

Orthodontically Induced Root Resorption- An Updated Review

SUMMARY

Background/Aim: Root resorption is one of the most common consequences of orthodontic treatment. However, its mechanism, etiology factors, diagnostic methods and the possibility of root repair remain controversial topics. The aim of this paper is to provide an updated review of the current literature concerning the orthodontically induced root resorption. **Material and Methods:** A literature search was performed using Google Scholar, PubMed and Scopus search engines covering the period January 1930 until June 2019 corresponding to articles investigating the mechanism, etiology, methods of interpretation of root resorption and the stages of root repair. **Results:** The mechanism of orthodontically induced root resorption involves an interaction between several molecular signaling pathways, which result in the resorption of both cementum and dentin by odontoclasts/ cementoclasts. Root resorption can be the result of both treatment and patient-related factors. The main risk factors include prolonged orthodontic treatments, heavy forces, specific tooth movements, method of force application, systemic/genetic factors and aberrant root morphology. Nevertheless, root resorption is repaired to some extent by cellular cementum. **Conclusions:** Orthodontically root resorption is an unavoidable complication mainly due to its multifactorial etiology. However, its severity can be minimized with careful planning and radiographic monitoring.

Key words: External Apical Root Resorption, Orthodontically Induced Root Resorption, Orthodontic Treatment, Resorption Repair

Frantzeska Karkazi¹, Juraj Lysy²,
Elias Bitsanis³, Apostolis Tsolakis³

¹ Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey

² Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia

³ Department of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

REVIEW PAPER (RP)

Balk J Dent Med, 2020;1-7

Introduction

Root resorption is a common complication associated with orthodontic treatment. Approximately 90% of orthodontically treated teeth present some degree of external apical root resorption (EARR). However, 15% of teeth with EARR show severe apical resorption of more than 4mm. The mean root reduction ranges between 0,5-3,0 mm¹ (Table 1).

Table 1. Classification of degree of EARR²

Mild	Until 2 mm reduction of the initial root length
Moderate	More than 2 mm reduction but less than 1/3 of the initial root length
Severe	Greater than 4 mm or 1/3 of the original root length

EARR describes the pathologic loss of the cementum and dentine resulting in tooth shortening (Figure 1). Orthodontically induced resorptions mainly cause mild

or moderate root reductions, thus they present clinical symptoms of low significance, such as slight tooth mobility³ (Figure 2). However, severe resorptions can result to complete tooth loss⁴.



Figure 1. Digital Periapical radiograph of maxillary central incisors with severe EARR



Figure 2. Digital Periapical radiograph showing mild EARR of mandibular central incisors

The relationship between orthodontic treatment-related factors and EARR has been extensively investigated⁴. In more detail, the treatment duration and force magnitudes are considered as the most important risk factors for developing EARR⁵. While, the distance and the type of tooth movement, together with the treatment techniques appear to be partly responsible⁶. Maxillary central and lateral incisors seem to be the most susceptible to apical resorption⁷.

Hence, it is mandatory to assess the treatment-related and patient-related factors resulting to EARR during orthodontic treatment, in order to avoid serious complications and to decrease root resorption incidences.

Material and Methods

A literature search was conducted using the online databases PubMed, Google Scholar and Scopus, searching for original research papers and review articles regarding orthodontically induced root resorptions. The search included articles published until June 2019. The following terms were searched to identify relevant publications: “orthodontically induced root resorption” OR “external apical root resorption” OR “diagnosis” OR “root repair”. Inclusion criteria ranged from original research papers and review articles relevant to orthodontically induced root resorption to articles written in the English language. While the exclusion criteria were: Articles irrelevant to orthodontically root resorption, animal studies articles written in languages other than English. The reviewers for reliable results applied the criteria to each article independently.

Results

Mechanism

Orthodontic treatment is based on the coordinated tissue resorption and apposition of the surrounding bone and periodontal ligament. Forces generated during the orthodontic treatment attack the cementum and subsequently compress the vasculature resulting in ischemia, necrosis and hyalinization of the periodontal ligament and adjacent alveolar bone⁸. Then, macrophage-like cells, multinucleated cells, osteoclasts, and cementoclasts/odontoclasts remove the necrotic and hyalinized tissues in the compressive zone of the periodontium⁹. However, since, the exact mechanism of EARR is still obscure; it is likely that clast cells-mediated directly damage the superficial layer of the cementum, without necrosis and hyalinization of the periodontal tissues¹⁰.

