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₅</td>
<td>$\omega &gt; 0$</td>
<td>Sequence 3</td>
<td>$-\pi/2$, $\pi/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\omega &lt; 0$</td>
<td>Sequence 4</td>
<td>$-5\pi/6$, $\pi/6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₆</td>
<td>$\omega &gt; 0$</td>
<td>Sequence 1</td>
<td>$-\pi/6$, $5\pi/6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\omega &lt; 0$</td>
<td>Sequence 2</td>
<td>$-\pi/2$, $\pi/2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the assumed numbering of $\Theta$ angle transients and analysis of Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, indicated in the Fig. 5, the Table 3 was drown up.

3. Implementation of the proposed method

Based on the observations summarized in Tables 1–3, the simple algorithm of the open-switch fault diagnosis and localization was proposed. The overall block diagram of this diagnostic system is shown in Fig. 6.

The first stage of the failure symptoms extraction procedure consists in the reduction of the $\Theta$ signal dynamics, by utilizing a low pass filter, which eliminates the high frequency diagnostic signal components. Next, in the block “FUNCTION 1” a derivative of the $\Theta$ signal is calculated, named $\Delta \Theta$, according to the equation:

$$\Delta \Theta(k) = \Theta(k) - \Theta(k - n),$$

where $n$ – a number of delay time units relative to $k$ instant.

Simultaneously, in every simulation step, a value of the $\Theta$ signal is compared to previously defined characteristic values $\Theta_{fl}$ or $\Theta_{fh}$. The functionality of the block “$\Theta_{fl}$ and $\Theta_{fh}$ DETECTOR” can be explained by the following example taken for the phase A:

$$\text{if } \Theta(k) = -\pi/2 \pm \varepsilon \text{ then } \Theta_{al}(k) = 1,$$
$$\text{else } \Theta_{al}(k) = 0,$$

$$\text{if } \Theta(k) = \pi/2 \pm \varepsilon \text{ then } \Theta_{ah}(k) = 1,$$
$$\text{else } \Theta_{ah}(k) = 0,$$

where $\varepsilon$ – a small value.

Next, in the system part called “MONITORING SYSTEM OF THE PHASE A,B,C”, the failure symptoms integration procedure for each inverter phase is carried out and then the diagnostic decisions are made. If the transistor fault is localized, the value of the appropriate output signal ($T_{1 \text{ fault}}$, ..., $T_{n \text{ fault}}$) of the diagnostic system is changed from 0 to 1. In Fig. 7 the block diagram of the monitoring system for the phase A is shown.

The block diagram from Fig. 7 contains two counters: $\Sigma_{ah}$ and $\Sigma_{al}$, which are activated if the value of the $\Theta_{al}$ or $\Theta_{ah}$ is equal to 1. The number of registered pulses $a_h$ or $a_l$ is...
proportional to the time, when the stator current vector takes the characteristic position in the $\alpha$-$\beta$ plane (Table 3). If $a_h$ or $a_l$ is equal or bigger than a value appointed by “Function 2” block, the appropriate flag is set for the time dependent on the actual angular motor speed. This flag can be realized as a single bit of a memory space of a microcontroller and it means that some of the previously described characteristic events from Fig. 5, like 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10 or 12, was identified. At the same time $\Delta \Theta$ signal is analyzed. If it reaches the value, which is equivalent to the change $\Theta$ by $\pi$, signal of an appropriate comparator ($\Delta \Theta_n$ or $\Delta \Theta_p$) is set to 1.

The Fig. 7 contains also a block called “MASK”, which allows to determine that a sudden change of $\Theta$ angle is equal to $\pi$ and it is simultaneously included between two characteristic positions $\Theta_{al}$ and $\Theta_{ah}$. The block diagram of the “MASK” is shown in Fig. 8.

It has to be added, that the diagnostic output $T_{1_{fault}}$ has priority over $T_{3_{fault}}$. That means, if the fault of the transistor $T_1$ is localized (if $T_{1_{fault}} = 1$), a functionality of the logic system which corresponds to the transistor $T_4$ is deactivated for a while depending on an actual motor speed.