Etiology

The etiology of orthodontically induced root resorptions is complex and multifactorial. Multiple biological and mechanical factors are considered responsible for root resorption.

Treatment-related factors

Several factors attribute to the development of orthodontically induced root resorptions, including treatment duration¹¹⁻¹⁵, magnitude of the applied

forces^{4,11,14,16-18}, direction of tooth movement^{17,19} amount of apical displacement^{12,14}, force application method (continuous vs. intermittent)^{8,19}, type of appliance²¹ and treatment technique^{21,22}.

Treatment duration

It has been noted in many clinical studies that prolonged orthodontic treatments (over 3 years) are positive correlated with severe EARR^{4,14,18}. A recent meta-analysis also confirmed the association between root resorption and treatment of long duration¹⁵.

Magnitude of orthodontic force and Direction of tooth movement

Force application is one of the primary causes of EARR. In more detail, light orthodontic forces usually tend to result in mild root resorption, while archwire sequencing, bracket prescription and self-ligation appear to do not affect the root length²³. During tipping movement, the apex absorbs all the compressive stress, resulting in increased stress per unit surface area^{4,24,25}. In contrast, translation movement generates compressive stress to the entire root surface, which causes cementum resorption at the sides of periodontal ligament compression. However, resorptions, due to translation, are rare and milder than those observed by tipping²⁶. Though, extrusive movements happen effortlessly, they can also cause orthodontically induced root resorption at the cervical third of the root. During intrusive tooth movement, almost all the stress is concentrated in the apex; thus, again in this situation the risk of EARR rises significantly²². It should be noted that during intrusion movements the roots get resorbed about four times more than during extrusion movements¹⁹.

Force application method (continuous vs. intermittent)

Tooth movement induced by orthodontic force should resemble the physiologic balance between the tooth movement and the bony adaptation¹⁴. Schwarz²⁷ stated that when force exceeded the threshold of the optimal force level for tooth movement (between 7 and 26 g per cm²) root resorption occurs. While, when the pressure is below this threshold, root resorption incidences decrease²⁸. This was also confirmed by another clinical study, which concluded that light forces produce mild EARR, whereas intermediate or heavy forces resulted in severe resorptions²⁹.

Types of Orthodontic Technique

Root resorption is an inevitable drawback of all orthodontic techniques.

Root Resorption and Fixed Orthodontic Treatment

Due to the inaccurate positioning of brackets and the incongruity between preadjusted brackets and tooth

shapes, several months are required to complete a Fixed Orthodontic Treatment (FOT). As a result, during FOT teeth often undergo a back-and-forth movement, which decrease significantly the root length. Thus, treatment method is considered as a high-risk factor for EARR. In more detail, in a study, the mean reduction of root in the straight wire group was 8,2 %, while the conventional edgewise group showed 7,5% reduction of the initial root length³⁰. Moreover, children with Angle Class II malocclusion, who underwent two-phase treatment (functional removable appliance and fixed appliance) present less amount of root resorption than one-phase treatment cases³¹. EARRs in cases treated with Damon3 self-ligating braces are similar to those of conventional brackets. In addition, although several studies have confirmed a strong correlation between Rapid Maxillary Expansion (RME) and EARR^{33,34}; a recent Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) study showed a primary statistically significant resorption of the cervical region³⁵.

Root Resorption and Clear Aligners

Clear Aligners Treatment (CAT) causes mostly mild root shortening, since they can be preprogrammed to control the magnitude of the force applied on teeth, and consequently, the stress on the apical area could be controlled to prevent or even minimize the incidences of orthodontically- induced root resorptions^{36,37}. The treatment time of CAT is comparable to that of the FOT even though they present a slower tooth movement velocity, at least in non-extraction cases. In addition, the continual interproximal enamel reduction during CAT reduces the crowding severity and as a result, decreases the amount of tooth movement. Nevertheless, Gay et al.³⁸ noted that root resorption incidences resulted by clear aligners are similar to that described when light forces are applied (approximately 10% of the initial root length). On the contrary, a study recently found a similar predisposition for apical root resorption using either removable aligners or fixed appliances³⁹. It was suggested that in both cases, orthodontic forces trigger cellular and molecular responses, which result in root resorption.