4. Simulation results

To simulate transistor faults, the mathematical model presented in the paper [15] was implemented. According to this model, the phase voltages depend on the phase current and on a healthy semiconductor state in the faulty inverter leg. The transistor failures are simulated by a switch function reconfiguration, so that one directional current flow in the faulty phase is achieved.

The simulation results using the mathematical model of the two-level voltage inverter-fed field-oriented-controlled induction motor drive were obtained (for the induction motor data summarized in the Appendix). The applied speed control structure for the field-oriented control [16, 17] is shown in Fig. 9.

To confirm the correctness of the proposed fault simulation technique, the stator current space vector hodographs under faults of the $T_1$–$T_6$ transistors, for changeable motor speed from the nominal $\omega_1$ up to the low $\omega_4 = 0.25\omega_1$ angular motor speed and constant nominal load torque $m_l = m_n$, are presented in Fig. 10. Their shape and position correspond to ideal vector hodographs presented in Fig. 2.
Next the proposed diagnostic method was tested. As the first part of simulation tests, the single open switch faults under speed steady-state operation, for different values and directions of the motor speed $\omega$ and load torque $m_l$ are presented. To prove the diagnostic system robustness to false alarms, the $T_6$ fault diagnostic signals (Figs. 11a and 12a) under $T_6$ failure, for both speed directions are presented. Simultaneously the transients of $\Theta$ angle signal (Fig. 11b and Fig. 12b) before and during $T_6$ fault are demonstrated for different speed values. It has to be added, that in the Fig. 11a and Fig. 12a, $T_{6\text{ sim}}$ signal corresponds to a fault simulator. If the failure is simulated, the $T_{6\text{ sim}}$ is equal to one, otherwise – to zero.

In Figs. 11b and 12b the fault occurrence was indicated by triangle, while the rectangle shows a moment when the failure was localized. A part of $\Theta$ signal which is referred to a fault localization time $t_L$ is marked by a dotted line.

Figure 13 shows transients of the $\Theta$ signal before and during faults of the $T_1$-$T_6$ transistors, for different drive speed values and load condition. In these cases only diagnostic signals corresponding to faulty switches were presented, to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed diagnostic method.

Next, in Fig. 14, simulation results corresponding to faulty inverter condition under dynamical modes – linear speed changes and variable load torque $m_l$, similar to previously showed examples, are presented.

The simulation results confirm the effectiveness of the proposed diagnostic method under a wide range of the motor drive operating condition. When the current is conducted through the healthy switch of the faulty inverter leg, the $\Theta$ angle signal is not affected, so that the failure localization procedure can not be carried out by using the described technique. Accordingly to the diagnostic procedure, the failure symptoms are visible for the diagnostic system when the faulty transistor should conduct the current. This situation corresponds to the deformed part of the current vector hodographs, which are approximated by the line (diameter of a semicircle – Fig. 2), but taking into account the $\Theta$ signal transients, characteristic shapes like in Fig. 5 are registered. As it can be seen in Fig. 10, during low-speed drive operation under transistor fault, the amplitude of stator current vector is significantly higher than in case of nominal speed of the machine. It is related to a relatively long non-current-conducting durations caused by the transistor fault in one of an inverter phase. As a consequence, when a current cannot flow through the transistor, an electromagnetic torque of a machine decreases and therefore in order to maintain its reference value, motor currents are

Fig. 11. Output signals of the diagnostic system (a) and $\Theta$ signal transients (b) during various positive values of the motor speed $\omega$ and constant load torque $m_l$ under steady-state in case of $T_6$ switch fault

Fig. 12. Output signals of the diagnostic system (a) and $\Theta$ signal transients (b) during various negative values of the motor speed $\omega$ and constant load torque $m_l$ under steady-state in case of $T_6$ switch fault

Next, in Fig. 14, simulation results corresponding to faulty inverter condition under dynamical modes – linear speed changes and variable load torque $m_l$, similar to previously showed examples, are presented.
increased by control circuits in healthy phases. Thus, output signals of drive controllers should be limited so that forced stator currents cannot activate an over-current protection in case of transistor faults. Fulfillment of this condition allows to effectively perform the proposed transistor fault diagnostic procedure avoiding uncontrolled interrupt of drive operation.