Extraction cases

Extraction cases have 2,72 times more chances of developing severe EARR⁴⁰; since, the retraction mechanics used for the anterior teeth increase the apical movements and the treatment duration⁴¹.

Patient-related factors

Chronological age and Dental age

Since periodontal membrane vascularity decreases and bone density increases with age, chronological age can be considered as a risk factor⁴². However, some studies do not approve this correlation^{23,43}. Regarding dental age, it has been observed that teeth with incomplete apex undergo less root resorption compared to those with complete root. The most likely explanation is that during orthodontic treatment, the apices do not stop to develop until they reach their full root length⁴³.

Gender

An association between gender and root resorption has not been confirmed yet⁴⁴. Males and females have equal chances to develop root resorption.

Ethnicity

Ethnicity is one of the contributing factors for root shortening. This can be proved by a study of Sameshima and Sinclair⁴⁵, who evaluated the root resorption indices of patients, who were treated with fixed edgewise appliances. They observed that Asian patients develop significantly less EARR than white or Hispanic patients. However, this may represent an ethnic difference based on genetic, environmental or even cultural factors.

Systemic factors

Allergy and asthma appear to increase the risk of EARR^{28,46}. Decreased level of estrogens and hyperthyroidism may enhance orthodontic tooth movement. In contrast, hypothyroidism might result in increased root resorption. While, calcitonin inhibits the activity of odontoclasts⁴⁷.

Genetic Factors

Genetic factors are one of the predominant causes of root resorptions. A twin retrospective study proved that monozygotic twins present twice as many EARR as the dizygotic twins. Thus, a strong genetic component of root resorption is indicated⁴⁸. Al-Qawasmi *et al.*⁴⁹ found a significant association between EARR in maxillary central incisor and a polymorphic marker, called D18S64. This marker is related to the TNFRSF11A gene, which is an essential signaling molecule in osteoclast differentiation and function. Thus, it can be concluded that this locus or a similar one has a critical role in the development of EARR⁵⁰. Moreover, Interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β) is a stimulus for osteoclastic cell recruitment and bone resorption during orthodontic treatment. Therefore, in cases of low IL-1 β levels, the alveolar bone resorptive response is inhibited and thus bone resorption becomes a more laborious procedure, resulting in prolonged stress against the root⁵¹.

Root Shape and Tooth Specificity

Thin and irregular apices (e.g. long, curved) are at greater risk of getting resorbed, possibly due to decreased resistance of the apices to overcome the mechanical stimuli¹⁴. Regarding tooth specificity, upper and lower incisors appear to be more susceptible to EARR⁵². More specifically, maxillary lateral incisors followed by mandibular incisors show the highest prevalence of resorption^{10,13,15}.

Alveolar Bone Density

Thin and elastic type of alveolar bone has the ability to absorb increased orthodontic mechanical stress than thick and more rigid alveolar bone²⁵. As a result, alveolar bone density has a critical role in the development of root resorption²⁶.

Endodontically Treated Teeth

The association between endodontically treated teeth and root resorption has been adequately investigated. In the literature, endodontically treated teeth may develop more^{53,54}, equal⁵⁵, or even less root resorption⁵⁶ compared to vital teeth. However, in a recent systematic review endodontically treated teeth present less root resorption than vital teeth⁵⁷.

Visualization and diagnosis of root resorption

Radiographic Examination

The assessment of EARR is mostly evaluated by using two dimensional (2D) conventional imaging. In more detail, periapical radiographs, panoramic radiographs and less often lateral cephalometric radiographs are used. However, 2D radiographs and especially, panoramic X-rays can increase the loss of the root length by 20%⁵⁸. Apajalahti & Pelatola⁵⁹ tried to overcome this issue by measuring the pre and post treatment, rather than calculating the absolute values of apical root loss. Besides, angulations, especially of incisors, change during orthodontic treatment and thus the actual measurements of root lengths alter. In further detail, buccolingual inclinations, ranging between 0 and 10 mm, might cause a difference of 5%². This could easily be attributed to the fact that the relative position of the focal trough to the dental arch during imaging. Thus, the 2D radiographs can be characterized insufficient to evaluate the location and the severity of orthodontically induced root resorption. Besides, orthodontically induced root resorptions extend in all aspects of root structure, therefore 2D images might decrease significantly the amount of root reduction. In contrast, Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) creates a three-dimensional (3D) visualization of samples and it produces images in axial, coronal and sagittal slices⁶⁰.

Serial Sectioning and Light Microscopy

This method is primarily used for research purposes. At first, extracted teeth have to be sectioned longitudinally in a buccolingual direction and then examined under the microscope. Since resorptions can vary in both size and depth; light microscope can facilitate the investigation of craters. Irregular shape craters, apical resorptions and resorptions in the middle third of the root are frequently partially or completely overlooked in 2D images⁴.

Scanning Electron Microscopy

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) has certain advantages in comparison to the 2D methods, since it allows the analysis of root samples in multiple planes⁴. However, it is very frequent to obtain a plain image, which suffers from data loss, especially in premolars area, due to their curved root surfaces. Therefore, miscalculations can occur during the assessments⁶¹.

Micro-Computer Tomography (Micro-CT)

Micro-CT is an X-ray imaging method used for the diagnosis of mineralized tissues in 3D and it is characterized by its increased resolution and magnification⁶². Moreover, root resorptions can be evaluated in vitro in animals and ex vitro in humans, due to its diameter image restrictions (at most 68 mm)⁴.

Repair of root resorption

Repair of root resorption starts immediately after the discontinuation of the orthodontic force or the decrease under a certain level⁶³. Following the detachment of cementoclasts/odontoclasts, root repair starts around the resorption lacunae. Later on fibroblast-like cementoblastic cells produce non-collagenous matrix proteins and collagen fibrils in order to cover the lacunae with the new cementum and new periodontal ligament^{64, 65}.

Several factors can determine the amount of root repair such as the magnitude of applied force, the type of orthodontic appliance and other patients' related aspects. However, it should be mentioned that cementum repair is promoted during the application of interrupted or intermittent orthodontic forces.

In addition, in a study of Owmann-Moll *et al.*⁶⁶ the histological degrees of root repair have been illustrated as follows:

- Partial Repair: The cervical part of the root is repaired with cellular or acellular cementum
- Functional Repair: The apical part is repaired with cellular cementum
- Anatomic Repair: The middle part of the root is repaired with acellular cementum.

Conclusions

Based on the available studies with a high level of evidence, it can be concluded that both treatment-related and patient-related factors can cause root resorption. Prolonged orthodontic treatments, heavy forces, fixed orthodontic appliances, extraction cases, thick alveolar bone, aberrant tooth morphology, genetic background and several systemic factors increase the risk of EARR. Orthodontic patients, who due to patient-related factors, are more prone to EARRs, require careful examination and meticulous orthodontic treatment planning. Moreover, though, orthodontically root resorption is an unavoidable complication of orthodontic treatment; some degree of repair with cellular cementum occurs. The development of root resorption can be minimized by regular radiographic examinations and careful planning.

References

1. Mauès CPR, do Nascimento RR, Vilella ODV. Severe root resorption resulting from orthodontic treatment: prevalence and risk factors. *Dental Press J Orthod*, 2015;20:52-58.
2. Tieu LD, Normando D, Toogood R, Flores-Mir C. Impact on perceived root resorption based on the amount of incisal inclination as determined from conventional panoramic radiography. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2015;148:685-691.
3. Janson GR, De Luca CG, Martins DR, Henriques JF, De Freitas MR. A radiographic comparison of apical root resorption after orthodontic treatment with 3 different fixed appliance techniques. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2000;118:262-273.
4. Chan E, Darendeliler MA. Physical properties of root cementum: Part 5. Volumetric analysis of root resorption craters after application of light and heavy orthodontic forces. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2005;127:186-195.
5. Roscoe MG, Meira JB, Cattaneo PM. Association of orthodontic force system and root resorption: A systematic review. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2015;147:610-626.
6. Weiland F. Constant versus dissipating forces in orthodontics: the effect on initial tooth movement and root resorption. *Eur J Orthod*, 2003;25:335-342.
7. Iglesias Linares A, Sonnenberg B, Solano B, Yanez Vico RM, Solano E, Lindauer SJ, et al. Orthodontically induced external apical root resorption in patients treated with fixed appliances vs removable aligners. *Angle Orthod*, 2017;87:3-10.
8. Castro I, Valladares-Neto J, Estrela C. Contribution of cone beam computed tomography to the detection of apical root resorption after orthodontic treatment in root-filled and vital teeth. *Angle Orthod*, 2015;85:771-776.
9. Brezniak N, Wasserstein A. Orthodontically induced inflammatory root resorption. Part I: The basic science aspects. *Angle Orthod*, 2002;72:175-179.
10. Killiany DM. Root resorption caused by orthodontic treatment: An evidence - based review of the literature. *Semin Orthod*, 1999;5:128 - 133.