Fig. 13. Output signals of the diagnostic system and the transients of the $\Theta$ signal during various angular motor speed $\omega$ and a various load torque $m_L$ under steady-state in case of switch fault: $T_1$ (1a,b), $T_3$ (2a,b), $T_5$ (3a,b), $T_4$ (4a,b), $T_6$ (5a,b) and $T_2$ (6a,b)
The fault localization time $t_L$ depends on an actual position of the current vector in the $\alpha-\beta$ coordinate system at the moment of the failure occurrence and the angular motor speed as well. According to the proposed diagnostic system, in case of the $T_3$, $T_4$, $T_5$ failures, the localization time $t_L$ is always shorter than one period of the stator current, which can be observed in the Figs. 13.2a,b, 13.3a,b, 13.4a,b. However, if the fault of the $T_1$, $T_2$, $T_6$ transistors are taken into consideration, $t_L$ can be stretched on and the failure localization procedure is carried out with the time smaller than two current periods. That concerns the situation, when switch fault occurs at the moment referred to the events marked by 2 or 5 in Fig. 5 or during short time periods $\Delta t$ directly before or after these events. The value of $\Delta t$ depends on the output signal of the “Function 2” block (Fig. 7) and the velocity of the drive as well. In the proposed diagnostic system, a value of $\Delta t$ is always shorter than 1/8 current period, so that the failure localization procedure is carried out during the time $t_L$ which is greater than one stator current period with a probability equal to 1/4, as can be seen for instance in the Fig. 13.1b.

Simulation results shown in Fig. 14, confirm also the effectiveness of the proposed diagnostic system under dynamical operation of the drive system, e.g. linear changes of the motor speed and various load torque values. Conclusions corresponding to the localization time $t_L$ are analogues as in the case of the switch faults which happen under speed steady-state of the drive, but in this case the current period has to be understood as the time, which is equal to one revolution of the current vector in the $\alpha-\beta$ coordinate system.

5. Conclusions

The proposed diagnostic system allows to localize the single open-switch faults of the two level voltage inverters under various conditions of the induction motor drive. Due to the introduced adaptation mechanisms of the diagnostic system parameters, the system functionality is not disturbed by so called “false alarms”, even under transient states of the drive speed.

As opposed to the previously mentioned diagnostic methods, for instance the technique based on clustering methods or centroid calculation of the current vector hodographs [8, 9], the proposed diagnostic system implementation does not require large measurement data acquisition and complex calculation as well. The system design is based on simple components such as flip-flops or comparators, therefore the real implementation is relatively cheap and uncomplicated. Thus, the proposed transistor failure diagnostic technique can be applied in fault-tolerant induction motor drive systems that integrate fault diagnostic algorithms and control methods, which always increases computational effort of the control structure.

The analyzed transistor faults contribute to increase inverter phase currents which result in an increased temperature of power modules so that a risk of further faults of power electronics is higher when a faulty motor drive operates for a long term. Nevertheless, as proved in this paper, the proposed diagnostic method provides a short transistor fault localization time which allows to perform a remedial action by utilizing special redundant inverter before another failures.
Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Three-phase induction motor parameters</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated power $P_N$ [kW]</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator voltage $U_N$ [V]</td>
<td>220/380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator current $I_N$ [A]</td>
<td>2.9/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed $n_N$ [rpm]</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pole pairs $p$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator resistance $R_s$ [Ω]</td>
<td>5.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator inductance $L_s$ [H]</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor resistance $R_r$ [Ω]</td>
<td>4.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor inductance $L_r$ [H]</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetizing inductance $L_m$ [H]</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertia of motor drive system $J$ [kgm$^2$]</td>
<td>0.0142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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