11. Casa MA, Faltin RM, Faltin K, Sander FG, Arana-Chavez VE. Root resorptions in upper first premolars after application of continuous torque moment. Intra-individual study. *J Orofac Orthop*, 2001;62:285-295.
12. Otis LL, Hong JS, Tuncay OC. Bone structure effect on root resorption. *Orthod Craniofac Res*, 2004;7:165-177.
13. Fox N. Longer orthodontic treatment may result in greater external apical root resorption. *Evid Based Dent*, 2005;6:21.
14. Paetyangkul A, Türk T, Elekdağ-Türk S, Jones AS, Petocz P, Darendeliler MA. Physical properties of root cementum: part 14. The amount of root resorption after force application for 12 weeks on maxillary and mandibular premolars: a microcomputed-tomography study. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2009;136:492e1-9.
15. Segal GR, Schiffman PH, Tuncay OC. Meta analysis of the treatment-related factors of external apical root resorption. *Orthod Craniofac Res*, 2004;7:71-78.
16. Barbagallo LJ, Jones AS, Petocz P, Darendeliler MA. Physical properties of root cementum: Part 10. Comparison of the effects of invisible removable thermoplastic appliances with light and heavy orthodontic forces on premolar cementum. A microcomputed-tomography study. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2008;133:218-227.
17. Bartley N, Türk T, Colak C, Elekdağ-Türk S, Jones A, Petocz P, Darendeliler MA. Physical properties of root cementum: Part 17. Root resorption after the application of 2.5° and 15° of buccal root torque for 4 weeks: a microcomputed tomography study. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2011;139:353-360.
18. Harris DA, Jones AS, Darendeliler MA. Physical properties of root cementum: part 8. Volumetric analysis of root resorption craters after application of controlled intrusive light and heavy orthodontic forces: a microcomputed tomography scan study. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2006;130:639-647.
19. Han G, Huang S, Von den Hoff JW, Zeng X, Kuijpers-Jagtman AM. Root resorption after orthodontic intrusion and extrusion: an intra- individual study. *Angle Orthod*, 2005;75:912-918.
20. Faltin RM, Faltin K, Sander FG, Arana-Chavez VE. Ultrastructure of cementum and periodontal ligament after continuous intrusion in humans: a transmission electron microscopy study. *Eur J Orthod*, 2001;23:35-49.
21. Pandis N, Nasika M, Polychronopoulou A, Eliades T. External apical root resorption in patients treated with conventional and self-ligating brackets. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2008;134:646-651.
22. Beck BW, Harris EF. Apical root resorption in orthodontically treated subjects: analysis of edgewise and light wire mechanics. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 1994;105:350-361.
23. Baumrind S, Korn EL, Boyd RL. Apical root resorption in orthodontically treated adults. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 1996;110:311-320.
24. Consolaro A. Force distribution is more important than its intensity. *Dental Press J Orthod*, 2014;19:5-7.
25. Cuoghi OA, Aiello CA, Consolaro A, Tondelli PM, Mendonça MRD. Resorption of roots of different dimension induced by different types of forces. *Braz Oral Res*, 2014;28:1-7.
26. Ajmera S, Shivanand V, Ganeshkar SV. Volumetric evaluation of root resorption during orthodontic treatment. *J Clin Orthod*, 2014;48:113-119.
27. Schwartz AM. Tissue changes incidental to orthodontic tooth movement. *Int J Orthodontia*. 1932;18:331-352.
28. Owman-Moll P, Kurol J, Lundgren D. Effects of a doubled orthodontic force magnitude on tooth movement and root resorptions. An inter-individual study in adolescents. *Eur J Orthod*, 1996;18:141-150.
29. King GJ, Fischlschweiger W. The effect of force magnitude on extractable bone resorptive activity and cemental cratering in orthodontic tooth movement. *J Dent Res*, 1982;61:775-789.
30. Reukers EA, Sanderink GC, Kuijpers-Jagtman AM, van't Hof MA. Radiographic evaluation of apical root resorption with 2 different types of edgewise appliances. Results of a randomized clinical trial. *J Orofac Orthop*, 1998;59:100-109.
31. Brin I. External apical root resorption in Class II malocclusion: A retrospective review of 1-versus 2-phase treatment. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2003;124:151-156.
32. Scott P, DiBiase AT, Sherriff M, Cobourne MT. Alignment efficiency of Damon3 self-ligating and conventional orthodontic bracket systems: a randomized clinical trial. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2008;134:470-478.
33. Garrett BJ, Caruso JM, Rungcharassaeng K, Farrage JR, Kim JS, Taylor GD. Skeletal effects to the maxilla after rapid maxillary expansion assessed with cone-beam computed tomography. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2008;134:8-9.
34. Ramanathan C, Hofman Z. Root resorption in relation to orthodontic tooth movement. *Acta Medica*, 2006;49:91-95.
35. Dindaroğlu F, Doğan S. Evaluation and comparison of root resorption between tooth-borne and tooth-tissue borne rapid maxillary expansion appliances: A CBCT study. *Angle Orthod*, 2016;86:46-52.
36. Iglesias-Linares A, Sonnenberg B, Solano B, Yanez-Vico RM, Solano E, Lindauer SJ, et al. Orthodontically induced external apical root resorption in patients treated with fixed appliances vs removable aligners. *Angle Orthod*, 2017;87:3-10.
37. Brezniak N, Wasserstein A. Root resorption following treatment with aligners. *Angle Orthod*, 2008;78:19-24.
38. Gay G, Ravera S, Castrolforio T, Garino F, Rossini G, Parrini S, et al. Root resorption during orthodontic treatment with Invisalign®: a radiometric study. *Prog Orthod*, 2017;18:12.
39. Jiang RP, McDonald JP, Fu MK. Root resorption before and after orthodontic treatment: a clinical study of contributory factors. *Eur J Orthod*, 2010;32:693-697.
40. Esteves T, Ramos AL, Pereira CM, Hidalgo MM. Orthodontic root resorption of endodontically treated teeth. *J Endod*, 2007;33:119-122.
41. Ioannidou-Marathiotou I, Zafeiriadis AA, Papadopoulos MA. Root resorption of endodontically treated teeth following orthodontic treatment: a meta-analysis. *Clin Oral Invest*, 2013;17:1733-1744.
42. Brezniak N, Wasserstein A. Root resorption after orthodontic treatment: Part 2. Literature review. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 1993;103:138-146.

43. Cheng LL, Turk T, Elekdag-Türk S, Jones AS, Petocz P, Darendeliler MA. Physical properties of root cementum: Part 13. Repair of root resorption 4 and 8 weeks after the application of continuous light and heavy forces for 4 weeks: a microcomputed-tomography study. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2009;136:320-310.
44. Pastro JDV, Nogueira ACA, Salvatore de Freitas KM, Valarelli FP, Cançado RH, de Oliveira RCG, de Oliveira RCG. Factors Associated to Apical Root Resorption after Orthodontic Treatment. *Open Dent J*, 2018;12:331-339.
45. Sameshima GT, Sinclair PM. Predicting and preventing root resorption: Part II. Treatment factors. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2001;119:511-515.
46. Hartsfield J. Genetic factors in external apical root resorption and orthodontic treatment. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med*, 2004;15:115-122.
47. Verna C, Dalstra M, Melsen B. Bone turnover rate in rats does not influence root resorption induced by orthodontic treatment. *Eur J Orthod*, 2003;25:359-363.
48. Ngan DC, Kharbanda OP, Byloff FK, Darendeliler MA. The genetic contribution to orthodontic root resorption: a retrospective twin study. *Aust Orthod J*, 2004;20:1-9.
49. Al-Qawasmi RA, Hartsfield JK Jr, Everett ET, Flury L, Liu L, Foroud TM, Macri JV, Roberts WE. Genetic predisposition to external apical root resorption in orthodontic patients: linkage of chromosome-18 marker. *J Dent Res*, 2003;82:356-360.
50. Nakagawa N, Kinosaki M, Yamaguchi K, Shima N, Yasuda H, Yano K, Morinaga T, Higashio K. RANK is the essential signaling receptor for osteoclast differentiation factor in osteoclastogenesis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, 1998;253:395-400.
51. Al-Qawasmi RA, Hartsfield JK, Hartsfield JK, Jr, Everett ET, Weaver MR, Foroud TM, et al. Root resorption associated with orthodontic force in IL-1beta knockout mouse. *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact*, 2004;4:383-385.
52. Sameshima GT, Asgarifar KO. Assessment of root resorption and root shape: periapical vs panoramic films. *Angle Orthod*, 2001;71:185-189.
53. Wickwire NA, McNeil MH, Norton LA, Duell RC. The effects of tooth movement upon endodontically treated teeth. *Angle Orthod*, 1974;44:235-242.
54. Gong XY, Jian XC, Lei YH, Yao ZY, Hong T, Ma DY. An experimental study of the effects of root-canal treatment on orthodontic movement in cat cuspids. *Shanghai Kou Qiang Yi Xue*, 2007;16:206-210.
55. Malmgren O, Goldson L, Hill C, Orwin A, Petrini L, Lundberg M. Root resorption after orthodontic treatment of traumatized teeth. *Am J Orthod*, 1982;82:487-449.
56. Capelozza L, Silva O. Root resorption in orthodontic clinic: Attitude for a preventive conduct. *Rev. Dent. Press Ortodon. Ortop. Facial*, 1998;3:104-126.
57. Ruo-ping Jiang, J.P. McDonald, Min-kui Fu, Root resorption before and after orthodontic treatment: a clinical study of contributory factors. *Eur J Orthod*, 2010;32:693-697.
58. Jung YH, Cho BH. External root resorption after orthodontic treatment: a study of contributing factors. *Imaging Sci Dent*, 2011;41:17-21.
59. Apajalahti S, Peltola JS. Apical root resorption after orthodontic treatment- a retrospective study. *Eur J Orthod*, 2007;29:408-412.
60. Venskutonis, T, Daugela, P, Strazdas, M, Juodzbaly, G. Accuracy of digital radiography and cone beam computed tomography on periapical radiolucency detection in endodontically treated teeth. *J Oral Maxillofac Res*, 2014;5:1-7.
61. Harry MR, Sims MR. Root resorption in bicuspid intrusion. A scanning electron microscope study. *Angle Orthod*, 1982;52:235-258.
62. Wierzbicki T, El-Bialy T, Aldagheer S, Li G, Doschak M. Analysis of orthodontically induced root resorption using micro-computed tomography (Micro-CT). *Angle Orthod*, 2009;79:91-96.
63. Cheng LL, Türk T, Elekdag-Türk S, Jones AS, Yu Y, Darendeliler MA. Repair of root resorption 4 and 8 weeks after application of continuous light and heavy forces on premolars for 4 weeks: a histology study. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*, 2010;138:727-734.
64. Brudvik P, Rygh P. Transition and determinants of orthodontic root resorption-repair sequence. *Eur J Orthod*, 1995;17:177-188.
65. Langford SR, Sims MR. Root surface resorption, repair, and peri-odontal attachment following rapid maxillary expansion in man. *Am J Orthod*, 1982;81:108-115.
66. Owman-Moll P, Kurol J, Lundgren D. Repair of orthodontically induced root resorption in adolescents. *Angle Orthod*, 1995;65:403-408.

Conflict of Interests: Nothing to declare.

Financial Disclosure Statement: Nothing to declare.

Human Rights Statement: None required.

Animal Rights Statement: None required.

Received on Jun 24, 2019.

Revised on September 1, 2019.

Accepted on September 11, 2019.

Correspondence:

Frantzeska Karkazi

Department of Orthodontics

Faculty of Dentistry

Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey

e-mail: fkarkazi@aol.com